# COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

CHURCH UNIVERSAL & TRIUMPHANT, INC., A MONTANA CORPORATION,

PLAINTIFF, CROSS-DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT;

ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET,

CROSS-DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT,)

VS.

GREGORY MULL,

DEFENDANT, CROSS-COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT.

SUPERIOR COURT NO. C 358191

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

HONORABLE ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE PRESIDING

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

### APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF, CROSS-DEFENDANTS AND APPELLANTS:

FOR THE DEFENDANT, CROSS-COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT:

COPY

VOLUME 1 OF 12 VOLUMES PAGES 1 TO 191, INCL.

RIORDAN & MC KINZIE 300 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 2900 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071 TELEPHONE: (213) 629-4824

LAWRENCE LEVY, ESQ.
14724 VENTURA BOULEVARD, SUITE 7C4
SHERMAN OAKS, CALIFORNIA 91403
(818) 905-5971
-AND-

LYLE FRANCIS MIDDLETON, ESQ. 2500 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 810 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90057 (213) 381-2277

KATHLEEN H. ADAMS, CSR #2853 BRIDGET F. GEORGE, CSR #6148 CELESTE HALE, CSR #1310 ERMA DE MAR, CSR #2117 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES					
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 50 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS JUDGE					
4						
5	CHURCH UNIVERSAL & TRIUMPHANT . )					
6	INC., A MONTANA CORPORATION. )					
7	PLAINTIFF )					
8	VS. ) NO. C 358191					
9	GREGORY MULL )					
10	DEFENDANT. )					
11	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS.					
12						
13	REPORTERS TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL					
14	JANUARY 30 . 1986					
15	FEBRUARY 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 1986 FEBRUARY 18, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26, 1986 MARCH 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 1986					
16	MARCH 19. 20. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 31. 1986 APRIL 1. 2. 7. 1986					
17	MAY 30 . 1986					
18						
19	APPEARANCES:					
20	(SEE FOLLOWING PAGE.)					
21						
22						
23						
24	KATHLEEN H. ADAMS CSR #2853 Bridget F. George, CSR #6148					
25	CELESTE HALE, CSR #1310 ERMA DE MAR: C5R #2117					
26	OFFICIAL REPORTERS					
27						
28						

APPEARANCES: 1 2 FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND MEMEL JACOBS, PIERNO GERSH & CROSS-DEFENDANTS: **ELLSWORTH** 3 BY: KENNETH KLEIN ESQ. 1801 CENTURY PARK EAST TWENTY-FIFTH FLOCK 4 LOS ANGELES CALIFORNIA 90067 5 (213) 556-2000 6 FOR THE DEFENDANT AND LAWRENCE LEVY, ESQ. CROSS-COMPLAINANT: 14724 VENTURA BOULEVARD 7 SUITE 704 SHERMAN OAKS, CALIFORNIA 91403 8 (818) 905-5971 -AND-9 LYLE FRANCIS MIDDLETON, ESQ. 2500 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 10 SUITE 810 LOS ANGELES CALIFORNIA 90057 11 (213) 381-2277 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

#### MASTER INDEX 1 2 CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES 3 DEFENDANT S (MULL) VOIR WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DIRE VOL. 4 5 MULL GREGORY 67-L (RESUMED) 131-L 1 6 (RESUMED) 192-L 233 2 (RESUMED) 261 2 7 (RESUMED) 328 375-L 2 (RESUMED) 376 2 8 433-L 459-L (RESUMED) 2 386 (RESUMED) 469 3 9 (FURTHER) 477-L 3 10 FRANCIS ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET 11 (776 EVID. CODE) 479-L 3 (RESUMED) 538-L 12 (RESUMED) 705-L 754 763-L 13 KING RANDALL CHARLES 773-L (RESUMED) 14 782-L (RESUMED) 862-L 871 902-L 15 (REOPENED) 905-L 906 16 SAINT-MICHAEL, DON 911-L 937-L 930 939 (RESUMED) 942-L 17 WITT LINDA 944-L 971 986-L 5 18 PASSEGER, DAVID 988-L 1005 1014-L 5 1019 19 TROWBRIDGE. 20 DONALD SCOTT 1021-L 5 21 MUELLER KATHLEEN 1039-L 1068 1090-L 5 22 FRANCIS ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET 23 (776 EVID. CODE) (REOPENED) 1094-L 1100 5 1100-L 24 ROBBINS . RABBI STEPHEN 25 1106-L 5 1115 1205-L 1206 (RESUMED) 1119-L 1158 5 26 AFSHAR, HOSEIN 1141-M 1151 1156-M 5 27 LEVY KATHLEEN 5 1209-M 1217 28 (RESUMED) 1220-M 1229 1260-M 6

;							
1	CHROI	10L0G10	CAL INDE	X OF WITH	ESSES (C	ONTINUE	D)
2						V0.13	
3	DEFENDANT'S (MULL) WITNESSES	DIREC	r cross	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE	YOL.
4	SINGER, MARGARET T.	1 26 4-1	L 1294	1351-L			6
5							
6	PLAINTIFF'S (C.U.T	)				VO IR	
7	WITNESSES		T_CRUSS_	REDIRECT	RECROSS		VOL.
8	MC CAFFREY, JAMES (FURTHER)	1363	1387-L	1428 1433	1431-L		7 7
9	THEODORE.						
10	ELISSA PATRICIA		3 AC 0-1	1482	1494-1		7 7
11	(FURTHER)	1440	1403	1487	1404		7
12	STEIN, RICHARD	1489	1501-L	1511			7
13	BRIGHT, CHARLES CHRISTO	DHED					
14	CHARLES CHRISTON		1522-L	1550	1551-L		7
15	FLEMING, JANE	1553	1566-L	1 57 6	1578-L		8
16	FUCCI, DONALD (RESUMED)	158 <b>0</b>	159 <b>9-</b> L	1614		1583-L	8
17	MACY.		2000				
18	LUCINDA CLAIRE	1615	1629-L 1636 <i>-</i> L	1643	16 46 -L		8 8
19	(RESUMED) (FURTHER)		10.30 E	1649	1649-L		3
20	KENYON, JOHN DOUGLAS	1651	1671-L	1694			8
21	GARCIA, EUGENE	16 96	1712-L	1725			8
22			2,12 -			1767-L	8
23	MOORE, ROBERT L. (RESUMED) (RESUMED)	1728 1773 1777				1775-L 1787-L	8 6
24	(RESUMED)	17 93	17 96 -L 1 80 2-L	1824	1830-L		8 8
25	(RESUMED) (FURTHER)		1002-6	1832	1833-L		8
26	COURTRIGHT, ANNE (RESUMED)	1834	1845-L 1855-L	1868			8 9
27		1000		200			9
28	LEVINE, SAUL (RESUMED)	1869	1909-L 1934-L	1953	1956-L		9

Γ

### CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES (CONTINUED)

PLAINTIFF'S (C.U.T WITNESSES	.) DIRECT	r_cross_	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE VOI	<b>2</b> .4
WHITEHEAD, DOROTHY	1958	1964-L	1972	1972-L	9	
SHEARER, III. JULIUS (RESUMED)	1980	2005-L 2061-L	2085	2092-L	9 9	
SCHWARTZ, STEVEN	20 97	2105-L	2115		9	
MC GUIRE, GRACE (RESUMED)	2116	2129-L 2142-L	21 46		9 10	
FRANCIS, EDWARD L. (RESUMED)	2148	2192-L 2219-L	2 27 5		10 10	
EASTMAN, FRANKLIN	2277	2280-L			10	
MELTON, JOHN GORDON	2293	2314-L	2335	2339-L	11	
NOTTOLI, VICTOR ANTHONY	2341	23 45-L			11	
HARROW, SUSAN (RESUMED) (FURTHER)	2350	2359-L	2370 2372 2375	2373-L	11 11 11	
RICHARDSON, JAMES T. (RESUMED) (FURTHER)	2376 2402	2412-L	2 43 9 2 45 5	2440-L 2447-M	2399-L 11 11 11 11	
KATZ: IRVING STANLEY (FURTHER)	2459	2 <b>4</b> 7 0-L	2485 2488	2488-L 2489-L	11 11	
FRANCIS; ELIZABETH CLARE	PR OPH 2492	IET 2500-L	2507		11	
MULL, GREGORY (776 EVID. CODE	2509	2531-L			11	

1	CHRONO	LOGICAL	INDEX	OF WITNESSES (	CONTINUED)
2	REBUTTAL (MULL)				VOIR
3	WITNESSES D	IRECI_C	ROSS R	EDIRECT RECROS	S DIRE VOL.
4	CANNON, LAURA-LEA 2	2544-M	2559	2562-M	11
5	FRANCIS, EDWARD L. (776 EVID. CODE)2	256 4-L	2571		11
6	FRANCIS,				
7	ELIZABETH CLARE F (776 EVID. CODE)2				11
8	(RESUMED) 2	2602-L	2604	2614-L	12
9	KING, RANDALL CHARLES 2	06 25ml	2669		12
10	(RESUMED)	2023 -	26 80		12
11					
12					
13	LEGEND: L - LEVY M - MIDDLE1	TON:			
14	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21		,			
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

\_\_\_\_

#### MASTER INDEX (CONTINUED) 1 2 ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES 3 VOIR DEFENDANT'S (MULL) DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DIRE YOL. 4 WITNESSES 5 5 AFSHAR, HOSEIN 1141-M 1151 1156-M б FRANCIS, ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET 7 (776 EVID. CODE) 479-L 3 (RESUMED) 538-L 705-L 754 8 (RESUMED) 763-L (REOPENED) 1100-L 5 1094-L 1100 9 KING, RANDALL CHARLES 4 10 773-L 782-L 4 (RESUMED) (RESUMED) 862-L 871 902-L 4 11 (REOPENED) 906 4 905-L 12 5 LEVY, KATHLEEN 1217 1209-M 1229 1260-M 6 13 (RESUMED) 1220-M 5 14 MUELLER, KATHLEEN 1039-L 1068 1090-L 15 MULL, GREGORY 67-L 1 (RESUMED) 131-L 1 2 192-L 233 16 (RESUMED) 261 (RESUMED) 375-L 2 328 17 (RESUMED) 376 2 (RESUMED) 2 433-L 18 (RESUMED) . 3 86 459-L 469 3 (RESUMED) 477-L 3 (FURTHER) 19 5 20 PASSEGER, DAVID 988-L 1005 1014-L 1019 21 ROBBINS, RABBI STEPHEN 1115 5 1106-L 1119-L 1158 1205-L 1206 5 22 (RESUMED) 937-L 939 4 23 SAINT-MICHAEL, DON 911-L 93 0 942-L (RESUMED) 24 SINGER MARGARET T. 1264-L 1294 1351-L 6 25 26 TROWBRIDGE, 5 DONALD SCOTT 27 1021-L 5 28 WITT, LINDA 944-L 971 986-L

#### 1 ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES (CONTINUED) 2 PLAINTIFF'S (C.U.T.) VOIR 3 WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DIRE YOL. BRIGHT, 4 CHARLES CHRISTOPHER 7 5 1550 1551-L 1511 1522-L 8 б COURTRIGHT, ANNE 1834 1845-L (RESUMED) 1855-L 1868 9 7 EASTMAN, FRANKLIN 2277 2280-L 10 8 FLEMING, JANE 1576 1578-L 8 1553 1566-L 9 FRANCIS, EDWARD L. 2148 2192-L 10 10 (RESUMED) 2219-L 2275 10 11 FRANCIS, ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET 2492 2500-L 2507 11 12 8 13 FUCCI, DONALD 1580 1583-L (RESUMED) 1587 1599-L 1614 8 14 GARCIA, EUGENE 8 1696 1712-L 1725 15 HARROW, SUSAN 2350 2359-L 2370 11 (RESUMED) 2372 2373-L 11 16 2375 (FURTHER) 11 17 KATZ. 18 IRVING STANLEY 2459 2470-L 2485 2488-L 11 2489-L (FURTHER) 2488 19 KENYON, JOHN DOUGLAS 8 20 1651 1671-L 1694 LEVINE, SAUL 21 1869 1909-L 1953 1956-L (RESUMED) 1934-L 22 MACY, 8 23 LUCINDA CLAIRE 1615 1629-L 1643 1646-L (RESUMED) 1636-L 8 1649-L 8 1649 (FURTHER) 24 7 1428 1431-L MC CAFFREY, JAMES 1363 1387-L 25 7 1433 (FURTHER) 26 9 MC GUIRE, GRACE 2116 2129-L

2142-L

21 46

(RESUMED)

27

28

#### 1 ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITHESSES (CONTINUED) 2 PLAINTIFF'S (C.U.T.) VOIR 3 DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DIRE VOL. WITNESSES MELTON, 4 2293 2314-L JOHN GORDON 2335 2339-L 11 5 MOORE, ROBERT L. 1728 1767-L 8 1775-L 8 (RESUMED) 1773 б (RESUMED) 1787-L 8 1777 7 (RESUMED) 17 93 1796-L 8 1824 (RESUMED) 1802-L 1830-L 8 1832 1833-L 8 8 (FURTHER) MULL, GREGORY 9 (776 EVID. CODE) 2509 2531-L 11 10 NOTTOLI, VICTOR ANTHONY 2341 23 45-L 11 11 RICHARDSON, 12 JAMES T. 2376 2399-L 11 (RESUMED) 2439 2440-L 11 13 2402 2412-L 11 2447-M 11 (FUR THER) 2455 14 SCHWARTZ, STEVEN 20 97 2105-L 2115 9 15 16 SHEARER, III, 1980 JULIUS 2005-L 9 2085 2092-L 17 (RESUMED) 2061-L 7 18 STEIN, RICHARD 1489 1501-L 1511 THEODORE . 19 ELISSA PATRICIA 1435 1448 1469-L 1482 1484-L 20 (RESUMED) 7 (FURTHER) 1487 21 9 WHITEHEAD, DOROTHY 1958 1964-L 1972 1972-L 22 23

24

25

26

27

1	ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES (COM	NTINUED)
2		
3	REBUTTAL (MULL) WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	VOIR DIRE_VOL.
4	CANNON, LAURA-LEA 2544-M 2559 2562-M	11
5	FRANCIS, EDWARD L. (776 EVID. CODE) 2564-L 2571	11
6	FRANCIS,	
7	ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET (776 EVID. CODE) 2573-L	11
8	(RESUMED) 2602-L 2604 2614-L	12
9	KING,	12
10	RANDALL CHARLES 2625-L 2669 (RESUMED) 2680	12
11		
12		
13	LEGEND: L - LEVY M - MIDDLETON	
14	M - MIDDLE FOR	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

#### M A S T E R I N D E X (CONTINUED) INDEX OF EXHIBITS FOR EVIDENCE IDENTIFICATION PAGE VOL. PAGE VOL. EXHIBITS 1 - BOOKLET 2 - INVITATION TO SQUARE DANCE 3 - GOOD HOUSEKEEPING ARTICLE 5 - ADDENDUM TO HOUSE RULES 7 - PHOTOCOPIES OF CHECKS 8-A - CASSETTE TAPE 8-B - CASSETTE TAPE 46.9 8-C - TRANSCRIPT 10 - CHECK STUBS 11 - ANNOUNCEMENT FROM CONSUMER 26 81 **AFFAIRS** 26 81 14 -15 - LETTER DATED 9-22-75 16 - LETTER DATED 9-30-75 26 81 17 -26 81 20 -21 - LETTER DATED 1-12-78 26 81 23 -24 - LETTER DATED 1-23-79 26 81 25 -26 81 27 -28 - LETTER DATED 2-22-79 29 - LETTER DATED 6-2-79 32 - LETTER DATED 11-7-80

2		FOR IDENTIFICATION	IN EVI DEN <b>C</b> E
3	EXHIBITS	PAGE VOL.	
4	33 - LETTER DATED 12-4-80	*	219 2
5	35 - BOX OF BLUEPRINTS	*	165 1
6	36 - LETTER DATED 10-17-74	*	2458 11
7	37 - DOCUMENT	*	406 2
8	40 -	*	26 81 12
9	41 - LETTER DATED 9-22-75	*	266 2
10	42 - LETTER DATED 6-16-76	*	294 2
11	43 - LETTER DATED 8-27-76	*	328 2
12	44 - LETTER DATED 1-12-78	*	356 2
13	45 -	*	26 81 12
14	46 - LETTER DATED 2-11-79	*	245 2
15	47 -	*	26 81 12
16	48 - LETTER DATED 3-9-79	*	406 2
17	49 - LETTER DATED 3-14-79	*	406 2
18	50 - DOCUMENT	*	403 2
19	51 - LETTER DATED 5-8-79	*	311 2
20	52 - DOCUMENT	*	405 2
21	53 - LETTER DATED 6-19-79	*	405 2
22	54 - DOCUMENT	*	405 2
23	55 - DOCUMENT	*	403 2
24	56 - MEMORANDUM	•	1696 8
25	57 - DOCUMENT	*	405 2
26	58 - PROMISSORY NOTE DATED	*	395 2
27	9-25-79	*	405 2
28	59 - DOCUMENT		

2		FOR	IN
3	EXHIBITS	I DENTIFI CATION PAGE VOL.	
4	60 - DOCUMENT	*	403 2
5	61 - LETTER DATED 10-27-79	*	2458 11
6	62 - PROMISSORY NOTE	•	395 2
7	65 - LETTER DATED 11-23-79	*	337 2
8	66 - MEMO DATED 12-11-79	*	2458 11
9	70 - LETTER DATED 1-30-80	*	405 2
10	73 - ESCROW DOCUMENTS	*	398 2
11	74 - LETTER DATED 4-20-80	*	2458 11
12	75 - LETTER DATED 4-23-80	*	2458 11
13	77-A - CHECK	*	382 2
14	77-B - CHECK	*	382 2
15	77-C - CHECK	*	3 82 2
16	77-D - CHECK	*	382 2
17	77-E - CHECK	•	382 2
18	77-F - CHECK	*	382 2
19	77-G - CHECK	*	382 2
20	77-H - CHECK	*	382 2
21	77-I - CHECK	*	382 2
22	77-J - CHECK	*	382 2
23	80 - PHOTOGRAPH	*	329 2
24	81 - PHOTOGRAPH	*	1460 7
25	85 - PHOTOGRAPH	*	1460 7
26	87 - PHOTOGRAPH	*	1696 8
27	88 - PHOTOGRAPH	*	1696 8
28	90 - PHOTOGRAPH	*	1696 8

2		FO			IN EVIDENCE	
3	EXHIBITS					
4	92 - PHOTOGRAPH	*		330	2	
5	93 - PHOTOGRAPH	*		330	2	
6	94 - AERIAL PHOTO OF CAMELOT	*		21 91	10	
7	95 - CASSETTE TAPE	230	2	231	2	
8	96 - 1978 INCOME TAX FORM	235	2	236	2	
9	97 - 1977 INCOME TAX FORM	239	2	239	2	
10	98 - 1975 INCOME TAX FORM	242	2	2 42	2	
11	99 - BOOKLET ENTITLED "A WAY ( LIFE, CODE OF CONDUCT"	OF 288	2	288	2	
12 13	100 - SUMMIT UNIVERSITY JOB REPORT	334	2	334	2	
14	101 - APPLICATION FOR EMPLOYMEN	NT 470	3	471	3	
15	102 - STATIONERY	738	4	861	4	
16	103 - APPLICATION	940	4	941	4	
17	104 - LETTER DATED 2-30-81	1003	5	1018	5	
18	106 - LETTER DATED 5-21-79	1076	5	2668	12	
19	107 - DECREE	1093	5	1099	5	
20	109 - NOTES	1299	6	1351	6	
21	110 - BROCHURE	1431	7	1433	7	
22	111 - CHART	1657	8	169 <del>6</del>	8	
23	112 - CHART OF ACCOUNTS, 2-13-	79 2170	10	2170	10	
24	113 - CHART OF ACCOUNTS, 5-1-7	9 2172	10	2173	10	
25	114 - LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION	2195	10	2219	10	
26	115 - VOWS	2270	10			
27	116 - 1979 LOGBOOK	23 53	11	2355	11	
28	117 - 1980 LOGBOOK	23 53	11	2355	11	

EVUL DI TO	FOR IDENTIFICATION	
EXHIBITS	PAGE YOL.	PAGE YOL.
118 - MOTHER'S TEACHING ON CLARE'S LUNCH	2362 11	2369 11
119 - LETTER	2434 11	
120 - BOOK	2483 11	2483 11
121 - LETTER DATED 12-29-80	2512 11	2512 11
122 - LETTER DATED 1-20-81	2517 11	2517 11
123 - LETTER DATED 3-4-78	2523 11	2523 11
124 - LETTER DATED 4-16-82	2528 11	2529 11
125 - BIBLE	2542 11	2542 11
126 - LETTER DATED 4-8-81	2563 11	2565 11
127 - SCHEDULE	2579 11	2668 12
127-A - BLOW-UP	26 85 12	26 85 12
128 - INVESTMENT CLUB DOCUMENT	2590 11	
129 - MEMO	2641 12	2645 12
130 - LETTER DATED 9-17-75	2646 12	2648 12
131 - CHART	26 26 12	2668 12
132 - CHART	26 26 12	2668 12
133 - BOOK COVER	2672 12	26 80 12

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, JANUARY 30, 1986 \* 2 2:06 P.M. HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE 3 DEPARTMENT 50 4 APPEARANCES: MEMEL, JACOBS, PIERNO, GERSH & ELLSWORTH BY 5 6 KENNETH KLEIN FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND CROSS-DEFENDANTS; LAWRENCE LEVY AND LYLE S FRANCIS MIDDLETON FOR THE DEFENDANT AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT. 9 (KATHLEEN H. ADAMS, OFFICIAL REPORTER.) 10 11 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. 12 MR. LEVY: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. 13 MR. KLEIN: GOOD AFTERNOON. 14 THE COURT: THIS IS THE TIME AND THE PLACE FOR OUR 15 HEARING ON CERTAIN PRETRIAL MOTIONS. THE FIRST MOTION THAT 16 I HAVE ON MY LIST IS THE DEFENDANT'S BRIEF ORAL MOTION. 17 MR. LEVY: DID YOU HAVE - YES, YOUR HONOR. DID YOU 18 HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO READ THE DEPOSITION OR THAT PORTION 19 OF THE DEPOSITION THAT WAS FURNISHED TO YOU? 20 THE COURT: I HAVE BEEN HANDED PAGES 70 THROUGH AND 21 INCLUDING 73 OF THE DEPOSITION OF --22 MR. LEVY: RANDALL KING. 23 THE COURT: OKAY. 24 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, YOU SAID 70 THROUGH 73? 25 THE COURT: 70 THROUGH 77. DID I MISSPEAK? I AM 26 SORRY. 27 MR. KLEIN: I THINK SO. 28

THE COURT: THANK YOU. 70 THROUGH AND INCLUDING 77. THANK YOU.

MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, WITH REGARD TO THAT, THE WAY I READ IT, IT IS INDICATIVE THAT THIS ONE INCIDENT IN THIS PERSON'S LIFE OCCURRED IN OR ABOUT THE YEAR 1969 ON. THAT WOULD HAVE MADE HIM APPROXIMATELY 20 YEARS OF AGE.

AT THAT TIME, COMING BACK INTO THE STATE OF TEXAS, HE WAS STOPPED, HE WAS ARRESTED. THE ARREST HAD TO DO WITH THE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA AND THE FAILURE TO DECLARE IT AND PAY TAX ON IT.

HIS TESTIMONY WAS THAT THERE WERE POSSIBLY A COUPLE OF POUNDS. IN OTHER DEPOSITIONS AT OTHER PLACES, HE PUTS A VALUE ON THAT PURCHASE AT SOMEWHERE IN THE MEIGHBORHOOD OF \$70.

AND ALTHOUGH I AM OLDER THAN I LOOK, I CAN RECALL BACK IN 1969 OR '70 WHAT THE STREET VALUE AND THE WHAT THE VALUE OF -- BECAUSE I HEARD THE BIG KIDS TALK. AND WHAT THEY SAID WAS IF YOU GO TO MEXICO THROUGH TEXAS, YOU CAN GET A POUND FOR AROUND 35 OR 40 DOLLARS.

SO WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A DIMINIMUS VALUE OF MARIJUANA PURCHASED BY A VERY YOUNG MAN. AND THAT IS PRIMARILY THE ONLY MISSTEP BY HIM WITH REGARD TO THE LAW. IT GOES NOWHERE TO THE ISSUE OF TRUTH OR VERACITY. IT SAYS NOTHING ABOUT THE QUALITY OF WHAT HIS TESTIMONY WILL BE AT THIS TRIAL. IT WOULD DO NOTHING BUT POSSIBLY CAST AN IMPROPER LIGHT ON HIM.

THE PREJUDICIAL VALUE WOULD OUTWEIGH THE PROBATIVE VALUE OF WHAT IT WILL BE HE WILL BE TESTIFYING TO

AND HIS EXPERIENCE THAT I THINK SO FAR OUTWEIGHS THE PROBATIVE VALUE THAT TO ALLOW THAT TESTIMONY TO COME IN, I THINK IT WOULD BE REMISS.

AND I WOULD MOVE THE COURT TO ORDER THAT NO MENTION OF THAT ONE INCIDENT IN THIS YOUNG MAN'S LIFE BE PERMITTED TO BE MENTIONED BY ANYBODY FROM THE PLAINTIFF AND -- WELL, USED TO BE CROSS-COMPLAINANT SIDE, BUT IT IS NO LONGER SIDE -- FROM THE PLAINTIFF'S SIDE.

THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. KLEIN.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. WHAT THE TRANSCRIPT STATES, AND THIS IS OF COURSE MR. KING'S VERSION, IS THAT HE WAS -- HE PURCHASED A COUPLE OF POUNDS OF MARIJUANA AND HE WAS TRANSPORTING IT ACROSS STATE LINES WHEN HE WAS ARRESTED BY THE FBI.

AND ALTHOUGH MR. KING SAYS IN THE DEPOSITION HE WAS GOING TO SMOKE ALL -- HE WAS GOING TO GIVE THIS TO PEOPLE, I WOULD HAVE TO THINK THAT PART OF WHAT WENT ON HERE WAS THERE WAS A QUESTION AS TO WHETHER THE WAS TRANSPORTING IT ACROSS STATE LINES TO SELL IT.

THE COURT: THAT MAY BE SO, BUT I AM NOT GOING TO SPECULATE ABOUT THAT.

MR. KLEIN: I AGREE. BUT MY POINT IS WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT SOMEBODY WHO --

THE COURT: LET ME TELL YOU WHAT CONCERNS ME AND THEN YOU CAN RESPOND TO IT.

MR. KLEIN: OKAY.

THE COURT: IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE MOTION OUGHT TO BE GRANTED. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IT OUGHT TO BE GRANTED

25

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TAKING INTO ACCOUNT SECTION 352 OF THE EVIDENCE CODE.

THIS CONVICTION, AND APPARENTLY THERE WAS A CONVICTION, OCCURRED MANY YEARS AGO. CONSIDER WHAT THE CRIME WAS OF WHICH THIS PERSON STANDS CONVICTED. FAILURE TO PAY A TAX ON SOME MARIJUANA. I DON'T TAKE ANY CRIME LIGHTLY. PLEASE DO NOT MISUNDERSTAND ME.

BUT IT SEEMS TO ME THAT GIVEN THE DISTANCE IN TIME, GIVEN THE SOLITARY NATURE OF THIS ONE CONVICTION, THE MOTION OUGHT TO BE GRANTED. THIS IS NOT ONE OF MANY CONVICTIONS THAT WOULD DEMONSTRATE, FOR EXAMPLE, A STUBBORN DETERMINATION TO PERSIST IN VIOLATING THE LAW OR TO BE UNTRUTHFUL. THIS IS NOT THAT KIND OF SITUATION.

MR. KLEIN: LET ME -- I -- I HEAR WHAT YOUR HONOR IS SAYING AND I ONLY ADD ONE -- ONE POINT.

AND THAT IS WOULD I BE CORRECT IN ASSUMING THAT IF I WERE TO CONDUCT AN INVESTIGATION OF MY OWN INTO THE RECORDS, IF ANY EXIST, OF THIS PARTICULAR ARREST AND WHAT ACTUALLY HE WAS ARRESTED FOR AND HOW MANY POUNDS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT, THAT IF I DID AND IF I WERE TO DETERMINE ——
COME UP WITH INFORMATION THAT THAT'S PART OF AN OFFICIAL RECORD THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING DIFFERENT THAN IT SAYS RIGHT HERE AS FAR AS MAYBE THE AMOUNT, AS FAR AS ——

THE COURT: I WOULD CONSIDER IT.

MR. KLEIN: OKAY. THAT IS ALL I HOULD ASK.

THE COURT: I AM NOT GOING TO PREJUDGE IT OR COMMIT MYSELF TO ANY COURSE OF ACTION.

MR. KLEIN: I WOULDN'T BE PRECLUDED FROM COMING BACK TO THIS COURT AND SAYING I HAVE SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

I'D LIKE THE COURT TO CONSIDER.

G

THE COURT: THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THE COURT: BUT AS OF NOW, THE MOTION IS GRANTED.

MR. LEVY: MAY I JUST INQUIRE, YOUR HONOR, THAT SECTION OF THE EVIDENCE CODE YOU MENTIONED?

THE COURT: 352.

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, SIR.

THE COURT: THE NEXT MOTION THAT I HAVE IS A MOTION OF THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT FOR A JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS. AND THAT MOTION AS I READ IT IS ADDRESSED TO THREE CAUSES OF ACTION. AND I THINK WE OUGHT TO DISCUSS THEM ONE AT A TIME.

THE FIRST ONE IS THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION,
WHICH ALLEGES BREACH OF A FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP. I HAVE
READ WHAT THE CHURCH HAS SAID. LET ME HEAR FROM — WELL,
FROM NOW ON FOR CONVENIENCE, WE WILL REFER TO MR. MULL AS
THE PLAINTIFF AND TO THE CHURCH AS THE DEFENDANT FOR
CONVENIENCE. THIS WE DISCUSSED YESTERDAY. IN FACT, I MIGHT
COVER THAT POINT RIGHT NOW SO THE RECORD IS CLEAR.

IT IS A FACT THAT COUNSEL AND THE COURT MET IN CHAMBERS YESTERDAY AFTERNOON AND WE DISCUSSED VARIOUS ASPECTS OF THIS CASE. WE TALKED PRELIMINARILY ABOUT THE SEVERAL MOTIONS THAT WILL BE HEARD AND DETERMINED THIS AFTERNOON. WE ALSO TALKED ABOUT THE ORDER IN WHICH EACH SIDE WOULD PROCEED.

IT IS A FACT THAT THE INITIAL PLEADING IN THIS
CASE IS A COMPLAINT FILED BY THE CHURCH AGAINST MULL BASED

ON A PROMISSORY NOTE. IT IS ALSO A FACT THAT MULL FILED A
CROSS-COMPLAINT. INDEED WE ARE NOW PROCEEDING ON AN AMENDED
CROSS-COMPLAINT ALLEGING VARIOUS CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST
THE CHURCH AND CERTAIN OF ITS LEADERS.

SINCE MOST OF THE EVIDENCE AND MOST OF THE TIME
AND MOST OF WHAT WE WILL BE DOING IN THE COMING DAYS WILL
HAVE TO DO WITH THE CAUSES OF ACTION FOUND IN THE AMENDED
CROSS-COMPLAINT, WE AGREED AFTER TALKING ABOUT THIS THAT
MULL WOULD PUT ON HIS CASE FIRST AND WOULD PROCEED IN THE
ROLE OF PLAINTIFF AND THE CHURCH IN THE ROLE OF DEFENDANT.

IT WAS DISCUSSED AND UNDERSTOOD YESTERDAY THAT
THIS MEANS THAT IN ALL RESPECTS, MULL WILL PROCEED AT THE
TIME THAT A PLAINTIFF WOULD PROCEED. FOR EXAMPLE, DURING
VOIR DIRE, MULL WOULD GO FIRST. IN PUTTING ON HIS CASE IN
CHIEF, MULL WOULD GO FIRST. WHEN WE ARRIVE AT CLOSING
ARGUMENTS, MULL WOULD GO FIRST IF WE PROCEED IN THIS
FASHION. AND IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT EVERYBODY HAS
AGREED TO PROCEED IN THIS FASHION.

IS THAT CORRECT, GENTLEMEN?

MR. LEVY: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. AS A MATTER OF FACT, TODAY WE SITUATED OURSELVES PHYSICALLY IN COMPLIANCE WITH THAT UNDERSTANDING.

MR. KLEIN: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY. I JUST WANTED TO BE SURE THE RECORD WAS CLEAR AS TO WHAT WE ARE DOING, AND WHAT WE HAVE AGREED TO DO AND WHY WE ARE DOING IT. OKAY.

NOW, BREACH OF FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP, I HAVE
READ WHAT THE CHURCH HAS HAD TO SAY ABOUT THIS. LET ME HEAR

б

FROM COUNSEL FOR MR. MULL.

MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MR. LEVY.

MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, IN -- I ALSO READ WHAT THE CHURCH HAD TO SAY. AND WHILE I HAVE TO COMMEND ESTEEMED COUNSEL FOR HIS POINT OF VIEW, I HAVE TO DISAGREE WITH IT.

HE SEEMS TO BELIEVE THAT FOR THERE TO BE A FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP, SOMEHOW OR OTHER AN ITEM OF REAL PROPERTY AS OPPOSED TO A PROPERTY RIGHT HAS TO BE INVOLVED. A FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP, AS I SEE IT, IS A RELATIONSHIP OF TRUST MUCH LIKE A PARISHIONER FINDS WITH THEIR PRIEST.

ONE GOES INTO THE CONFESSIONAL HAVING FULL FAITH, FULL TRUST AND TOTAL RELIANCE UPON THE SOLEMNITY AND THE SANCTITY OF THE CONFESSIONAL. IN THIS CASE, THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT WE ALLEGE. THAT MULL, AT THE DIRECTION OF THE SPIRITUAL LEADER OF THIS CHURCH, CONFESSED AND THAT WE BELIEVE TO BE A FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP.

OUR COMPLAINT ALLEGES THAT THAT FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP WAS VIOLATED. WE BELIEVE IT IS A MATTER OF EVIDENCE AS TO WHETHER IN FACT IT WAS OR NOT. I BELIEVE THAT IT WOULD BE A GRIEVOUS THING TO ALLOW THAT TYPE OF SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP TO BE VIOLATED AND TO ENCUMBER IT WITH SOME -- SOME BELIEF THAT IT ONLY COUNTS IF IT HAS TO DO WITH A PIECE OF PROPERTY.

I BELIEVE IT IS A VALID CAUSE OF ACTION. ALSO BELIEVE THE ISSUE IS ADDRESSED AT THE PLEADING STAGE WHEN THE MOVING PARTY, THE CHURCH, DEMURRED TO THAT AND AT THAT TIME THEY WERE OVERRULED.

б

9

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

ნ

I THINK WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO GO FORWARD WITH
THAT BECAUSE I THINK IT IS AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE TOTALITY
OF OUR CASE. I DON'T THINK YOU CAN BAKE A LEMON PIE WITHOUT
PUTTING LEMONS IN IT.

THE COURT: WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP AND A CONFIDENTIAL RELATIONSHIP?

MR. LEYY: I THINK CONFIDENTIAL — A CONFIDENTIAL RELATIONSHIP MAY BE ONE IN WHICH, LET'S SAY, DOLLARS PASS HANDS OR IT MAY BE ONE IN WHICH AN ATTORNEY AND HIS CLIENT HAVE A RELATIONSHIP. I THINK YOU HAVE POSSIBLY A HIGHER LEVEL OF RELATIONSHIP WHERE IT INVOLVES A PRIEST AND A PENITENT. WHERE YOU HAVE AN INTERMEDIARY WITH GOD, I THINK IT ELEVATES IT.

CERTAINLY ATTORNEYS LIKE TO THINK THAT SOMEHOW OR OTHER BETWEEN THEIR CLIENT AND GOD, THEY OUGHT TO INTERVENE IN THEIR CLIENT'S BENEFIT. BUT I THINK WHEN YOU HAVE A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN A RELIGIOUS FIGURE AND A PENITENT, I THINK IT ELEVATES IT FROM JUST A CONFIDENTIAL RELATIONSHIP INTO ONE OF SPECIAL TRUST.

THE COURT: IS ANYBODY IN POSSESSION OF ANY AUTHORITY
STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT A BREACH BY A PRIEST OR
MINISTER IS OR IS NOT A BREACH OF FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP?

MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR. I DO NOT -- I AM NOT -I DON'T HAVE WITH ME THE LINE OF CASES THAT DISCUSS THAT
SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP. BUT IN MY RESEARCH, I DO HAVE CASE
AUTHORITY THAT LABELS THE RELATIONSHIP -- EVEN I BELIEVE
BLACK'S DICTIONARY GOES SO FAR AS TO LABEL THE RELATIONSHIP
BETWEEN PEOPLE WHERE IT IS A RELATIONSHIP OF TRUST.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, I DO HAVE CASE AUTHORITY.

IT DAWNED ON ME THAT IN MY PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS, THAT

I CITED SOME AUTHORITY. THERE IS THE CASE OF H. B.

CARTWRIGHT AND BROTHERS VERSUS UNITED STATES BANK AND TRUST

COMPANY, CITED AT 23 N.M. 82 AND AT 167 PACIFIC 436.

б

AND ESSENTIALLY WHAT THE COURT'S DETERMINATION WAS WAS THAT:

"ANY ACT DONE BY A FIDUCIARY

CONTRARY TO THE TERMS OF HIS TRUST OR IN

EXCESS OF HIS AUTHORITY AND TO THE DETRIMENT

OF THE OTHER PARTY TO THE RELATIONSHIP,

EVERY VIOLATION BY A FIDUCIARY OF A DUTY

WHICH EQUITY LAYS ON HIM WHETHER WILLFUL OR

FRAUDULENT OR DONE THROUGH NEGLIGENCE IS

WITH A BREACH OF A FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP.

RELATIONSHIP IS A RELATIONSHIP WHEREIN THERE

IS A SPECIAL CONFIDENCE REPOSED IN ONE WHO
IN GOOD CONSCIENCE IS BOUND TO ACT IN GOOD
FAITH AND WITH DUE REGARD TO THE INTERESTS
OF THE ONE REPOSING THE FAITH, " AS HERE BETWEEN A
PRIEST AND A PENITENT.

"FURTHER, A FIDUCIARY

NOW, MY JURY INSTRUCTION GOES TO THE HEART OF THE CASE I CITED. THE LAST VERBIAGE AND HERE REFERS TO CERTAINLY TO THIS CASE BECAUSE THE CASE I CITED THAT STANDS FOR THIS PROPOSITION OF FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP WAS, AS ITS TITLE WOULD IMPLY, NOT BETWEEN A PRIEST AND PENITENT, BUT TWO PEOPLE WHO HAD A SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP.

THE COURT: I HAD THE NOTION THAT A FIDUCIARY WAS ONE -- IS ONE WHO IS IN A POSITION OF TRUST AND WHO HAS A DUTY TO DO SOMETHING. FOR EXAMPLE, AN ESCROW COMPANY CAN BE A FIDUCIARY. IT HAS A DUTY TO DO SOMETHING.

 $\mathfrak{S}$ 

1.4

WHERE ONE IS A CONFIDANT, AN ADVISER, I MYSELF
HAVE REFERRED TO SUCH RELATIONSHIPS AS A CONFIDENTIAL
RELATIONSHIP RATHER THAN AS A FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP.

MR. LEVY: DOES NOT A SPIRITUAL LEADER INFORM THEIR PARISHIONERS THAT WHAT THEY WILL DO IS INTERCEDE FOR THEM WITH THE ALMIGHTY AND IS NOT THAT AN OBLIGATION TO DO SOMETHING?

WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A PROPERTY RIGHT. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A PERSON'S PEACE OF MIND, THEIR RIGHT TO THE ENJOYMENT OF LIFE, THE RIGHT TO FEEL THE CONFIDENCE AND THE PEACE OF MIND, THE ASSURANCE THAT THROUGH THEIR FAITH AND THROUGH THE INTERCEPTION OF THEIR SPIRITUAL LEADER, THAT THE TRIP TO HEAVEN WILL NOT BE TOO ROCKY.

IT WOULD APPEAR TO ME THAT IN THIS CASE WHERE WE DO INVOLVE A CHURCH AND WE DO INVOLVE THE SPIRITUAL LEADER OF MR. MULL, WE ARE CERTAINLY TALKING ABOUT AT LEAST A CONFIDENTIAL RELATIONSHIP. AND HIS SPIRITUAL LEADER IS CERTAINLY IN A FIDUCIARY POSITION TO DISCLOSE — LET ME POINT ONE THING FURTHER THAT MAY TIE IT TOGETHER.

EVIDENCE CODE 1032, 1032, IN THE VERBIAGE THERE REFERS TO A PENITENTION COMMUNICATION. CERTAINLY ONE BETWEEN THE SPIRITUAL LEADER AND THEIR COMMUNICANT WHERE IT SAYS A PENITENTIAL -- THAT ALMOST SOUNDS LIKE PENITENTIARY, BUT THAT IS NOT WHAT I AM SAYING.

3 4

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

MR. LEVY: MEANS A COMMUNICATION MADE IN CONFIDENCE TO A CLERGYMAN WHO IN THE COURSE OF HIS PRACTICE -- WHO IN THE COURSE OF THE PRACTICE OF HIS CHURCH IS ACCUSTOMED TO HEARING SUCH COMMUNICATIONS AND HAS A DUTY TO KEEP SUCH COMMUNICATIONS SECRET.

NOW, READ IN THE LIGHT OF THAT SECTION AND AT A PRIOR CASE, IT WOULD APPEAR TO ME WE HAVE A VERY SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE PARTIES IN THIS MATTER. MR. MULL ON THE ONE HAND AND THE CHURCH ON THE OTHER HAND.

IT ALSO APPEARS TO ME THAT WHETHER WE LABEL IT A CONFIDENTIAL RELATIONSHIP OR A FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP, WE STILL HAVE A BREACH OF THAT VERY THING. AND I THINK THE LABELING IS NOT SO IMPORTANT AS TO THE PART AND THE PLACE THAT IT FITS INTO THE WHOLE MONTAGE OF FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES THAT GAVE RISE TO THIS TRIAL IN THE FIRST PLACE.

THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM COUNSEL.

MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. FIRST ADDRESSING A
POINT THAT WAS MADE EARLIER. THERE WAS A DEMURRER IN THIS
CASE, AND THE DEMURRER WAS THAT IT WAS UNINTELLIGIBLE AND
THAT WAS OVERRULED. IT WAS NOT A DEMURRER ON THE GROUNDS
THAT WE HAVE MADE THIS.

BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS IT WOULDN'T MATTER,

THAT IT WOULD STILL PROPERLY MAKE A JUDGMENT ON THE

PLEADINGS EVEN IF THE DEMURRER HAD BEEN OVERRULED AT THAT

POINT, BUT IT HASN'T.

ONE OF THE THINGS THAT COUNSEL JUST SAID, AND I

WROTE IT DOWN, I QUOTED IT, HE SAYS THAT IN THIS CASE,

THEY -- THEY PROMISE -- WHAT THEY PROMISE TO DO, THE

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION FIDUCIARY WAS THEY SAID THEY WOULD

INTERCEDE WITH THE ALMIGHTY.

WELL, TO SAY THAT YOU HAVE BREACHED A FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP BECAUSE YOU DIDN'T INTERCEDE WITH THE ALMIGHTY, AND THAT IS THE CASE -- I WOULD SUGGEST THE KATZ CASE AND ANY NUMBER OF OTHER CASES SAY THAT IS NOT A PROPER QUESTION TO COME INTO COURT AND HAVE A LAWSUIT OVER WHETHER THERE IS A BREACH OF FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP BECAUSE YOU DIDN'T INTERCEDE WITH THE ALMIGHTY. THAT IS WHAT THE KATZ CASE IS ALL ACOUT.

THERE IS NO WAY THAT YOU COULD HAVE SUCH A CAUSE OF ACTION BECAUSE THEN EVERYBODY COULD SUE THEIR CHURCH AND SAY THEY DIDN'T REALLY INTERCEDE WITH THE ALMIGHTY FOR ME AND THEY BREACHED THEIR FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP.

WHEN COUNSEL READ THE EVIDENTIARY CODE SECTION,

I DON'T HAVE THE EVIDENCE CODE IN FRONT OF ME, I ASSUME HE

READ THE PRIVILEGE SECTION. AND OF COURSE THAT SECTION WAS

NOT DEFINING PRIEST AND PENITENT OR AS FAR AS HOW THEY

RELATE TO A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY.

THE COURT: ACTUALLY, HE DID NOT READ THAT SECTION.

DO YOU WANT TO LOOK AT MINE?

MR. KLEIN: I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

THE COURT: HE READ SECTION 1032.

MR. KLEIN: WELL, I -- MAYBE I DIDN'T MAKE MYSELF CLEAR. THEY WERE DEFINING THAT COMMUNICATION AND THEN

б

SAYING THERE IS A PRIVILEGE NOT TO HAVE TO REVEAL WHAT'S TOLD TO THAT PRIEST AS A RESULT OF SUCH CONVERSATION.

AND WHAT I WAS SAYING WAS THERE WAS NO INDICATION HERE THAT THAT SOMEHOW DEFINITION IN THIS SECTION IS -- COULD BE APPLIED TO A BREACH OF A FIDUCIARY DUTY IN DETERMINING WHETHER A PRIEST PENITENT RELATIONSHIP WOULD FIT IN THERE.

I KNOW OF NO CASE WHERE -- AND CERTAINLY NO CALIFORNIA CASE. I KNOW COUNSEL READ FROM THAT CASE AND I DON'T KNOW IF WHAT HE READ WAS DICTA. I DON'T KNOW THAT CASE -- I HAVEN'T READ IT.

BUT I KNOW OF NO CASE WHERE THE COURT SAYS THAT

IF -- THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN A PRIEST AND A PENITENT IS

SUCH THAT YOU CAN SUE THE PRIEST FOR BREACH OF FIDUCIARY

DUTY BECAUSE HE DIDN'T INTERCEDE WITH THE ALMIGHTY. AND

THAT IS WHAT COUNSEL SAID THIS WHOLE THING IS ABOUT.

THE COURT: HOW ABOUT AN ALLEGED BREACH RESULTING FROM THE PRIEST DIVULGING THE CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION?

MR. KLEIN: WELL, MY UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT A

FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP IS DOESN'T REACH THAT. IT SIMPLY

NOT -- IT MIGHT BE A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTENTIONAL

INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS. IT MIGHT BE FOR A NUMBER

OF OTHER TORIS.

BUT I KNOW OF NO TORT THAT SAYS THAT IF -
IF -- IF A PRIEST SAYS SOMETHING, THAT IT MIGHT BE LIBEL, IT

MIGHT BE A LOT OF THINGS, BUT I KNOW OF NOTHING THAT SAYS

THAT THAT IS A BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY. I KNOW OF NO

CALIFORNIA CASE. I HAVEN'T FOUND THEM.

ნ

WITH RESPECT TO, I THINK, THE KATZ CASE SAYS
YOU CAN'T TALK ABOUT A BREACH OF A FIDUCIARY DUTY IN TERMS
OF NOT DELIVERING ON RELIGIOUS TYPE PROMISES.

THE COURT: I AM GOING TO DENY THE MOTION FOR JUDGMENT AS TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION.

LET'S MOVE TO THE NEXT ONE, WHICH IS FOR EXTORTION. AND THAT IS A NEW CIVIL CAUSE OF ACTION?

MR. LEVY: LET ME RESPOND IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. I
BELIEVE THAT SOMETIMES LABELING IS DECEPTIVE. THERE MAY NOT
BE A CIVIL CAUSE OF ACTION SO LABELED FOR EXTORTION. BUT IF
THERE ISN'T ONE, THERE SURE AS HELL OUGHT TO BE. I
APOLOGIZE TO THE COURT.

MR. KLEIN AND I HAVE BEEN AT THIS FOR FIVE
YEARS AND WE HAVE DISCUSSED THESE THINGS, WE HAVE GONE OVER
THESE THINGS. THESE THINGS, CAUSES OF ACTION, IF YOU WILL,
SIR, GO TO THE VERY HEART OF A TOTALITY OF THE MATTER. IT
IS A SEQUENTIAL EVENT. IT DIDN'T HAPPEN IN ONE DAY.

I BELIEVE EXTORTION, IF THERE IS NO CAUSE OF ACTION WITH THAT SPECIFIC LABEL, THEN MAYBE IT IS TIME WE INVENTED A NEW LABEL BECAUSE IT IS DESCRIPTIVE OF A COURSE OF CONDUCT. IT IS THE CONDUCT —

THE COURT: YOU'VE GOT IT THOUGH IN OTHER CAUSES OF ACTION.

MR. LEVY: WELL, I --

THE COURT: ISN'T THIS REALLY TO SOME EXTENT

DUPLICATIVE? FOR EXAMPLE, TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY GOT MONEY

THAT THEY SHOULDN'T HAVE, IT MAY BE COGNIZABLE UNDER QUANTUM

MERUIT TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE BULLIED HIM OR

 PRESSURED HIM, THAT MIGHT BE COGNIZABLE UNDER INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS.

TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY DIVULGED INFORMATION WHICH THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE DIVULGED, THAT MIGHT BE COGNIZABLE UNDER BREACH OF FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP. THESE JUST COME IMMEDIATELY TO MIND AND I AM SURE THERE ARE OTHER EXAMPLES THAT ANY OF US COULD RECITE.

BUT IT SEEMS TO ME TWO THINGS OCCUR TO ME. ONE
IS THAT THERE IS NO CIVIL CAUSE OF ACTION FOR EXTORTION AND
TWO IS THAT IN THIS CASE, YOU REALLY HAVE THESE THINGS
CONTEMPLATED IN THESE OTHER CAUSES OF ACTION.

MR. LEVY: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR, I WILL AGREE WITH
THE COURT THAT WHILE OTHER CAUSES OF ACTION PERIPHERALLY
COVER PORTIONS OF THIS, I BELIEVE THAT THE MAIN THRUST OF A
CAUSE OF ACTION FOR EXTORTION ALMOST GOES TO AN AREA THAT
MR. KLEIN IS FAMILIAR WITH.

HE TOLD US YESTERDAY THAT HE WORKED IN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. I BELIEVE IT IS ALMOST CRIMINAL IN NATURE. AND BECAUSE IT IS ALMOST CRIMINAL IN NATURE, THAT IT OPENS AN AREA IN THE AREA OF DAMAGES THAT I BELIEVE IT IS FULLY WARRANTED IN THIS CASE BECAUSE WHAT THEY USED WAS NOT — MAYBE THE FURNISHING OF INFORMATION THAT WAS IMPROPER OR FRAUDULENT BECAUSE MAYBE THEY KNEW BETTER.

BUT WHAT THEY SUBJECTED MR. MULL TO WAS FEAR.

DELIBERATELY, INTENTIONALLY, WILLFULLY AND MALICIOUSLY TO

FEAR. HIS SPIRITUAL LEADER THREATENED HIM AND OBTAINED HIS

COMPLIANCE WITH THREATS OF NO ASCENSION, YEARS AND YEARS IN

OUT OF DARKNESS, UNEMBODIMENTS AND THESE ARE ALL THE THINGS

4 5

THAT THEY INDOCTRINATED HIM TO BELIEVE.

AND THEN THEY USED THE THINGS THAT THEY HAD
INDOCTRINATED HIM TO BELIEVE AS A WHIP OVER HIS HEAD TO GAIN
HIS COMPLIANCE. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THE INTENTIONAL
INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS GOES TO THE HEART OF THAT
DELIBERATE FEAR AND FORCE THAT WAS EMPLOYED WITH HIM.

THE COURT: ALL I CAN TELL YOU IS THAT I AM UNAWARE OF A CIVIL CAUSE OF ACTION FOR EXTORTION. IF THERE IS ONE, I'D LIKE TO BE POINTED TO IT. BUT IN THE ABSENCE OF THAT, THE MOTION IS GRANTED.

MR. LEVY: MIGHT I INQUIRE, YOUR HONOR. WERE I TO
INTERLINEATE OR SUGGEST THAT THE COURT INTERLINEATE THIS AND
WE CHANGE THE LABEL FOR THAT CAUSE OF ACTION, IS THERE A
LABEL THAT THIS COURT MIGHT SEE FIT TO MORE APPROPRIATELY
DESCRIBE THAT COURSE OF CONDUCT?

THE COURT: I HAVE A COUPLE OF REACTIONS TO YOUR INVITATION. ONE IS IF I DID HAVE A SUGGESTION TO MAKE, IT WOULD PROBABLY BE INAPPROPRIATE FOR ME TO DISCUSS IT.

SECONDLY, IT IS A LITTLE BIT LATE. WE ARE GOING TO BEGIN TO SELECT A JURY SHORTLY.

THIRDLY, I AGAIN BELIEVE THAT THE VARIOUS

CAUSES OF ACTION WHICH WE WILL GO TO TRIAL ON WILL BE

ADEQUATE. FOR EXAMPLE, IS THERE ANY EVIDENCE WHICH YOU

PROPOSE TO INTRODUCE WHICH YOU FEEL MIGHT BE IMPERILED

BECAUSE OF THE LOSS OF THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION?

MR. LEVY: LET ME RESPOND IN THIS MANNER, YOUR HONOR.

IF THE COURT WOULD NOT PROHIBIT ME IN THE USE OF THE ENGLISH

LANGUAGE FROM EXPRESSING AN ATTITUDE AT THE TIME OF THE

TRIAL THAT DESCRIBED CONDUCT AS EXTORTION, THEN I DON'T
THINK MY ARGUMENT HERE WOULD BE AS — I DON'T THINK I'D BE
AS RELUCTANT TO FOREGO THAT FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION. BECAUSE
I BELIEVE —

THE COURT: YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT CLOSING ARGUMENT?

MR. LEVY: I THINK THAT WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE

PLACE. YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: I AM RELUCTANT TO TELL YOU RIGHT NOW CATEGORICALLY YOU CAN USE THAT WORD OR YOU CANNOT WITHOUT SEEING AND HEARING THE EVIDENCE.

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ON THE VERY FEW OCCASIONS WHEN I HAVE OPENLY PREJUDGED EVIDENCE, I HAVE BEEN NOT ONLY UNCOMFORTABLE, BUT OFTENTIMES WRONG.

MR. LEVY: I HAVE TO RESPOND IN THIS WAY. IF CERTAIN CONDUCT FITS INTO THE DESCRIPTION THAT CAN ONLY BE DESCRIBED AS EXTORTIVE CONDUCT, THEN I WOULD BE LOATHE TO RELINQUISH MY FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION BECAUSE THE CONDUCT AND THE EVIDENCE I BELIEVE WILL BE DESCRIPTIVE OF WHAT IN CRIMINAL LAW IS A CHARGE OF EXTORTION.

THE OTHER CAUSES OF ACTION DO NOT GO TO THE HEART OF THE INTENT, THE PURPOSE, THE DESIGN OF THE PLAINTIFFS IN THIS INSTANCE. I CAN THINK OF NO OTHER NAME TO PUT ON WHAT IT IS THAT THEY ACTUALLY DID OTHER THAN EXTORTION. AND MY —— I BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE THAT WILL BE OFFERED BY MORE THAN ONE WITNESS WILL VERY CLEARLY PUT IT WITHIN THAT AREA.

THE COURT: I THINK WE SHOULD MOVE ON. AND I WILL

LOCK FORWARD WITH INTEREST TO THE EVIDENCE.

THE NEXT AND LAST CAUSE OF ACTION WHICH IS THE SUBJECT OF THE MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS HAS TO DO WITH INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE, THE SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION.

AND I AM TROUBLED WITH THE SAME CONCERN. IS THERE A CIVIL CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE? THAT IS ONE OUESTION.

AND THE OTHER QUESTION IS ONCE AGAIN ISN'T THIS REALLY COVERED IN SEVERAL OTHER CAUSES OF ACTION?

MR. LEVY: IF I MAY RESPOND, YOUR HONOR. WE LABELED THIS IN OUR PLEADINGS AS COMMON LAW INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE.

NOW I BELIEVE THAT BROADENS THE SCOPE. THERE MAY NOT BE IN THE FORM BOOKS THAT ARE PUBLISHED TODAY A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE.

BUT THE VERY ESSENCE OF THE WHOLE CASE WHEN YOU SUBJUGATE AN INDIVIDUAL, THERE QUANTUM MERUIT DOES NOT ADDRESS THE CIRCUMSTANCE, THE INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS. WHILE IT CERTAINLY TALKS ABOUT THE PERSON'S FEELINGS, IS NOT DESCRIPTIVE OF THE CAUSE OF ACTION.

WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT FRAUD, IT DOESN'T APPROACH

IT. WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT A BREACH OF A FIDUCIARY

RELATIONSHIP, IT DOESN'T APPROACH IT. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT

TERMINOLOGY.

THE COURT: YOU AND I ARE TALKING ABOUT TWO DIFFERENT

MR. LEVY: WELL, MAYBE I DON'T UNDERSTAND AND I WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF THE COURT WOULD ENLIGHTEN ME.

THE COURT: MY CONCERN, MR. LEVY, IS WHETHER A CIVIL

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE CAN BE MAINTAINED UNDER THE PRESENT STATE OF CALIFORNIA LAW.

MR. LEVY: I HAVE BEEN --

THE COURT: I AM NOT INTERESTED IN THE EVIDENCE IN THE CASE. I AM INTERESTED IN WHETHER CALIFORNIA LAW PROVIDES FOR SUCH A CAUSE OF ACTION IN LATE JANUARY, 1986.

MR. LEVY: THE BEST WAY I CAN RESPOND, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT I HAVE FOUND NO PROHIBITION AGAINST IT. AND THE LAW AND MOTION DEPARTMENT IN THIS BUILDING DETERMINED THAT THE PLEADINGS WERE SUFFICIENT AND SATISFACTORY TO MAINTAIN A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE.

I SEE NO PRESCRIPTION AGAINST SUCH A CAUSE OF ACTION IN ANY OF THE BOOKS, IN ANY CASE. AS A MATTER OF FACT, EVEN IN THOSE FEDERAL PROSECUTIONS WHERE IT DOES TALK ABOUT CAUSES OF ACTION FOR INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE, IT MAKES IT CLEAR THAT A PERSON HAS A RIGHT TO BE RECOMPENSED FOR THE DAMAGES SUFFERED.

I THINK WHAT IT REALLY BOILS DOWN TO IS THAT WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION AGAINST A CAUSE OF ACTION BEARING THAT TITLE, THAT -- AND THE LAW AND MOTION DEPARTMENT HERE IN THIS COURTHOUSE ACCEPTING IT, ACKNOWLEDGING IT AND SUSTAINING OR RATHER OVERRULING A DEMURRER TO THAT, I BELIEVE IT IS ADEQUATELY PLEADED. I BELIEVE THERE SHOULD BE ONE.

THE COURT: YES, SIR.

MR. KLEIN: ONCE AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THE BASIS OF THE DEMURRER WAS THAT IT WAS UNINTELLIGIBLE AND THAT IT WAS NOT A RULING ON THE ISSUE

27

THAT THIS COURT IS HEARING AT THIS TIME.

AND EVEN IF IT WERE, AS I SAID, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THIS COURT WOULD BE PRECLUDED FROM RULING THAT -- FROM GRANTING THIS MOTION EVEN IF THE LAW AND MOTION DEPARTMENT HAD DECIDED THIS VERY ISSUE WHICH, AS I SAY, I DON'T BELIEVE THEY DID. MY PAPERS REALLY -- I DON'T HAVE MUCH MORE TO ADD.

I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANY CAUSE OF ACTION IN CALIFORNIA, THERE IS NOT A SINGLE CASE THAT I HAVE BEEN ABLE TO FIND IN CALIFORNIA AND COUNSEL HASN'T FOUND A SINGLE CASE THAT SAYS YOU CAN HAVE THIS CAUSE OF ACTION. AND I BELIEVE THE THINGS THAT HE IS DESCRIBING AND AS YOUR HONOR SAID HE HAS GOT QUANTUM MERIT, HE HAS GOT INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS. I BELIEVE THAT THOSE COVER WHAT HE IS TRYING TO GET AT IN HIS INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE.

AND MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE LAW IS THAT IF YOU DON'T HAVE A CAUSE OF ACTION IN CALIFORNIA FOR INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE AND IF YOU HAVE EXISTING CAUSES OF ACTION SUCH AS QUANTUM MERIT AND INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS WHICH GET TO THOSE SAME ISSUES, THAT THE COURT THEN WOULD BE CORRECT IN GRANTING A MOTION TO -- IN GRANTING THIS MOTION.

THE COURT: THE MOTION IS GRANTED.

MR. LEVY: MAY I INQUIRE, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. LEVY: IF AT THE TIME OF THE TRIAL THE EVIDENCE INDICATES THAT THE COURSE OF CONDUCT FITS INTO THIS CATEGORY, THAT THE COURT WILL AT LEAST CONSIDER A MOTION FOR

AMENDMENT OF THE PLEADINGS OR AN AMENDMENT WITH REGARD TO REINCLUDING THAT CAUSE OF ACTION?

THE REASON I ASK THAT, YOUR HONOR, IS IN THE CASE OF EX PARTE WILSON, WHICH IS RECORDED AT 114 U.S. 417 AND 5 SUPREME COURT 935, INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE WAS DEFINED AS THE CONDITION OF ONE WHO IS COMPELLED BY FORCE, COERCION OR IMPRISONMENT AND AGAINST HIS WILL TO LABOR FOR ANOTHER WHETHER HE IS PAID OR NOT.

I DON'T SEE HOW THE OTHER CAUSES OF ACTION
COVER ALL OF THE PARTICULARS WITHIN WHAT THE SUPREME
COURT --

THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE NATURE OF THAT CASE?

MR. LEVY: THAT WAS A CASE WHERE THERE WAS -- IF I

RECALL IT CORRECTLY, THERE WAS AN INDIVIDUAL THAT WAS PUT IN
A POSITION WHERE EVEN THOUGH THEY KNEW THEY COULD LEAVE, IT

WAS WORSE TO LEAVE THAN TO STAY. AND BECAUSE THEY WERE

PROVIDED WITH ROOM AND BOARD, THAT WAS NOT ADEQUATE

COMPENSATION. AND THE COURT'S ATTITUDE WAS IT DIDN'T

MATTER --

THE COURT: HOW DID THE CASE REACH THE COURT?

MR. LEVY: I -- I DO NOT HAVE THAT, YOUR HONOR. I AM

NOT ABLE TO TELL YOU.

THE COURT: WAS IT A CRIMINAL CASE, A CIVIL CASE?

MR. LEVY: IT WAS A CRIMINAL CASE.

THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT I THOUGHT. I DON'T RECALL

IT OFFHAND, BUT THAT IS WHAT I THOUGHT. ONCE AGAIN, YOU AND

I ARE APPROACHING THIS FROM VERY DIFFERENT POINTS OF VIEW.

AND I WILL SAY THIS ONE MORE TIME AND THEN WE ARE GOING TO

MOVE ON TO SOMETHING ELSE.

YOU HAVE MORE CAUSES OF ACTION THAN YOU PERHAPS NEED. BUT THAT IS BESIDE THE POINT. MY CONCERN IS NOT WHETHER YOU CAN PROVE IT. AT THE DEMURRER STAGE OR THE JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS STAGE, WHETHER ONE CAN PROVE OR ONE CANNOT PROVE HIS CASE IS BESIDE THE POINT.

MY CONCERN IS NOT WITH WHAT THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW OR WHAT THE EVIDENCE WILL BE, BUT RATHER MY CONCERN IS WHETHER AT THIS POINT THE CAUSE OF ACTION AS PLED CAN STAND.

AND IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING -- AND IF ANYBODY
HAS INFORMATION TO THE CONTRARY, PLEASE TELL ME AND THAT IS
WHY I AM EXPRESSING MYSELF IN THIS FASHION -- IT IS MY
UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS CAUSE OF ACTION JUST DOESN'T EXIST
UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW AT THIS TIME. PERIOD.

IF MY UNDERSTANDING IS CORRECT, THEN THAT IS
THE END OF THE DISCUSSION. I DO NOT HAVE, NOR DO I SEEK TO
HAVE, LEGISLATIVE POWERS. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE
IS NO SUCH CAUSE OF ACTION UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW FOR CIVIL
LITIGATION. AND ONCE AGAIN, IF THAT UNDERSTANDING IS
CORRECT, THEN THAT IS THE END OF THE DISCUSSION.

THAT IS THE APPROACH THAT I TAKE TO THIS

MOTION. I AM NOT INTERESTED AT THIS JUNCTURE WITH EVIDENCE.

I LOOK ONLY AT THE PLEADINGS AND I DETERMINE AT THIS TIME AS

BEST I CAN WHETHER THE PLEADINGS CAN STAND IN THEIR PRESENT

STATE.

ANYTHING MORE THAT I MIGHT SAY WOULD BE REPETITION, AND I HAVE REPEATED MYSELF ENOUGH AND I STOP.

MR. MIDDLETON: MAY I INQUIRE, YOUR HONOR?

1.8

4 5

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. MIDDLETON: THE QUESTION THAT I HAVE IS THE COURT SAYING THAT THE ONLY CAUSE OF ACTIONS THAT EXIST ARE THOSE THAT HAVE BEEN LEGISLATED TO EXIST?

THE COURT: LEGISLATED OR PROVIDED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA. OR I SUPPOSE BY THE COURTS OF APPEAL ALSO.

MR. MIDDLETON: ALL RIGHT. SO THE CONCEPT IN EQUITY DOES ALLOW FOR AN EXPANSION IN CAUSES OF ACTIONS. AND THAT PERHAPS WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS IF THIS MATTER WENT UP ON APPEAL, IT COULD BE RULED THAT THERE IS SUCH CAUSE OF ACTION. BUT AS OF THE PRESENT TIME, SINCE WE HAVE NO CASE DATA, YOU ARE RELUCTANT TO ALLOW IT TO GO FORTH.

THE COURT: I HAVE NO HOOK UPON WHICH TO HANG THIS CAUSE OF ACTION IN CALIFORNIA LAW.

MR. MIDDLETON: I AM THINKING IN TERMS OF EQUITY, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: I HAVE NO CASE AUTHORITY OR LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY FOR THIS CAUSE OF ACTION. THAT IS ALL I AM SAYING.

MR. MIDDLETON: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR. I UNDERSTAND.

THE COURT: IF SOMEBODY LATER ON WISHES TO ENUNCIATE THAT HENCE FORTH IN THIS STATE THERE SHALL SE AVAILABLE A CIVIL CAUSE OF ACTION FOR EXTORTION OR INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE, SO BE IT.

MR. MIDDLETON: YOU ARE SAYING IT IS BEYOND YOUR -THE COURT: IF THE COURT OF APPEAL, THE SUPREME COURT

OR THE LEGISLATURE WISHES TO DO THAT, I AM AN OBEDIENT SERVANT. AND I HAVE NO FEELING ABOUT IT ONE WAY OR THE OTHER RIGHT NOW. I HAVE NO STRONG PERSONAL ATTITUDES CONCERNING IT. I HAVEN'T REACHED THAT POINT. BUT WHERE MY THOUGHTS AND MY ACTION TODAY BEGIN AND END IS AS I HAVE DESCRIBED.

MR. MIDDLETON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: LET'S MOVE ON.

THE DEFENDANT HAS FILED A MOTION SEEKING TO PRECLUDE ANY EVIDENCE REGARDING THE CONTENT OR VALIDITY OF THE CHURCH'S RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, PRACTICES AND TEACHINGS.

WE DISCUSSED THIS YESTERDAY. I THINK THAT IN SUBSTANCE, WE REACHED A CONSENSUS YESTERDAY. I HOPE SO. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF MOTIONS. I WANT TO MOVE ALONG. WE ARE NOT GOING TO LITIGATE THE VALIDITY OF THE BELIEFS OF THE CHURCH OR ITS ADHERENCE OR THE VALIDITY OF THEIR PRACTICES AND TEACHINGS.

INESCAPABLY WE ARE GOING TO BE TALKING TO SOME EXTENT ABOUT THE CONTENT OF THE CHURCH'S RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, PRACTICES AND TEACHINGS BECAUSE THAT INFORMATION IS GOING TO COME INTO EVIDENCE AND BE RELEVANT AS IT CONCERNS MR. MULL. AS TO THE VALIDITY, WE ARE NOT GOING TO LITIGATE THE VALIDITY OF THESE TEACHINGS, BELIEFS OR PRACTICES.

DOES THAT DESCRIBE SUBSTANTIALLY THE CONCENSUS WE REACHED?

MR. LEVY: THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING, YOUR HONOR.

MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CAN WE GO ON TO THE NEXT ITEM?

4 5

MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ANY EVIDENCE REGARDING THE CHURCH'S ATTITUDE AND BELIEFS WITH RESPECT TO OTHER MAINSTREAM RELIGIONS OR RACIAL ETHNIC GROUPS. I ASKED MR. KLEIN YESTERDAY TO DISCUSS JUST WHAT HE CONTEMPLATED IN MAKING THAT REQUEST.

DO YOU WANT TO ELUCIDATE THAT NOW?

MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. WHAT I HAD QUOTED IN
THE PAPERS THAT I GAVE TO THE COURT WERE QUESTIONS ASKED AT
THE DEPOSITION. SUCH AS THE QUESTION THAT --

THE COURT: LET ME INTERRUPT YOU FOR A SECOND. HAVE
YOU ALL DISCUSSED THIS MATTER AND RESOLVED IT? WHAT WAS A
MATTER OF CONCERN YESTERDAY, TO GET RIGHT TO THE POINT, WAS
THAT CERTAIN QUESTIONS WERE ASKED AND ANSWERED IN
DEPOSITIONS THAT CONCERNED MR. KLEIN. HE DIDN'T WANT THAT
PARTICULAR INFORMATION TO COME UP IN THE TRIAL.

WE ALL UNDERSTAND THAT OFTENTIMES QUESTIONS ARE ASKED AND ANSWERED IN DEPOSITIONS WHICH NOBODY IS GOING TO BRING UP AT THE TRIAL. MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT I ASKED YOU ALL TO TALK ABOUT THIS AND RESOLVE IT IF YOU COULD. HAVE YOU?

MR. KLEIN: I DON'T THINK THAT YOUR HONOR ASKED US

MY CONCERN IS SIMPLY THAT IF YOU ASK A QUESTION AT A TRIAL, "ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOUR CHURCH IS AGAINST BLACKS," OR SOMETHING, EVEN ASKING THE QUESTION IS EXTREMELY PREJUDICIAL. AND THAT IS THE BASIS OF MY MOTION. THAT A QUESTION LIKE THAT SHOULDN'T BE ASKED BECAUSE EVEN TO MAKE

ME TO OBJECT TO IT, THE DAMAGE HAS BEEN DONE.

MR. LEVY: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. WE DID COME TO A CONSENSUS. THE CONSENSUS WAS WE UNDERSTOOD THE QUESTIONS ASKED AT DEPOSITIONS WOULD NOT NECESSARILY BE ALLOWED IN COURT. AND THE CONSENSUS WAS THAT AS LONG AS RESTRAINT AND INTELLIGENCE WAS USED, THAT WE WOULD NOT PASS THOSE PERIPHERAL, YOU KNOW, GO BEYOND THE PERIPHERAL QUESTIONS THAT CAME IN TO AN AREA.

AND WE AGREED THAT WE WERE CERTAINLY ENTITLED TO KNOW ABOUT THE STRUCTURE OF THE ORGANIZATION AND WE COULD NOT BELABOR THE POINT WITH REGARD TO THE CHURCH'S ATTITUDES. WE AGREED THAT WE WOULD NOT EXCEED WHAT THE COURT HAD SUGGESTED WAS REASONABLE OR WOULD BE REASONABLE.

THE COURT: LET ME ADD ONE OTHER STRONG SUGGESTION.

AND THAT IS THAT BEFORE ANY OF YOU GETS INTO THE QUESTIONS

WHETHER -- WHAT WERE THE ATTITUDES AND BELIEFS OF THIS

CHURCH ARE WITH REGARD TO OTHER RELIGIONS OR OTHER RACIAL

GROUPS OR OTHER ETHNIC GROUPS, THAT WE HAVE A MEETING AND WE

TALK ABOUT IT FIRST.

MR. LEVY: I WOULD BE MORE THAN AGREEABLE TO THAT.

THE COURT: AND PLEASE COUNSEL YOUR WITNESSES

ACCORDINGLY.

MR. LEVY: WE WILL DO THAT.

THE COURT: I DON'T WANT IT BLURTED OUT. THAT IF,

AND I ASSUME THIS WOULD BE UP TO THE PLAINTIFF, IF YOU REACH
A POINT WHERE YOU WANT TO GET INTO THAT KIND OF TESTIMONY,

DON'T DO IT UNTIL WE ALL HAVE A MEETING TOGETHER FIRST.

MR. LEVY: THAT IS AGREEABLE, YOUR HONOR.

MR. KLEIN: THAT IS THE ESSENCE OF MY REQUEST, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WHAT?

MR. KLEIN: I SAID THAT IS THE ESSENCE OF MY REQUEST.
THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

THE LAST PART OF THIS PARTICULAR MOTION SEEKS

TO PRECLUDE THE USE OF THE WORD "CULT" IN CONNECTION WITH OR

REFERENCE TO THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT.

WE DISCUSSED THIS YESTERDAY AND IT IS MY
UNDERSTANDING THAT WE DID REACH A CONSENSUS SUBSTANTIALLY
THAT THE WORD "CULT" WOULD NOT BE DWELT UPON. THAT IT MIGHT
OCCUR THAT CERTAIN WITNESSES WILL USE THE WORD. IT WOULD
NOT BE UNDULY REPEATED.

MR. LEVY: THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING ALSO, YOUR HONOR.

IF I MAY ADD ONE THING TO THAT. MR. MIDDLETON BROUGHT UP

YESTERDAY ALSO AN ACRONYM THAT HAS BEEN APPLIED, C.U.T. FOR

CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT. THAT MANY, MANY PEOPLE,

INCLUDING PEOPLE STILL AFFILIATED WITH THAT ORGANIZATION,

HAVE USED THEMSELVES AS COUNSEL FOR THAT ORGANIZATION.

AND IF THAT WERE TO BE USED, THAT WE WOULD UNDERSTAND ALSO IT WOULD NOT BE OVERDONE. BUT IT MIGHT BE UTILIZED AND IF IT WERE, THE WITNESS OR WHOEVER WOULD NOT BE UNDULY CHASTISED.

MR. KLEIN: I WILL SAY THAT WHEN WE HAVE WRITTEN

PAPERS, WE HAVE WRITTEN C.U.T. RATHER THAN WRITE CHURCH

UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT. I THINK IT WOULD BE REASONABLE TO

REFER TO THE CHURCH AS CHURCH. IF SOMEBODY SAYS CUT, I AM

NOT GOING TO JUMP UP AND YELL.

BUT I THINK WITNESSES SHOULD CALL IT CHURCH AS OPPOSED TO C.U.T., WHICH A JURY MIGHT NOT KNOW IF IT WAS A DEROGATORY TERM OR WHAT.

THE COURT: OR C.U.T. THEY CAN BE TOLD WHAT C.U.T. STANDS FOR.

MR. KLEIN: I HAVE NO STRONG FEELINGS TO THAT BUT -THE COURT: THEY CAN BE TOLD THESE THINGS.

MR. KLEIN: SURE. I HAVE NO PROBLEM.

THE COURT: THE NEXT MOTION IS ONE THAT I DID ASK YOU TO DISCUSS AND THAT HAS TO DO WITH THE MOTION OF C.U.T. THAT MULL NOT USE ANY DOCUMENTS NOT PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY BUT REQUESTED IN DISCOVERY. WE TALKED ABOUT THAT YESTERDAY AND APPARENTLY SOME OF THE DOCUMENTS ACTUALLY CAME FROM THE CHURCH.

MR. KLEIN: THAT WAS COUNSEL'S MISREPRESENTATION. IT
IS NOT A REPRESENTATION THAT I AGREE WITH. AND I HAVE
CHECKED AND I DO NOT AGREE WITH IT.

THE COURT: HAVE YOU ALL TALKED ABOUT THIS?

MR. LEVY: WE HAVE, YOUR HONOR, BRIEFLY.

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, WHAT I WOULD REQUEST IS THIS.

I DO NOT INTEND TO MAKE A MOUNTAIN OUT OF A MOLEHILL.

PERHAPS CAN WE HAVE TILL — TILL TUESDAY TO TALK ABOUT THIS?

I BELIEVE WE CAN RESOLVE IT. I DON'T THINK THERE WOULD BE A

PROBLEM, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS IT WITH COUNSEL. WE

REALLY DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE SINCE YESTERDAY.

THE COURT: I WOULD BE DISAPPOINTED IF YOU COULDN'T RESOLVE IT.

MR. KLEIN: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR.

1 2

б

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THE CHURCH HAS MADE A REQUEST THAT A LIMITED PART OF VOIR DIRE BE CONDUCTED IN CAMERA. AND WE TALKED ABOUT THAT YESTERDAY. AND THE RESULT OF OUR DISCUSSION IS THAT WE ARE GOING TO PROCEED WITH THE VOIR DIRE AND I AM GOING TO DEFER RULING ON THIS.

AND IF, AS AND WHEN IT APPEARS HELPFUL OR

DESIRABLE TO CONDUCT A LIMITED PART OF VOIR DIRE IN CAMERA,

WE WILL DO IT. AND I WILL CONSIDER IT THEN. I AM NOT GOING

TO RULE IT OUT AS A POSSIBILITY.

I DID EXPRESS TO COUNSEL MY HESITATION IN DOING THAT. BUT I ALSO SAID THAT I WOULD KEEP AN OPEN MIND AND WE WILL SEE HOW THE VOIR DIRE PROCEEDS. I BELIEVE THAT THAT DESCRIBES SUBSTANTIALLY WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT YESTERDAY.

MR. LEVY: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

MR. KLEIN: IT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. I WONDER IF I MIGHT JUST TAKE A MOMENT TO PUT ON THE RECORD WHAT MY CONCERN IS TO MAKE IT CLEAR IN THAT AREA.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. KLEIN: THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF ARTICLES IN
THE HERALD EXAMINER THAT APPEARED FOR ONE WEEK RUNNING.
THEY APPEARED -- I KNOW AT LEAST ONE OF THEM WAS ON THE
FIRST PAGE AND THEY WERE LONG ARTICLES. THEY WERE ARTICLES
THAT TOOK A NUMBER OF PAGES.

THERE ALSO WAS A TV INVESTIGATIVE REPORT ON K.C.B.S. CHANNEL 2 WHICH RAN A FULL WEEK WHICH, DURING THEIR SIX O'CLOCK NEWS, THERE WAS A FIVE MINUTE OR SO SEGMENT EACH DAY FOR A FULL WEEK. AND BOTH THE ARTICLE AND THE C.B.S.

NEWS BROADCAST WERE EXPOSES, QUOTE, EXPOSES ON THIS CHURCH, CHURCH UNIVERSAL.

AMONG THE PEOPLE WHO WERE INTERVIEWED WERE MR.

MULL, WHO IS THE -- WELL, NOW THE PLAINTIFF IN THIS CASE.

ANOTHER PERSON WHO WAS INTERVIEWED WAS MR. KING, A WITNESS

IN THIS CASE. ANOTHER PERSON INTERVIEWED WAS RABBI ROBBINS,

WHO IS GOING TO BE ONE OF THE EXPERTS IN THIS CASE. I WOULD

SUGGEST IT IS MY BELIEF THAT THE ARTICLES WERE VERY

PREJUDICIAL TO MY CLIENT.

MY CONCERN IS WHEN SOMEBODY COMES IN AND I ASK.

THEM IF THEY'VE READ ANY ARTICLES AND IF SOMEBODY SAYS YES,

THEY HAVE, OR IF THEY HAVE SEEN ANY TV PROGRAMS ABOUT THIS

CHURCH AND THEY SAY YES, THEY HAVE, AND THEN I SAY DO YOU

REMEMBER ANYTHING FROM THAT ARTICLE OR FROM THE TV PROGRAM

AND THEY SAY YES, THEY HAVE, THAT -- THAT I FEEL I AM IN A

TERRIBLE POSITION TO THEN HAVE TO ASK THEM WHAT THEY

REMEMBER IN FRONT OF THE WHOLE PANEL.

I MAY END UP POISONING AN ENTIRE PANEL BY
HEARING WHAT THEY REMEMBER. AND THAT IS REALLY THE ESSENCE
OF WHAT I SEE IS MY PREDICAMENT AND WHY I FELT ONCE THEY
SAY, "YES, I DO REMEMBER THE ARTICLE," THAT AT THAT POINT IT
MIGHT BE BETTER TO HAVE AN IN CAMERA QUESTIONING AS TO JUST
WHAT THEY REMEMBER AS BEING ON THAT ARTICLE OR ON THAT TV
PROGRAM.

THE COURT: AT THAT POINT WE MIGHT.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: IF WE REACH THAT POINT. I AM HESITANT
ABOUT DOING ANYTHING THAT WILL -- WOULD DRAW GREAT ATTENTION

TO ONE OR SEVERAL JURORS.

MR. KLEIN: I APPRECIATE THAT.

THE COURT: THAT CAN HAVE AN UNDESIRED EFFECT.

DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD CONCERNING THIS?

MR. LEVY: NO, YOUR HONOR, NOT REALLY. I THINK WE DISCUSSED IT CLEARLY YESTERDAY. I THINK WE CAME TO OUR CONCLUSION. MR. KLEIN GOT HIS REMARKS ON THE RECORD FOR WHATEVER PURPOSE. I DON'T SEE THAT ANYTHING COULD BE ADDED TO IT.

THE COURT: WE WILL PROCEED WITH THE VOIR DIRE AND SEE HOW WE GET ALONG. WE WILL PROCEED CAUTIOUSLY WITH THE VOIR DIRE.

C.U.T. HAS MADE A REQUEST THAT I EXPLORE

CERTAIN MATTERS WITH THE JURY. AND I SAID TO COUNSEL THAT I

WOULD TAKE UP WITH PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHETHER ANY OF THEM OR

ANY MEMBER OF THEIR FAMILY AND CLOSE FRIENDS HAD EVER

WITHDRAWN EITHER VOLUNTARILY OR INVOLUNTARILY FROM ANY

RELIGIOUS GROUP OR ORGANIZATION. AND I WOULD FURTHER ASK

THEM IF THEY ARE CURRENTLY A MEMBER OF ANY ORGANIZATION

WHICH IS A RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATION.

THE OTHER REQUEST OF C.U.T. IS ONE THAT I AM NOT GOING TO GO ALONG WITH. AND THAT IS THAT I ASK EACH MEMBER OF THE PANEL WHAT IS HIS OR HER RELIGION. I DON'T THINK THAT THAT IS NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE.

I THINK THAT IT IS APPROPRIATE TO ASK THE KINDS

OF QUESTIONS WHICH I MENTIONED A MOMENT AGO AND I THINK IT

IS APPROPRIATE TO ASK PROSPECTIVE JURORS QUESTIONS

CONCERNING THEIR ATTITUDES ABOUT RELIGION.

IF THEY ARE ACTIVE. IF THEY ARE, HOW DO THEY FEEL ABOUT PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT OR HOW DO THEY FEEL ABOUT PEOPLE WHO BELONG TO A RELIGION THAT IS NOT A MAINSTREAM RELIGION. AND IF A PERSON DECLARES HIMSELF OR HERSELF NOT TO BE AN ACTIVE MEMBER OR PRESENTLY A MEMBER OF A RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATION, I THINK IT IS OKAY TO ASK THAT PERSON WHAT HIS OR HER ATTITUDES ARE CONCERNING PEOPLE WHO DO HAPPEN TO BE ACTIVE IN A CHURCH OF SOME KIND.

AND I THINK IT IS ALSO APPROPRIATE TO ASK SUCH A PERSON WHAT HIS OR HER ATTITUDES ARE ABOUT OTHERS WHO MIGHT BELONG TO A NONMAINSTREAM RELIGION. THAT KIND OF QUESTION IS ALL RIGHT. I MYSELF DO NOT PROPOSE TO ASK ANY MEMBER OF THE PANEL WHAT ACTUALLY IS HIS OR HER RELIGION.

> ANYBODY FEEL THE NEED TO BE HEARD ABOUT THIS? MR. KLEIN: NO, YOUR HONOR.

MR. LEVY: NO. I THINK YOU HAVE COVERED ALL BASES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: I TRY.

HAPPILY, THAT EXHAUSTS MY LIST OF MOTIONS. IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE WE NEED TO DO THIS AFTERNOON?

MR. KLEIN: I KNOW OF NOTHING, YOUR HONOR.

MR. LEVY: I CAN ADD NOTHING ELSE.

THE COURT: TALK ABOUT THAT MOTION CONCERNING DOCUMENTS THAT MAY NOT HAVE BEEN PRODUCED DURING DISCOVERY. I'VE GOT TO BELIEVE THAT YOU ALL CAN MAKE SOME PROGRESS WITH REGARD TO THAT. WE NEEDN'T GET INTO IT.

MR. KLEIN: OKAY.

THE COURT: BUT THAT I THINK YOU CAN WORK OUT.

27

1 2

3

4

5

б

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

OKAY. WE ARE IN RECESS. MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. MIDDLETON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. (AT 3:16 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1986, AT 9:15 A.M.) G 

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1986 \* 1 1:46 P.M. 2 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE DEPARTMENT 50 3 (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) 5 THE CLERK: JURORS, RAISE YOUR RIGHT HANDS TO BE 6 7 SWORN. (THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS WERE SWORN 8 COLLECTIVELY.) 9 (VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS.) 10 THE COURT: IN VIEW OF THE TIME, I THINK WE ARE GOING 11 TO STOP NOW FOR THE EVENING. WE ARE GOING TO RESUME TOMORROW MORNING AT 9:15. EVERYBODY BE HERE READY TO 13 PROCEED AT 9:15. AS I MENTIONED TO YOU EARLIER, WE CANNOT START 15 UNTIL YOU ARE ALL HERE. SO PLEASE BE HERE ON TIME READY TO 16 PROCEED. PLEASE MAKE A NOTE OF WHERE WE ARE SO THAT YOU CAN 17 FIND THIS COURTROOM CONVENIENTLY. 13 THIS BUILDING IS CONSTRUCTED ON A HILL AS YOU 19 ARE NOW AWARE. AND IT IS SOMETIMES A DIFFICULT BUILDING IN 20 WHICH TO FIND ONE'S WAY AROUND IF ONE SIN'T FAMILIAR WITH 21 IT. SO I SUGGEST THAT YOU MAKE A NOTE OF WHERE YOU ARE SO 22 THAT YOU CAN FIND US CONVENIENTLY TOMORROW. 23 ONCE AGAIN, YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO GO TO THE 24 JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM. YOU ARE REQUIRED TO BE HERE AT THE 25 DESIGNATED TIMES. 26 DO NOT DISCUSS ANY ASPECT OF THIS CASE WITH 27 ANYBODY, EVEN AMONG YOURSELVES. THIS MUST NOT BE DONE UNTIL 28

THE END OF THIS TRIAL WHEN THE JURY COMMENCES DELIBERATIONS.

AND DO NOT HAVE ANY COMMUNICATION OF ANY SORT WITH ANYBODY

HAVING ANYTHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE.

I COVERED THAT A LITTLE WHILE AGO. NO COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE PARTIES, THE ATTORNEYS, THE WITNESSES OR THE PERSONNEL OF THIS DEPARTMENT, INCLUDING MYSELF. IF WE PASS EACH OTHER, WE CAN SAY GOOD MORNING OR HELLO, BUT THAT IS WHERE IT BEGINS AND ENDS.

HAVE A VERY PLEASANT EVENING AND WE WILL RESUME TOMORROW AT 9:15.

A VOICE: YOUR HONOR, COULD I HAVE THE COURT TELEPHONE NUMBER?

THE COURT ATTENDANT: I WILL GIVE IT TO YOU IN THE HALLWAY, SIR.

(AT 4:16 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1986, AT 9:15 A.M.)

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1986 \* 9:40 A.M.

DEPARTMENT 50

HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE

(APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)

THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.

I AM INFORMED THAT MS. BROWN, WHO WAS JUROR NO.

5, SUSTAINED A SERIOUS INJURY YESTERDAY AND SHE IS UNABLE TO

CONTINUE TO BE WITH US FOR THAT REASON. I UNDERSTAND THAT

THE INJURY WAS QUITE SERIOUS. SHE FRACTURED HER LEG I AM

TOLD.

PLEASE CALL ANOTHER JUROR.

(VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS CONTINUED.)

THE COURT: WE WILL TAKE OUR LUNCHEON RECESS AT THIS
TIME AND WE WILL RESUME AT 1:30. EVERYBODY BE BACK HERE AT
1:30 READY TO PROCEED. REMEMBER THE COURT'S ADMONITIONS.

(AT 12:02 P.M., A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1986 \* 1 1:43 P.M. HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE DEPARTMENT 50 3 (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) 5 THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED. б (VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS CONTINUED.) 7 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN 8 OPEN COURT OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE 9 PROSPECTIVE JURORS:) 10 THE COURT: BEFORE YOU LEAVE, AND I DON'T CARE IF IT 11 IS ON THE RECORD OR NOT. LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT ALL 12 PROSPECTIVE JURORS ARE OUT. 13 I MADE A MISTAKE AND I TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR 14 IT. I DIDN'T MAKE CLEAR, AND I PROBABLY SHOULD HAVE, THAT 15 EACH TIME WE CALL UP A NEW JUROR, IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO GO 15 THROUGH EACH AND EVERY QUESTION THAT YOU'VE ASKED DURING THE 17 PAST COUPLE OF DAYS. I SHOULD HAVE MADE IT CLEAR. 18 I MADE ANOTHER MISTAKE. AND THAT WAS AGREEING 19 THAT EACH OF YOU SHOULD HAVE EIGHT PEREMPTORIES INSTEAD OF 20 SIX. THAT WAS A DUMB MISTAKE I MADE. AND I WILL 21 ACKNOWLEDGE IT. 22 MR. LEYY: MAY I COMMENT, YOUR HONOR? 23 THE COURT: HAD I TO DO THAT AGAIN, I WOULDN'T DO IT. 24 I WILL TELL YOU THIS THOUGH SO THAT THERE IS NO 25 MISUNDERSTANDING. THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT THAT ANY OF YOU 26 USE ALL OF THE PEREMPTORIES TO WHICH YOU ARE NOW ENTITLED. 27 IT IS NOT NECESSARY. 28

 AND THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT, AND IN FACT IT IS USUALLY COUNTERPRODUCTIVE, EVERY TIME A NEW JUROR MARCHES UP TO THE JURY BOX TO PROCEED TO ASK EACH AND ALL OF THE QUESTIONS THAT YOU HAVE ASKED OF ANYBODY ELSE DURING THE PRECEDING SEVERAL DAYS.

I CERTAINLY EXPECTED THAT WE WOULD HAVE A JURY
BY NOW AND PROBABLY ALTERNATES AS WELL. AT THIS RATE, AND
IF THIS IS ANY CLUE AS TO WHAT WE ARE IN STORE FOR, YOU WILL
BE HERE STILL BY LABOR DAY AT THE RATE WE'RE GOING.

THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH BEING SELECTIVE

ABOUT QUESTIONS YOU ASK OF POTENTIAL JURORS RATHER THAN JUST

AUTOMATICALLY GOING THROUGH YOUR ENTIRE LAUNDRY LIST. WE

ARE NOT EVEN CLOSE TO HAVING 12 JURORS YET, MUCH LESS THE

ALTERNATES. AND AT THIS RATE, IT IS GOING TO TAKE A LONG

TIME.

AND IF THIS IS ANY CLUE AS TO THE FACILITY WITH WHICH THE TRIAL WILL PROGRESS ONCE WE GET TO IT, THEN I AM REALLY WORRIED. AND I DON'T WORRY VERY MUCH. I AM NOT A BIG WORRIER USUALLY. NOBODY IS BEING STAMPEDED. YOU KNOW THAT AND I KNOW THAT. BUT MY GOODNESS GRACIOUS, YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DO BETTER.

I REALIZE THAT THERE ARE SOME ISSUES AND THAT
THERE ARE SOME SUBJECT MATTER IN THIS LAWSUIT WHICH IS
UNUSUAL. I AM NOT INSENSITIVE TO IT. AND SOME MATTERS THAT
HAVE TO BE DISCUSSED WITH SOME DELICACY. AND I AM NOT
INSENSITIVE TO THAT.

BUT IT SEEMS TO ME WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THESE THINGS NOW FOR SEVERAL DAYS, AND WE SHOULD BE FARTHER

ALONG THAN WE ARE AND WE SHOULD MOVE MORE EFFECTIVELY AND MORE EFFICIENTLY, OR ELSE YOU WILL BE HERE BEYOND LABOR DAY. IF THAT HAPPENS, YOU WILL LOSE WHAT JURORS YOU GET BECAUSE THAT IS A REAL DANGER. IF YOU GO SIGNIFICANTLY BEYOND YOUR TIME ESTIMATE, YOU RISK LOSING A LOT OF PEOPLE FOR JUSTIFIED REASONS.

ARE DOING AND I RESPECT THAT. BUT PLEASE DO WHAT YOU CAN TO MOVE ALONG. WE HAVE GOTTEN OVER THE INITIAL WALKING ON EGGSHELLS RELATIVE TO SOME SENSITIVE SUBJECT MATTER AND I THINK WE CAN MOVE ALONG A LITTLE MORE EXPEDITIOUSLY. AND I HOPE WHEN YOU FINALLY GET AROUND TO HAVING WITNESSES TESTIFY, THAT WE WILL MOVE ALONG WITH SOME DIRECTION.

OKAY. HAVE A GOOD EVENING AND SEE YOU IN THE MORNING AT 9:15.

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, MIGHT I ASK ONE QUESTION,
PLEASE? IF IN THE UNLIKELY EVENT THAT WE WERE TO FINISH
TOMORROW PICKING THIS JURY, SAY IN THE MIDDLE OF THE DAY,
AND I DON'T KNOW, MAYBE WHAT YOUR HONOR HAS SAID WILL SPEED
THIS UP --

THE COURT: LET ME ANTICIPATE YOU. I SHOULD HAVE MENTIONED IT MYSELF. THANK YOU. LET ME ANTICIPATE YOU. I WILL MAKE A PROMISE TO YOU, AND I KEEP MY PROMISES TO YOU, NO MATTER WHAT TIME YOU FINISH TOMORROW, ONCE WE HAVE 12 JURORS AND 4 ALTERNATES, YOU ARE FINISHED FOR THE DAY.

YOU CAN START WITH OPENING STATEMENTS -- THESE ARE NOT OPENING ARGUMENTS, GENTLEMEN -- OPENING STATEMENTS

MONDAY MORNING. SO YOU DON'T HAVE TO OCCUPY THE AFTERNOON
TO AVOID HAVING THAT HAPPEN. IF YOU WANT TO GET OFF AN HOUR
OR TWO HOURS OR THREE HOURS EARLY, THIS IS HOW YOU DO IT.

MR. KLEIN: YOU HAVE ANTICIPATED MY QUESTION.

THE COURT: YOU GET 12 JURORS AND 4 ALTERNATES SWORN IN TOMORROW, AS SOON AS THAT IS DONE, WE SAY GOOD-BYE, SEE YOU MONDAY. THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

MR. KLEIN: IT SURE DOES.

THE COURT: I AM GLAD YOU BROUGHT IT UP.

(AT 4:30 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1986, AT 9:15 A.M.)

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1987; 9:45A.M. HON. ALFRED MARGOLIS, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 50 APPEARANCES:

(SEE TITLE PAGE.)

and the second of the second o

(BRIDGET F. GEORGE, OFFICIAL REPORTER.)

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN CHAMBERS:)

THE COURT: THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT THAT COUNSEL AND THE COURT HAVE MET THIS MORNING IN CHAMBERS.

THERE ARE SEVERAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM JURORS THAT WE HAVE DISCUSSED. FIRST OF ALL, A MS. LOVERIA -- AND I BELIEVE IT'S L-O-V-E-R-I-A -- GAVE A NOTETO OUR COURT ATTENDANT, MR. RICO, IN WHICH SHE STATED THAT SHE IS AN EMPLOYEE OF THE BANK OF AMERICA, AND THE BANK OF AMERICA WILL NOT PAY HER BEYOND HER TENTH DAY OF JURY DUTY. AND INDEED THIS IS HER ELEVENTH DAY, AND SHE'S WORRIED ABOUT BEING TIED HERE FOR SEVERAL MORE WEEKS.

COUNSEL AND THE COURT AGREED THAT THE COURT ATTENDANT SHOULD UNOBTRUSIVELY EXCUSE MS. LOVERIA AND TELL HER TO GO BACK TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM.

SO FAR IS THAT CORRECT, GENTLEMEN?

MR. LEVY: THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. KLEIN: THAT'S CORRECT.

THE COURT: NEXT.

WE DISCUSSED THE SITUATION OF MRS. PARALLIS, WHO IS ALREADY SITTING IN THE JURY BOX AND WHO FOR EACH OF THE

20 21

18

19

22

23 24

25

26

27

 LAST SEVERAL DAYS HAS RENEWED HER DESIRES TO BE EXCUSED.

SHE IS IN A SOMEWHAT ADVANCED STAGE OF PREGNANCY, AND SHE
HAS MADE A POINT OF THE FACT THAT SHE HAS A DOCTOR'S

APPOINTMENT COMING UP LATER IN FEBRUARY, I BELIEVE.

AT ANY RATE, IN VIEW OF HER CONDITION, IT IS

MY UNDERSTANDING THAT COUNSEL ARE AGREEABLE THAT SHE SHOULD

BE EXCUSED, AND THAT I SHOULD DO THAT THIS MORNING; IS THAT

CORRECT?

MR. LEVY: THAT IS CORRECT.

MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONDR.

THE COURT: NEXT.

SITTING IN THE BOX IS MRS. DOYLE, A VERY NICE ELDERLY LADY, WHO TALKED YESTERDAY ABOUT HER EYE CONDITION IN WHICH SHE APPARENTLY HAS ABNORMAL PRESSURE WHICH SOMETIMES PRODUCES HEADACHES, AND SOMETIMES INTERFERES WITH HER VISION.

IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES, COUNSEL MAVE INDICATED TO ME THEIR AGREEMENT THAT SHE SHOULD BE EXCUSED; IS THAT CORRECT, GENTLEMEN?

MR. LEVY: YES, SIR.

MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY.

LASTLY, A PERSON, WHOSE NAME I TRULY DO NOT KNOW BUT I AM INFORMED HAS NOT YET TAKEN A SEAT IN THE JURY BOX, GAVE A NOT TO OUR COURT ATTENDANT THIS MORNING WHICH HE GAVE TO ME THIS MORNING, AND WHICH I HAVE SHOWN TO COUNSEL PROMPTLY UPON MY RECEIVING IT.

THE NOTE, WHICH WILL BE GIVEN TO OUR CLERK WHO WILL MAINTAIN IT WITH THE RECORDS OF THIS CASE, SEEMS TO

INQUIRE WHETHER THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT IS A SATANIC CHURCH OR RELIGION OR NOT.

IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT COUNSEL ARE UNAWARE OF THE IDENTITY OF THE WRITER OF THAT INQUIRY. I SHOULD MENTION THAT THE MESSAGE IS UNSIGNED. WE HAVE DISCUSSED, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THAT AT THIS POINT WE WILL DO NOTHING ABOUT IT, BUT IF AND WHEN THAT PERSON NAMED IS CALLED, THE COURT ATTENDANT WILL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY ME AND I SHALL IMMEDIATELY CALL A RECESS, NO MATTER WHAT THE TIMING.

AT THAT POINT, I WILL MEET WITH COUNSEL AND WE WILL TALK FURTHER ABOUT THIS MATTER, BUT RIGHT NOW THERE'S NOTHING THAT NEED BE DONE ABOUT IT.

IS THAT A FAIR STATEMENT OF OUR UNDERSTANDING?

MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. LEVY: IT'S COMPLETE AND CORRECT.

THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE AT THIS TIME THAT NEEDS TO BE MEMORIALIZED?

MR. KLEIN: NO.

THE COURT: OKAY.

(THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN CAMERA WERE

CONCLUDED, AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS

WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE

OF THE JURY.)

THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:

COUNSEL AND I HAVE HAD A TALK ABOUT A FEW MATTERS.

WE HAVE GIVEN FURTHER THOUGHT TO THE PROBLEM THAT MRS. DOYLE

 DISCUSSED YESTERDAY ABOUT HER EYESIGHT, AND IN VIEW OF THAT CIRCUMSTANCE SHE IS EXCUSED.

MS. PARALLIS IS APPROACHING THE DAY WHEN HER CHILD WILL BE BORN, AND IN VIEW OF THAT TIMING WE HAVE DECIDED SHE SHOULD BE EXCUSED.

MR. DUNCAN CANNOT REMAIN WITH US FOR THE REMAINDER OF THIS TRIAL. A MATTER CAME UP THAT PREVENTS HIM FROM STAYING WITH US.

(CONTINUED VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS.)

THE COURT: IN VIEW OF THE HOUR, WE ARE GOING TO TAKE OUR LUNCHEON RECESS RIGHT NOW.

WE WILL RESUME AT 1:30. EVERYBODY BE HERE AT 1:30 READY TO PROCEED.

REMEMBER THE COURT'S ADMONITIONS.

(AT 12:00 NOON, A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN CHAMBERS:)

THE COURT: FIRST OF ALL, AS THE RECORD REFLECTS,

MR. KLEIN EXERCISED TWO CONSECUTIVE PEPEMPTORY CHALLENGES THIS

MORNING.

THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT THAT THE PEASON FOR THAT WAS AN AGREEMENT AMONG ALL COUNSEL THAT JUROR NUMBER TWO WOULD BE EXCUSED.

IT APPEARED TO US THAT THE MOST CONVENIENT WAY
TO ACCOMPLISH THAT WOULD BE THAT THE NEXT PERSON WHO HAD
A PEREMPTORY WOULD EXERCISE IT, GETTING JUROR NUMBER TWO OUT
OF THE COURTROOM, AND THAT THAT EXERCISE OF THAT PEREMPTORY
WOULD NOT BE CHARGED TO THAT PARTY.

SO, THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED; IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. LEVY: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY.

(THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN CAMERA WERE

CONCLUDED.)

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1986 *
2	2:06 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT 50 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE
4	(APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
5	(CELESTE HALE, OFFICIAL REPORTER.)
6	
7	(VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS CONTINUED.)
8	(AT 4:00 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN
9	UNTIL MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1986, AT
10	9:15 A.M.)
11	
12	
13	
14	·
15	
16	
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
23	

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1986 \* 1 9:47 A.M. 2 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE 3 DEPARTMENT 50 (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) 4 (KATHLEEN H. ADAMS, OFFICIAL REPORTER.) 5 б (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN 7 CHAMBERS:) 3 THE COURT: NUMBER 7, NUMBER 11 AND ONE PERSON FROM 9 THE AUDIENCE WHO PRODUCED A LETTER FROM HER EMPLOYER, WHICH 10 IS HUGHES. 11 THE CLERK: HER NAME WAS DEBORAH CODY, C-O-D-Y. 12 THE COURT: THANK YOU. AND I BELIEVE THERE IS AN 13 UNDERSTANDING THAT THOSE THREE PERSONS MIGHT BE EXCUSED; IS 14 15 THAT CORRECT? MR. MIDDLETON: YES. 16 MR. LEVY: IT IS TWO OF THE THREE. WE HAVE NOT 17 SEATED A NUMBER 7 AS YET. I THINK THE CHAIR IS VACANT. 18 THE COURT: 7 WAS EXCUSED AT THE END OF BUSINESS LAST 19 THURSDAY. 20 MR. LEVY: THAT'S CORRECT. 21 THE COURT: I MERELY WANTED TO MEMORIALIZE THAT WE 22 HAD DISCUSSED THAT AND THAT THERE WAS AGREEMENT AT THE TIME 23 THAT THE PERSON THEN OCCUPYING SEAT 7 MIGHT BE EXCUSED. IS 24 THAT CORRECT? 25 MR. LEVY: THAT IS CORRECT. 26 MR. MIDDLETON: YOU ARE CORRECT. 27 MR. KLEIN: THAT'S CORRECT. 28

THE COURT: IN FACT IT IS MY RECOLLECTION AT THE 1 2 OPENING OF BUSINESS THIS MORNING WE WILL FILL SEAT NUMBER 7, AND NOW WE WILL FILL SEAT NUMBER 11 ALSO AND WE WILL 3 4 PROCEED. 5 MR. KLEIN: THAT'S CORRECT. MR. MIDDLETON: THANK YOU. 6 MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. 7 (THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN 3 COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 9 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. 10 (VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS CONTINUED.) 11 THE COURT: NEXT PEREMPTORY IS FOR THE PLAINTIFF. 12 MR. LEVY: WE WOULD PASS OUR PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE AT 13 14 THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS THAT YOU WILL 15 ACCEPT THE JURY AS PRESENTLY CONSTITUTED? 15 MR. LEVY: NO, YOUR HONOR. I AM SAYING WE PASS OUR 17 PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE AT THIS POINT. I CHOOSE NOT TO UTILIZE 18 MY NEXT PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE. 20 THE COURT: AND IF THE DEFENDANT PASSES? MR. LEVY: WE WILL -- THEN I WILL BE OUT OF LUCK, 21 WON'T I? 22 23 THE COURT: I JUST WANT TO BE SURE WE UNDERSTAND. MR. LEVY: WE CERTAINLY DO, YOUR HONOR. 24 THE COURT: SO BE IT. 25 MR. KLEIN: MAY WE HAVE ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, YOUR 26 27 HONOR? (COUNSEL AND HIS CLIENTS CONFER SOTTO VOCE.) 28

MR. KLEIN: WE WILL PASS, YOUR HONOR. WE WILL PASS PEREMPTORIES AND THE JURY IS ACCEPTABLE.

(THE JURY PANEL WAS SWORN BY THE CLERK.)

THE COURT: AT THIS TIME WE ARE GOING TO SELECT FOUR ALTERNATES. WE CERTAINLY HOPE THAT NOTHING WILL OCCUR TO CAUSE ANY OF OUR JURORS TO LEAVE US BEFORE THE END OF THIS TRIAL, BUT WE HAVE NO GUARANTEE ABOUT THAT.

WE ARE GOING TO SELECT FOUR ALTERNATE JURORS WHOSE DUTY IT WILL BE TO SEE AND HEAR THE ENTIRE TRIAL, AND TO BE PREPARED IF THE NEED ARISES TO TAKE A SEAT AMONG THE 12, AND TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DELIBERATIONS AND HELP TO DECIDE THIS CASE.

THE ROLE OF AN ALTERNATE JUROR IS SOMEWHAT LIKE A PINCH HITTER OR RELIEF PITCHER. ONE IS NOT SURE THAT HE OR SHE WILL SEE ACTION, BUT MUST BE PREPARED AND READY. OKAY.

(VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE ALTERNATE JURORS.) THE COURT: WE WILL RESUME AT 1:30. REMEMBER THE COURT'S ADMONITIONS. EVERYBODY BE HERE AT 1:30 READY TO PROCEED.

> (AT 11:57 A.M., A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)

26

27

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1986 \* 1 1:47 P.M. HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE DEPARTMENT 50 3 (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) 1 5 THE COURT: I AM SORRY I WAS DELAYED. I HAD A 6 MEETING THIS NOON THAT RELATED TO COURT BUSINESS AND IT WAS 7 NOT EXPECTED TO TAKE AS LONG AS IT DID. BUT LIKE SO MANY 8 THINGS, IT TOOK LONGER AND I AM SORRY ABOUT THAT. 9 PLEASE PROCEED. 10 (VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE ALTERNATE 11 JURORS CONTINUED.) 12 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, WE WILL ALSO PASS FOR 13 PEREMPTORY (SIC). THE ALTERNATES ARE ACCEPTABLE TO US. 14 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 15 MR. LEVY: SAME, YOUR HONOR. THE ALTERNATES ARE 16 ACCEPTABLE TO US. 17 THE COURT: VERY WELL. 18 (THE FOUR ALTERNATE JURORS WERE 19 SWORN BY THE CLERK.) 20 THE COURT: I WANT TO THANK VERY MUCH THOSE OF YOU 21 WHO HAVE BEEN HERE WHOSE NAMES WERE NOT CALLED. I THINK 22 THERE ARE TWO PERSONS NOW. YOU CAME VERY CLOSE TO -- WHEN 23 WE STARTED, WE OBVIOUSLY HAD NO WAY OF KNOWING PRECISELY HOW 24 MANY PEOPLE WE WOULD NEED BEFORE WE COULD COMPLETE THIS 25 PROCESS. WE CAME QUITE CLOSE THIS TIME. 26 I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. I WANT TO 27 THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENDANCE. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR 28

ATTENTION. AND AT THIS TIME, YOU CAN RETURN TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM. MR. LEVY: OPENING STATEMENT? THE COURT: PLEASE. б 

1
2
3
4
5
б
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1986 3:33 P.M.

DEPARTMENT NO. 50 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE

(APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)

MR. LEVY: WELL, FINALLY, GOOD AFTERNOON, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.

THIS IS THE TIME FOR MY OPENING STATEMENT.

ATTORNEYS AND PUBLIC SPEAKERS ARE TAUGHT TO TELL YOU WHAT

THEY ARE GOING TO SAY, THEN THEY ARE TAUGHT TO FINALLY SAY

IT AND THEN THEY ARE TAUGHT TO TELL YOU WHAT IT IS THAT THEY

SAID. SO THEY GET THREE CHANCES AT YOU.

WELL, A TRIAL IS SOMEWHAT LIKE THAT. THE

OPENING STATEMENT IS THE FIRST OPPORTUNITY. IT IS LIKE A

ROAD MAP. IT TELLS YOU WHAT YOU CAN EXPECT TO HAPPEN DURING

THE COURSE OF THIS TRIAL. SECOND, THE EVIDENCE IS PRESENTED

AND THE EXHIBITS ARE SHOWN TO YOU. AND FINALLY, WE GET OUR

THIRD CHANCE IN CLOSING ARGUMENT. AND THAT IS OUR CHANCE TO

TELL YOU WHAT EVERYTHING MEANS OR WHAT WE BELIEVE IT MEANS.

THERE IS ONE THING I'D LIKE TO REMIND YOU ABOUT AT THIS POINT. AND THAT IS THAT WHAT I SAY AND THE OTHER ATTORNEYS SAY IS NOT EVIDENCE.

ALL OF THE EVENTS THAT BROUGHT US TOGETHER FOR THIS TRIAL STARTED WAY BACK AROUND 1974. AND HEREAFTER, EVERYTHING I TELL YOU IS WHAT I BELIEVE THAT THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW. MY CLIENT, MR. GREGORY MULL, WHO'S BOTH A

RELIGIOUS AND A SPIRITUAL MAN, FIRST BECAME AWARE OF THIS CHURCH AND THESE DEFENDANTS AROUND THAT TIME, BACK IN 1974.

GREGORY WAS RECRUITED. HE DID NOT SEEK THEM
OUT. HE WAS SOUGHT AFTER. ONCE THEY HAD AROUSED HIS
CURIOSITY AND CAUGHT HIS INTEREST, THEY REELED HIM IN LIKE A
HOOKED FISH.

GREGORY'S A RELIGIOUS PERSON AND HE HAD ALWAYS SOUGHT ANSWERS TO HIS SPIRITUAL QUESTS. AND HE WAS CONDITIONED, ONCE HE BECAME ACQUAINTED WITH THIS CHURCH, TO DEPEND UPON ELIZABETH PROPHET TO SUPPLY THOSE ANSWERS.

GREGORY'S INDOCTRINATION BY THIS CHURCH TOOK

PLACE AT A SCHOOL CALLED SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, A SCHOOL THAT IS

RUN BY THESE DEFENDANTS. HE ATTENDED THAT SCHOOL, HE BECAME

CONDITIONED TO A NEW BELIEF SYSTEM. HE BECAME ENSNARED BY

THE DELIBERATE MANIPULATIONS AND CONTROL OF THESE

DEFENDANTS.

AFTER THAT PERIOD, THEY WEREN'T SATISFIED WITH GREGORY'S FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND PARTICIPATION --

THE COURT: I AM SORRY TO INTERRUPT YOU. I REALLY AM. PLEASE APPROACH THE BENCH FOR JUST ONE SECOND.

(A CONFERENCE WAS HELD AT THE BENCH WHICH WAS NOT REPORTED.)

MR. LEVY: HENCEFORTH, I AM GOING TO REFER TO GREGORY AS MR. MULL. THAT IS THE NAME IN THE COMPLAINT AND THAT IS THE WAY I WILL REFER TO HIM. SOMETIMES AS THIS GENTLEMAN.

AND AS I TOLD YOU, HE ATTENDED THEIR SCHOOL.

HE BECAME INDOCTRINATED BY THEM. HE ACCEPTED THEIR BELIEF

SYSTEM. AND THEN HE BECAME MANIPULATED AND CONTROLLED BY

THESE DEFENDANTS.

IT WAS AFTER THAT TIME THAT THEY WEREN'T

SATISFIED WITH GREGORY'S PARTICIPATION AND HIS FINANCIAL

SUPPORT — MR. MULL'S PARTICIPATION AND HIS FINANCIAL

SUPPORT. THEN THEY SOUGHT HIS PROFESSIONAL PARTICIPATION AS

WELL.

IT WAS SOMETIME AFTER THE DEFENDANTS GOT A
TASTE OF THE FRINGE BENEFITS OF MR. MULL'S PROFESSION THAT
THEY DECIDED THAT THEY WOULD NEGOTIATE, AND THEY DID
NEGOTIATE, AND ARRANGE FOR HIS PARTICIPATION NOT ONLY
FINANCIALLY, BUT PROFESSIONALLY.

MR. MULL AT THAT TIME WAS A STATE LICENSED BUILDING DESIGNER. SINCE THAT TIME, THAT TITLE HAS BEEN CHANGED TO ARCHITECT. BUILDING DESIGNER AND AN ARCHITECT DO EXACTLY THE SAME THINGS. THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CHANGED THE DESIGNATION.

I BELIEVE THAT THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW JUST HOW
THE SEDUCTION WAS CARRIED OUT OVER A PERIOD OF SEVERAL YEARS
AND HOW MR. MULL BECAME MORE AND MORE ENSNARED IN THEIR WEB
OF DECEIT. MR. MULL CAME TO BE DOMINATED AND CONTROLLED BY
THESE PEOPLE AND THEIR CHURCH.

THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT FOLLOWERS OF THIS CHURCH MAKE CONFESSION AND MAKE THAT CONFESSION IN THE FORM OF CLEARANCE LETTERS, WRITTEN CONFESSIONS. MR. MULL WAS TOLD THAT THESE CONFESSIONS WERE ABSOLUTELY PRIVATE. THEY WERE PRIVATE BETWEEN HIMSELF, BETWEEN THE SPIRITUAL LEADER AND BETWEEN GOD.

NEVERTHELESS, MATERIAL FROM GREGORY'S -- I DID

IT AGAIN -- FROM MR. MULL'S CONFESSION WAS MADE PUBLIC BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, BY ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET AND BY CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT. MATERIAL THAT MR. MULL BELIEVED TO BE SACROSANCT.

I BELIEVE THAT THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT AS MR. MULL CAME MORE AND MORE UNDER THE DOMINATION AND CONTROL OF THESE PEOPLE AND THEIR CHURCH, IT CAUSED MR. MULL TO GIVE UP HIS BUSINESS IN SAN FRANCISCO, AND TO MOVE TO THEIR CHURCH HEADQUARTERS IN CALABASAS AND TO WORK FOR THEM UNDER CONDITIONS THAT EVENTUALLY BECAME INTOLERABLE.

THAT THESE PEOPLE WERE ONLY AFTER TWO THINGS FROM MR. MULL: HIS PROFESSIONAL SKILLS AND HIS MONEY. AND THAT THEY CONDITIONED HIM TO GIVE BOTH UNDER THE THREAT OF SPIRITUAL DAMNATION.

TO GET GREG -- TO GET MR. MULL TO CLOSE DOWN HIS BUSINESS AND TO COME TO THEIR HEADQUARTERS, THEY PROMISED TO PAY HIS EXPENSES BOTH IN SAN FRANCISCO AND AT CAMELOT. THAT IS WHAT THEY CALL THEIR CHURCH HEADQUARTERS IN CALABASAS.

I BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT ONCE AT CAMELOT, THE RULES WERE CHANGED. AND MR. MULL WAS SUBJECTED TO CONTINUAL AND ONGOING PRESSURE. AND THAT PRESSURE LASTED OVER QUITE A NUMBER OF MONTHS. AND FINALLY, MR. MULL BECAME UNDULY STRESSED, AND WAS MADE TO FEAR DAMNATION AND THE LOSS OF HIS ASCENSION AND, IN THE TERMS OF THAT CHURCH, BEING CAST INTO OUTER DARKNESS.

THE EXPENSE MONEY THAT WAS PAID TO MR. MULL OVER SOME EIGHT MONTHS WAS STOPPED AND THEN HE WAS COERCED

INTO SIGNING A PROMISSORY NOTE IN AN AMOUNT APPROXIMATELY EQUIVALENT TO WHAT HE HAD ALREADY BEEN PAID. HE WAS TOLD, "IF YOU DON'T SIGN THE NOTE, WE WILL NOT CONTINUE PAYING YOUR EXPENSES."

GREGORY SIGNED THAT NOTE. AND THEN HE SIGNED A SECOND NOTE. THERE IS NO QUARREL THAT HE SIGNED THE NOTES.

I BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT GREGORY SIGNED THOSE NOTES.

MY CONTINUITY IS A LITTLE BIT OFF. I HAVE
THOUGHT OF THIS MAN AND REFERRED TO HIM AS A CLIENT AND AN
INDIVIDUAL AND I THINK OF HIM BY HIS FIRST NAME. IN COURT
PROCEDURE, IT IS PROPER IF I CALL HIM MR. MULL. SO IF I
SOMETIMES STUMBLE OVER HIS FIRST NAME AND MR. MULL, YOU WILL
BEAR WITH ME.

I BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT MR. MULL SIGNED THOSE NOTES ONLY AFTER HE WAS PRESSURED, FRIGHTENED AND FINALLY CONTROLLED BY THESE DEFENDANTS. FURTHER, THAT MR. MULL WAS SO COMPLETELY CONTROLLED BY THESE DEFENDANTS, THAT THEY THEN STOPPED PAYING HIS EXPENSES BUT THEY WERE ABLE TO KEEP HIM AT THEIR WORK PROJECTS FOR ANOTHER SIX OR SEVEN MONTHS.

AND ALL THAT WHILE, THEY WERE TRYING TO GET ALL OF HIS WORLDLY POSSESSIONS: HIS HOME AND HIS MONEY. THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT WHEN MR. MULL, OUT OF THE LOVE AND OBLIGATION OF A PARENT, WOULD NOT TURN OVER WHAT MONEY HE HAD AND WOULD NOT PUT HIS PROPERTY IN THE NAME OF THE CHURCH, WOULD NOT FINANCIALLY DESERT HIS DAUGHTER, THE CHURCH KICKED HIM OUT.

2

3

5

б

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BUT THAT EVEN AFTER BEING KICKED OUT, THAT THE PSYCHOLOGICAL DEPENDENCE ON HIS SPIRITUAL LEADER WAS SO GREAT, HIS CONDITIONING SO COMPLETE, THAT WHEN HE WAS SUMMONED TO A MEETING WITH HER SEVERAL WEEKS LATER, HE ATTENDED BECAUSE HE BELIEVED THAT SHE WOULD MAKE EVERYTHING RIGHT, THAT HER UNDERLINGS HAD CAUSED THE PROBLEMS. HE BELIEVED SHE WOULD BE THERE AND SHE WOULD STRAIGHTEN EVERYTHING OUT.

THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT THAT WAS NOT TO BE. MR. MULL WENT TO THE MEETING. WAS TOLD NOT ONCE, BUT A NUMBER OF TIMES, THAT THIS CHURCH HAD NO INTENTION OF SUING HIM. HE WASN'T GOING TO BE SUED. AND IN HOPES OF RESOLVING THINGS, MR. MULL PARTED WITH THE LAST MONEY HE HAD IN THE BANK, SOME \$5,500. AT THE END OF WHICH TIME HE DIDN'T HAVE A PENNY IN THE BANK AND HE FOUND SUSTENANCE OUT OF A DUMPSTER BEHIND VONS.

THAT EVEN AFTER THIS, HE WAS HARASSED, HE WAS PUBLICLY CONFRONTED WITH MATERIAL FROM HIS CONFESSION. WAS WARNED NOT TO TELL ABOUT HIS EXPERIENCES AND HE WAS FINALLY SUED.

THE EVIDENCE WILL FURTHER SHOW THAT AS A RESULT OF THESE EXPERIENCES, HE REQUIRED AND HE RECEIVED EXIT COUNSELING, THERAPY AND MEDICAL ASSISTANCE. THAT AFTER YEARS OF TRYING TO FREE HIMSELF FROM THE PSYCHOLOGICAL HOLD OF THIS CHURCH AND THESE PEOPLE, HE BEGAN TO SUCCEED. HE BEGAN TO COPE. HE BEGAN TO SPEAK OUT ABOUT HIS EXPERIENCE.

AND IT WAS AT THAT TIME THIS LADY LABELED HIM THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY AND THE SERPENT. AND HIS BIBLICAL

TRAINING AND HIS RELIGIOUS TRAINING TAUGHT HIM TO BELIEVE THAT THE BEAST AND THE SERPENT WERE EVIL TO BE SOUGHT OUT AND DESTROYED.

AND AS A RESULT OF THAT, HIS FEAR FOR HIS OWN SAFETY AND THE SAFETY OF HIS CHILD STRESSED HIM SO GREATLY THAT IT TRIGGERED A STROKE-LIKE INCIDENT. AND THAT INCIDENT REQUIRED HOSPITALIZATION, MEDICAL TREATMENT, SPEECH THERAPY AND PHYSICAL THERAPY SO THAT HE COULD LEARN TO SPEAK AND TO WALK AGAIN.

MR. MULL HAD A REOCCURRENCE ABOUT A YEAR LATER OF THAT STROKE-LIKE INCIDENT. AND AT THAT TIME, HIS ILLNESS, MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS, WAS DIAGNOSED. THE FIRST EPISODE WAS LABELED FIBROMUSCULAR HYPERPLASIA. AND HIS MEDICAL DOCTOR AT THAT TIME CONCLUDED THAT THE EXCESSIVE STRESS THAT GREGORY — THAT MR. MULL HAD EXPERIENCED MAY WELL HAVE BEEN THE PRECIPITATING CAUSE OF THAT ILLNESS.

THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW WHAT WAS DONE TO MR.
MULL, WHAT WAS DONE INTENTIONALLY, WILLFULLY, WITHOUT ANY
REGARD TO HIS SAFETY OR HIS WELL-BEING.

AFTER MR. MULL WAS FINALLY MEDICALLY DIAGNOSED AS HAVING M.S., MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS, PSYCHOLOGICALLY HE WAS DIAGNOSED AS HAVING SUFFERED A SEVERE PSYCHIC TRAUMA.

BOTH THE DOCTOR, THE M.D., AND HIS THERAPIST,
THE M.F.C.C., MY WIFE THAT I TOLD YOU ABOUT, WHO COUNSELED
WITH GREGORY, WILL TESTIFY ABOUT THE CAUSES AND THE OUTLOOK
FOR MR. MULL. EXPERTS WHO ARE WELL-QUALIFIED TO EXPLAIN
WILL TELL YOU THE HOW AND THE WHY OF HIS SUBJUGATION BY THIS
CHURCH AND THESE PEOPLE.

I BELIEVE THAT THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT MR.

MULL WAS ASSAULTED, THAT HIS SPIRITUAL LEADER VIOLATED THE

SANCTITY OF THE CONFESSIONAL, THAT HE WAS DEFRAUDED, MADE TO

SUFFER FROM THE INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

AND, FINALLY, HE WAS NOT PAID FOR MONTHS OF WORK, PAYMENT

THAT HE HAD BEEN PROMISED.

THAT BECAUSE OF ALL OF THE FOREGOING, MR. MULL HAS SUFFERED IRREPARABLE DAMAGE. AT THE CLOSE OF THE EVIDENTIARY PORTION OF THIS TRIAL, I WILL TALK TO YOU AGAIN ABOUT DAMAGES, ABOUT FAIRNESS AND ABOUT EQUITY.

YOU WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SEE IF I HAVE
DONE --- NOT ONLY I, BUT WE -- THAT WE'VE DONE WHAT WE SAY WE
WOULD DO. AND THAT IS PRESENT EVIDENCE TO YOU THAT IT ALL
HAPPENED THE WAY I TOLD YOU IT HAPPENED.

TILL THEN, I KNOW YOU WILL LISTEN WITH OPENNESS AND FAIRNESS AND WILL RESERVE YOUR JUDGMENT UNTIL ALL THE EVIDENCE IS IN. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

,

Ī

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

2

MR. KLEIN.

3 4

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MAY IT PLEASE THIS HONORABLE COURT, MEMBERS OF THE JURY.

5 5

PERMITTED TO GIVE AN OPENING STATEMENT TO THE JURY. THE

MR. LEVY SAID AT THIS TIME COUNSEL ARE

7

PURPOSE OF AN OPENING STATEMENT IS LIKE THE TABLE OF

8

CONTENTS OF A BOOK. WE TELL YOU WHAT YOU CAN EXPECT TO HEAR

9

DURING THE COURSE OF THIS TRIAL BY WAY OF EVIDENCE.

MR. LEVY SAID SOMETHING IN HIS OPENING 10

11

STATEMENT AND I AM GOING TO EMPHASIZE IT BECAUSE IT IS VERY,

12

VERY IMPORTANT. PROBABLY ONE OF THE FEW THINGS WE WILL

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AGREE ABOUT IN THIS CASE. AND THAT IS WHAT MR. LEVY SAID DURING HIS OPENING STATEMENT AND WHAT I AM GOING TO SAY DURING MY

OPENING STATEMENT IS NOT EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE WILL COME FROM THE WITNESSES WHO ARE SWORN AND TESTIFY. IT WILL COME FROM THE EXHIBITS THAT HIS HONOR ALLOWS TO BE MARKED IN EVIDENCE.

THE CASE THAT WILL BE PRESENTED TO YOU BY THE CHURCH IS REALLY QUITE SIMPLE. YOU WILL LEARN FROM THE EVIDENCE THAT MR. MULL WAS A BUILDING DESIGNER LIVING IN SAN FRANCISCO. SOMETIME AROUND 1974, HE BECAME AFFILIATED WITH THE CHURCH.

YOU WILL LEARN THAT ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS BEGINNING IN 1974, MR. MULL MADE IT KNOWN TO THE CHURCH THAT HE WAS EAGER AND DESIRED TO DO ARCHITECTURAL WORK FOR THE CHUR CH .

YOU WILL LEARN THAT IN LATE 1978, IN ACCORDANCE WITH MR. MULL'S REQUEST TO THE CHURCH, THAT A CHURCH OFFICIAL CALLED HIM UP AND ASKED HIM IF HE WOULD BE WILLING TO COME TO THE CALABASAS PROPERTY KNOWN AS CAMELOT AND LIVE AND DO ARCHITECTURAL WORK FOR THE CHURCH.

THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT MR. MULL ACCEPTED THAT INVITATION, AND IN EARLY JANUARY OF 1979 CAME FROM SAN FRANCISCO TO LIVE AND WORK AT CAMELOT.

YOU WILL LEARN THAT CAMELOT CONSISTS OF 218

ACRES IN CALABASAS, CALIFORNIA. IT CONTAINS BUILDINGS WHERE

SOME CHURCH MEMBERS WORK AND A SMALL MINORITY OF CHURCH

MEMBERS ACTUALLY LIVE ON THE CALABASAS PROPERTY.

YOU WILL LEARN IT HAS A KITCHEN, A CAFETERIA,
CHAPEL, HAS FACILITY WHERE ADULT RELIGIOUS EDUCATIONAL
COURSES ARE OFFERED AND EVEN HAS RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, A
SWIMMING POOL, TENNIS COURT, BASKETBALL COURT.

THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT IN EARLY JANUARY OF 1979, MR. MULL BEGAN TO LIVE AT CAMELOT AND WORK AT CAMELOT. AND YOU WILL LEARN THAT FROM JANUARY OF 1979 THROUGH OCTOBER OF 1979, MR. MULL BORROWED \$38,000 FROM THE CHURCH TO PAY FOR HIS EXPENSES.

AND YOU WILL LEARN THAT HE ACKNOWLEDGED ON MANY OCCASIONS THAT THE MONEY HE WAS BEING GIVEN WAS A LOAN. AND THAT HE WOULD REPAY THAT LOAN WHEN HE WAS ABLE TO SELL HIS HOUSE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

AND YOU WILL HEAR EVIDENCE THAT IN SEPTEMBER OF 1979, WHEN THE LOANS TO MR. MULL HAD ALREADY REACHED \$28,000, WHICH WAS MUCH MORE THAN THE CHURCH HAD EVER

EXPECTED TO BE LOANING HIM, THAT WHEN THE LOANS REACHED THAT NUMBER AND HE WANTED ANOTHER \$5,000, THAT THE CHURCH OFFICIAL SAID TO MR. MULL, "WE WILL LOAN YOU THIS ADDITIONAL \$5,000. BUT WITH THE KIND OF MONEY WE HAVE ALREADY LENT YOU AND THE TIME THAT HAS ALREADY PASSED, IT IS GOING TO BE NECESSARY FOR YOU TO SIGN SOME KIND OF FORMAL DOCUMENT, A PROMISSORY NOTE."

THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THEY SIMPLY TOLD HIM,
"WE CAN'T JUST KEEP LENDING THIS MONEY ON YOUR SAY SO THAT
YOU ARE GOING TO PAY IT BACK."

AND YOU WILL LEARN THAT MR. MULL RECEIVED THAT EXTRA \$5,000, AND DID SIGN THE PROMISSORY NOTE THAT HE WOULD PAY BACK THE 28 THOUSAND PLUS THE ADDITIONAL 5, WHICH IS \$33,000.

AND YOU WILL LEARN THAT IN THAT PROMISSORY

NOTE, IT SAID THAT MR. MULL WOULD PAY BACK THAT MONEY TO THE

CHURCH ON OR BEFORE MARCH 31ST, 1981. AND THE REASON THAT

DATE WAS PICKED WAS BECAUSE MR. MULL HAD ASSURED THE CHURCH

THAT BY THAT DATE, HE WOULD BE ABLE TO SELL THE SAN

FRANCISCO PROPERTY. HE WOULD THEN HAVE THE MONEY TO PAY

THEM BACK.

AND YOU WILL ALSO LEARN FROM THE EVIDENCE ON THE VERY DAY, SEPTEMBER 25TH, 1979, THAT MR. MULL SIGNED THAT PROMISSORY NOTE FOR ABOUT \$33,000, ON THAT DAY, HE KNEW THAT HE HAD NO INTENTION TO EVER PAY THAT MONEY BACK TO THE CHURCH.

THE EVIDENCE WILL BE INTRODUCED THAT

APPROXIMATELY ONE MONTH LATER, IN OCTOBER OF 1979, MR. MULL

CAME BACK TO THE CHURCH AND SAID, "NOW I NEED ANOTHER \$4,500."

THEY SAID, "OKAY. WE WILL LEND YOU THE \$4,500.
BUT ONCE AGAIN, WE'D LIKE YOU TO SIGN A PROMISSORY NOTE."

YOU WILL LEARN MR. MULL SIGNED THE SECOND PROMISSORY NOTE FOR THE \$4,500 AND THAT ONCE AGAIN HE HAD ABSOLUTELY NO INTENTION OF PAYING THAT MONEY ON THE DAY HE SIGNED THE NOTE.

THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT IN APRIL OF 1980,

MR. MULL FINALLY SOLD HIS SAN FRANCISCO PROPERTY FOR

\$199,000. AND YOU WILL LEARN THAT DESPITE THE FACT THAT HE

SOLD HIS HOME, THAT HE HAD ASSURED THE CHURCH HE'D PAY THE

MONEY BACK WHEN HE SOLD THE HOME, HE SIGNED THE PROMISSORY

NOTES, THAT HE DIDN'T GIVE THEM ANY OF THE MONEY WHEN HE

RECEIVED THE MONEY FOR SELLING HIS HOME.

YOU WILL HEAR EVIDENCE THAT THE RELATIONS
BETWEEN THE CHURCH AND MR. MULL GREW STRAINED AFTER IT
BECAME CLEAR HE WASN'T GOING TO PAY THEM BACK THE MONEY THAT
HE HAD BORROWED FROM THEM. AND YOU WILL HEAR EVIDENCE THAT
IN MAY OF 1979, CHURCH OFFICIALS MET WITH MR. MULL AND TOLD
HIM THEY THOUGHT IT WOULD BE BEST IF HE LEFT CAMELOT. YOU
WILL HEAR EVIDENCE THAT HE DID LEAVE CAMELOT.

AND THAT IN JUNE OF 1980, HE HAD A MEETING WITH CHURCH OFFICIALS. AND AT THAT TIME, HE AGREED TO GIVE THEM BACK \$5,000 AND TOLD THEM HE'D THINK ABOUT WHETHER HE WAS GOING TO GIVE THEM ANY MORE OF THE MONEY THAT HE OWED THEM.

BUT YOU WILL LEARN FROM THE EVIDENCE THAT OTHER THAN THAT \$5,000, MR. MULL NEVER REPAID ANY OF THE OTHER

. 

MONEY HE HAD BORROWED FROM THE CHURCH DESPITE NUMEROUS PROMISES, REPRESENTATIONS AND TWO PROMISSORY NOTES THAT HE WOULD REPAY THAT MONEY WHEN HE SOLD HIS HOUSE.

AND YOU WILL LEARN FROM THE EVIDENCE THAT ON MARCH 3RD, 1981, THE CHURCH FILED A COMPLAINT AGAINST MR. MULL FOR FAILURE TO PAY THE MONEY HE OWED THEM ON THE PROMISSORY NOTES. AND YOU WILL LEARN APPROXIMATELY THREE MONTHS AFTER THE CHURCH FILED THAT COMPLAINT, MR. MULL FILED HIS COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER.

DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL, YOU WILL LEARN THAT JUST AS MR. MULL WAS A FERVENT MEMBER AND ADVOCATE FOR THE CHURCH WHEN HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE CHURCH, THAT ONCE HE WAS ASKED TO LEAVE CAMELOT, HE BECAME AN OUTSPOKEN CRITIC AND FOE OF THE CHURCH.

DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL, IT IS GOING TO

BE ALLEGED THAT MR. MULL WAS BRAINWASHED, COERCIVELY

PERSUADED BY THE CHURCH AND THAT HE WAS NOT ACTING ACCORDING

TO HIS OWN FREE WILL.

I WOULD ASK YOU TO KEEP AN OPEN MIND BECAUSE
YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR MR. MULL'S CASE FIRST. BUT AFTER
THAT, YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR THE CHURCH. THE CHURCH WILL
HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO PUT ON ITS CASE. AND I ASK YOU TO
KEEP AN OPEN MIND UNTIL YOU HEAR BOTH SIDES OF THIS MATTER.
BECAUSE WHEN YOU HEAR THE CHURCH'S SIDE, YOU WILL HEAR
EVIDENCE THAT IT WAS MR. MULL WHO TOOK ADVANTAGE OF THE
CHURCH AND NOT THE OTHER WAY AROUND.

YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR EVIDENCE THAT ALTHOUGH THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN SOME DOUBT IN MR. MULL'S MIND IN

JANUARY, MAYBE EVEN IN FEBRUARY OF 1979, ABOUT WHETHER THIS MONEY HE WAS GETTING FROM THE CHURCH WAS A LOAN WHICH WAS TO BE REPAID OR WHETHER IT WAS SOMETHING ELSE, ALTHOUGH THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN DOUBT UP TO FEBRUARY OF 1979, YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR EVIDENCE THAT BY MARCH OF 1979, IT WAS 100 PERCENT CLEAR TO MR. MULL THAT THE CHURCH EXPECTED HIM TO PAY BACK THIS MONEY THAT IT WAS LOANING TO HIM.

AND YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR EVIDENCE THAT FROM MARCH OF 1979 THROUGH OCTOBER OF 1979, MR. MULL INTENTIONALLY MISLED, DECEIVED THE CHURCH INTO CONTINUING TO GIVE HIM MONEY WHICH HE TOLD THEM HE WAS GOING TO PAY BACK, BUT HE KNEW HE WAS NOT GOING TO PAY BACK.

IN DETERMINING WHETHER MR. MULL WAS

BRAINWASHED, YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR A GREAT DEAL OF TESTIMONY

FROM WITNESSES, YOU ARE GOING TO SEE A NUMBER OF EXHIBITS,

LETTERS WRITTEN BY MR. MULL HIMSELF. AND THIS EVIDENCE WILL

SHOW THAT MR. MULL WAS HIS OWN MAN AND WAS NOT

BRAINWASHED —

MR. LEVY: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. I AM GOING TO HAVE
TO OBJECT AT THIS POINT. MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH?
THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT THE BENCH:)

MR. LEVY: MY OBJECTION IS THIS: WE HAVE MADE NO ALLEGATION IN ANY OF OUR PLEADINGS OF ANYTHING TO DO WITH BRAINWASHING. MR. KLEIN CONTINUES TO ARGUE --

THE COURT: THE VOIR DIRE WAS PERMEATED WITH THAT.

MR. LEVY: WAS FROM -- IT STARTED WITH MR. KLEIN AND

I MADE IT AS CLEAR AS I COULD THAT WE AT NO TIME ALLEGED ANYTHING TO DO WITH BRAINWASHING. I THINK IT IS A MISSTATEMENT.

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT MY CONCERN IS WHERE DO
YOU DRAW THE LINE BETWEEN UNDUE INFLUENCE ON THE ONE HAND
AND BRAINWASHING ON THE OTHER?

MR. LEVY: I THINK THERE IS A VAST DIFFERENCE. I
THINK THE CONCEPTION OF ONE IS TOTALLY DIFFERENT FROM UNDUE
INFLUENCE. I THINK IT IS --

THE COURT: YOU ARE NOT GOING TO BRING UP BRAINWASHING?

MR. LEVY: NOT AT ALL.

THE COURT: NOT JUST BY THAT TITLE, BUT IN SUBSTANCE OR BY NAME?

MR. LEVY: BY NAME, I HAVE NO INTENTION OF. I HAVE TALKED ABOUT UNDUE INFLUENCE.

THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT SUBSTANCE?

MR. LEVY: I HAVE TALKED ABOUT UNDUE INFLUENCE AND THAT IS THE ONLY THING I AM CONCERNED WITH.

THE COURT: LIMIT IT TO UNDUE INFLUENCE THEN.

MR. LEVY: AND COERCIVE PERSUASION.

THE COURT: LET'S GO.

(THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

MR. KLEIN: IN DETERMINING WHETHER MR. MULL WAS THE VICTIM OF COERCIVE PERSUASION, A GOOD DEAL OF EVIDENCE WILL BE ELICITED FROM WITNESSES, YOU WILL READ MANY LETTERS THAT MR. MULL HIMSELF WROTE AND YOU WILL HEAR EVIDENCE THAT WILL

SHOW THAT MR. MULL WAS HIS OWN MAN AND WAS ALWAYS ACTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH HIS OWN FREE WILL.

DURING THE COURSE OF ITS CASE, THE COURT -- THE CHURCH WILL PROVE THAT MR. MULL IS AN EMBITTERED MAN WHO BELIEVED HE COULD OBTAIN THROUGH THE CHURCH THE FAME, THE POWER, THE FORTUNE THAT HAD ELUDED HIM FOR HIS ENTIRE LIFE.

IN ORDER TO OBTAIN THESE THINGS, HE WAS WILLING TO JOIN THE CHURCH AND HE WAS WILLING TO ABIDE BY THE RULES OF THEIR COMMUNITY. BUT AFTER A PERIOD OF TIME, MR. MULL BEGAN TO REALIZE THAT HE WASN'T GOING TO BE ABLE TO DESIGN GREAT CATHEDRALS, THAT HE WASN'T GOING TO BE ABLE TO HAVE A POSITION OF POWER IN THIS CHURCH THAT HE HAD ENVISIONED FOR HIMSELF.

AND THE CHURCH WILL PROVE IN ITS CASE THAT ONCE MR. MULL BEGAN TO REALIZE THESE THINGS AND ALSO REALIZED THAT THE \$38,000 HE HAD BORROWED WAS GOING TO HAVE TO BE PAID BACK, ONCE THOSE THINGS BECAME CLEAR TO HIM, HE BEGAN TO BE DISENCHANTED, DISILLUSIONED WITH THE CHURCH.

THE EVIDENCE THAT WILL BE PRESENTED BY THE CHURCH WILL PROVE THAT MR. MULL'S CLAIM OF BEING COERCIVELY PERSUADED, MANIPULATED, CONTROLLED WAS SIMPLY A WAY FOR HIM TO AVOID HIS RESPONSIBILITY TO PAY BACK THE \$38,000 THAT THE CHURCH HAD LENT HIM AND WAS ALSO A WAY FOR HIM TO JUSTIFY IN HIS OWN MIND HIS FAILURE TO ACHIEVE THE TYPE OF SUCCESS THAT HE HAD HOPED TO ACHIEVE THROUGH HIS MEMBERSHIP WITH THE CHURCH.

I THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THE COURT: IN VIEW OF THE HOUR, WE ARE GOING TO BE

IN RECESS IN JUST A FEW MINUTES. LET ME COVER A COUPLE OF HOUSEKEEPING MATTERS FIRST.

I HAVE ALREADY TOLD YOU, AND I WILL TELL YOU NOW FOR THE LAST TIME, YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO GO TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM AS LONG AS YOU ARE ASSIGNED HERE. THE ONLY REQUIREMENT IS THAT YOU BE HERE AT THE DESIGNATED TIMES. IF FOR ANY REASON YOU WANT TO STOP BY THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM, YOU ARE FREE TO DO SO. BUT THAT IS UP TO YOU. THE IMPORTANT THING IS TO BE HERE AT THE DESIGNATED TIMES.

FROM NOW ON, IT IS NOT NECESSARY THAT YOU WAIT OUT IN THE HALLWAY. I AM POINTING NOW TO THE DOOR OF THE JURY ROOM. AND WHEN YOU ARRIVE IN THE MORNING OR AFTER LUNCH, CERTAINLY DURING THE RECESSES, YOU ARE WELCOME TO GO IN THERE AND MAKE YOURSELVES COMFORTABLE IF YOU WISH TO DO SO. YOU DON'T HAVE TO WAIT OUT IN THE HALLWAY.

ONCE AGAIN, DO NOT DISCUSS ANY ASPECT OF THIS

CASE WITH ANYBODY, EVEN AMONG YOURSELVES. THAT MUST NOT BE

DONE UNTIL THE VERY END OF THIS TRIAL WHEN THE JURY

COMMENCES DELIBERATIONS. AND DO NOT HAVE ANY COMMUNICATION

OF ANY SORT WITH ANYBODY HAVING ANYTHING TO DO WITH THIS

CASE.

SO FAR AS OUR SCHEDULE IS CONCERNED, YOU HAVE HEARD ME SAY A COUPLE OF TIMES THAT THERE WILL BE FROM TIME TO TIME SOME DAYS WHEN YOU WILL NOT BE HERE. LET ME GIVE YOU A COUPLE OF DATES RIGHT NOW THAT YOU CAN COUNT ON NOT BEING HERE. AND HOPEFULLY THIS WILL HELP YOU ARRANGE VARIOUS THINGS THAT YOU WANT TO ATTEND TO OUTSIDE OF THIS

BUILDING .

YOU WILL NOT BE HERE ON FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 14.

YOU WILL NOT BE HERE ON FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 21. YOU WILL NOT
BE HERE ON THURSDAY AND FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 27 AND 28, AND
MONDAY, MARCH 3. YOU WILL NOT BE HERE ON FRIDAY, MARCH 7.

I THINK THAT IS ENOUGH FOR RIGHT NOW. THAT TAKES US SEVERAL
WEEKS INTO THE FUTURE.

MR. MIDDLETON: YOUR HONOR, THE 17TH --

THE COURT: THE 17TH. I AM JUST COMING TO THAT.

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 17, IS A COURT HOLIDAY. WE CELEBRATE

PRESIDENTS DAY ON THAT OCCASION AND THE COURTS ARE CLOSED

THAT DAY. SO YOU WILL NOT BE HERE THAT DAY.

YOU SO THAT YOU CAN PLAN TO TAKE CARE OF THINGS THAT YOU HAVE TO TAKE CARE OF AND WANT TO TAKE CARE OF ON THOSE DAYS.

AND I HOPE IT IS OF SOME ASSISTANCE TO YOU.

TOMORROW YOU WILL BE GIVEN NOTEBOOKS AND PENCILS. YOU ARE FREE TO TAKE NOTES. THAT IS OKAY. THAT IS UP TO YOU. ONE WORD OF CAUTION. AND THAT IS THAT SOMETIMES JURORS BECOME SO INVOLVED IN NOTE TAKING THAT THEY SPEND THEIR TIME FURIOUSLY TAKING NOTES AND THEY LOSE TRACK OF WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE TRIAL.

SORT OF LIKE BEING INVOLVED WITH A COUPLE OF TREES AND NOT BEING ABLE TO HANDLE THE FOREST. DON'T LET THAT HAPPEN TO YOU. YOU CAN CERTAINLY TAKE NOTES AS YOU WISH. THAT IS UP TO YOU.

TOMORROW MORNING WE WILL START AT 9:15. 9:15
TOMORROW MORNING. EVERYBODY BE HERE THEN. I LOOK FORWARD

TO SEEING ALL OF YOU THEN AND WE WILL START TOMORROW MORNING WITH THE FIRST WITNESS. HAVE A PLEASANT EVENING. (AT 4:08 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 1986, AT 9:15 A.M.) 

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 1986
2	9:40 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 50 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE
4	(APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)
5	
6	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY.
7	PLEASE CALL YOUR FIRST WITNESS.
8	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. AT THIS TIME WE
9	WILL CALL MR. GREGORY MULL.
10	
11	GREGORY MULL,
12	THE DEFENDANT HEREIN, CALLED AS A WITNESS ON HIS OWN BEHALF,
13	WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
14	THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND.
15	SIR, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD AND PLEASE SPELL
16	YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME.
17	THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS GREGORY MULL. GREGORY IS
18	SPELLED G-R-E-G-O-R-Y, LAST NAME IS M-U-L-L.
19	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
20	. •
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. LEVY:
23	Q MR. MULL, HOW OLD ARE YOU?
24	A I AM 64 AND-A-HALF YEARS OLD.
25	MR. KLEIN: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. MIGHT I MAKE A
26	MOTION PURSUANT TO 777 OF THE EVIDENCE CODE TO EXCLUDE ANY
27	WITNESSES FROM THE COURTROOM AT THIS TIME.
28	THE COURT: VERY WELL.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. 1 THE COURT: ALL NONPARTY WITNESSES WILL REMAIN OUT OF 2 THE COURTROOM DURING THE ENTIRE TRIAL. AND THAT IS 3 WITNESSES FOR ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER. THIS IS BILATERAL. MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: ONE OTHER THING BEFORE WE PROCEED. I AM б UNACQUAINTED WITH THOSE PERSONS WHO WILL TESTIFY. SO I SHALL DEPEND ON COUNSEL TO KEEP AN EYE OPEN AND SEE THAT ALL 8 NONPARTY WITNESSES REMAIN OUTSIDE. 9 MR. LEVY: WE HAVE 14 OR 15, YOUR HONOR. SO IT WILL 10 BE EASY FOR ME. MR. KLEIN HAD --11 THE COURT: BEG YOUR PARDON? 12 MR. LEVY: I SAID WE LISTED SOME 14 OR SO WITNESSES 13 ON MR. MULL'S SIDE SO IT WILL BE EASY FOR US. I DON'T KNOW 14 ANY OF THE 30 OR 40 OR 50 WITNESSES HE LISTED SO I WOULD 15 16 NEED HIS ASSISTANCE ALSO. THE COURT: MR. LEVY, I AM NOT ASKING YOU TO IDENTIFY 17 18 PERSONS YOU DO NOT KNOW. MR. LEVY: OKAY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 19 THE COURT: THAT THOUGHT NEVER OCCURRED TO ME. 20 MR. LEVY: WELL, I JUST WANTED THE COURT TO BE AWARE 21 THAT I WAS UNFAMILIAR WITH ANY NUMBER OF THEIR WITNESSES. 22 AND I WOULD DO MY BEST TO EXCLUDE MY OWN. THANK YOU. 23 THE COURT: AND ANY OTHERS THAT YOU HAPPEN TO BE 24 AWARE OF. 25 MR. LEVY: CERTAINLY. 26 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 27 MR. LEVY: YOU ARE WELCOME. 28

1	Q GREGORY
2	A YES.
3	Q WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT YOUR RELIGIOUS
4	CONNECTION WAS, IF ANY, WHEN YOU WERE A YOUNG MAN WHEN YOU
5	WERE JUST GROWING UP?
6	A ALL MY LIFE I HAVE A QUEST FOR CHRIST.
7	THE COURT: DON'T BE QUITE SO CLOSE TO THE
3	MICROPHONE.
9	THE WITNESS: I AM SORRY, YOUR HONOR.
10	I HAVE ALWAYS HAD A QUEST FOR GOD. AND WE
11	ALWAYS WENT TO CHURCH, THE NEAREST CHURCH CONVENTIONAL
12	CHURCH THAT WAS NEAREST TO THE HOME. I HAD A MOTHER AND A
13	SISTER, A YOUNGER SISTER, THAT WAS VERY RELIGIOUS AND IT WAS
14	ALSO A BIG INFLUENCE ON ME IN OUR QUEST FOR GOD.
15	Q BY MR. LEVY: MR. MULL, I AM GOING TO ASK YOU
15	TO HELP ME BY NOT RAMBLING. I WANT YOU TO LISTEN VERY
17	CAREFULLY TO MY QUESTION AND DO YOUR VERY BEST JUST TO
18	RESPOND TO MY QUESTION. OKAY?
19	A YES, SIR.
20	Q NOW, WERE YOU AFFILIATED DURING YOUR, SAY,
21	TWENTIES AND THIRTIES WITH A PARTICULAR CHURCH?
22	A IN MY TWENTIES I WAS INTERESTED IN CHRISTIAN
23	SCIENCE AND ALSO HAD MEMBERSHIP IN THE CHURCH. THAT WAS
24	ABOUT IT.
25	Q DID YOU DO A LOT OF PRAYING DURING THOSE DAYS?
26	A YES, I DID.
27	Q WHAT WAS IT YOU WERE PRAYING FOR?
28	A I WANTED TO BE ONE WITH GOD IN HEAVEN WHEN I

1	DIED AND ON EARTH WHILE I WAS ALIVE.
2	Q NOW, MOVING ON A COUPLE OF YEARS TO THE, LET'S
3	SAY, THE 1970'S, WHERE DID YOU RESIDE DURING THE 1970'S?
4	A IN SAN FRANCISCO.
5	Q AND WERE YOU AFFILIATED WITH ANY RELIGIOUS
5	GROUP AT THAT TIME? LET'S SAY IN 1972 OR '73.
7	A NO.
s	Q DID YOU PURSUE RELIGIOUS EDUCATION AT THAT
و	TIME?
10	A YES.
11	Q AND HOW DID YOU GO ABOUT DOING THAT?
12	A I WENT TO A DISCUSSION GROUP ONCE A WEEK IN
13	PIEDMONT, CALIFORNIA.
14	Q AND WHAT WAS IT THAT THAT DISCUSSION GROUP
15	DISCUSSED?
16	A WELL, THEY USED A BOOK CALLED "THE TREATISE OF
17	WHITE MAGIC" BY DWHAL KUHL.
13	Q AND HOW OFTEN DID THAT GROUP MEET?
19	A ONCE A WEEK.
20	Q AND HOW LONG A TIME DID YOU GO THERE?
21	A APPROXIMATELY TWO YEARS.
22	Q THERE CAME A TIME WHEN YOU CEASED TO ATTEND
23	THAT GROUP?
24	A YES.
25	Q WAS THERE ANYTHING THAT CAUSED YOU TO CEASE
26	ATTENDING THAT GROUP?
27	A NO.
28	Q AFTER YOU STOPPED GOING TO THAT GROUP, DID YOU

1	BECOME ALIGNED WITH ANY OTHER GROUP?
~ 2	A NO. I STARTED MY OWN.
3	Q WOULD YOU TELL US ABOUT THE GROUP YOU STARTED?
4	A I STARTED A MEDITATION GROUP, BIBLE STUDY AND
5	ON FRIDAY NIGHTS AT MY HOME INVITED SEVERAL PEOPLE.
6	Q AND HOW LONG DID YOU HAVE THAT MEDITATION GROUP
7	AT YOUR HOME?
3	A PROBABLY A YEAR-AND-A-HALF OR TWO YEARS.
9	Q WHAT WAS IT THAT THAT MEDITATION GROUP DID?
10	A WELL, WE WOULD HAVE MEDITATION, WE WOULD
11	MEDITATE AND WE WOULD DISCUSS OUR PROBLEMS. AND ANYBODY WHO
12	COULD HELP ANYBODY ELSE DID SO.
13	Q NOW, WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THAT GROUP AS A
14	CHRISTIAN GROUP?
15	A YES.
16	Q DID YOU STUDY BASIC CHRISTIAN BELIEFS?
17	A YES.
18	Q AND YOU CALLED THE GROUP A DISCUSSION GROUP.
19	DID YOU ACTUALLY DISCUSS BIBLE TEACHINGS?
20	A TO SOME DEGREE, YES.
21	Q WAS THERE ANY RELIGIOUS DOGMA TO THAT GROUP?
22	A NO.
23 ·	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY FORMAL RULES AT THAT GROUP?
24	A NOT TILL TOWARD THE END.
25	Q WERE THERE ANY MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS IN THAT
26	GROUP?
27	A NO.
28	Q HOW DID THE PEOPLE KNOW TO COME TO YOUR

1	MEDITATION GROUP AT YOUR HOME?
2	A SECAUSE THEY WERE INVITED.
3	Q PEOPLE COULD COME AND BRING FRIENDS OR NOT AS
4	THEY CHOSE?
5	A YES.
6	Q NOW, THAT WAS DURING THE YEAR 1973?
7	A AND 1974.
8	Q ABOUT HOW LARGE A GROUP WAS THAT THAT YOU HAD
9	THAT MET AT YOUR HOME?
10	A ANYTHING FROM FIVE PEOPLE TO PROBABLY UP TO 30
11	PEOPLE.
12	Q WAS ANYBODY REQUIRED TO PAY ANYTHING FOR THAT
13	GROUP?
14	A NO.
15	Q WAS THAT GROUP SEMI-SOCIAL? AND BY THAT I MEAN
16	DID YOU SERVE COFFEE OR TEA OR REFRESHMENTS WITH THE GROUP?
17	A ALWAYS AT THE END OF MEETING, WE HAD SOCIAL
18	TIME WITH COFFEE OR TEA AND SOMETHING TO EAT
19	Q WAS ANYONE EVER REQUIRED BY THAT GROUP TO DO OR
20	BELIEVE OR TO SAY ANYTHING?
21	A NO.
22	Q WERE THERE OFTTIMES DISCUSSIONS ABOUT RELIGION
23	AND RELIGIOUS BELIEFS?
24	A YES.
25	Q WHAT HAPPENED IF THE PEOPLE DISAGREED? WHAT
26	HAPPENED IF ONE PERSON THOUGHT A BIBLICAL SCRIPTURE MEANT
27	ONE THING AND ANOTHER PERSON HAD A DIFFERENT INTERPRETATION?
28	A WELL, THAT WAS MORE OR LESS LEFT WITH THE

1	GROUP. IT WASN'T TAKEN AS A SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD
2	CONCENTRATE ON AS SUCH USUALLY.
3	Q NOW, DID THE GROUP AT ANY TIME EVER COME TO ANY
4	SPECIFIC UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHAT THEY WOULD OR WOULD NOT
5	ACCEPT BY WAY OF RELIGION?
6	A WELL, I LOST MANY MEMBERS OF THE GROUP BECAUSE
7	I BECAME INTERESTED IN A RELIGION AND THE GROUP THOUGHT FOR
8	THE MOST PART THOUGHT IT WAS TOO STRICT.
9	Q BEFORE WE GET TO THAT, MR. MULL, WHAT I AM
10	ASKING ABOUT IS DURING THE REGULAR COURSE OF MEETINGS, DID
11	THE REGULAR MEDITATION GROUP ITSELF DECIDE UPON ANY
12	PARTICULAR RELIGIOUS DOCTRINE OR PRINCIPLE THAT THE PEOPLE
13	WHO ATTENDED HAD TO FOLLOW?
14	A NO. THERE WERE NO REQUIREMENTS.
15	Q SO ONE PERSON COULD BELIEVE WHATEVER THEY
16	WANTED TO?
17	A YES.
18	Q AND ANOTHER PERSON COULD BELIEVE SOMETHING
19	ELSE?
20	A YES.
21	Q NOW, DID ANYBODY HAVE TO SIGN ANY DOCUMENTS
22	THAT SAID TO BELONG TO YOUR MEDITATION GROUP, THAT THEY HAD
23	TO PAY ANY MONEY TO YOU?
24	A NEVER.
25	Q WERE THERE ANY CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS BETWEEN
26	YOURSELF AND ANY OF THE MEMBERS OF THAT GROUP?
27	A HO.
28	Q WERE THERE ANY PUNISHMENTS OR PENALTIES IF

PEOPLE WHO WERE - AND IN QUOTES I SAY - "REGULARS" IF THEY 1 2 DIDN'T ATTEND? NO. 3 Α WERE THEY FREE TO BRING THEIR FRIENDS AND ACQUAINTANCES? 5 6 A YES. 7 WERE PEOPLE WHO WERE POSSIBLY ACQUAINTANCES OF YOUR REGULARS, IF THEY CAME BY WAY OF KNOWLEDGE OF YOUR 3 GROUP, EVEN THOUGH THEY WEREN'T A REGULAR MEMBER AND EVEN 10 THOUGH THEY WERE NOT ACCOMPANIED BY A REGULAR MEMBER, WERE THEY WELCOME TO PARTICIPATE? 11 12 YES. 13 SO IT WAS POSSIBLE THAT OFTTIME PEOPLE THAT YOU 14 DIDN'T ACTUALLY KNOW, BUT WHO TOLD YOU THEY KNEW SOMEONE WHO 15 HAD MET WITH YOUR GROUP --16 YES. Α 17 -- PARTICIPATED? . Q 18 YES. Α 19 DID YOU DISCUSS OTHER THINGS BESIDE THE 20 CHRISTIAN RELIGION? 21 TO SOME DEGREE WE DID. Α 22 Q DID YOU STUDY ANYTHING ABOUT METAPHYSICS? 23 Α NO. DID YOU STUDY ANYTHING ABOUT BLACK MAGIC? 24 Q 25 Α NO. 26 Q DID YOU STUDY ANYTHING ABOUT WHITE MAGIC? 27 NO. 28 Q WHAT YOU PRIMARILY STUDIED WAS CHRISTIAN

1	TEACHINGS?
2	A YES.
3	Q NOW, MOVING TOWARD THE LATTER PART OF 1974.
4	YOU STARTED TO TELL THE COURT ABOUT PEOPLE WHO FELT THAT
5	STRIKE THAT.
6	TOWARD THE END OF 1974, DID THE COMPLEXION OF
7	YOUR GROUP CHANGE?
8	A YES.
9	Q WOULD YOU EXPLAIN TO US WHAT HAPPENED?
10	A I BECAME INTERESTED IN FIRST OF ALL, WHO
11	STARTED THE INTRODUCTION WAS BARBARA, A WOMAN
12	Q EXCUSE ME, MR. MULL. WHAT I WANT YOU TO DO,
13	PLEASE, IS TRY TO JUST ANSWER MY QUESTION.
14	WHAT I WANT TO KNOW IS IF THE COMPLEXION OF
15	YOUR GROUP CHANGED, FIRST?
16	A YES.
17	Q NOW, DID IT CHANGE FROM A FREE-FLOWING OPEN
13	DISCUSSION TO ANYTHING ELSE?
19	A YES.
20	Q AND WHAT DID IT CHANGE TO?
21	A WELL, BEFORE THAT PEOPLE, WOULD TALK ABOUT
22	BEING ON DRUGS. AND ALTHOUGH I PERSONALLY DIDN'T APPROVE,
23	EVERYONE WAS ACCEPTED WHEREVER THEY WERE. BUT I GOT VERY
24	STRICT BECAUSE THAT WAS THE GROUP AND A LOT OF PEOPLE
25	STOPPED COMING.
26	Q NOW, WHY WAS IT YOU GOT STRICT?
27	A BECAUSE I STARTED STUDYING SOMETHING THAT WAS
28	MORE STRICT.

1	Q AND WHAT WAS IT YOU STARTED STUDYING?
2	A I STARTED TEACHINGS OF THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND
3	TRIUMPHANT AFTER 1974.
4	Q HOW DID YOU FIRST HEAR ABOUT THE TEACHINGS OF
5	CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT?
б	A THROUGH TWO PEOPLE MAINLY. BARBARA, WHO WAS A
7	LADY ATTORNEY WITH THE GOVERNMENT WHO WAS VERY INTELLIGENT.
3	AND WOULD TALK TO ME, CALL ME ON THE PHONE - AND CALL ME ON
9	THE PHONE, MAKE APPOINTMENT TO COME AND SEE ME. AND SHE
19	INTRODUCED ME TO RORY.
11	Q OKAY.
12	A RORY INGALLS.
13	Q LET'S START WITH THIS LADY. WAS SHE A REGULAR
14	MEMBER OF YOUR GROUP?
15	A YES.
16	Q AND HOW DID SHE INTRODUCE YOU TO THE TEACHINGS
17	OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT?
18	A SHE CAME TO MY HOME, AND TALKED TO ME ABOUT THE
19	CHURCH AND ASKED IF SHE COULD PLAY TAPES FOR ME. AND I
20	SAID, "YOU CAN PLAY THEM ON FRIDAY NIGHTS. AND WE WOULD ALL
21	KNOW ABOUT IF IT WAS HELPFUL OR NOT TO THE GROUP."
22	Q DID SHE COME ON FRIDAY NIGHT?
23	A YES. WITH TAPES.
24	Q DID SHE PLAY THE TAPES FOR THE GROUP?
25	A YES.
26	Q WHAT WAS THE EFFECT ON THE GROUP WHEN SHE
27	PLAYED THE TAPES?
28	A MANY THINGS. THEY THOUGHT IT WAS VERY STRICT

ı	BUT THEY THOUGHT IT WAS VALUABLE.
2	Q OKAY. NOW, AFTER SHE BEGAN PLAYING THE TAPES,
3	DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS WHAT IT WAS THAT THIS
4	CHURCH WAS ALL ABOUT?
5	A WE DID DISCUSS IT AS A GROUP.
6	Q DID ALL OR THE MOST PART OF YOUR GROUP LIKE THE
7	TEACHINGS AND STAY FOR THE DISCUSSION?
3	A PERHAPS THEY STAYED FOR DISCUSSIONS, BUT MOST
9	PEOPLE DIDN'T TAKE THE TEACHINGS TO JOIN THE CHURCH.
10	Q NOW, YOU TOLD ME THAT IT SEEMED SOMEWHAT STRICT
11	TO YOU?
12	A YES.
13	Q WOULD YOU EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAM?
14	A WELL, IT WAS THE FIRST TAPE WAS ON FAMILY
15	DESIGNS. AND IN IT, THE TAPE, IT SAID YOU WERE TO SPANK
16	YOUR CHILDREN IF THEY NEEDED DISCIPLINE. I NEVER SPANKED MY
17	DAUGHTER SO I DIDN'T AGREE WITH EVERYTHING. I THOUGHT IT
18	WAS VERY STRICT.
19	AND VEGETARIANISM, COLONICS AND FAST WAS PART
20	OF THE CHURCH ALSO.
21	Q AFTER YOU LISTENED TO THAT FIRST TAPE AND AFTER
22	THAT WOMAN FIRST CAME TO YOUR MEDITATION GROUP, WERE THERE
23	MORE TAPES?
24	A YES.
25	Q DID SHE VISIT AGAIN?
26	A YES. THERE WERE MORE TAPES.
27	Q DID THE MAJORITY OF YOUR GROUP ONCE YOU
28	STARTED PLAYING THE TAPES OF THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL, DID THE
5	

1	
1	MAJORITY OF YOUR GROUP STAY AND PARTICIPATE?
2	A NO.
3	Q WHAT HAPPENED TO THEM?
4	A THEY DROPPED OUT ENTIRELY.
5	Q WERE THERE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO DID APPEAR TO
6	LIKE WHATEVER IT WAS THAT THIS CHURCH WAS PROFESSING?
7	A YES.
8	Q WERE YOU ONE OF THOSE PEOPLE?
9	A YES.
10	Q DID IT SOUND GOOD TO YOU, WHAT YOU HEARD?
11	A I LIKED IT BECAUSE IT WAS STRICT. MANY PEOPLE
12	DIDN'T LIKE IT BECAUSE IT WAS STRICT.
13	Q NOW, YOU MENTIONED ANOTHER PERSON THAT YOU CAME
14	TO MEET, MR. RORY INGALLS?
15	A YES.
16	Q COULD YOU TELL ME WHO MR. RORY INGALLS 15?
17	A RORY INGALLS WAS HAD BEEN THROUGH THREE
18	QUARTERS
19	Q EXCUSE ME. WOULD YOU JUST TELL ME WHO HE WAS?
20	A HE WAS HEAD OF THE TEACHING CENTER IN SAN
21	FRANCISCO. VERY CHARISMATIC, INTELLIGENT MAN.
22	Q WHEN YOU SAY HE WAS HEAD OF THE TEACHING
23	CENTER, WHAT IS THE "TEACHING CENTER"?
24	A IN SAN FRANCISCO, THE SAME AS MOST MAJOR
25	CITIES, ARE TEACHING CENTERS WITH PEOPLE THAT LIVED IN THE
26	CHURCH CAN LIVE TOGETHER BEFORE OR AFTER QUARTER
27	Q MR. MULL, LET ME STOP YOU JUST A LITTLE BIT.
28	ALL I WANT TO KNOW AT THIS POINT IS WHAT IS A TEACHING

1	CENTER? NOT WHERE THEY ARE, BUT WHAT THEY ARE.
2	A WELL, IT WOULD BE ALL MEMBERS OF THE CHURCH
3	THAT LIVED IN ONE HOUSE.
4	Q OKAY.
5	A AND PARTAKE OF THE TEACHINGS.
б	Q NOW, TO THE BEST OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE, WHAT
7	TRANSPIRES IN THE TEACHING CENTERS?
3	A FIRST OF ALL
9	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT AS BEING NO
10	PROPER FOUNDATION FOR THAT QUESTION.
11	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
12	Q BY MR. LEVY: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN TO A TEACHING
13	CENTER?
14	A I HAVE BEEN TO THEIR MEETINGS, WEDNESDAY
15	HEALING SERVICE, ON FRIDAY ASCENSION SERVICE AND SAINT
16	GERMAIN SERVICE ALWAYS ON SATURDAY. THE CLASS WOULD LAST
17	ABOUT THREE HOURS. AND SUNDAY WAS A REGULAR SERVICE LIKE
18	ANY CHURCH AT THE UNITY TEMPLE ON NINTH STREET IN SAN
19	FRANCISCO.
20	Q THIS UNITY TEMPLE THAT YOU MENTIONED, IS THAT
21	THE PLACE WHERE THEY MET?
22	A ON SUNDAYS.
23	Q NOW, ASIDE FROM THE PLACE WHERE THEY MET ON
24	SUNDAYS, DID YOU EVER VISIT ANOTHER FACILITY THAT WAS
25	ACTUALLY CALLED A TEACHING CENTER?
26	A NO.
27	Q OKAY. THE ACTUAL WORK OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL IN
28	THEIR TEACHING CENTER WENT ON AT WHAT USED TO BE A UNITY

1	CHURCH?
2	A FOR SUNDAY SERVICES ONLY.
3	Q YOU MENTIONED OTHER SERVICES. WHERE WERE THEY
4	HELD? LET'S SAY THE WEDNESDAY NIGHT SERVICE?
5	A IT WAS AT WEDNESDAY NIGHT HEALING SERVICE
6	WAS AT THE TEACHING CENTER, AND SO WAS THE ASCENSION SERVICE
7	ON FRIDAY AND SAINT GERMAIN SERVICE ON SATURDAY NIGHT.
8	Q WERE YOU INVITED TO GO TO ALL THOSE SESSIONS?
9	A YES.
10	Q NOW, DID YOU CONSIDER THAT QUITE A CHANGE?
11	YOUR MEDITATION GROUP
12	A YES.
13	Q MET ONCE A WEEK AND NOW YOU ARE GOING DID
14	YOU GO TO WEDNESDAY NIGHT SERVICE?
15	A SOMETIMES.
16	Q WHAT ABOUT FRIDAY NIGHT?
17	A NO. I HAD MY OWN SERVICE.
18	Q WHAT ABOUT THURSDAY NIGHT SERVICE?
19	A NO.
20	Q WHAT ABOUT THE HEALING SERVICE?
21	A WEDNESDAY, YES. OFTEN.
22	Q SUNDAY SERVICE?
23	A SATURDAY ALWAYS AND SUNDAY USUALLY.
24	Q ABOUT HOW MANY HOURS A WEEK WOULD YOU ESTIMATE
25	THAT YOU WERE DEVOTING TOWARD YOUR RELIGIOUS PURSUITS?
26	A WELL, I DECREE AT HOME ABOUT THREE HOURS A DAY
27	AND ATTENDED ABOUT SEVEN HOURS A WEEK OF CHURCH SERVICES.
28	Q OKAY. LET'S TALK ABOUT DECREEING FOR AWHILE.

ORE SH IS WILL
IS
-
WILL
WILL
τ
YOU
LY A
RY
ROUP
ON
OUT
н
EK?

1	A YES.
2	Q HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT CHANGING FROM TWO HOURS
3	A WEEK IN MEDITATION TO A THIRTY-HOUR INVOLVEMENT?
4	A IT WAS QUITE A CHANGE AND OF COURSE THE CHANGE
5	IS GREAT WHEN YOU DO THAT MUCH DECREEING.
6	Q DID YOU KNOW HOW TO DECREE WHEN THIS LADY
7	BARBARA SHOWED UP?
8	A NO.
9	Q DID SHE TEACH YOU HOW TO DECREE?
10	A YES. SO DID RORY. THEY WOULD COME BY
11	REPEATEDLY, ESPECIALLY RORY.
12	Q AND WOULD THEY SPEND TIME WITH YOU
13	A YES.
14	Q TEACHING YOU THIS?
15	A YES.
16	Q DID YOU SEEK THEM OUT AND MAKE APPOINTMENTS
17	WITH THEM FOR THEM TO COME AND TEACH YOU HOW TO DO THIS?
18	A NO. THEY WOULD SEEK ME THEY WOULD SEEK ME
19	OUT. BUT I WAS INTERESTED.
20	Q DID YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY WELL, LET ME
21	STRIKE THAT.
22	DID THEY CALL YOU AND SAY, "I'D LIKE TO COME
23	OVER AND DECREE WITH YOU"?
24	A IT SEEMED THAT BARBARA WOULD CALL ALMOST EVERY
25	TIME. MR. RORY WOULD JUST POP IN ANY OLD TIME.
26	Q DID YOU FEEL ANY KIND OF FEELING THAT WHEN HE
27	SHOWED UP, YOU HAD TO DECREE WITH HIM?
28	MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION. LEADING.

_ 1	MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO NEED SOME
2	LATITUDE WITH MR. MULL. WE KNOW HE HAS MULTIPLE
3	SCLEROSIS
4	THE COURT: WE HAD SOME GUIDELINES ESTABLISHED SOME
5	TIME AGO
6	MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR.
7	THE COURT: CONCERNING OBJECTIONS AND YOU RECALL
8	THE DISCUSSION.
9	MR. LEVY: I WILL FOLLOW THOSE, YOUR HONOR.
10	THE COURT: OKAY. I UNDERSTAND THAT A LITTLE .
11	LATITUDE WOULD BE APPROPRIATE. GO AHEAD.
12	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR.
13	THE COURT: BUT TRY TO AVOID LEADING QUESTIONS.
14	MR. LEVY: I WILL DO MY BEST.
15	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
16	MR. LEVY: WOULD YOU BE KIND ENOUGH TO READ BACK THE
17	LAST QUESTION? OR AM I PRESUMING
13	THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU WISH?
19	MR. LEVY: I WAS ASKING THE COURT REPORTER IF SHE HAD
20	CONVENIENT THE LAST QUESTION ASKED.
21	THE COURT: NO. IF YOU HAVE A REQUEST OF THE
22	REPORTER
23	MR. LEVY: I WILL ADDRESS IT TO THE BENCH, YOUR
24	HONOR.
25	THE COURT: PLEASE.
26	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU.
27	Q NOW, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT RORY INGALLS?
28	A YES.

1	Q YOU REMEMBER RORY INGALLS?
2	A VERY WELL.
3	Q DID RORY INGALLS CALL YOU BEFORE HE CAME OVER
4	TO DECREE WITH YOU?
5	A NO. HE WOULD OFTEN HE WOULD USUALLY JUST
6	POP IN ANY TIME OF THE DAY. MORNING, AFTERNOON OR EVENING.
7	Q WHAT I WANT TO KNOW ABOUT IS YOUR FEELINGS.
3	WHEN MR. INGALLS SHOWED UP, DID YOU FEEL IT WAS INCUMBENT
9	UPON YOU TO DECREE WITH HIM?
10	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. LEADING QUESTION.
11	THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER.
12	THE WITNESS: YES, I DID. BECAUSE RORY SEEMED TO BE
13	VERY CHARISMATIC AND HE HAD SOMETHING THAT I WANTED.
14	THEREFORE, I LISTENED TO WHAT HE HAD TO SAY OR DO.
15	Q BY MR. LEVY: WHAT WAS IT THAT HE HAD THAT YOU
15	WANTED?
17	A HE WAS VERY CHARISMATIC, VERY DYNAMIC.
18	Q WERE YOU VERY CHARISMATIC AND VERY DYNAMIC?
19	A I WASN'T AWARE IF I WAS.
20	Q IN YOUR OPINION, DID HE SEEM TO HAVE SOME KIND
21	OF CONNECTION WITH THE ALMIGHTY?
22	A YES, HE DID.
23	Q WERE YOU DESIROUS OF HAVING THAT SAME KIND OF
24	CONNECTION?
25	A YES.
25	Q WHAT WERE YOUR SPIRITUAL GOALS AT THAT TIME?
27	A I WAS INTERESTED IN REACHING GOD AND I HAD MANY
28	QUESTIONS. AND THE TEACHINGS SEEMED TO HAVE A LOT OF
	•

	I	
	1	ANSWERS. AND RORY ASSURED ME THAT IF I WENT TO SUMMIT
	2	UNIVERSITY, THAT THEY WOULD BE ANSWERED.
	3	Q HOW DID THIS BARBARA AND RORY TREAT YOU
	4	PERSONALLY?
	5	A VERY GOOD. I WAS MADE TO BE FELT VERY
	6	IMPORTANT AND SOUGHT AFTER. AND OF COURSE I LIKED THAT.
	7	Q DID THEY EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT HAPPENED AT SUMMIT
	8	UNIVERSITY?
	9	A RORY DID EXPLAIN. YES, RORY DID.
	10	Q WHAT DID HE TELL YOU THAT YOU COULD EXPECT IF
	11	YOU ATTENDED SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
	12	A WAS TO DECREE. HE, LIKE ALL PEOPLE IN HIS
	13	CHURCH, HELD OUT THE ASCENSION. SAID WITH DECREEING,
	14	ASCENSION COULD BE MADE AFTER DISEMBODIMENT OR THE ONE
ı	15	FOLLOWING IF WE WOULD ONLY DECREE.
	16	Q DID RORY TELL YOU RULES ABOUT THE SEXUAL
	17	ATTITUDES OF THE CHURCH?
	18	A YES.
	19	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THOSE RULES WERE?
	20	A YES.
	21	Q WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT, PLEASE?
	22	A THAT YOU COULD ONLY HAVE SEX WITH YOUR MARRIAGE
	23	PARTNER. THAT ANY ORAL SEX, YOU WOULD NOT MAKE YOUR
	24	ASCENSION INTO HEAVEN. AND NO MASTURBATION, NO
	25	HOMOSEXUALITY. WAS VERY STRICT. AND ONLY IN THE MISSIONARY
	26	FASHION WAS SEX PERMITTED BETWEEN HUSBAND AND WIFE.
	27	Q DID RORY TELL YOU ABOUT ANY OTHER RULES OR
)	28	REGULATIONS THAT YOU WOULD LEARN AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?

1	A YES.
2	Q WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT THOSE RULES AND
3	REGULATIONS WERE?
4	A BEING A VEGETARIAN DIET, NO DRUGS.
5	Q OKAY. DID HE TELL YOU WHAT YOU COULD EXPECT TO
6	ACCOMPLISH?
7	A WELL, MY ASCENSION AT THE END OF DISEMBODIMENT
8	WAS THE CARROT ALWAYS.
9	Q HOW LONG DID YOU ATTEND SERVICES WITH BARBARA
10	AND RORY DURING THE YEAR 1974?
11	A ALMOST CONSTANTLY.
12	Q BUT OVER APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY MONTHS?
13	A TOWARD THE LATTER PART OF 1974, I ATTENDED ALL
14	THE CHURCH SERVICES, INCLUDING THE SAINT GERMAIN SERVICE
15	EVERY SATURDAY NIGHT.
16	Q DO YOU RECALL, MR. MULL, WHEN YOU MET THE LADY
17	TO MY RIGHT, ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET FRANCIS?
13	A YES.
19	Q WHERE WAS THAT?
20	A AT SAN FRANCISCO.
21	Q WHEN WAS IT?
22	A IN 1974.
23	Q AND WHAT WAS THE OCCASION FOR THAT MEETING?
24	A IT WAS A DINNER PARTY.
25	Q AND WHO WAS INVITED TO THE DINNER PARTY? I
25	DON'T MEAN THE NAMES OF THE PEOPLE, I MEAN GENERALLY.
27	A EVERYBODY IN MY GROUP WAS INVITED PRETTY MUCH
28	AND THE TEACHING CENTER. IT WAS AT THE BELMONT HOTEL.

1	Q AT THE TIME OF THAT MEETING, DID YOU HAVE
2	OCCASION TO HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH THIS LADY?
3	A YES.
4	Q DO YOU RECALL THE CONTENTS OF THAT
5	CONVERSATION?
6	A WE WERE AT THE TABLE. AND I WAS VERY IMPRESSED
7	BECAUSE SHE ASKED EVERYBODY'S NAME AND WAS PROBABLY 24 OF US
3	THERE. AND SHE REPEATED ALL THE NAMES.
פ	Q DID SHE HAVE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION WITH YOU?
10	A YES. SHE ASKED ME IF I WAS LICENSED TO DO
11	ARCHITECTURE. AND SHE, I THINK, WANTED TO SEE MY LICENSE.
12	Q OKAY. NOT WHAT YOU THINK. WHAT YOU REMEMBER.
13	A IT WAS AS BEST AS I CAN REMEMBER, SHE WANTED
14	TO SEE MY LICENSE.
15	Q DID YOU EVENTUALLY GO TO SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
16	A YES.
17	. Q COULD YOU TELL THE COURT BASICALLY WHAT SUMMIT
18	UNIVERSITY 15?
19	A YES. I WENT ABOUT JANUARY 3RD, 1975, TO SUMMIT
20	UNIVERSITY IN SANTA BARBARA FOR FIRST QUARTER.
21	Q EXCUSE ME, MR. MULL. WHAT I MEANT WAS MAYBE
22	I WASN'T CLEAR. IS THAT LIKE A REGULAR SCHOOL?
23	A NOT REALLY. BUT SIMILAR.
24	Q OKAY.
25	A YOU DID HOMEWORK AND SO FORTH.
26	Q OKAY. DID YOU HAVE TO PAY A FEE?
27	A YES.
28	Q DO YOU REMEMBER HOW MUCH THE FEE WAS?

1	A ABOUT \$1,700. AND THE COURSE LASTED THREE
2	MONTHS.
3	Q OKAY. NOW, DID YOU HAVE TO PAY YOUR OWN
4	EXPENSES TO GET TO THAT COURSE?
5	A YES.
6	Q DID YOU KNOW BEFORE YOU WENT TO THE COURSE WHAT
7	YOUR DAILY SCHEDULE WAS GOING TO BE?
8	A NOT REALLY.
9	Q HAD YOU TALKED ABOUT IT WITH RORY INGALLS?
10	A NOT IN FULL.
11	Q OKAY. DID YOU GET ANY KIND OF DOCUMENTATION,
12	ANY KIND OF LIST THAT SAID, "WHEN YOU COME HERE, YOU CAN
13	EXPECT TO DO THIS"?
14	A YES.
15	Q NOW, THE LIST THAT YOU GOT, DID IT TELL YOU
16	WHAT YOU WOULD BE DOING EACH HOUR OF EACH DAY?
17	A NOT THAT SPECIFIC. BUT IT WAS A CODE OF
13	CONDUCT.
19	Q A CODE OF CONDUCT?
20	A YES.
21	Q IN THAT CODE OF CONDUCT, DID IT HAVE ANY
22	RESTRICTIONS, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, AS TO WHETHER OR NOT ONCE
23	YOU ATTENDED, YOU COULD CONTACT YOUR FAMILY WHILE YOU WERE
24	THERE?
25	A TO THE CONTRARY.
26	Q WERE YOU ADVISED THAT YOU SHOULD ATTEMPT NOT TO
27	CONTACT ANY FAMILY OR FRIEND?
28	A IF AT ALL POSSIBLE. OR TO SEE A TEACHER AND

1	THEY WOULD OR COUNSELOR AND THEY WOULD MAKE NECESSARY
2	ARRANGEMENTS IF IT WAS IMPORTANT ENOUGH.
3	Q TO THE BEST OF YOUR RECOLLECTION, WHEN YOU WENT
4	THERE, WERE THERE PEOPLE WHO WERE NONVEGETARIANS -
5	A YES.
6	Q WHO WENT THERE?
7	A YES.
3	Q LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT THE SCHEDULE THERE. WHAT
9	TIME OF DAY DID YOU GENERALLY GET UP?
10	A 5:30 IN THE MORNING.
11	Q WHAT DID YOU DO
12	A AND WE WOULD GET ABOUT 20 MINUTES TO DRESS AND
13	TO PREPARE OURSELVES OR 30 MINUTES TO BATHE AND TO DRESS.
14	AND WE
15	Q AFTER YOU
16	A AND THEN WE
17	Q EXCUSE ME. GIVE ME A CHANCE TO ASK YOU THE
18	QUESTIONS THAT I WANT TO ASK YOU.
19	A ALL RIGHT.
20	Q OKAY. YOU GOT UP AT 5:30 AND YOU GOT CLEANED
21	UP?
22	A YES.
23	Q WHAT DID YOU DO IMMEDIATELY AFTER YOU GOT UP
24	AND YOU GOT DRESSED AND YOU GOT CLEANED UP?
25	A WE GOT ON A BUS FROM CARPENTARIA. IT WAS BUS
26	TO SANTA BARBARA TO THE TEACHING CENTER, WHICH WAS THE
27	SCHOOL ALSO.
28	Q OKAY. WHERE WAS IT THAT YOU WERE STAYING AT?
-	

1	A	CARPENTARIA.
2	Q	WHAT KIND OF FACILITY DID THEY HAVE FOR YOU?
3	А	WE HAD APARTMENTS AND ROOMS IN THE APARTMENTS
4	THAT WAS OUR	QUARTERS.
5	Q	HOW MANY PEOPLE WERE IN THAT APARTMENT WITH
6	YOU?	
7	A	PROBABLY FOUR.
8	Q	OKAY. AND DID YOU EAT YOUR MEALS AT THAT
9	APARTMENT?	
10	A	NO.
11	Q	NOW, YOU GOT UP AND YOU GOT CLEANED UP AND YOU
12	GOT ON THE BU	JS. APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME IN THE MORNING?
13	A	SIX O'CLOCK.
14	Q	WHEN YOU GOT ON THE BUS, DO I UNDERSTAND YOU
15	CORRECTLY YOU	J WENT FROM CARPENTARIA TO SANTA BARBARA?
16	А	YES.
17	Q	WHAT DID YOU DO ON THE BUS?
18	А	DECREE THE ENTIRE TIME.
19	Q	NOW, ABOUT WHAT TIME WOULD YOU GET TO WHEREVER
20	THIS FACILITY	WAS IN SANTA BARBARA?
21	A	WELL, WE GOT ON THE BUS ABOUT SIX O'CLOCK. WE
22	WOULD DRIVE -	PROBABLY QUARTER TO 7:00.
23	Q	OKAY. WHAT WAS THE PLACE CALLED WHERE YOU WENT
24	то?	
25	A	IT WAS CALLED THE MOTHERHOUSE, BUT WE ALSO HAD
26	OUR SCHOOL TH	HERE.
27	Q	HOW MANY WEEKS DID YOU ATTEND THAT SCHOOL?
28	А	TWELVE WEEKS.

1	Q NOW, WE ARE ON THE BOS AND WE GOT TO THE
2	MOTHERHOUSE. WHAT DO YOU DO WHEN YOU GOT TO THE
3	MOTHERHOUSE?
4	A WE ATE BREAKFAST.
5	Q OKAY. AND THEN WHAT DID YOU DO?
6	A AND WE DECREED SOME MORE. WOULD SAY
7	HALF-AN-HOUR TO AN HOUR. I WOULD SAY MORE LIKE AN HOUR.
3	Q HOW DID YOU FEEL WHEN YOU DID THESE DECREES?
9	HOW DID YOU INSIDE PERSONALLY FEEL?
16	A I TENDED TO LIKE THEM. OTHER TIMES, THEY WOULD
11	MAKE YOU SO YOU WERE VERY PASSIVE AND THEREFORE YOU WOULD
12	ACCEPT EVERYTHING.
13	Q OKAY. NOW, YOU HAD BREAKFAST, YOU HAVE DONE
14	YOUR DECREES. WHAT DO YOU DO NOW?
15	A WE ATTEND CLASS AT NINE O'CLOCK.
16	Q NOW, I WANT YOU TO UNDERSTAND I AM NOT
17	INTERESTED IN THE RELIGIOUS BELIEFS THAT THEY TEACH YOU.
18	A RIGHT.
19	Q WHAT I WANT TO FIND OUT IS ABOUT THE SCHEDULE
20	THAT YOU KEEP.
21	A RIGHT.
22	Q NOW, YOU GO TO CLASS. DO YOU LEARN ANYTHING IN
23	CLASS ASIDE FROM THE CENTRAL RELIGIOUS BELIEF SYSTEM OF THIS
24	GROUP?
25	A NOT REALLY.
26	Q YOU LEARNED A NEW RELIGION?
27	A YES.
28	Q THE CLASS STARTS ABOUT 9:00 OR SO IN THE
-	

1	MORNING?
2	A YES.
3	Q WHAT TIME DOES THE MORNING SESSION FINISH?
4	A AT NOON.
5	Q THEN WHAT DO YOU DO?
6	A WE GO EAT.
7	Q AND AFTER YOU EAT, WHAT DO YOU DO?
8	A WE DECREED FOR AN HOUR.
9	Q LET'S SEE IF I UNDERSTAND RIGHT. YOU DECREED
10	ON THE BUS, YOU DECREED AFTER BREAKFAST, NOW YOU ARE
11	DECREEING AFTER LUNCH; IS THAT CORRECT?
12	A YES.
13	Q WHAT DO YOU DO IN THE AFTERNOON?
14	A CLASSES.
15	Q ARE THE CLASSES BASICALLY FOCUSED ON THE
<b>1</b> 6	RELIGIOUS BELIEF OF THE CHURCH?
17	A YES.
18	Q DO YOU HAVE AN INSTRUCTOR THAT TEACHES THIS TO
19	Aons
20	A YES.
21	Q DO YOU EVER SEE VIDEOTAPES?
22	A YES. QUITE OFTEN.
23	Q NOW, WITHOUT GOING INTO THE SUBJECT MATTER
24	CONTENT, WHO WAS USUALLY ON VIDEOTAPE?
25	A ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
26	Q AND SHE LECTURES TO YOU, DOES SHE?
27	A YES.
28	Q WERE YOU CAPABLE OF THOROUGHLY UNDERSTANDING

1	AND ASSIMILATING EVERYTHING THAT SHE WAS LECTURING ABOUT?
2	A NO.
3	Q WHY WAS THAT?
4	A BECAUSE I HAD QUESTIONS THAT WERE NOT ANSWERED.
5	Q DID YOU TRY TO UNDERSTAND?
6	A I SUPPOSE I TRIED, BUT I CAN SAY TRUTHFULLY NOW
7	THAT I DIDN'T FULLY UNDERSTAND.
3	Q DID YOU TRY TO TALK TO ANY OF YOUR CLASSROOM
9	INSTRUCTORS?
10	A WE WEREN'T SUPPOSED TO COMMUNICATE WITH THEM.
11	Q WELL, WERE YOU ABLE TO COMMUNICATE WITH THE
12	FELLOW STUDENTS SO THAT ALL OF YOU COULD UNDERSTAND THIS NEW
13	RELIGION?
14	A NO.
15	Q THERE WERE STRICTURES AGAINST
15	A COMMUNICATION WITH OTHER PEOPLE.
17	Q WERE THERE ANY RULES LIMITING HOW MANY PEOPLE
13	-COULD GET TOGETHER AND WHAT THEY COULD TALK ABOUT?
19	A WE COULDN'T TALK TO THE OPPOSITE SEX UNLESS
20	THERE WAS AT LEAST FIVE PEOPLE PRESENT, AND YOU COULDN'T
21	TALK OVER TEN MINUTES, AND YOU COULD NEVER LOOK IN THE EYES
22	OF THE OPPOSITE SEX AND YOU COULD TALK ABOUT TEACHINGS OR
23	GOD.
24	Q LET'S SAY THERE WAS A CONFLICT THAT AROSE
25	BETWEEN WHAT HAD BEEN YOUR RELIGIOUS BELIEF BEFORE AND THE
26	TEACHINGS OF THE NEW RELIGION. COULD YOU GET ON THE PHONE
27	AND CALL YOUR PASTOR AND DISCUSS IT WITH HIM?
28	A WE WEREN'T SUPPOSED TO HAVE ANY COMMUNICATION

1	WITH THE OUTSIDE WORLD.
2	Q OKAY. AFTER THE AFTERNOON SESSION WHERE YOU
3	WATCHED THESE LECTURES AND VIDEOTAPES, WHAT DID YOU DO THEN?
4	A AROUND FIVE O'CLOCK, WE HAD DINNER AND MORE
5	DECREES AND DID OUR HOMEWORK.
6	Q NOW, WHEN YOU HAD MORE DECREES AFTER DINNER
7	A YES.
8	Q DID YOU THEN RIDE THE BUS BACK TO YOUR
9	QUARTERS?
10	A YES. WE ALL
11	Q WHAT DID YOU DO ON THE BUS?
12	A DECREED.
13	Q NOW, ABOUT WHAT TIME WOULD YOU GET BACK TO YOUR
14	QUARTERS?
15	A WELL, WE WOULD GET ON THE BUS IT WAS SIX
16	O'CLOCK AFTER WE ATE. AND WE WOULD GET TO CARPENTARIA ABOUT
17	QUARTER TO 7:00.
13	Q WERE THERE SOME NIGHTS WHEN AFTER THE EVENING
19	MEAL AND AFTER THE DECREES THAT YOU DIDN'T GO DIRECTLY BACK
20	TO CARPENTARIA? WERE THERE SOME NIGHTS THAT YOU STAYED
21	THERE?
22	A YES.
23	Q WHAT DID YOU DO ON THOSE EVENINGS?
24	A WE WENT TO THE HEALING SERVICE ON WEDNESDAY
25	NIGHTS, AND SOMETIMES THE ASCENSION SERVICE ON FRIDAY AND
26	THE SAINT GERMAIN SERVICE ON SATURDAY.
27	Q DID YOU DECREE DURING THOSE SESSIONS?
28	A YES. SAINT GERMAIN SERVICE MAINLY ALL DECREES.

	Q ABOUT HOW FORM HOOFD THOSE SESSIONS ENGLISHED
2	A TWO TO THREE HOURS.
3	Q AND HOW MUCH OF THAT TIME WAS FILLED UP WITH
4	DECREES?
5	A PROBABLY MORE THAN HALF, FOR TWO HOURS.
5	Q NOW, I ASKED YOU BEFORE HOW YOU FELT INSIDE
7	ABOUT DECREES. BUT NOW LET ME ASK YOU THIS: PHYSICALLY,
8	HOW DID THOSE HOURS AND HOURS AND HOURS OF DECREEING MAKE
9	YOU FEEL PERSONALLY?
10	A VERY PASSIVE, VERY PLACID, AND THINGS THAT WERE
11	PROBLEMS NO LONGER BECAME REAL PROBLEMS. YOU COULD ACCEPT
12	MOST ANYTHING. YOU BECAME VERY PLIABLE.
13	Q DID YOU EVER GET DIZZY WHILE YOU WERE DOING
14	THAT?
15	A YES.
16	Q DID YOU EVER SEE PEOPLE NOD THEIR HEAD OFF
17	JUST BY WHAT I MEAN
18	A I DID IT MYSELF.
19	Q DO YOU KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HYPNOSIS?
20	A YES. IT IS VERY HYPNOTIC BECAUSE REPETITIOUS,
21	CONSTANTLY REPETITIOUS.
22	Q AFTER YOU HAD BEEN DOING DECREES FOR HOURS AND
23	HOURS AND HOURS, WERE YOU SPOKEN TO BY ANYONE?
24	A YES.
25	Q AND WHO WOULD THAT GENERALLY BE?
26	A AFTER THE NOGN DECREES OR AT MORNING DECREES,
27	USUALLY THE FIRST ONE WE HAD WAS ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET IN
28	PERSON.
_	

	1	Q SO AFTER YOU HAD DONE DECREES FOR A NUMBER OF
)	2	HOURS AND YOU WERE IN THE STATE YOU JUST TOLD ME ABOUT, THEN
,	3	MISS PROPHET
	4	A WOULD APPEAR.
	5	Q AND SHE WOULD LECTURE TO YOU?
	б	A YES.
	7	Q DID YOU EVER HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT IT
	8	WAS SHE WAS SAYING AFTER YOU HAD DONE ALL THAT DECREEING AND
	9	WERE NOW LISTENING TO HER?
	10	A THE QUESTIONS WERE NOT SO IMPORTANT AFTER
	11	DECREEING. YOU WERE READY TO AUTOMATICALLY ACCEPT WHAT WAS
	12	SAID MORE EASILY.
	13	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT THAT THE ANSWER IS
	14	NOT BEING RESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION AND ASK THAT IT BE
)	15	STRICKEN.
	16	THE COURT: MOTION IS GRANTED.
	17	JURY IS DIRECTED TO DISREGARD THE LAST ANSWER
	18	OF THE WITNESS.
	19	Q BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU FEEL THAT AFTER YOU HEARD
	20	WHATEVER IT WAS SHE HAD TO SAY TO YOU, THAT YOU WOULD REJECT
	21	OR ACCEPT WHAT IT WAS SHE WAS SAYING?
	22	A IT WAS USUALLY ACCEPT OR REJECT, CORRECT.
	23	Q WHAT I AM ASKING IS THIS: AFTER YOU HAD BEEN
	24	DECREEING AND THEN AFTER MISS PROPHET SPOKE TO YOU, DO YOU
	25	EVER REMEMBER A TIME THAT YOU WERE NOT IN COMPLETE
	26	ACCEPTANCE AND RECEPTIVITY TO WHATEVER HER MESSAGE WAS?
	27	A THAT WOULD HAPPEN SOMETIMES, YES.
	28	Q NOW, DID IT HAPPEN MOST TIMES THAT YOU JUST

1	TOOK WHATEVE	ER SHE SAID?
2	A	YES.
3	Q	LET ME JUMP BACK TO 1974 BEFORE YOU ACTUALLY
4	WENT TO SUMM	MIT UNIVERSITY. WERE YOU EMPLOYED AT THAT TIME?
5	A	YES.
5	Q	WHAT DID YOU DO?
7	A	I WAS DOING ARCHITECTURE AS A LICENSED BUILDING
ક	DESIGNER WIT	TH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
9	Q	HAD YOU BEEN DOING THAT FOR SOME TIME?
10	A	YES. FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS.
11	Q	HOW MANY YEARS?
12	A	PERHAPS FIVE.
13	Q	HAD YOU WORKED FOR OTHER FIRMS?
14	A	BEFORE THAT, YES. BUT AFTER NOT AFTER THAT.
15	Q	OKAY. DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU WORKED
16	FOR YOURSELF	?
17	A	YES.
18	Q	HAD YOUR OWN BUSINESS?
19	A	YES.
20	Q	DID THAT FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS?
21	A	YES.
22	Q	YOU WERE SELF-SUPPORTING?
23	A	YES.
24	Q	PAID YOUR BILLS?
25	A	YES.
26	Q	DID YOU ACQUIRE ANY PROPERTY WHILE YOU WERE
27	LIVING IN SA	N FRANCISCO?
28	A	YES. 58 CASELLI AND 71 CASELLI. I BOUGHT THEM

1	вотн.
2	Q ARE THOSE TWO RESIDENCES THAT YOU ARE
3	DESCRIBING?
4	A YES.
5	Q WHICH ONE DID YOU RESIDE IN?
6	A BOTH OF THEM. BUT I LIVED FOR MANY YEARS AT 58
7	CASELLI.
3	Q WHAT KIND OF STRUCTURE WAS THAT? WAS THAT A
9	SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING?
10	A YES. VICTORIAN. IT WAS A DUPLEX, I AM SORRY.
11	IT WASN'T A SINGLE FAMILY. IT WAS ORIGINALLY A SINGLE
12	FAMILY, BUT MADE IT INTO A DUPLEX.
13	Q DID YOU MAKE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR YOURSELF FOR
14	PROFESSIONAL OFFICES?
15	A YES.
16	Q DID YOU WORK FROM YOUR HOME?
17	A WELL, FROM THE REAR YARD WE MADE PROVISIONS TO
18	DO ARCHITECTURE.
19	Q DID YOU HAVE A NICE HOUSE?
20	A VERY NICE.
21	Q DID A MAGAZINE EVER CONSIDER PUTTING YOUR HOUSE
22	IN THEIR MAGAZINE?
23	A YES.
24	Q DO YOU WANT TO TELL THE COURT WHO THAT WAS?
25	A WELL, IT WAS IN PLAYBOY MAGAZINE FOR ONE THING.
26	IT WAS IN THE SAN FRANCISCO PAPER IF I REMEMBER CORRECT
27	REMEMBER CORRECTLY. AND SEEMED LIKE IT WAS IN SOME OTHER
. 28	PUBLICATION ALSO.

1	d 11 MW2 W MICE 119925:
2	A VERY, VERY NICE.
3	Q DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT HOW MUCH THAT HOUSE WAS
4	WORTH WHEN YOU WENT INTO SUMMIT UNIVERSITY? THE FAIR MARKET
5	VALUE.
6	A PROBABLY A HUNDRED THOUSAND OR MORE.
7	Q THAT WAS IN 1974?
8	A YES.
9	Q DID IT EVER APPRECIATE IN VALUE?
10	A YES.
11	Q DID YOU EVER PUT THAT HOUSE UP FOR SALE?
12	A YES.
13	Q WHEN YOU PUT IT UP FOR SALE, WHAT WAS THE
14	ASKING PRICE FOR THAT HOUSE?
15	A THE FIRST ASKING PRICE WAS TWO HUNDRED SIXTY
16	THOUSAND.
17	Q OKAY. NOW, I WANT TO ASK YOU ANOTHER QUESTION
18	AND I WANT TO GO BACK TO YOUR TIME AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY.
19	YOU'VE TOLD ME SOMEWHAT ABOUT YOUR DAY. YOU ATE AT THE
20	MOTHERHOUSE; IS THAT CORRECT?
21	A YES.
22	Q YOU ATE AT THE MOTHERHOUSE. COULD YOU DESCRIBE
23	FOR ME THE MEALS THAT WERE OFFERED AT THE MOTHERHOUSE?
24	A THEY WERE STRICTLY VEGETARIAN AND THEY WERE
25	BUFFET STYLE.
26	Q WAS THERE ANY MEAT OFFERED?
27	A NO.
28	Q WAS THERE ANY FISH OFFERED?
-	

1	A NO.
2	Q WAS THERE ANY CHICKEN OFFERED?
3	A NO.
4	Q WAS THERE ANY PORK OR BEEF OR LAMB?
5	A NO, THERE WASN'T.
6	Q PRIMARILY VEGETARIAN?
7	A IT WAS TOTALLY VEGETARIAN.
8	Q FOR THOSE PEOPLE AND YOURSELF AROUND YOU, DID
9	THAT MEAN A CHANGE OF DIET?
10	A YES. INCLUDING ME.
11	Q WERE YOU PARTIALLY A VEGETARIAN AT THAT TIME?
12	A PARTIALLY.
13	Q DID YOU SUPPLEMENT YOUR VEGETARIAN DIET WITH
14	PROTEIN?
15	A YES. I ATE EGGS, SOME FISH.
16	Q WHEN YOU GOT TO SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, WAS THERE
17	ANY PROTEIN TO SUPPLEMENT YOUR DIET WITH?
18	A NOT THAT I KNOW OF. UNLESS IT WOULD BE BEANS
19	OR PEANUT BUTTER.
20	Q NOW, WAS THERE ANY KIND OF REGULATIONS ABOUT
21	FASTING WHEN YOU WERE AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
22	A YES. ONCE A WEEK WE WOULD FAST FOR ONE DAY OR
23	72 HOURS. AND ONCE A MONTH, WE WOULD FAST THREE DAYS. ONE
24	DAY FAST FOLLOWED BY COLONICS OR
25	Q EXCUSE ME. WHEN YOU FIRST GOT THERE DURING THE
26	FIRST WEEK, YOU WERE MADE TO FAST FOR A DAY?
25 27	A YES.
28	Q WHAT IF YOU HAD HAD A MEDICAL PROBLEM? WOULD

1	YOU STILL HAVE HAD TO FAST?
2	A I DIDN'T HAVE A
3	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT.
4	THE COURT: JUST A SECOND. WHAT?
5	MR. KLEIN: I WAS GOING TO OBJECT BECAUSE IT LACKED
6	THE PROPER FOUNDATION.
7	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
8	THE WITNESS: I DIDN'T
9	THE COURT: JUST WAIT FOR THE NEXT QUESTION.
10	Q BY MR. LEVY: WHEN YOU FIRST WENT TO SUMMIT
11	UNIVERSITY, DID YOU HAVE ANY MEDICAL PROBLEMS THAT YOU KNEW
12	OF?
13	A NO, NOT THAT I KNEW OF.
14	Q DID YOU RECEIVE ANY KIND OF PHYSICAL
15	EXAMINATION AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
16	A NO.
17	Q DID YOU TALK TO ANYONE WITH REGARD TO THIS
18	FASTING PROCESS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
19	A NO. ONLY ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET TALKED TO US
20	ABOUT IT.
21	Q DID YOU TALK TO ANYBODY WITH ANY KIND OF
22	MEDICAL CAPABILITY WHO WAS CONNECTED WITH SUMMIT UNIVERSITY
23	BEFORE THE FASTING?
24	A NO.
25	Q DID YOU IN FACT FAST?
26	A YES.
27	Q HOW MANY DAYS A WEEK AT THE OUTSET?
28	A ONE DAY A WEEK, THREE DAYS A MONTH.
	1

1	Q NOW, WAS THE THREE DAYS A MONTH IN ADDITION TO
2	THE ONE DAY A WEEK?
3	A YES.
4	Q SO IT WOULD ACTUALLY BE SOMETHING LIKE ONCE A
5	WEEK PLUS AT ANOTHER TIME SOME THREE DAYS CONSECUTIVELY
6	DURING THE MONTH?
7	A (NODS HEAD UP AND DOWN.)
8	Q HOW DID YOU FEEL AFTER YOU DID THAT FASTING?
9	A I FELT SOMEWHAT WEAKENED.
10	Q NOW, LET'S GO BACK TO YOUR DAY. YOU AT
11	SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, YOU WOULD GO BACK TO YOUR QUARTERS
12	SOMEWHERE AROUND SIX O'CLOCK IN THE EVENING EXCEPT FOR THE
13	NIGHTS YOU STAYED THERE FOR SERVICES; IS THAT RIGHT?
14	A CORRECT.
15	Q WHEN YOU GOT BACK TO YOUR QUARTERS, WHAT DID
16	YOU DO?
17	A WE HAD OUR HOMEWORK, AND WE WOULD WORK ON OUR
18	HOMEWORK AND DECREE.
19	Q NOW, HOW LONG WOULD YOU WORK ON YOUR HOMEWORK?
20	A FOR HOURS. DEPENDING UPON WHEN IT WAS DUE.
21	SOMETIMES WE WOULD STAY UP TWO, THREE O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING
22	TO GET IT DONE TO TURN INTO CLASS THE NEXT DAY.
23	Q SO YOUR DAY BEGAN AT ONE DAY AT AROUND 5:00 OR
24	5:30 AND AVERAGE WAS THERE A LIGHT A TIME WHEN THE
25	LIGHTS WERE SUPPOSED TO BE TURNED OUT?
26	A PROBABLY, BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER JUST WHEN. BUT
27	WE WOULD STAY UP WHEN WE HAD TO HOME WORK TO ONE OR TWO
28	O'CLOCK.

•	
1	Q HOW OFTEN DID YOU HAVE HOMEWORK?
2	A ALWAYS. IT ACCUMULATED.
3	Q DID YOU EVER FEEL THAT YOU COULD DO EVERYTHING
4	THAT IT WAS YOU WERE REQUIRED TO DO WITHIN A NORMAL
5	EIGHT-HOUR DAY?
6	A NOT REALLY.
7	Q AS PART OF YOUR HOMEWORK WHEN YOU WENT TO
8	SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, WERE YOU REQUIRED TO WRITE A CLEARANCE
9	LETTER?
10	A YES. BUT THE BEST DESCRIPTION OF -
11	Q EXCUSE ME. WERE YOU REQUIRED
12	A YES.
13	Q WHAT IS A CLEARANCE LETTER AS YOU UNDERSTAND
14	IT?
15	A A CLEARANCE LETTER IS REALLY A CONFESSION
16	LETTER AS ANY CONFESSION WOULD BE. BUT IT IS IN WRITING.
17	AND YOU ARE TOLD THAT ONLY WHAT YOU CONFESSED IS WHAT YOU
18	WILL BE FORGIVEN OF. AND YOU HAD DIFFERENT THINGS THAT YOU
19	WERE SUPPOSED TO CONFESS.
20	ONE WAS EVERY PERSON YOU HAD SEX WITH, WHEN AND
21	WHO AND WHERE. IF YOU TOOK DRUGS, YOU WOULD HAVE TO SAY THE
22	DATE, TIME AND PLACE. ANY TRAUMATIC EXPERIENCE, LIKE A CAR
23	ACCIDENT, DATE, TIME AND PLACE. ANYBODY THAT YOU WANTED TO
24	BE CLEARED FROM THAT WERE YOUR ENEMIES, NAME AND ADDRESS.
25	OR PEOPLE YOU WANT TO BE CLEARED FROM THAT WERE NOT YOUR
26	ENEMIES, THEIR NAME AND ADDRESS.
27	Q WERE YOU TOLD WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN TO THAT
23	CLEARANCE LETTER?

1	A TES. THE CLEARANCE LETTER WOOLD ONLY BE READ
2	BY ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET AT THE ALTER AND SUDDENLY AS IT
3	HAPPENED. AND THEN IT WAS TO BE BURNED IMMEDIATELY. AND
4	THE ONLY CONFESSION WOULD BE KNOWN TO ELIZABETH CLARE
5	PROPHET AND GOD.
6	Q DID YOU WRITE A CONFESSION LETTER?
7	A YES, I DID. VERY EXTENSIVE ONE.
8	Q DID YOU FEEL THAT IF YOU WROTE THAT CONFESSION
9	LETTER, THAT YOU WOULD BECOME MORE ACCEPTABLE TO GOD?
10	A YES.
11	Q DID YOU PUT IN THERE THINGS ABOUT YOUR PAST?
12	A YES.
13	Q DID YOU PUT IN THERE THINGS ABOUT YOUR SEXUAL
14	BACKGROUND?
15	A TOTALLY.
16	Q NOW, DID YOU CONFESS IN YOUR CONFESSION ABOUT
17	HOMOSEXUAL EXPERIENCES?
18	A YES, I DID WHEN I WAS IN MY TWENTIES, I HAD
19	HOMOSEXUAL ENCOUNTERS AND I PUT THIS IN THE CONFESSION
20	LETTER.
21	Q WHEN YOU WERE AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, DID YOU
22	FOLLOW A CELIBATE
23	A YES.
24	Q PROCESS?
25	A TOTALLY CELIBATE.
26	Q AFTER THE EXPERIENCES IN YOUR EARLY LIFE IN
27	YOUR TWENTIES, WAS THERE ANY TIME WHEN YOU FOLLOWED A
28	HOMOSEXUAL PATH?

1	A TES. IN MY REPNACE AND INCIDITES INTINE
2	Q OKAY. AFTER YOU BECAME ASSOCIATED WITH THIS
3	CHURCH, DID YOU DISCUSS YOUR SEXUAL PAST WITH ANYONE AT THE
4	CHURCH OTHER THAN WHAT YOU PUT IN YOUR CONFESSION LETTER?
5	A NO.
6	Q WHEN DURING THE COURSE OF THE TIME THAT YOU
7	WERE WITH THIS CHURCH, DID YOU LIVE EITHER A CELIBATE
8	EXISTENCE OR A MARRIED LIFE?
9	A WHAT WAS THE QUESTION AGAIN?
10	Q DURING THE TIME THAT YOU WERE CONNECTED WITH
11	THIS CHURCH, FOR THE MOST PART WERE YOU CELIBATE?
12	A I WAS ALWAYS CELIBATE EXCEPT WHEN I WAS MARRIED
13	WHILE I WAS IN THE CHURCH FOR THREE MONTHS.
14	Q OKAY. HOW LONG DID IT TAKE YOU TO WRITE THAT
15	CLEARANCE LETTER?
16	A IT TOOK ME TEN PAGES. WE WERE GIVEN SEVERAL
17	WEEKS TO WRITE IT.
13	Q AND AFTER YOU WROTE IT, WAS THERE A CEREMONY OF
19	SOME SORT?
20	A YES, IT WAS.
21	Q CAN YOU TELL ME BRIEFLY WHAT HAPPENED DURING
22	THAT CEREMONY?
23	A WE ALWAYS KNEW THERE WOULD BE CLEARANCES WHEN
24	ELIZABETH CAME INTO THE MAIN CHURCH AREA WITH HER TWO-EDGED
25	SWORD. AND YOU WANT ME TO EXPLAIN THE CLEARANCE?
26	Q WHAT I WANT TO KNOW IS ABOUT THIS CEREMONY WITH
27	THE CLEARANCE LETTERS.
28	A YEAH.
-	

1 1	Q AT THAT CEREMONT, 13 THAT THE MELLY
2	STRIKE ALL THAT.
3	WHAT WERE YOU TOLD AGAIN IT WOULD BE THAT
4	WOULD HAPPEN WITH THOSE CLEARANCE LETTERS ONCE YOU WROTE
5	THEM?
6	A GOD WOULD FORGIVE US FOR OUR SINS. AND ONLY IF
7	WE PUT DOWN OUR SINS IN FULL COULD WE BE FORGIVEN.
8	Q DID ANYONE AT THAT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY TELL YOU
9	PHYSICALLY WHAT WOULD HAPPEN WITH THAT LETTER THAT YOU HAD
10	WRITTEN?
11	A THAT IT WILL BE BURNED AT THE TIME OF CLEARANCE
12	OR EXORCISM BY ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET WITH HER TWO-EDGED
13	SWORD.
14	Q WAS THERE ACTUALLY A TIME IN A CEREMONY WHERE
15	YOUR CLEARANCE LETTER WAS BURNED?
16	A I DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER IT WAS BURNED OR NOT AT
17	THAT TIME.
18	Q DID YOU SEE IT BURNED?
19	A NO, I NEVER DID.
20	Q DID YOU TRUST ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET TO BURN
21	YOUR CLEARANCE LETTER?
22	A YES, I DID. BUT IT WAS NOT BURNED. I AM QUITE
23	SURE.
24	Q AT THAT TIME, WHEN YOU ATTENDED THAT CEREMONY,
25	DID YOU BELIEVE THAT ALL OF THE THINGS THAT YOU HAD PUT INTO
26	YOUR CONFESSION
27	A WERE GONE FOREVER.
28	I AM SORRY IF I ANSWERED YOUR QUESTION BEFORE

-	
1	YOU ASKED IT.
2	Q THAT IS OKAY, GREGORY. I WILL TRY TO GO A
3	LITTLE BIT FASTER FOR YOU.
4	DO YOU RECALL AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY WHAT YOUR
5	PHYSICAL FEELING WAS AFTER 12 WEEKS OF APPARENTLY LONG DAYS,
<b>a</b> 6	FROM YOUR DESCRIPTION, AND THE FASTING AND THE DECREEING?
7	HOW DID YOU FEEL PHYSICALLY?
8	A I FELT VERY WEEK AND THE WORLD SEEMED UNREAL TO
9	ME. IT WAS LIKE GOING AROUND IN THE WORLD AFTER SURGERY.
10	IT WAS VERY STRANGE.
11	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THE SECOND PART
12	OF THAT ANSWER AS BEING UNRESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION.
13	THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.
14	Q BY MR. LEVY: WERE YOU REQUIRED TO TAKE ENEMAS
15	WHILE YOU WERE THERE?
16	A YES.
17	Q COLONICS?
18	A YES.
19	Q DID THAT FURTHER WEAKEN YOU PHYSICALLY?
20	A YES. AND MENTALLY TOO.
21	Q AFTER YOU ATTENDED SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, HOW DID
22	YOU FEEL ABOUT ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET?
23	A BY THE END OF THE QUARTER, IT WAS TOWARD THE
24	BEGINNING OF ORIENTATION, WE WOULD BE MELTED DOWN
25	Q EXCUSE ME.
26	A YES.
27	Q WHAT I WANT TO KNOW IS AT THE CONCLUSION OF
28	YOUR QUARTER, HAD YOU MADE UP YOUR MIND IN YOUR FEELINGS
_	

1	ABOUT ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET?
2	A YES.
3	Q WOULD YOU TELL ME WHAT YOUR
4	A I WAS COMPLETELY CONTROLLED.
5	Q WHAT I WANT TO KNOW IS
6	THE COURT: LISTEN TO THE QUESTION, PLEASE.
7	THE WITNESS: YES.
8	THE COURT: AND WAIT UNTIL THE QUESTION IS COMPLETED.
9	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.
10	THE COURT: OKAY.
11	Q BY MR. LEVY: AT THE END OF YOUR 12 WEEKS AT
12	SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, DID YOU BELIEVE ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET
13	WAS A SPOKESMAN FOR GOD?
14	A YES.
15	Q AT THE END OF THE 12 WEEKS THERE, WOULD YOU
16	HAVE DONE ANYTHING THAT SHE TOLD YOU TO DO?
17	A YES, I BELIEVE I WOULD.
18	Q DID YOU ACCEPT HER AND ALL OF HER TEACHINGS AT
19	THAT TIME?
20	A YES, I DID.
21	Q AFTER THE 12 WEEKS THERE, WERE YOU READY TO PUT
22	ASIDE ALL OF YOUR FORMER RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AND FOLLOW ALL OF
23	THE BELIEFS OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT?
24	A YES.
25	MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. LEADING.
26	THE WITNESS: SORRY.
27	MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION. LEADING.
28	THE COURT: ILL OVERRULE THIS OBJECTION.

_ 1	BUT PLEASE TRY AS BEST YOU CAN, MR. LEVY, TO
2	AVOID LEADING QUESTIONS.
3	Q BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU DISCUSS YOUR NEW BELIEF
4	SYSTEM WITH YOUR FAMILY AND YOUR FRIENDS?
5	A YES, I DID.
<b>a</b> 6	Q WHAT WAS THE REACTION OF YOUR FAMILY?
7	A THEY DIDN'T APPROVE TOO MUCH EXCEPT MY DAUGHTER
8	AND SHE DID APPARENTLY TO SOME DEGREE.
9	Q WITH REGARD TO YOUR FRIENDS WHO DIDN'T APPROVE,
10	DID YOU CONTINUE TO SEE THEM?
11	A NO.
12	Q WHY WAS THAT?
. 13	A BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T THINK IT WAS PROPER AND WE
14	WERE TOLD NOT TO COMMUNICATE WITH PEOPLE THAT WOULD NOT
15	ACCEPT THE TEACHINGS.
16	Q WERE YOU TAUGHT THAT PEOPLE OUTSIDE OF THE
17	TEACHINGS OF THIS CHURCH WERE TO BE AVOIDED?
18	A YES.
19	Q WERE YOU TOLD TO BE SUSPECT?
20	A YES. BECAUSE THEY WOULD DECREE OR WORK AGAINST
21	US.
22	Q NOW, WHEN, TO THE BEST OF YOUR RECOLLECTION,
23	DID THAT QUARTER AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY CONCLUDE?
24	A IT STARTED ABOUT JANUARY 3RD, 1984 (SIC)
25	'85 (SIC), AND IT LASTED THREE MONTHS.
26	Q DID YOU RETURN TO SAN FRANCISCO AFTER THE
27	QUARTER?
28	A YES.
_	

1	Q DID YOU GET ON WITH YOUR BUSINESS PURSUITS?
2	A YES.
3	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER CONTACT WITH ELIZABETH
4	CLARE PROPHET?
5	A YES.
6	Q WOULD YOU TELL US, AS BEST AS YOU CAN, SOME OF
7	THE CONTACTS THAT YOU HAD WITH MISS PROPHET?
8	A WELL, WE WOULD GO SHOPPING BECAUSE I HAD A PASS
9	TO MERCHANDISE MART. AND WE WOULD ALSO GO TOGETHER TO
10	PHILLEPELLO, WHICH WAS HER FAVORITE ANTIQUE STORE, ABOUT A
11	FIVE-STORY BUILDING FILLED WITH ANTIQUES AND CHURCH
12	ARTIFACTS.
13	Q DID YOU WRITE LETTERS TO MISS PROPHET?
14	A YES.
15	Q DID SHE ANSWER YOUR LETTERS?
16	A NOT TOO OFTEN. BUT I THINK I DID GET SOME
17	LETTERS FROM HER.
18	Q DID YOU GO OUT TO DINNER WITH HER SOME TIME?
19	A YES. AND SHE WOULD COME UP AND WE WOULD GO
20	SHOPPING. WE WOULD USUALLY GO TO DINNER.
21	Q DID YOU INSTIGATE THE CONTACTS WITH HER? DID
22	YOU CALL HER AND SAY, "I'D LIKE YOU TO COME UP TO SAN
23	FRANCISCO AND WE WILL GO OUT TO DINNER"?
24	A NO. SHE WOULD INSTIGATE THE CONTACTS ON HER
25	SCHEDULE, HER TIMING.
26	Q SO YOU WENT SHOPPING AT THIS PHILLEPELLO IS
27	THAT THE NAME OF THE PLACE?
28	A YES. IT WAS ONE OF THE ONES, MAIN ONES, AND

1	THE MERCHANDISE MART IN SAN FRANCISCO. I GOT HER AND OTHER
2	MEMBERS OF THE CHURCH A PASS TO MERCHANDISE MART.
3	Q YOU TRIED TO SAVE THE CHURCH MONEY?
4	A YES.
5	Q DID YOU GO SHOPPING FOR FURNITURE?
6	A YES.
7	Q DID YOU GIVE HER ADVICE ABOUT DECORATING?
8	A YES.
9	Q DID YOU CORRESPOND WITH HER ABOUT FABRICS AND
10	CHAIRS AND FURNITURE AND THE STYLES OF FURNITURE?
11	A YEAH. YES.
12	Q AND DID THIS CORRESPOND AND THIS WOULD YOU
13	CALL IT A FRIENDSHIP?
14	A I FELT IT WAS A FRIENDSHIP.
15	Q DID THAT GO ON DURING THE YEAR 1975?
16	A YES.
17	Q DID IT CONTINUE DURING THE YEAR OF 1976?
18	A YES. I FELT IT DID ANYWAY.
19	Q 1977?
20	A YES.
21	Q DID MISS PROPHET EVER VISIT YOUR HOME IN SAN
22	FRANCISCO?
23	A YES. MORE THAN ONE OCCASION.
24	Q WHEN SHE VISITED YOUR HOME, DID SHE DO THAT BY
25	HERSELF OR WITH ANYONE ELSE?
25	A WELL, ONE TIME I REMEMBER ONE TIME SHE CAME
27	BY HERSELF I THINK. ANOTHER TIME SHE CAME WITH RANDALL KING
28	TO SEE AN ARMOIRE.
<del></del>	

	1	Q WHO IS RANDALL KING?
•	2	A RANDALL KING WAS HER THIRD HUSBAND.
	3	Q THE TWO OF THEM VISITED YOUR HOME?
	4	A YES.
	5	Q PRIOR TO VISITING YOUR HOME, HAD YOU BEEN
	6	SHOPPING WITH ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET AND HER HUSBAND, MR.
	7	KING?
	8	A YES.
	9	Q WHAT HAD YOU BEEN SHOPPING FOR?
	10	A ONE TIME WE BOUGHT \$10,000 TABLE AT PHILLEPELLO
	11	FOR HER DINING ROOM, DINING ROOM TABLE AND CHAIRS.
	12	Q ON THE OCCASION THAT MISS PROPHET AND HER
	13	HUSBAND AT THAT TIME VISITED YOUR HOME, WHAT HAD YOU BEEN
	14	SHOPPING FOR ON THAT OCCASION?
	15	A ARMOIRE. PHILLEPELLO'S WERE BEAUTIFUL, BUT
	16	THEY WERE NOT VERY PROPORTIONED FOR ANY ROOM THAT SHE HAD IN
	17	HER HOME AND
	13	Q DID SHE DESCRIBE TO YOU HER HOME AND WELL,
	19	HAD YOU SEEN HER HOME?
	20	A I HAD SEEN IT AND ALSO SHE DESCRIBED IT.
	21	Q SO IS MY UNDERSTANDING CORRECT YOU WERE LOOKING
	22	FOR AN ARMOIRE THAT WOULD FIT IN HER HOME?
	23	A YES.
	24	Q NOW, YOU SAID THE PROPORTIONS OR THE SIZE OF
	25	THE ARMOIRES
	26	A WERE NOT THE ONE THAT THEY HAD AT
	27	PHILLEPELLO WOULD NOT FIT. IT WOULD TAKE A BIGGER ROOM.
	28	Q DID YOU HAVE A DISCUSSION WITH HER BEFORE SHE

ı	VISITED YOUR HOME ABOUT AN ARMOIRE THAT YOU HAD AT YOUR
2	HOME?
3	A YES.
4	Q DID SHE AND HER HUSBAND AT THAT TIME VISIT YOU
5	AT YOUR HOME?
6	A YES.
7	Q DID YOU SHOW THEM YOUR ARMOIRE?
8	A YES.
9	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT HAPPENED ON THAT OCCASION?
10	A ON THAT OCCASION, I TOLD THEM BOTH THAT THEY
11	SHOULD SEE MY ARMOIRE BECAUSE IT WAS THE RIGHT PROPORTION
12	FOR ANY ROOM THAT SHE HAD AND IN THE ASHRAM, WHICH WAS NEAR
13	WILSHIRE BOULEVARD AND COUNTRY CLUB LANE IN LOS ANGELES.
14	THEY BOTH CAME TO MY HOME.
15	Q WAS THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF SHOWING YOUR ARMOIRE
16	TO SHOW THEM THE APPROXIMATE SIZE AND DIMENSIONS OF IT?
17	A YES.
18	Q DID THEY VISIT YOUR HOME AND LOOK AT IT?
19	A YES.
20	Q WHAT HAPPENED WHEN THEY VISITED YOUR HOME?
21	A WELL, RANDALL AND MISS PROPHET WENT INTO THE
22	ROOM ALONE FOR AWHILE. AND THEY CAME OUT AND I WAS TOLD
23	THAT THE MASTER WOULD BE VERY PLEASED TO HAVE THAT ARMOIRE.
24	AND EVERYTHING WAS IN TERMS OF RIGHT AND WRONG. AND SO,
25	THEREFORE, I FELT I WAS COMPELLED TO GIVE IT TO THEM, TO
26	HER.
27	Q DID YOU FEEL YOU WOULD BE EVIL IF YOU DIDN'T
28	GIVE HER THE ARMOIRE THEN?

1	A YES.
2	Q DID YOU BELIEVE SHE WAS A MESSENGER OF GOD AT
3	THAT TIME?
4	A YES. GOD INCARNATE, MESSENGER OF THE GREAT
5	WHITE BROTHERHOOD AT THAT TIME.
6	Q DID YOU GIVE HER THAT ARMOIRE OUT OF FEAR?
7	A YES.
8	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO LEADING, YOUR
9	HONOR.
10	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
11	THE WITNESS: I AM SORRY.
12	THE COURT: THE LAST ANSWER OF THE WITNESS IS
13	STRICKEN. THE JURY IS DIRECTED TO DISREGARD IT.
14	WE WILL TAKE OUR MORNING RECESS. WE WILL
15	RESUME IN 15 MINUTES.
16	(RECESS.)
17	THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED.
18	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
19	Q MR. MULL, BEFORE WE GET AWAY FROM YOUR
20	EXPERIENCES AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, WERE STRIKE THAT.
21	DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT ANYTHING WAS SUPPOSED
22	TO HAPPEN WITH REGARD TO YOUR ATTENDANCE AT SUMMIT
23	UNIVERSITY?
24	A YES.
25	MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.
26	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU REPHRASE IT, PLEASE.
27	MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR.
28	Q BEFORE YOU WENT TO SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, DID

ANYONE TELL YOU WHAT YOU COULD EXPECT WITH REGARD TO YOUR 1 2 BELIEF SYSTEM AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY? YES. RORY SAID THAT WE WOULD HAVE A LOT OF 3 QUESTIONS ANSWERED. AND OF COURSE AFTER ARRIVING AT THE 4 UNIVERSITY, THEY HAD ORIENTATION AND THEIR I LEARNED MORE. 5 6 WHAT DID THEY TELL YOU AT ORIENTATION? WELL, THE ORIENTATION WAS YOU NEVER LOOKED AT 7 8 THE OPPOSITE SEX IN THE EYES UNLESS THERE WAS -- AND NEVER -- AND THERE HAD TO BE FIVE PEOPLE PRESENT AND YOU 9 COULDN'T TALK OVER TEN MINUTES. YOU COULD ONLY TALK ABOUT 10 GOD OR YOUR HOMEWORK. 11 AND ALSO YOU WERE TOLD IN THE ORIENTATION THAT 12 YOU WOULD BE MELTED DOWN AND PUT IN A NEW FORMULA THE LAST 13 14 FOUR DAYS OF THE QUARTER AND YOU WOULD BE MOLDED IN A NEW IMAGE AND LIKENESS, NAMELY THEIR IMAGE AND LIKENESS. 15 DID YOUR LIFE CHANGE --15 Q TOTALLY --17 THE COURT: PLEASE WAIT UNTIL THE QUESTION IS 13 19 FINISHED. THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT. 20 BY MR. LEVY: NOW, AFTER YOU CAME BACK FROM 21 YOUR 12 WEEKS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, DID YOUR LIFE CHANGE IN 22 ANY REGARDS BASED ON WHAT YOU HAD BEEN TAUGHT AT SUMMIT 23 24 UNIVERSITY? YES. 25 Α AND HOW DID YOUR LIFE CHANGE? Q 26 IT SEEMED LIKE IT CHANGED IN MANY WAYS. LIKE 27 THE WORLD WAS MY ENEMY AND THE ONLY ONE I COULD RELY ON WAS 28

1	THE TEACHING COMMITTED TO US BY ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET,
2	THAT SHE WAS GOD INCARNATE.
3	Q WHEN YOU SAID THE WORLD WAS YOUR ENEMY, COULD
4	YOU BE A LITTLE BIT MORE EXPLICIT ABOUT THAT?
5	A WELL, THE PEOPLE WERE CARRYING A LOT PEOPLE
6	CARRIED BAD PREPARATIONS AND I WAS AFRAID OF THE PEOPLE.
7	Q HAD YOU BEEN AFRAID OF PEOPLE BEFORE?
3	A NOT THAT I WAS AWARE OF.
9	Q AFTER THAT 12 WEEKS THERE, ASIDE FROM THAT
10	CHANGE, WERE THERE ANY OTHER CHANGES IN HOW YOU LIVED YOUR
11	LIFE?
12	A I WAS MORE CAUTIOUS, MORE AWARE TO BE SPIRITUAL
13	AND TO ACT RELIGIOUS AND MORAL, ET CETERA. AND I WAS AWARE
14	THAT I WAS ALREADY MELTED DOWN AND IN THEIR FORM, THEIR
15	IMAGE, LIKENESS.
16	Q WHEN YOU CAME BACK FROM YOUR 12 WEEKS AT SUMMIT
17	UNIVERSITY, DID YOU CONTINUE TO DECREE?
13	A OH, YES. CERTAINLY.
19	Q AND COULD YOU TELL US IN THE COURSE OF AN
20	AVERAGE DAY HOW MUCH TIME YOU SPENT IN DECREEING?
21	A I WOULD SAY AT LEAST THREE HOURS. AT LEAST TWO
22	HOURS IN THE MORNING, SOMETIMES THREE IN THE MORNING.
23	Q NOW, WHEN YOU CAME BACK FROM YOUR QUARTER AT
24	SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, DID YOU ATTEND ANY SESSIONS AT THE
25	TEACHING CENTER IN SAN FRANCISCO?
26	A YES. I DID ON A REGULAR BASIS. THE HEALING
27	SERVICE, THE ASCENSION, SAINT GERMAIN ESPECIALLY AND I WENT
28	TO SUNDAY SERVICES.

1	Q AT THOSE SERVICES, DID YOU DECREE?
2	A OH, YES. GREAT DEAL.
3	Q HOW LONG A TIME DID THOSE SERVICES USUALLY
4	LAST? HOW MANY MINUTES OR HOURS?
5	A WELL, THE SAINT GERMAIN SERVICE WAS ABOUT THREE
6	HOURS AND DECREE THE LAST THREE HOURS MINIMUM. AND THE
7	ASCENSION SERVICE WAS THE SAME.
8	Q HOW DID YOU PHYSICALLY FEEL AFTER A SUNDAY
9	SERVICE WHERE YOU DECREED FOR THREE HOURS?
10	A AT DIFFERENT TIMES DIFFERENT THINGS. BUT I
11	FELT LIKE DECREEING MADE ME VERY PASSIVE, VERY INTO THE
12	TEACHINGS.
13	Q DID YOU MAINTAIN YOUR RELATIONSHIP WITH THIS
14	MR. RORY INGALLS AFTER YOU CAME BACK FROM THE QUARTER?
15	A YES. DEFINITELY.
16	Q DID YOU DECREE WITH HIM?
17	A IT WASN'T NECESSARY TO DECREE WITH HIM AFTER I
13	- HAD THE QUARTER AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY.
19	Q WHAT DID YOU THINK MIGHT HAPPEN TO YOU IF YOU
2.0	WENT A DAY WITHOUT DECREEING?
21	A IF I WENT A DAY WITHOUT DECREEING, I PROBABLY
22	WOULD HAVE REVERTED BACK TO EVERYTHING THAT I WAS BEFORE I
23	DECREED OR I FEARED EVEN WORSE IF I STOPPED DECREEING.
24	Q WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY STRIKE THAT.
25	ASIDE FROM THAT QUARTER AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY,
26	DID YOU ATTEND ANY OTHER CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT
27	FUNCTIONS OR MEETINGS?
28	A YES. I ATTENDED I THINK 22 CONFERENCES IN A

1	ROW. EVERY THREE MONTHS ABOUT A FIVE-DAY CONFERENCE. AND I
2	ATTENDED EVERY ONE OF THEM. AND MANY WITH MY DAUGHTER WHO
3	WAS THEN A TEENAGER.
4	Q WHAT HAPPENED AT THOSE CONFERENCES?
5	A I BECAME MORE INVOLVED IN THE TEACHINGS OF
6	ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
7	Q DID YOU DECREE DURING THOSE CONFERENCES?
8	A YES. FOR HOURS.
9	Q YOU TOLD ME ABOUT THAT INCIDENT IN YOUR HOME.
10	A YES.
11	Q DID YOU TURN YOUR ARMOIRE OVER TO ELIZABETH
12	CLARE PROPHET?
13	A YES, I DID.
14	Q WOULD YOU TELL ME WHY?
15	A WELL, IT WAS LIKE TURNING IT OVER TO GOD. HOW
16	CAN YOU REFUSE GOD ANYTHING?
17	Q YOU CORRESPONDED WITH HER DURING THE YEAR 1975?
18	A PROBABLY DID.
19	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF WHAT YOU MIGHT
20	HAVE WRITTEN HER ABOUT?
21	A NO. NOT RECALL, NO.
22	Q DID YOU WRITE HER ABOUT ANYTHING TO DO WITH
23	ARCHITECTURE?
24	A NOT THAT I KNOW OF. BUT I MAY HAVE.
25	Q DID YOU TALK TO HER ABOUT PLANS FOR THE
26	DEVELOPMENT OF HER ASHRAM OR AUSHRAM?
27	A MAINLY SHE TALKED TO ME ABOUT THE ASHRAM AND
28	ABOUT THE CAMELOT PROPERTY.

1	Q WERE THERE ANY WAS ANY OF THE CORRESPONDENCE
2	TO DO WITH YOUR PERSONAL NEEDS?
3	A NOT THAT I RECALL.
4	Q DURING THIS COURSE OF TIME, YOU WROTE LETTERS
5	TO HER?
б	A I PROBABLY DID.
7	Q DID SHE WRITE ANY LETTERS TO YOU?
8	A I CAN'T REMEMBER. I REMEMBER I WROTE MORE
9	LETTERS THAN SHE DID.
10	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF A HOUSE THAT
11	WAS SHAPED LIKE A FIVE-POINTED STAR?
12	A YES.
13	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY CORRESPONDENCE WITH MISS
 14	PROPHET ABOUT A HOUSE
15	A YES.
16	Q WOULD YOU TELL US ABOUT THAT?
17	A I PRESENTED TO MR. AND MRS. KING, OR ELIZABETH
18	CLARE PROPHET AND HER THEN HUSBAND, FIVE-POINTED STAR HOUSE
19 ·	THAT SHE COULD BUILD ANY PLACE AS A PRIVATE RESIDENCE.
20	Q DID SHE REQUEST - DID SHE, MISS PROPHET, DID
21	SHE REQUEST ANY OF YOUR ASSISTANCE IN ANY OF THE ONGOING
22	FURNISHING OF ANY OF HER PROPERTIES DURING THE YEARS 1975
23	AND '6 AND '7?
24	A YES.
25	Q WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT APPROXIMATELY, AS BEST
26	AS YOU CAN, WHAT TRANSPIRED BETWEEN THE TWO OF YOU?
27	A SHE WAS VERY INTERESTED IN THE FACT THAT I HAD
28	A MERCHANDISE MART PASS, AND BUY IT WHOLESALE AND I COULD

1	FURNISH IT TO HER AND MEMBERS OF HER CHURCH.
2	Q DID SHE UTILIZE THAT PASS?
3	A YES. AND WE ALSO WENT SHOPPING TOGETHER ON
4	MORE THAN ONE OCCASION. BOTH IN L.A. AND SAN FRANCISCO.
5	Q DO YOU RECALL WHERE THE BY THE WAY, DID YOU
6	EVER BUY ANY FURNISHINGS TOGETHER WITH MISS PROPHET WHEN YOU
7	WENT ON THOSE SHOPPING TRIPS?
8	A WE PICKED UP ON THINGS. I CAN'T REMEMBER
9	WHETHER SHE PAID FOR THEM AND HAD THEM DELIVERED OR NOT.
10	Q WERE THE FURNISHINGS THAT
11	A YES.
12	Q EXCUSE ME.
13	A 'I WOULD SAY YES BECAUSE I KNOW THAT \$10,000
14	TABLE FOR THE DINING ROOM AT THE ASHRAM, IT WAS DELIVERED.
15	Q OKAY. AND WHERE IS THAT ASHRAM OF THE WORLD
16	MOTHER LOCATION?
17	A ON COUNTRY CLUB DRIVE AND IT IS JUST A COUPLE
13	OF BLOCKS OFF OF WILSHIRE BOULEVARD.
19	Q AND THAT IS HERE IN LOS ANGELES?
20	A YES.
21	Q AND YOU WENT SHOPPING FOR THOSE FURNISHINGS IN
22	SAN FRANCISCO?
23	A YES. SOMEWHAT, YES.
24	Q DID YOU GO SHOPPING FOR STAINED GLASS?
25	A YES.
26	Q COULD YOU TELL THE COURT BRIEFLY WHAT THOSE
27	SHOPPING TRIPS ENTAILED AND WHAT THE PURPOSE OF THEM WAS?
28	A THE STAINED GLASS WAS SOMETHING THAT WE ORDERED
<del>-</del>	

<del>-- - -</del> -- --

1	FROM AN ANTIQUE STORE IN SAN FRANCISCO. AND IT WAS FOR THE
2	WILL OF GOD FOCUS AT HER HOME IN LOS ANGELES. AT THE ASHRAM
3	OF THE WORLD MOTHER WAS A GAZEBO THAT WAS MADE OVER INTO A
4	DECREEING ROOM.
5	Q WERE YOUR SERVICES SOLICITED TO DO THAT
б	SHOPPING?
7	A YES, THEY WERE.
8	Q DID YOU MAKE YOURSELF AVAILABLE AT HER
9	CONVENIENCE?
10	A YES.
11	Q AS BEST AS YOU CAN, WILL YOU TELL ME ABOUT YOUR
12	RELATIONSHIP WITH ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET DURING THE YEARS
13	*75 AND *76?
14	A WELL, I THOUGHT THEY WERE VERY CLOSE. THEY
15	WERE ALWAYS ON HER TERMS, BUT I THOUGHT THE RELATIONSHIP WAS
16	VERY CLOSE.
17	Q DID MISS PROPHET WRITE TO YOU ABOUT HER NEEDS
13	AND THE NEEDS OF THE CHURCH DURING THOSE YEARS?
19	A SHE MAINLY TALKED TO ME THAN WRITE CONCERNING
20	IT.
21	Q WAS MONEY ANY PART OF THOSE DISCUSSIONS?
22	A ONLY THAT SHE SAID THAT SHE NEEDED MONEY.
23	Q WERE YOU AT ANY TIME ASKED TO SOLICIT FRIENDS
24	OF YOURS INTO MAKING DONATIONS TO
25	A YES.
26	Q AND DID YOU DO THAT?
27	A YES.
28	Q DO YOU RECALL OFFHAND, AND CAN YOU TELL THIS

COURT SOMEWHAT, ABOUT THOSE SOLICITATIONS FOR MONEY FOR THIS 1 2 ORGANIZATION? 3 WELL, ONE WAS A FRIEND OF MINE, PETER MITDEMDORF, THAT HAD TO GIVE AWAY -- WELL, LET ME THINK. HE 5 HAD TO GIVE AWAY FROM \$2,000,000 INTEREST. A QUARTER MILLION DOLLARS A YEAR, HE HAD TO GIVE IT AWAY. AND THE 6 PERSON THAT GOT TO PETER WAS A FELLOW FROM TUCSON, ARIZONA. 7 I CAN'T REMEMBER HIS NAME RIGHT OFF. 8 AND LATER THEY CONTACTED HIM AND ASKED HIM TO 9 10 GIVE MONEY TO THE MONTESSORI SCHOOL, WHICH HE DID. HE GAVE 11 TWO THOUSAND A YEAR FOR FIVE YEARS THAT I KNOW OF. 12 WERE YOU INSTRUCTED BY ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET, 13 OR ANY OF YOUR PEERS AT CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT, TO 14 TALK TO YOUR FRIENDS AND ACQUAINTANCES ABOUT MONEY FOR THIS 15 CHURCH? 16 YES. 17 MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION. LEADING, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 13 19 MR. KLEIN: AND THE ANSWER, I WOULD ASK THAT IT BE 20 STRICKEN. 21 THE WITNESS: YES. THE COURT: THE LAST ANSWER OF THE WITNESS IS 22 23 STRICKEN. THE JURY IS DIRECTED TO DISREGARD IT. 24 BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU RAISE FUNDS FOR THIS Q 25 CHURCH? PERSONALLY, YES. 26 27 Q DID YOU GIVE OF YOUR OWN MONEY TO THIS CHURCH? I MORTGAGED MY HOME FOR THIRTY-THREE HUNDRED 28

1	AND GAVE TO THEM. ONE THOUSAND TO ELIZABETH, TWO THOUSAND
2	TO QUARTERS.
3	Q DID THE CHURCH GIVE YOU BOOKS ABOUT THEIR
4	RELIGION FOR YOU TO STUDY?
5	A NO. I BOUGHT BOOKS.
6	Q WAS THERE ANY ESSENTIAL BOOK THAT CONTAINED
7	THAT THAT YOU COULD STUDY?
8	A WHAT DID YOU MEAN?
9	Q THE BELIEFS OF THE CHURCH.
10	A OH, YES, THERE ARE BOOKS THAT ALL EXPLAIN IT IN
<b>11</b>	ONE WAY OR ANOTHER.
12	Q WAS THERE ONE BOOK IN PARTICULAR THAT SET OUT
13	THE RELIGIOUS BELIEFS OF THIS ORGANIZATION?
14	A YES. "CLIMB THE HIGHEST MOUNTAIN" BY ELIZABETH
15	CLARE PROPHET AND STANLEY PETROSKI.
16	Q DID THE CHURCH GIVE YOU ANY RELIGIOUS MATERIAL
17	WHILE YOU WERE ASSOCIATED WITH THE CHURCH?
18	A SOME, BUT NOT VERY MUCH.
19	Q DID YOU HAVE TO PURCHASE MOST OF THE MATERIAL?
20	A YES.
21	Q DID YOU ATTEND THE SECOND QUARTER AT SUMMIT
22	UNI VERSITY?
23	A YES.
24	Q AND WHEN DID YOU ATTEND THE SECOND QUARTER?
25	A PROBABLY IN 1977. I THINK IT WAS TWO YEARS
26	AFTER THE FIRST QUARTER THAT I WENT TO THE SECOND ONE.
27	Q DID YOU HAVE TO PAY TO GO TO THE SECOND
28	QUARTER?

1	A	YES.
2	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER HOW MUCH YOU PAID?
3	А	ABOUT \$1,700.
4	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER WHERE THAT WAS HELD?
5	A	IT WAS HELD IN IN PASADENA.
6	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER WHERE THE FACILITY WAS LOCATED
7	THAT IT WAS	HELD AT?
8	A	I CAN'T REMEMBER THE NAME OF IT, BUT I THINK IT
9	WAS THE AIME	E SEMPLE MC PHERSON PROPERTY ON THE UPPER HILLS
10	HERE IN PASA	DENA.
11	Q	WHAT WERE YOUR DAYS LIKE AT YOUR SECOND
12	QUARTER?	
13	A	VERY SIMILAR TO THE FIRST QUARTER.
14	Q	WHAT TIME DID YOU GET UP IN THE MORNING THERE?
15	A	ABOUT SAME TIME EVERYTHING WAS. AND WE WERE
16	BUSED ALSO F	ROM CARPENTARIA INTO NO. HERE I THINK WE
17	LIVED AT THE	DORMITORIES.
18	Q	OKAY.
19	А	I AM A LITTLE MIXED UP.
20	Q	IT IS OKAY. TAKE YOUR TIME.
21	A	ALL RIGHT.
22	Q	PRETTY MUCH THE SAME SCHEDULE THROUGHOUT THE
23	DAY?	
24	A	YES. YES.
25	Q	GET UP AT ABOUT THE SAME TIME?
26	A	YES.
27	Q	5:30 OR SO IN THE MORNING?
28	A	YES. THEN TAKE A BATH AND DECREE.
	ł	

1	Q WERE THE TEACHINGS VERY SIMILAR TO THE FIRST
2	QUARTER?
3	A VERY SIMILAR, BUT VERY INTENSE.
4	Q WHEN YOU SAY INTENSE, WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
5	A WELL, JUST THAT IT WAS GIVEN TO US AS A GREAT
6	URGENCY, THEN WE'D TRY TO ASSIMILATE IT.
7	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT TIME DURING THE YEAR 1977
8	THAT WAS THAT YOU WENT?
9	A I THINK IT WAS THE FALL QUARTER.
10	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF THE MONTHS THAT
11	YOU WERE THERE IN PASADENA?
12	A OCTOBER, NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER PROBABLY.
13	Q WAS THERE ANYTHING THAT OCCURRED DURING THAT
14	QUARTER THAT YOU REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY THAT WAS DIFFERENT?
15	A THE EXORCISM AND THE CONFESSION LETTER WAS THE
16	SAME. BUT THE EXORCISM WAS DIFFERENT.
17	Q AND HOW WAS IT DIFFERENT?
18	A IN THE FIRST QUARTER DURING THE EXORCISM, I GOT
19	VERY HIGH AND FELT LIKE I WAS WALKING ON THE AIR. IN THE
20	SECOND QUARTER, I DIDN'T FEEL IT AT ALL. AND I CRIED
21	BECAUSE NOTHING HAPPENED. NOTHING AT ALL HAPPENED, PERIOD.
22	FROM THE SWORD OR THE CLEARANCE OR ANYTHING.
23	Q DID YOU MEET A WOMAN STRIKE THAT.
24	WERE ANY ARRANGEMENTS MADE DURING THAT SECOND
25	QUARTER THAT LED TO A MARRIAGE FOR YOU?
26	A YES.
27	Q WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT ABOUT THAT?
23	A WELL, EVENTUALLY ELIZABETH TOLD ME I WAS TO

1	MARRY KATHLEEN HAMMOND, WHOM I DID MARRY ON CHRISTMAS EVE,
2	1976. I BELIEVE IT WAS THEN.
3	Q DID YOU MARRY HER SOMETIME DURING THE COURSE OF
4	THAT QUARTER?
5	A NO. IT WAS BEFORE. IT WAS NOT DURING THE
б	QUARTER THAT WE WERE MARRIED.
7	Q DID YOU KNOW THAT PARTY FOR SOME LENGTH OF TIME
8	BEFORE YOU WERE MARRIED?
9	A KATHLEEN, YES.
10	Q AND HOW LONG DID YOU KNOW HER?
11	A FOR A TOTAL OF 12 YEARS, BUT I KNEW HER AT THAT
12	TIME PROBABLY TEN YEARS AT LEAST.
13	Q DID YOU DISCUSS THIS MARRIAGE WITH MISS
14	PROPHET?
15	A YES. I ASKED FOR HER BLESSING AND HER
16	APPROVAL. AND KATHLEEN WAS ALREADY IN HER SECOND QUARTER OF
17	SUMMIT UNIVERSITY.
18	Q AND DID YOU GET HER BLESSING AND HER APPROVAL?
19	A NOT RIGHT AWAY.
20	Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN NOT RIGHT AWAY?
21	A LATER I DID. BUT RIGHT AWAY SHE SAID SHE WAS
22	TO MARRY ONE OF THE ASCENDED MASTERS EL MORYA.
23	Q WOULD YOU PLEASE REPEAT THAT. I AM SORRY, I
24	DIDN'T HEAR YOU.
25	A SHE WAS TO MARRY ONE OF THE ASCENDED MASTERS EL
26	MORYA. AND THEN LATER AFTER I GAVE HER \$1,000 WHEN I
27	REMORTGAGED MY HOME FOR THIRTY-THREE HUNDRED, SHE SAID I
28	COULD MARRY HER WITH SIX COUPLES FROM SUMMIT UNIVERSITY.

1	Q DO I UNDERSTAND YOU CORRECTLY THAT YOU GAVE
2	ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET \$1,000?
3	A YES. JUST PERSONALLY FOR NO REASON. SHE SAID
4	SHE MIGHT NEED IT.
5	Q AND PRIOR TO THAT TIME
6	A I COULDN'T MARRY KATHLEEN. BUT THE MONEY WAS
7	NOT TOLD ME THAT IT WAS CONNECTED WITH MARRYING KATHLEEN.
3	KATHLEEN AND I DID ACCEPT PUTTING GOD FIRST AND OUR CHILDREN
9	SECOND. AND WE DID ACCEPT IT. AND WE WERE ABLE TO SEE EACH
10	OTHER ACCORDING TO HER TERMS, EVERY OTHER WEEKEND IN MY HOME
11	IN SAN FRANCISCO.
12	Q WHEN YOU REFER TO HER TERMS, WHO ARE YOU
13	REFERRING TO?
14	A ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET AND HER CONTACT WITH
15	GOD THROUGH THE ASCENDED MASTERS.
16	Q DID YOU FEEL AT THAT TIME THAT SHE HAD GOD'S
17	AUTHORITY TO TELL YOU WHAT TO DO?
19	A YES.
19	Q AND DID YOU FOLLOW HER DICTATES?
20	A YES.
21	Q WHERE WERE YOU RESIDING AT THAT TIME?
22	A IN SAN FRANCISCO, 58 CASELLI.
23	Q WERE YOU WORKING AT THAT TIME?
24	A YES.
25	Q AND WHAT WERE YOU DOING?
26	A ARCHITECTURE.
27	Q WORKING ON YOUR ARCHITECTURE?
28	A YES.
_	

1	Q HOW DID YOU GET ARCHITECTURAL JOBS IN THAT
2	AREA?
3	A I ADVERTISED IN THE PHONE BOOKS.
4	Q HAD YOU BUILT UP
5	A MAIN
6	Q EXCUSE ME.
7	A MAINLY IN THE PHONE BOOKS.
3	Q DID YOU GET REFERRALS?
9	A YES. FROM EITHER PEOPLE FROM PHONE BOOKS CR
10	FROM JOBS THAT I HAD ALREADY DONE I GOT REFERRALS.
11	Q WERE YOU SUPPORTING YOURSELF COMPLETELY AT THAT
12	TIME?
13	A YES.
14	Q AFTER YOUR MARRIAGE TO KATHLEEN HAMMOND, YOU
15	WERE LIVING IN SAN FRANCISCO. WHERE WAS SHE LIVING?
16	A SHE WAS LIVING AT CAMELOT. SHE ONLY WAS
17	ALLOWED TO COME SEE ME EVERY OTHER WEEK ON WEEKENDS AND I
18	COULD ALSO GO DOWN AND SEE HER WHEN I LIKED.
19	Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT SHE WAS DOING DOWN AT CAMELOT?
20	A SHE WAS SECRETARY TO ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET
21	RIGHT AFTER WE WERE MARRIED.
22	Q DID SHE VISIT YOU, I AM TALKING ABOUT KATHLEEN
23	HAMMOND, DID SHE VISIT YOU IN SAN FRANCISCO?
24	A YES.
25	Q HAD YOU BEEN MARRIED BEFORE YOU WERE MARRIED
26	A YES.
27	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY CHILDREN FROM THE PRIOR
28	MARRIAGE?

1	A YES. A MARRIAGE TO MARGE ZEILE. AND I HAD MY
2	PRESENT DAUGHTER, WHO IS LINDA ANNE MULL WHO LIVES IN
3	THOUSAND OAKS.
4	Q WHO PRESIDED AT YOUR MARRIAGE CEREMONY?
5	A ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET MARRIED US AT CAMELOT.
б	SIX COUPLES.
7	Q WAS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING OR STRIKE THAT.
8	WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING ABOUT THE
9	REQUIREMENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE CHURCH BEFORE THEY COULD GET
10	MARRIED?
11	A WELL, THEY BOTH NEEDED TO ATTEND SUMMIT
12	UNIVERSITY MAINLY AND TO BE IN THE TEACHINGS.
13	Q IF THEY HAD ATTENDED SUMMIT UNIVERSITY AND THEY
14	WERE IN THE TEACHINGS, COULD THEY JUST GO OUT AND GET
15	MARRIED THEN?
16	A WELL, THEY NEEDED APPROVAL FROM ELIZABETH CLARE
17	PROPHET TO GET MARRIED.
13	Q ONCE YOU GOT MARRIED IN THE CHURCH, DID YOU
19	NEED ANY APPROVAL TO HAVE CHILDREN?
20	A EVERYBODY NEEDED APPROVAL TO HAVE CHILDREN.
21	Q AND WHO WAS IT WHO GAVE THAT APPROVAL?
22	A ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
23	Q WERE THERE ANY REQUIREMENTS IN THE CHURCH WITH
24	REGARD TO DECREES AND MARITAL SEX?
25	A YES.
26	Q WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT THEY ARE?
27	A YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO DO 15 MINUTES OF LORD
28	MICHAELS BEFORE HAVING SEX. THAT IS LORD MICHAELS DECREES.

1	Q SO YOU HAD TO DECREE BEFORE YOU COULD HAVE SEXT
2	A IF YOU FOLLOWED THE RULES, YES.
3	Q AND WAS THAT TAUGHT TO YOU BY ELIZABETH CLARE
4	PROPHET?
5	A YES.
6	MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, IT IS ALMOST THE NOON HOUR.
7	FOR CONTINUITY SAKE, WITH THE COURT'S PERMISSION, BECAUSE WE
3	ENTER INTO A WHOLE DIFFERENT AREA OF MR. MULL'S TESTIMONY,
9	MAY WE TAKE THE NOON BREAK NOW?
10	THE COURT: IT IS NOT REALLY ALMOST NOON, BUT ALL
11	RIGHT. WE WILL DO IT THIS TIME. BUT I WANT TO MAKE THE
12	BEST USE OF OUR TIME. SO WE ARE NOT I AM GOING TO TELL
13	YOU NOW WE ARE NOT GOING TO LOSE SIGNIFICANT SEGMENTS OF
14	TIME AS WE PROCEED.
15	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU.
15	THE COURT: OKAY. WE WILL RESUME AT 1:30. REMEMBER
17	THE COURT'S ADMONITIONS.
13	(AT 11:50 A.M., A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL
19	1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
•	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 1986
2	1:40 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 50 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE
4	(APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)
5	
6	GREGORY MULL,
7	RESUMED THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:
8	THE CLERK: SIR, YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN SWORN AND
9	ARE STILL UNDER OATH. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR THE
10	RECORD.
11	THE WITNESS: WOULD YOU REPEAT THAT LAST PART. MY
12	NAME AND WHAT?
13	THE CLERK: YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN SWORN
14	THE COURT: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.
15	THE WITNESS: OH. GREGORY MULL.
16	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
17	THE COURT: OKAY. PLEASE PROCEED.
18	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
19	
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
21	BY MR. LEVY:
22	Q MR. MULL, JUST ONE OR TWO QUESTIONS TO CONCLUDE
23	THE FIRST PART OF YOUR TESTIMONY. YOU TOLD US YOU WENT ON
24	SHOPPING TRIPS WITH MISS PROPHET; IS THAT CORRECT?
25	A YES. SHOPPING TRIPS.
26	Q CAN YOU TELL US HOW OFTEN YOU WENT ON THESE
27	TRIPS?
28	A IT SEEMED LIKE WE WENT EVERY OTHER MONTH, YEAR

	1	IN AND YEAR OUT.
	2	Q DID YOU CONSIDER THAT YOU WERE PRETTY GOOD
	3	FRIENDS?
	4	A YES.
	5	Q WHAT HAPPENED ON THESE SHOPPING TRIPS? DID YOU
	6	JUST GO TO A STORE OR CAN YOU TELL US WHAT?
	7	A WE WENT TO STORES AND THEN WE USUALLY ENDED UP
	8	EATING.
	9	Q HOW LONG DURING THE COURSE OF THE DAY WOULD YOU
	10	SPEND TOGETHER?
	11	A LIKE I WOULD SAY, I WOULD MEET HER AT HER
	12	APARTMENT AT NINE O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING AND WE WOULD SHOP
	13	ALL DAY UNTIL THINGS WOULD CLOSE AT FIVE OR SIX O'CLOCK.
	14	Q AND THEN WHAT WOULD YOU DO?
ł	15	A THEN WE WOULD GO EAT.
	16	Q AND HOW OFTEN DID THIS KIND OF THING
	17	A IT SEEMED LIKE IT WAS ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH.
	18	Q AND THIS WAS IN 1975?
	19	A '76 AND '77.
	20	Q OKAY. WAS THERE A TIME THAT YOU WERE ASKED TO
	21	COME TO CAMELOT?
	22	A YES.
	23	Q WHEN WAS THAT, MR. MULL?
	24	A IN 1979. THAT WAS WHEN I WAS ASKED TO MOVE ON
	25	CAMPUS AND DO ARCHITECTURE.
	25	Q WERE YOU ASKED IN THE YEAR 1979?
)	27	A YES.
	28	Q WHO WAS IT WHO ASKED YOU?

1	A MONROE SHEARER, BOARD OF DIRECTOR OF THE
2	CHURCH.
3	Q CAN YOU TELL THE COURT HOW HE ASKED YOU TO COME
4	TO CAMELOT?
5	A YES. HE TELEPHONED ME AND HE SAID, "GREGORY, I
6	TALKED TO ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET AND THE BOARD AND WE WANT
7	YOU TO COME TO CAMELOT AND DO THE ARCHITECTURE AND ON YOUR
8	OWN TERMS."
9	Q WAS THERE ANY OTHER DISCUSSION BESIDE THAT ONE
10	DISCUSSION?
11	A THERE WAS OTHER DISCUSSION, BUT THERE WAS MORE
12	DISCUSSION THAT TIME.
13	Q OKAY. WOULD YOU TELL US ALL OF THE THINGS THAT
14	YOU DISCUSSED WHEN YOU TALKED TO MONROE SHEARER?
15	A ALL RIGHT. I TOLD HIM I COULD ONLY COME IF MY
16	MONTHLY EXPENSES WERE MET BECAUSE I WAS EARNING MONEY TO
17	MEET MY EXPENSES. BUT IF THEY WOULD PAY MY MORTGAGE AND THE
13	WATER AND GARBAGE COLLECTION AND UTILITIES, ET CETERA, I
19	WOULD BE ABLE TO COME IMMEDIATELY AND CLOSE DOWN MY
20	BUSINESS.
21	Q WAS THERE ANY
22	A HE WANTED ME TO COME RIGHT AWAY.
23	Q WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT THE AMOUNT OF
24	MONEY YOU NEEDED TO
25	A I SAID BETWEEN TWO- AND THREE THOUSAND A MONTH.
26	Q WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT THE LOAN OF
27	MONEY?
28	A IT WAS NEVER IT NEVER WAS A LOAN. IT WAS

ONLY PAYMENT OF EXPENSES NO MATTER WHAT IT WAS CALLED. 1 YOU CAME TO THIS UNDERSTANDING WITH MR. SHEARER 2 Q BEFORE YOU WENT TO CAMELOT? 3 ABSOLUTELY. Α WHEN MONROE SHEARER TOLD YOU HE TALKED TO 5 ELIZABETH AND WANTED YOU TO COME TO CAMELOT TO DO 6 ARCHITECTURAL WORK --7 YES. 8 Α -- WHAT DID YOU FEEL? 9 I FELT GOOD ABOUT IT BECAUSE I ALREADY WAS IN 10 THE TEACHINGS AND I FELT ELATED AND SOUGHT AFTER. AND I 11 KNEW IT WAS TO BE \$33,000,000 WORTH OF BUILDING TO CONSTRUCT 12 THE NEW. I ALREADY KNEW ABOUT THREE- OR FOUR HUNDRED SEAT 13 AUDITORIUM AND THE MONTESSORI SCHOOL WAS 625 ELEMENTARY 14 15 SCHOOL. WERE YOU EXCITED ABOUT THIS PROJECT? 16 Q VERY EXCITED, VERY ELATED, VERY SOUGHT AFTER 17 AND I WAS PLEASED. 18 AT THAT TIME, WERE YOU ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN 19 Q BUSINESS IN SAN FRANCISCO? 20 YES, I WAS. 21 Α DID YOU HAVE JOBS IN PROGRESS? 22 Q 23 Α YES. DID YOU DISCUSS THAT WITH MR. SHEARER? Q 24 YES. I SAID I WOULD HAVE TO FINISH, TO 25 FOLLOW-UP. I ALREADY GOT PERMITS FOR ABOUT THREE JOBS, BUT 26 THERE WAS FOLLOW-UP WITH THE CONTRACTOR AS HE PROCEEDED TO 27 28 BUILD.

1	Q DID YOU MAKE
2	A BUILD THE
3	Q EXCUSE ME.
4	A GO AHEAD.
5	Q THANK YOU. DID YOU MAKE ANY ARRANGEMENTS WITH
6	MR. SHEARER ABOUT THOSE ONGOING JOBS?
7	A I SAID I WOULD HAVE TO COME BACK SOME WEEKENDS
8	TO TALK TO THE CLIENTS AND TO BE SURE THAT EVERYTHING WAS
9	DONE CORRECT.
10	Q DID MR. SHEARER AGREE TO THAT?
11	A YES, HE DID.
12	Q DID YOU DISCUSS WITH MR. SHEARER ANYTHING ABOUT
13	THE COST OF GOING BACK AND FORTH TO SAN FRANCISCO?
14	A HE AGREED TO PAY FOR IT.
15	Q MR. MULL MAY I HAVE NUMBER ONE, PLEASE.
16	MR. MULL, WERE YOU YOU TOLD US YOU ATTENDED
17	DIFFERENT CONFERENCES?
18	A YES.
19	Q DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR ATTENDING THOSE
20	CONFERENCES, DID YOU RECEIVE THIS BOOKLET?
21	A IT LOOKS FAMILIAR FROM HERE.
22	Q I NOTICE YOU DON'T HAVE YOUR GLASSES TODAY.
23	A YES. IS IT THE CAMELOT BROCHURE?
24	Q YES.
25	MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?
26	THE COURT: YES.
27	Q BY MR. LEVY: WOULD YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THIS
29	BROCHURE?

1	A IT IS VERY FAMILIAR. IT IS A PROPOSED CAMELOT,
2	THE NEW JERUSALEM.
3	Q WAS THIS GIVEN TO YOU WHEN YOU ATTENDED THE
4	CONFERENCE?
5	A YES.
6	Q I AM OPENING THE BOOKLET TO PAGE 19, MR. MULL.
7	A YEAH.
8	Q WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHAT THIS
9	BOOKLET ACTUALLY 15?
10	A THE BOOK IS A PRESENTATION BY FORMER ARCHITECTS
11	OF THE NEW JERUSALEM, WHICH WAS TO BE BUILT AT CAMELOT. BUT
12	ACTUALLY IT WAS DIDN'T HAVE TO BE EXACTLY THE SAME. IT
13	WAS MORE OF A FUND RAISING DRIVE THAN ANYTHING.
14	Q WAS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU WERE GOING
15	TO PARTICIPATE IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS BUILDING PROGRAM
16	AT CAMELOT?
17	A YES. YES.
18	Q I AM LOOKING AT THE LEGEND INSIDE THE BOOKLET
19	AND I WILL LIST FOR YOU A NUMBER OF THINGS. DID YOU HAVE
20	DISCUSSIONS WITH THESE PEOPLE FROM THE CHURCH ABOUT
21	PARTICIPATING IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THESE DIFFERENT
22	PROJECTS? THE FIRST PROJECT IS EXCALIBUR SQUARE.
23	A YES.
24	Q THE EXECUTIVE OFFICES FOR CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND
25	TRIUMPHANT.
26	A YES.
27	Q THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDINGS.
28	A YES.

1 Q THE HOUSING. 2 Α YES. THE CHAPEL OF THE HOLY GRAIL. 3 Q Α YES. AND JUST BRIEFLY I AM GOING TO READ THEM 5 RAPIDLY. FOR STAFF HOUSING. б 7 YES. Α CENTER FOR -- WHY DON'T YOU WAIT UNTIL I READ 8 Q 9 THE WHOLE LIST. THE AMPHITHEATER, THE MONTESSORI SCHOOL, A 10 LIBRARY, AN OFFICE TOWER, A STUDENT UNION, FAMILY HOUSING, 11 AN INFIRMARY, A UNIVERSITY, A VICTORY TEMPLE, A TOWER, A 12 BUILDING FOR CAMPUS SERVICES, A VISITOR CENTER AND A THING 13 CALLED SWAN LAKE. WERE YOU GOING TO PARTICIPATE IN THE 14 DESIGN AND ARCHITECTURAL --15 WORK. YES. 16 Α -- WORK ON THOSE? 17 YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER THIS INTO 13 EVIDENCE AS EXHIBIT 1. 19 THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED. 20 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 21 MR. MULL, DID YOU KNOW HOW MUCH IT WAS GOING TO 22 COST TO BUILD ALL THAT? 23 YES. 24 Α HOW MUCH WAS THAT GOING TO BE? Q 25 THIRTY-THREE MILLION. 26 HOW LONG WAS THAT PROJECT GOING TO TAKE TO 27 Q COMPLETE? 28

1	A WELL, I WAS WILLING TO WORK ON IT AND GET
2	PERMITS AND WATCH CONSTRUCTION FOR A PERIOD OF FOUR YEARS.
3	I WAS HOPING WE COULD GET MOST OF IT DONE IN THAT TIME.
4	Q AS A BUILDING DESIGNER, WOULD YOU RECEIVE A
5	PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL COST AS YOUR FEE OR WOULD YOU WORK
6	FOR A FLAT SALARY?
7	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT SIMPLY AS TO WHETHER
8	HE IS TALKING ABOUT THIS JOB OR WHETHER HE IS TALKING ABOUT
9	CUSTOM IN HIS BUSINESS. IT IS VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AS IT IS
10	NOW.
11	THE COURT: PLEASE REPHRASE IT.
12	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
13	Q GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHAT IS THE CUSTOM IN THE
14	ARCHITECTURAL BUSINESS AS TO THE PERCENTAGE THAT AN
15	ARCHITECT OR BUILDING DESIGNER RECEIVES FOR DOING ALL OF THE
16	WORK NECESSARY TO COMPLETE A PROJECT?
17	A USUALLY TEN PERCENT, BUT IT CAN VARY ON LARGE
13	PROJECTS.
19	Q ON LARGE PROJECTS WOULD IT BE A SMALLER AMOUNT?
20	A IT COULD BE. ACCORDING TO THE NEGOTIATIONS.
21	Q DID YOU CALCULATE WHAT THE VALUE OF YOUR
22	SERVICES WOULD BE?
23	A PERSONALLY I DID.
24	Q AND HOW MUCH DID YOU FIGURE THAT YOUR SERVICES
25	WOULD BE IF YOU COMPLETED THIS PROJECT FOR THE CHURCH?
26	A IF I COMPLETED THE PROJECT, AT THE OUTSIDE I
27	WOULD CHARGE AT LEAST A MILLION-AND-A-HALF TO TWO MILLION
28	FEE FOR ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND RENDERINGS.
_	

1	Q THAT WOULD BE ABOUT LESS THAN TEN PERCENT,
2	ABOUT SEVEN PERCENT?
3	A YES.
4	Q NOW, AFTER YOUR DISCUSSIONS WITH MONROE
5	SHEARER, DID YOU ACTUALLY MOVE TO CAMELOT?
6	A YES, I DID.
7	Q CAN YOU TELL US WHEN THAT OCCURRED?
8	A I THINK MY FIRST DAY AT CAMELOT WELL, HE
9	WANTED ME TO COME THE NEXT DAY. AND I MAY HAVE COME WITHIN
10	THE NEXT COUPLE OF DAYS, BUT I HAD TO GO BACK TO SAN
11	FRANCISCO AND COMPLETE SOME THINGS. BUT I OFFICIALLY MOVED
12	TO CAMELOT I THINK IT WAS THE 11TH.
13	Q OF WHAT MONTH?
14	A OF JANUARY.
15	Q AND WHAT YEAR?
16	A 1980. '79 WAS WHEN I WAS CALLED, TOWARD THE
17	END OF THE MONTH IN DECEMBER.
18	Q MR. MULL, I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE YOUR TIME AND
19	THINK BACK NOW. I KNOW WITH YOUR M.S. IT GETS DIFFICULT
20	SOMETIMES.
21	A YEAH.
22	Q BUT TRY TO RECALL WHEN YOU ACTUALLY MOVED TO
23	CAMELOT.
24	A JANUARY ABOUT THE TENTH OR 11TH.
25	Q OKAY. DO YOU AT THIS TIME HAVE AN ACCURATE
26	RECOLLECTION OF WHAT YEAR THAT YOU ACTUALLY MOVED TO
27	CAMELOT?
28	A I THOUGHT IT WAS 1980.

1	Q COULD IT HAVE BEEN 1979?
2	A IT COULD HAVE BEEN.
3	Q AT THE PRESENT TIME YOU ARE NOT SURE?
4	A NOT TOTALLY SURE, NO.
5	Q OKAY. FINE. WHEN YOU MOVED TO CAMELOT, WERE
6	YOU PROVIDED WITH AN ARCHITECTURAL OFFICE?
7	A EVENTUALLY I WAS, YES.
8	Q WHEN YOU FIRST ARRIVED THERE, WERE YOU PROVIDED
9	WITH LIVING QUARTERS?
10	A YES.
11	Q COULD YOU DESCRIBE THE LIVING QUARTERS FOR US?
12	A WELL, FIRST I HAD MY ARCHITECTURAL OFFICE AND I
13	SET IT UP WITH MY OWN DRAFTING BOARDS AND EQUIPMENT. AND I
14	SLEPT ON THE MATTRESS UNDERNEATH MY DRAWING BOARD, ONE OF MY
15	DRAWING BOARDS.
16	Q WERE THERE TWO SEPARATE OFFICES?
17	A JUST ONE.
18	Q SO YOUR LIVING QUARTERS AND YOUR PROFESSIONAL
19	OFFICE WAS ONE AND THE SAME?
20	A YES.
21	Q NOW, THE PREMISES THAT WERE UTILIZED FOR YOUR
22	OFFICE, HAD THEY BEEN UTILIZED BY ANY OTHER ORGANIZATION
23	BEFORE?
24	A YES.
25	Q AND WHO WAS THAT?
26	A FOR TRAINING CATHOLIC MONKS.
27	Q NOW, THE SPACE THAT YOU OCCUPIED, DO YOU KNOW
28	WHAT IT WAS PRIOR TO YOUR OCCUPYING IT WHILE THE MONKS WERE

1	OCCUPYING IT?
2	A I ASSUMED THAT IT WAS
3	MR. KLEIN: I WOULD OBJECT IF HE IS GOING TO TELL US
4	WHAT HE ASSUMED, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: IF WHAT?
6	MR. KLEIN: HE STARTED TO SAY HE IS ASSUMING AND HE
7	IS GOING TO TELL US WHAT HE ASSUMED. AND I WOULD OBJECT IF
8	THAT IS WHAT HE IS GOING TO SAY.
9	THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT. I WAS TOLD THAT THE ROOMS
19	WHERE I WAS WAS USED AS A SEMINARY FOR MONKS, TRAINING OF
11	CATHOLIC PRIESTS. IT WAS ABOUT EIGHT FEET BY EIGHT FEET.
12	Q BY MR. LEVY: AND IN THAT ROOM
13	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT TO THE LAST
14	ANSWER. IT WASN'T RESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION AND I WOULD
15	ASK THAT IT BE STRICKEN.
16	THE COURT: THE LAST ANSWER IS STRICKEN. THE JURY IS
17	DIRECTED TO DISREGARD IT.
18 -	THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT.
19	THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED.
20	Q BY MR. LEVY: MR. MULL, WHAT WAS THE SIZE OF
21	THE ROOM THAT YOU HAD YOUR OFFICE AND SLEEPING QUARTERS IN?
22	A ABOUT EIGHT FEET BY EIGHT FEET.
23	Q AND YOUR SLEEPING FACILITY WAS THAT WAS
24	LOCATED IN A ROOM ALSO?
25	A IN THE SAME ROOM.
26	Q AND DID I UNDERSTAND YOU CORRECTLY, IT WAS
27	UNDERNEATH YOUR DRAFTING BOARD
28	A YES.
<del></del>	

	1	Q WERE YOU MARRIED WHEN YOU CAME TO CAMELOT?
i	2	A YES.
1	3	Q DID YOU LIVE WITH YOUR WIFE AT CAMELOT?
	4	A PART-TIME ONLY.
	5	Q WHY WAS THAT?
	6	A ONCE I HAD MY ARCHITECTURAL OFFICE, I WAS ALONE
	7	A GREAT DEAL BECAUSE I WORKED LATE AT NIGHT. IT WAS A LOT
	3	OF WORK TO DO.
	9	Q WERE THERE ANY TIME CONSTRAINTS PUT UPON YOU
	10	WITH REGARD TO YOUR WORK?
	11	A YES.
	12	Q COULD YOU EXPLAIN THAT TO THE COURT?
	13	A WELL, LIKE THE MONTESSORI SCHOOL, THEY WOULD
	14	SAY WHEN THEY WOULD LIKE TO HAVE IT FOR PRESENTATION, TO
	15	SHOW AT A CONFERENCE OR TO SHOW ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
	16	THEY WOULD LIKE ONE ON FRIDAY SO I HAD TO WORK UNTIL THREE
	17	O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING FOR FOUR DAYS TO GET IT, TO GET IT
	18	DONE AT SUCH TIME.
	19	Q WHAT WAS YOUR WIFE DOING AT CAMELOT DURING THAT
	20	PERIOD OF TIME?
	21	A SHE WAS A SECRETARY TO ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
	22	Q COULD YOU TELL US WHAT YOUR AVERAGE DAY WAS
	23	LIKE WHEN YOU WERE DOING YOUR WORK THERE? WHAT TIME DID YOU
	24	GET UP WHEN YOU RESIDED THERE?
	25	A USUALLY FIVE O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING. AND GET
	26	DRESSED AND I WOULD GO IN THE MAIN AUDITORIUM IN THE CHURCH
)	27	OF THE HOLY GRAIL AND DO DECREES FOR ALMOST AN HOUR. THEN I
•	28	WOULD GO EAT. AND THEN I WOULD GO BACK AND EITHER DECREE OR

1	I WOULD GO TO WORK IN MY OFFICE.
2	Q AND AFTER YOU WENT TO WORK IN YOUR OFFICE, DID
3	YOU TAKE A LUNCH BREAK?
4	A YES. FROM 12:00 TO 1:00 USUALLY.
5	Q DID YOU DECREE AFTERWARD?
6	A YES.
7	Q THEN WOULD YOU GO BACK TO WORK?
8	A YES. UNTIL DINNER TIME.
9	Q WHY DON'T YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT OCCURRED
10	AFTER DINNER TIME?
11	A WELL, WE WENT TO DECREE AGAIN. WE DECREED
12	AFTER EVERY MEAL.
13	Q DID YOU EVER COME INTO ANY KIND OF CONFLICT
14	WITH ANYONE IN THE CHURCH ABOUT MINOR THINGS, SMALL
15	DISAGREEMENTS?
16	A YES.
17	Q WHAT WAS GENERALLY THEIR ADVICE WHEN THIS WAS A
18	MINOR DISAGREEMENT?
19	A TO DECREE. IT WAS ONE OF THE SOLUTIONS THAT
20	WAS OFFERED REPEATEDLY.
21	Q OKAY. LET'S SAY THAT YOU HAD A MINOR
22	DISAGREEMENT AND YOU WERE TOLD TO DECREE.
23	A YES.
24	Q FOR HOW LONG A PERIOD WOULD YOU DECREE?
25	A AS LONG AS IT TOOK. BE USUALLY HALF-AN-HOUR TO
26	AN HOUR MINIMUM.
27	Q AND IN WHAT WAY DID THAT RESOLVE THE DISPUTE?
28	A IT WOULD MAKE WHOEVER WHEN YOU DECREE, YOU
<del>-</del>	

l	BECOME VERY PASSIVE, VERY PLACID AND PROBLEMS ARE NO LONGER
2	STRONG OR PRESSURES THAT WERE ON YOU.
3	Q WAS THERE SOMEBODY WHO WAS YOUR SUPERVISOR AND
4	WHO TOLD YOU WHAT TO WORK CN?
5	A YES. EVERYBODY HAD HIERARCHS. MINE WAS MONROE
មី	SHEARER, BOARD OF DIRECTOR, AND ED FRANCIS WAS BOARD OF
7	DIRECTOR.
3	Q YOU USED THE WORD HIERARCH. WOULD YOU TELL THE
9	COURT WHAT THAT IS?
10	A WELL, IN THE TEACHINGS OF ASCENDED MASTERS,
11	EVERY MASTER IS A HIERARCH. AND ONE OF THEM WOULD BE OVER
12	THE OTHER UNTIL YOU GET UP TO GOD. AND THEN IT WAS THE SAME
13	IN THE BUSINESS OF RUNNING CAMELOT. THAT EVERYBODY WAS
14	HIERARCH TO SOMEBODY ELSE. IN OTHER WORDS, THEY WERE YOUR
15	BOSS. AND THEY TOLD YOU WHAT WORK TO DO AND THEY WOULD
15	EVALUATE IT.
17	Q WHO WAS YOUR IMMEDIATE SUPERIOR WITH REGARD TO
18	ARCHITECTURAL WORK?
19	A TWO PEOPLE. MONROE SHEARER AND EDWARD FRANCIS.
20	BOTH BOARD MEMBERS.
21	Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAD ANY
22	ARCHITECTURAL TRAINING?
23	A NO. ONLY OBSERVATION TRAINING. THEY DIDN'T
24	HAVE ANY FORMAL ARCHITECTURAL TRAINING THAT I KNEW OF.
25	Q DID YOU HAVE A SUPERVISOR WHILE YOU WERE AT
26	CAMELOT WHO COULD ACTUALLY EXAMINE YOUR WORK FOR ITS
27	TECHNICAL PROFICIENCY?
28	A WELL, ED FRANCIS AND MONROE SHEARER COULD
ı	

1	EXAMINE IT AT ANY POINT.
2	Q NO. WERE THEY CAPABLE IN YOUR OPINION OF
3	TELLING YOU WHETHER OR NOT A PLAN TO SUPPORT A BUILDING WAS
4	DONE PROPERLY?
5	A NOT REALLY.
6	Q WERE THERE ANY OTHER ARCHITECTS AT CAMELOT WHEN
7	YOU WERE THERE?
3	A YES.
9	Q DID THEY WORK WITH YOU?
10	A NOT REALLY. ONE WAS ROGER GIFFORT, BUT HE
11	DIDN'T WORK CLOSE WITH ME, NO.
12	Q WAS HE AN ARCHITECT OR A DRAFTSMAN?
13	A I THINK HE WAS AN ARCHITECT.
14	Q DID YOU WORK WITH ANY OTHER ARCHITECTS ON THE
15	PLANS THAT YOU ACTUALLY COMPLETED?
16	A NO.
17	Q WHILE YOU WERE AT CAMELOT WORKING ON THESE
19	PLANS, IF YOU HAD A PROBLEM WITH REGARD TO SAY THE STRESS
19	LOAD FOR A BEAM, WAS THERE SOMEONE THERE WHO SUPERVISED YOU
20	WHO COULD CHECK IT OUT TO SEE IF YOUR DRAWINGS WERE CORRECT?
21	A NO. I WOULD DO GENERAL DESIGN AND THEN THEY
22	WOULD HAVE IF WE HAD GOT A PERMIT, WE WOULD HAVE GONE
23	INTO AN ENGINEER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, TO HAVE THE BUILDING
24	ENGINEERED.
25	Q MONROE SHEARER COULDN'T EXAMINE THE PLANS TO
26	SEE WHETHER THEY WERE CORRECT OR NOT?
27	A 110.
28	Q ED FRANCIS COULDN'T EXAMINE THE PLANS TO SEE IF

1	THEY WERE CORRECT OR NOT?
2	A NO.
3	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY DRAFTSMAN WORK WITH YOU AND
4	UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?
5	A YES.
6	Q DID YOU EXAMINE THEIR WORK TO SEE IF IT WAS
7	CORRECT AND COMPLIED WITH CODE?
3	A WELL, THEY DIDN'T KNOW ALL THE CODES, BUT THEY
9	TRIED. THEY WOULD SEND LUCINDA MANN AND CHARLES BRIGHT WAS
10	TWO OF THEM.
11	Q TO THE BEST OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE, WAS ANYONE ELSE
12	IN CHARGE OF THE ACTUAL ARCHITECTURAL WORK THAT WAS DONE
13	DURING YOUR STAY AT CAMELOT?
14	A NO.
15	Q SO I UNDERSTAND CLEARLY, SEFORE YOU GAVE UP
16	YOUR BUSINESS IN SAN FRANCISCO, IN YOUR MIND HAD AN
17	AGREEMENT BEEN REACHED WITH MONROE SHEARER ABOUT THE PAYMENT
18	OF YOUR EXPENSES?
19	A I THOUGHT IT HAD.
20	Q IF THERE HAD BEEN NO AGREEMENT, WOULD YOU HAVE
21	MOVED TO CAMELOT?
22	A I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO BECAUSE I HAD TO MEET MY
23	EXPENSES.
24	Q ASIDE FROM THE MONIES THAT YOU SAY THAT MONROE
25	SHEARER AGREED TO PAY TO YOU FOR YOUR EXPENSES, DID YOU HAVE
26	ANY OTHER FORM OF INCOME?
27	A FROM THE JOBS THAT I WAS ALREADY DOING OR HAD
28	DONE, THE MONEY WOULD COME IN. I WOULD USE THAT MONEY FOR

1	BILLS. OVER AND ABOVE WHATEVER I NEEDED, I WOULD ASK
2	CAMELOT FOR EACH MONTH.
3	Q NOW, IF YOU HAD NOT REACHED AN AGREEMENT WITH
4	MONROE SHEARER YOU ALREADY TOLD US YOU WERE CLOSING DOWN
5	YOUR BUSINESS AND JUST FINISHING THE JOBS DID YOU HAVE A
G	SOURCE OF INCOME FROM ANY OTHER PLACE THAT WOULD HAVE
7	PROVIDED YOU WITH THE DOLLARS TO PAY YOUR MORTGAGE AND YOUR
9	TAXES AND YOUR BILLS IN SAN FRANCISCO?
9	A NO. I HAD AN INCOME, BUT IT WOULD NOT HAVE
10	PAID MY MORTGAGE OR WHAT.
11	Q BEFORE YOU CAME TO CAMELOT, DID MONROE SHEARER
12	TELL YOU THEY WOULD LOAN YOU MONEY OR DID HE TELL YOU THEY
13	WOULD PAY YOU MONEY?
14	A THEY WOULD PAY MY EXPENSES.
15	Q WHEN YOU FINALLY WENT TO CAMELOT, WERE YOU
16	ASKED TO BECOME WHAT IS KNOWN THERE AS PERMANENT STAFF?
17	A REPEATEDLY I WAS TOLD BY SEVERAL PEOPLE,
13	INCLUDING ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET, WHERE I WAS AWARE IF YOU
19	BECAME PERMANENT STAFF, YOU HAD TO SIGN OVER ALL PROPERTY TO
20	THE CHURCH.
21	Q DID YOU FEEL THAT YOU WERE PRESSURED BY ANYONE
22	TO BECOME PERMANENT STAFF?
23	MR. KLEIN: OBJECT AS TO LEADING, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE CAN ANSWER.
25	THE WITNESS: I WAS CONSTANTLY PRESSURED.
25	Q BY MR. LEVY: WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT EXACTLY
27	WHAT HAPPENED AND WHY YOU FEEL THAT WAY?
Sec. 28	A BECAUSE I WAS PRESSURED TO JOIN PERMANENT STAFF

1	BY ELIZABETH WHO TOLD ME IN A MEETING THE NIGHT BEFORE OF
2	PERMANENT STAFF PEOPLE, MANY PEOPLE SAID THAT I SHOULD
3	BECOME PERMANENT STAFF AND DEVOTE MY ENTIRE LIFE TO CAMELOT.
4	Q DID EDWARD FRANCIS EVER PRESSURE YOU TO BECOME
5	PERMANENT STAFF?
6	A HE PROBABLY DID, BUT I DON'T RECALL THAT RIGHT
7	NOW.
3	Q WHAT ABOUT MONROE SHEARER? DID HE EVER
9	PRESSURE YOU?
10	A I THINK SO.
11	Q DID YOU EVER MEET WITH EITHER EDWARD FRANCIS OR
12	MONROE SHEARER AND DISCUSS THE POSSIBILITY OF YOUR BECOMING
13	PERMANENT STAFF?
14	A AND ALSO LEAVE MY HOME IN WESTLAKE VILLAGE, MY
15	CONDOMINIUM TO C.U.T., TO SIGN OVER THE DEED TO THEM.
16	Q MR. MULL, WHAT MY QUESTION WAS WAS DO YOU
17	RECALL HAVING ANY MEETINGS WITH EITHER EDWARD FRANCIS OR
18	MONROE SHEARER WHERE THE SPECIFIC SUBJECT WAS YOU BECOMING
19	PERMANENT STAFF?
20	A NOT THAT I RECALL.
21	Q OKAY. IS IT A POSSIBILITY?
22	A YES.
23	Q OKAY. NOW, SOMETIME IN JANUARY OF THE YEAR
24	THAT YOU WENT TO CAMELOT, DID YOU PRESENT A SLIP SAYING WHAT
25	YOUR REQUIRED EXPENSES WERE THAT MONTH?
26	A I MAY HAVE PUT DOWN WHAT I NEEDED AND THE TOTAL
27	SUM OF MONEY FOR BUT
28	Q MY QUESTION IS THIS: DID YOU PRESENT THEM SOME

1	WRITTEN AMOUNT THAT YOU NEEDED FOR YOUR EXPENSES?
2	A APPROXIMATELY, YES.
3	Q OKAY. DID THEY PAY YOU?
4	A RELUCTANTLY, YES.
5	Q DID THEY TELL YOU IT WAS NOT PAYMENT, BUT THAT
б	IT WAS A LOAN?
7	A THEY TRIED TO CHANGE THE RULES AT THE TIME THAT
8	I ARRIVED. BUT IT WAS NEVER A LOAN.
9	Q MY QUESTION IS DID THEY PAY YOU THE SUM OF
10	MONEY THAT YOU REQUESTED?
11	A EVENTUALLY THEY DID.
12	Q NOW, THE FOLLOWING MONTH IN FEBRUARY
13	A YES.
14	Q DID YOU REQUEST ANOTHER SUM OF MONEY FOR
15	YOUR EXPENSES?
16	A AND IT WAS NOT PAID. AND MONROE WAS AWARE THAT
17	I WAS UNDER EXTREME PRESSURE TO PAY MY BILLS. AND SO HE
13	CALLED ME OUT OF THE CHURCH AND TOOK ME TO ELIZABETH'S
19	DINING ROOM.
20	Q AND WHAT HAPPENED THERE?
21	A TALKED TO ME. I ASKED IF SHE WAS PRESENT AND
22	HE SAID, "NO." WHEN WE ARRIVED THERE, HE SAID, "NOBODY
23	RECEIVES MONEY FOR DOING ANYTHING HERE AT CAMELOT. WHY DO
24	YOU THINK YOU SHOULD?"
25	I SAID, "BECAUSE YOU AGREED TO IT. IT WAS THE
26	ONLY WAY I COULD COME HERE."
27	Q DID HE THEN ARRANGE TO PAY YOU THE MONEY FOR
28	YOUR EXPENSES?
-	

1	A YES.
2	Q NOW, THE FOLLOWING MONTH, THAT WOULD BE MARCH,
3	DID YOU REQUEST PAYMENT FOR YOUR EXPENSES THAT MONTH?
4	A YES. BUT I HAD WRITTEN THEM A LETTER IN THE
5	MEANTIME, ABOUT FEBRUARY 20TH, STATING THE TERMS THAT I CAME
6	TO CAMELOT ON BECAUSE I FELT THAT IT WAS GETTING AWFULLY
7	LOOSE.
8	Q MR. MULL, I SHOW YOU A LETTER AND IT IS DATED
9	FEBRUARY 22ND, 1979.
10	A YES.
11	Q IS THAT A COPY OF THE LETTER THAT YOU SENT TO
12	THE CHURCH?
13	A IT LOOKS LIKE IT.
14	Q CAN YOU SEE IT WITHOUT YOUR GLASSES?
15	A NOT TOTALLY CLEAR. BUT IT LOOKS LIKE THE SAME
16	LETTER.
17	Q OKAY. IN THIS LETTER, AT PARAGRAPH FOUR OF THE
13	LETTER
19	A YES.
20	Q I AM GOING TO READ IT TO YOU BECAUSE YOU
21	HAVE DIFFICULTY
22	A GO AHEAD.
23	Q AND AFTER I READ IT TO YOU, I'D LIKE YOU TO
24	TELL ME WHETHER THIS IS ACCURATE AND THIS IS WHAT YOU
25	COMMUNICATED TO THE CHURCH.
26	A ALL RIGHT.
27	Q IT SAYS:
28	WHEN MONROE CALLED ME AND

ASKED ME TO COME AND DO ARCHITECTURE AT CAMELOT ON MY TERMS, I WAS PLEASED AND GRATEFUL AND WANTED TO MAKE IT AS EASY AS POSSIBLE FOR ALL OF US."

A YES.

Q "I SAID I COULD ONLY COME AT ONCE IF MY MONTHLY BILLS WERE MET, WHICH RUNS ABOUT \$2,000 PER MONTH PLUS TRANSPORTATION EXPENSES TO SAN FRANCISCO AND BACK EACH WEEKEND TO CLOSE DOWN MY BUSINESS WHICH INVOLVED EIGHTEEN CLIENTS I HAD LAST YEAR."

NOW, THE QUESTION I HAVE FOR YOU IS THAT ACCURATE AND WAS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE AGREEMENT THAT YOU HAD WITH MONROE SHEARER?

A YES.

Q NOW, ON PAGE TWO OF THE LETTER, YOU TALK ABOUT GIVING A PERCENTAGE OF MONEY TO THE CHURCH WHEN YOU SELL YOUR HOME. I WILL READ TO YOU. IT SAYS:

"I WILL GIVE YOU TEN PERCENT

OF ALL PROFIT I MAKE FROM THE SALE OF MY

PROPERTY, BUT WILL PAY ALSO -- BUT WILL

ALSO PAY OVER AND ABOVE THAT SUM IF

NECESSARY TO SEE YOU ARE PAID BACK IN FULL

FOR ALL MONIES PAID TO ME TO DATE OF

RECEIVING SALE MONEY, UNLESS THE HOME

COULD NOT BE SOLD FOR SOME REASON."

DID YOU INTEND TO SELL YOUR HOUSE AT THAT TIME?

1

A YES. AND ALSO I WOULD HAVE GIVEN TEN PERCENT TO THE CHURCH ANYWAY FROM THE SALE OF MY HOME, WHICH I THOUGHT AT THE TIME WOULD BE EQUAL TO THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT THEY PAID ME FOR EXPENSES. BUT THERE WAS NO AGREEMENT THAT I HAD TO GIVE THEM TEN PERCENT.

DID I ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

Q I AM READING STILL ON THE FIRST PARAGRAPH ON THE SECOND PAGE, WHERE YOU SAY ABOUT THE MIDDLE OF THE PARAGRAPH:

\*FROM THAT POINT ON I CAN REDUCE THE SUM OF APPROXIMATELY \$2,000 PER MONTH WHICH YOU AGREED TO PAY TO \$700 PER MONTH PLUS MY FREE ROOM AND BOARD HERE OR OFF CAMPUS. THE MONEY IS FOR THE EDUCATION OF MY DAUGHTER AND MY EXPENSES, INCLUDING MY VISITING HER EVERY SECOND WEEKEND AFTER SCHOOL IS OUT AND ONCE A MONTH AFTER SHE STARTS COLLEGE." THEN IT GOES ON TO SAY, "THE \$700 PER MONTH SALARY PLUS LIVING EXPENSES LIVING ON OR OFF CAMPUS MUST BE AGREED UPON BY YOU BEFORE THE FOREGOING OFFER CAN BE A COMMITMENT. I AM FIFTY-SEVEN YEARS OLD AND THIS MONEY IS MY LIFE SAVINGS. IF I WERE TO ESTABLISH MY BUSINESS AGAIN IN THE FUTURE, I WOULD NEED CAPITAL. IF I WERE A PERMANENT STAFF MEMBER, I WOULD CONSIDER GIVING YOU ALL MY MONEY, BUT I HAVE NOT ARRIVED AT THE POINT OF COMMITMENT

AND HAVE A WIFE AND A DAUGHTER PLUS HELEN 1 AND LOUISE TO BE PARTIALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR. AND IN 2 PARENTHESES, YOU NOTE, "THEIR FATHER MAKES A VERY 3 LOW SALARY." 4 THAT IS RIGHT. 5 AFTER YOU SAID THAT YOU WOULD GIVE THEM TEN б PERCENT OF THE PROFIT ON THE SALE OF YOUR HOME, WAS IT YOUR 7 UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU WOULD STILL REQUIRE ADDITIONAL 8 PAYMENT SO YOU COULD WORK AT CAMELOT? 9 10 YES. SEVEN- OR EIGHT HUNDRED DOLLARS A MONTH. BUT I HAD THE BURDEN OF THE HOUSE IN SAN FRANCISCO TAKEN 11 CARE OF. SO THERE WOULDN'T BE ANY MORTGAGE OR ANY UTILITY 12 13 OR WATER, ET CETERA. NOW, THE NEXT PARAGRAPH SAYS: 14 "IT WILL TAKE A MINIMUM OF 15 FOUR YEARS TO DESIGN AND SUPERVISE 15 CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDINGS FOR CAMELOT. 17 TRUE. 13 "IT WOULD COST YOU FOR MY 19 EXPENSES \$8,400 PER YEAR PLUS ROOM AND 20 BOARD HERE, AND IN FOUR YEARS IT WOULD BE 21 \$33,600. AN OUTSIDE ARCHITECT WOULD 22 CHARGE SEVEN TO TEN PERCENT, WHICH WOULD 23 BE TWO-AND-A-HALF MILLION DOLLARS, 24 25 CONSIDERING A \$33,000,000 EXPENDITURE." TRUE. 26 MR. LEVY: AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR, WE WILL OFFER 27 THIS INTO EVIDENCE AS -- MAY I GO BACK AND GIVE IT TO THE 28

1	CLERK OR HAND IT TO YOU?
2	THE COURT: OKAY.
3	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU.
4	THE COURT: EXHIBIT 28 IS RECEIVED.
5	Q BY MR. LEVY: AFTER DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE
6	CHURCH RECEIVED THAT LETTER OR NOT?
7	A I ASSUMED THAT IT DID BECAUSE
8	Q DID THEY DISCUSS THE CONTENTS OF THE LETTER
9	WITH YOU?
10	A NO. NEVER.
11	Q DID YOU ATTEMPT TO DISCUSS THE CONTENTS OF THE
12	LETTER WITH ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET?
13	A YES.
14	Q WERE YOU SUCCESSFUL?
15	A I DOUBT IT. BUT I DID TRY, IF I REMEMBER, AT A
16	TWO-AND-A-HALF HOUR TAPED INTERVIEW.
17	Q DID YOU TRY TO TALK TO EDWARD FRANCIS ABOUT
18	THAT CORRESPONDENCE?
19	A NO. BUT IT MAY HAVE BEEN BROUGHT UP AS A
20	SUBJECT TO BE DISCUSSED.
21	Q DID YOU TALK TO MONROE SHEARER ABOUT THE
22	SUBJECT MATTER OF THAT LETTER?
23	A NO. BUT IT MAY HAVE BEEN BROUGHT UP AS A
24	SUBJECT MATTER.
25	Q WERE YOU SUCCESSFUL IN TALKING TO ANYONE ABOUT
26	THE CONTENTS OF THAT LETTER AND YOUR AGREEMENT?
27 .	A NO. BECAUSE THEY WERE CHANGING THE RULES FROM
28	THE MINUTE I ARRIVED.

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK THAT THE SECOND 1 PART OF THAT TESTIMONY BE STRICKEN AS NOT RESPONSIVE TO THE 2 3 QUESTION. THE COURT: MOTION IS GRANTED. THE LAST PART OF THE 4 5 WITNESS' ANSWER IS STRICKEN. THE JURY IS DIRECTED TO 6 DISREGARD IT. 7 BY MR. LEVY: WHY DID YOU WRITE THE LETTER, 8 GREGORY? BECAUSE I THOUGHT THAT WE SHOULD IN WRITING OUR 9 AGREEMENT AND WE NEVER DID. SO I PUT DOWN WHAT I REMEMBERED 10 OF OUR AGREEMENT BECAUSE THEY KEPT WANTING TO CHANGE THE 11 RULES FROM THE TIME THAT I ARRIVED EITHER TO JOIN PERMANENT 12 STAFF, WHICH MEANT I WOULD SIGN OVER EVERYTHING TO THEM, OR 13 THAT IT WAS A LOAN. AND I NEVER CONSIDERED IT A LOAN, 14 ALTHOUGH THEY WANTED TO MAKE IT A LOAN. 15 DID YOU SUBMIT A BILL FOR YOUR EXPENSES, AND 16 17 LET'S SAY WE HAVE COVERED JANUARY, FEBRUARY, MARCH AND 18 APRIL? YES. THE FIRST OF EVERY MONTH AS I CAN 19 20 REMEMBER. DID THEY PAY THOSE EXPENSES? 21 Q EVENTUALLY, BUT NEVER ON TIME. I LOST MY 22 CREDIT BECAUSE OF IT. 23 24 DID YOU SUBMIT A BILL THE FOLLOWING MONTH? Q 25 Α YES. DID YOU WRITE LETTERS TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS 26 AND ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET TRYING TO RECONCILE WHAT YOU 27 FELT WAS A PROBLEM? 28

1	A YES. I HAD NOTHING TO HIDE AND SO I WROTE
2	SEVERAL LETTERS. BUT I RECEIVED VERY FEW IN RETURN.
3	Q DID ANYONE AT CAMELOT TELL YOU TO PUT YOUR
4	HOUSE ON THE MARKET AND SELL IT IMMEDIATELY?
5	A YES. EVEN ELIZABETH TOLD ME TO PUT IT ON THE
6	MARKET AND SELL IT FOR ANY PRICE RIGHT AWAY OR DO ANYTHING I
7	COULD TO SELL IT.
8	Q WHAT HAD - DID YOU LIST YOUR PROPERTY FOR
9	SALE?
10	A WELL, SHE GAVE A MEMORANDUM TO MONROE ON
11	THURSDAY THAT IT HAD TO BE ON THE MARKET BY MONDAY. AND I
12	FOLLOWED THROUGH AND I DID PUT IT ON THE MARKET.
13	Q WHAT WAS THE FIRST ASKING PRICE FOR YOUR HOUSE?
14	A TWO HUNDRED SIXTY A MONTH TWO HUNDRED SIXTY
15	THOUSAND, PERIOD.
16	Q DID YOU LATER REDUCE THE PRICE OF THAT?
17	A TWO-FORTY. AND FINALLY IT WAS TO JUST A LITTLE
13	LESS THAN \$200,000.
19	Q WHY DID YOU SELL YOUR HOME FOR SOME \$60,000
20	LESS THAN YOU FIRST LISTED IT FOR?
21	A BECAUSE I WANTED TO SELL IT, PERIOD. BUT
22	BEFORE I WANTED TO HAVE EXTRA MONEY TO FOR SAVINGS AND TO
23	GIVE THE CHURCH TEN PERCENT.
24	Q WAS THERE ANYTHING EXISTING IN YOUR SITUATION
25	AT CAMELOT AT THAT TIME THAT CAUSED YOU TO REDUCE THE PRICE
26	LIKE YOU DID?
27	A YES. MAINLY TO SELL IT, BUT I ALSO WAS
28	INTERESTED IN MAKING PEACE AND SOME UNDERSTANDING WITH THE
-	

1 CHURCH. 2 NOW, AT THAT TIME DID YOU FEEL THAT YOU OWED Q THE CHURCH ANYTHING? 3 NO. Α AT SOMETIME DURING THAT YEAR, DID YOU WRITE 5 LETTERS TO THE CHURCH WHERE YOU REFERRED TO THAT MONEY AS б 7 LOANS? 8 I MAY HAVE, BUT IN MY HEART AND IN MY MIND I 9 NEVER CONSIDERED IT A LOAN. ONLY PAYMENTS OF EXPENSES. 10 THE COURT: LET'S TAKE A SHORT RECESS. WE WILL TAKE 11 A TEN-MINUTE RECESS, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, AND THEN WE WILL 12 RESUME: (RECESS.) 13 14 THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED. 15 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 16 MR. MULL, I ASKED YOU BEFORE IF YOU EVER DISCUSSED THE LETTER WITH ANYONE. NOW, I AM ASKING YOU DID 17 13 YOU EVER DISCUSS THE CONTENTS OF THE LETTER WITH ANYONE? 19 Α YES. 20 AND WHO WAS THAT? 0 ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET, MONROE SHEARER AND --21 22 AND MONROE SHEARER AT THE TWO-AND-A-HALF HOUR CONFERENCE. ELIZABETH EVEN READ FROM THE LETTER. IT MAY HAVE BEEN 23 MENTIONED OTHER TIME, BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER RIGHT NOW. 24 25 MR. LEVY: CAN I INQUIRE, YOUR HONOR, DID EVERYONE HEAR MY QUESTION AND GREGORY'S RESPONSE? 26 27 (THE JURORS ANSWERED IN THE NEGATIVE.) MR. LEVY: LET ME REPEAT. 28

THE COURT: OR I WILL ASK THE REPORTER TO READ THE 1 2 LAST ANSWER. 3 (THE QUESTION AND ANSWER WERE READ.) THE COURT: THANK YOU. 5 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. MULL, WE MENTIONED THE SALE OF YOUR HOUSE. б 7 WHEN YOU MADE YOUR ARRANGEMENT WITH MONROE SHEARER, WAS THE 8 SALE OF YOUR HOUSE ANY PART OF THAT ARRANGEMENT? 9 NEVER WAS THE CONTINGENCY OF THE SALE OF THE 10 HOME A PART OF OUR AGREEMENT. IT WAS ALL MY OWN DOING. 11 WHY DID YOU OFFER TO SELL YOUR HOUSE? 12 TO MAKE LIFE EASIER FOR ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET 13 AND MYSELF AND JUST TO BE A NICE GUY. 14 SO THAT WE ARE CLEAR ON THIS LAST PART, THE 15 ARRANGEMENT THAT YOU HAD WITH MONROE SHEARER, COULD YOU VERY 15 BRIEFLY AND SUCCINCTLY STATE WHAT THE ARRANGEMENT THAT YOU 17 HAD WAS BEFORE YOU CAME TO CAMELOT? IT WAS VERY SIMPLE. THAT ALL MY EXPENSES WOULD 18 19 BE PAID BY THE CHURCH, MONTHLY EXPENSES, WHICH WOULD RUN 20 FROM TWO THOUSAND UP PER MONTH. 21 DID THAT INCLUDE YOUR TRAVELING EXPENSES? Q 22 TRAVELING EXPENSES, EVERYTHING. ROOM AND 23 BOARD, TELEPHONE, GARBAGE COLLECTION, WATER, ANY EXPENSES 24 THAT CAME UP ON THE PROPERTY THAT I OWNED IN SAN FRANCISCO 25 AT 58 CASELLI. AND THAT WAS TO BE IN EXCHANGE FOR YOUR DOING 26 0 ARCHITECTURAL WORK AT CAMELOT? 27 YES. THAT'S CORRECT. 28

1	Q DID YOU ACTUALLY DO ARCHITECTURAL WORK AT
2	CAMELOT?
3	A YES. GREAT DEAL.
4	MR. LEVY: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?
5	THE COURT: YES.
6	Q BY MR. LEVY: MR. MULL, WHAT I HAVE HERE IS A
7	BOX OF BLUEPRINTS MARKED EXHIBIT NUMBER 35.
8	A YES.
9	Q I'D LIKE TO BRIEFLY DISCUSS THEM WITH YOU.
10	THIS ONE IS ENTITLED "A SCHOOL" AND IT LOOKS LIKE A
11	MULTI-PURPOSE STUDENT ASSEMBLY SCHOOL, PRIMARY SCHOOL AND
12	HIGH SCHOOL.
13	A YES.
14	Q LET ME SHOW THIS TO YOU. DID YOU IN FACT
15	DESIGN THESE PLANS?
16	A YES. IT WAS FOR 625 CHILDREN.
17	MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, FOR EASE, MAY I
18	THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT IT IS THERE FOR.
19	THE WITNESS: IT WAS CALLED A MONTESSORI SCHOOL.
20	Q BY MR. LEVY: HOW LONG DID YOU WORK ON THIS
21	SCHOOL, MR. MULL?
22	A SEVERAL MONTHS. I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY HOW LONG,
23	BUT IT WAS IT SEEMED I WORKED ON IT FOR SEVERAL MONTHS.
24	Q DO YOU RECALL HOW MANY STUDENTS WERE GOING TO
25	UTILIZE THAT SCHOOL?
26	A 625.
27	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY HELP IN DESIGNING THE PLANS
28	FOR THAT SCHOOL?

DID YOU ACTUALLY DO ARCHITECTURAL WORK AT

A A LITTLE BIT FROM CHARLES BRIGHT AND LUCINDA
MANN.
Q WERE YOU TOLD BY ANYONE AT THE CHURCH THAT THEY
WANTED YOU TO DESIGN THIS SPECIFIC PROJECT?
A YES.
Q AND WHO WAS THAT?
A I THINK ED FRANCIS WAS AT LEAST ONE OF THEM.
MAYBE MONROE SHEARER ALSO.
Q NOW, THERE IS A WHOLE BOX FULL OF BLUEPRINTS.
BEFORE YOU STARTED ON A PROJECT, DID ONE OF YOUR HIERARCHS
TELL YOU WHAT
A TO GO AHEAD WITH IT. ONE IT WAS A 3,300 SEAT
AUDITORIUM, ONE WAS A RIDING ACADEMY, OR RESIDENCE FOR
ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET ON TOP OF A HILL, OR THE RIDING
ACADEMY RESIDENCE OR WHAT. I WAS TOLD SPECIFICALLY TO DO
IT.
Q WERE YOU TOLD HOW LONG YOU HAD TO GET IT DONE?
A YES.
Q DID YOU AND THE PEOPLE, THE DRAFTSPEOPLE WHO
WORKED WITH YOU, SOMETIMES WORK
A PAST MIDNIGHT, YES.
Q NOW, HOW MANY DAYS A WEEK DID YOU PUT IN ON
THESE WORK PROJECTS?
A AS MANY AS SEVEN DAYS A WEEK. OR WHEN THEY
DIDN'T NEED MY SERVICES, I WOULD DRAW IN MY OFFICE.
Q NOW, DURING THE TIME THAT YOU WERE WORKING ON
THESE PROJECTS, WERE YOU TRYING TO CLARIFY WHATEVER DISPUTES
OR MISUNDERSTANDINGS OR DISAGREEMENTS THERE WERE BETWEEN

YOURSELF AND THE CHURCH WITH REGARD TO THE EXPENSE MONEY
THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO PAY YOU?

A THAT WAS THE REASON FOR WRITING THE FEBRUARY
22ND LETTER. I WAS HOPING WE WOULD GET TOGETHER AND
DISCUSS.

THE COURT: JUST A SECOND. EXCUSE ME.

ARE WE INTERRUPTING YOU?

A VOICE: SORRY.

THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED.

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

Q NOW, MR. MULL, I NOTICE THAT EACH OF THESE
BLUEPRINTS IS DESIGNATED "PRIMARY AND HIGH SCHOOL, PRIMARY
AND HIGH SCHOOL."

A YES.

Q "PRIMARY AND HIGH SCHOOL, PRIMARY AND HIGH SCHOOL." AND THIS ONE IS NUMBERED, "NUMBER 50 PRIMARY AND HIGH SCHOOL."

ACCORDING TO YOUR BEST RECOLLECTION, IN ORDER
TO CONSTRUCT THE PRIMARY AND HIGH SCHOOL, THE MONTESSORI
SCHOOLS, WAS IT NECESSARY TO MAKE MANY, MANY DRAWINGS, MANY
ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS THAT DEALT WITH THE VARIOUS PHASES OF
THE CONSTRUCTION?

A I DID BECAUSE I HAD TO TALK TO THE DIFFERENT HIERARCHS ON THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS OF SCHOOLS AND GET THEIR FEEDBACK, WHAT THEY WANTED, WHAT THEY REQUIRED, WHAT THEY REQUIRED AND WHAT THEY WANTED. SO IT OFTEN HAD TO BE CHANGED AND UPDATED.

Q NOW, I'VE GOT ANOTHER BUNDLE OF THE BLUEPRINTS

1	AND THIS ONE IS LABELED "THE WILL OF GOD FOCUS."
2	A I GOT A PERMIT FOR THAT AT THE LOS ANGELES
3	BUILDING DEPARTMENT.
4	Q DO YOU RECALL WHO IT WAS WHO INSTRUCTED YOU TO
5	DRAW THESE PLANS?
6	A I BELIEVE IT WAS MONROE SHEARER.
7	Q DID YOU EVER HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THESE
8	PLANS WITH ELIZABETH CLARÉ PROPHET?
9	A CERTAINLY THE WILL OF GOD FOCUS.
10	Q YES. THIS IS THE WILL OF GOD FOCUS. DID YOU
11	EVER DISCUSS THESE WITH ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET?
12	A IT SEEMS LIKE I DID, BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER FOR
13	SURE. BUT I DISCUSSED WITH OTHER PEOPLE WHY IT WAS DONE THE
14	WAY SHE SHE WANTED IT DONE.
15	Q NOW, THIS ONE IS ENTITLED "A SITE PLAN FOR THE
16	WILL OF GOD FOCUS."
17	A YES.
18	Q AND I NOTE THE NAME BEARS FOR THE PERSON,
19	"STATE OF CALIFORNIA REGISTERED BUILDING DESIGNER, NUMBER
20	440."
21	A THAT IS MY LICENSE NUMBER WITH THE STATE OF
22	CALIFORNIA.
23	Q DO YOU RECALL HOW LONG YOU WORKED ON DESIGNING
24	THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT, THE WILL OF GOD FOCUS?
25	A PROBABLY JUST TWO OR THREE MONTHS.
26	Q AND YOUR WORK SCHEDULE WHILE YOU WOULD BE
27	DESIGNING THIS PROGRAM, WOULD THAT ALSO BE ON A
28	SEVEN-DAYS-A-WEEK BASIS?
<del></del>	

1	A YES.
2	Q AND WOULD THE TIME CONSTRAINTS BE APPROXIMATELY
3	THE SAME, THAT YOU WOULD HAVE TO WORK FROM EARLY IN THE
4	MORNING TILL LATE IN THE EVENING?
5	A YES. IT WOULD VARY, BUT WE WORKED MOST OF THE
5	TIME.
7	Q WHAT I WANT TO DO IS GET A BATCH FROM EACH OF
8	THE DIFFERENT PROJECTS THAT YOU WERE INVOLVED IN. WHEN YOU
9	LEFT CAMELOT STRIKE THAT, YOUR HONOR.
10	LET ME ASK YOU THIS: DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR
11	NOT ANY OF THESE PROJECTS WERE COMPLETED?
12	A WILL OF GOD FOCUS WAS COMPLETED.
13	Q YOU MENTIONED AS ONE OF THE PROJECTS A 3,300
14	SEAT AUDITORIUM?
15	A CORRECT.
16	Q WHO DIRECTED YOU TO DO THAT ONE?
17	A IT WAS IN PEARLS, AND SO THEREFORE ELIZABETH
18	CLARE PROPHET WAS INTERESTED IN HAVING IT DONE BECAUSE IT
19	WAS PART OF PEARLS TO EVOLVE. WHAT WAS IT CALLED?
20	Q NO. I WAS ASKING ABOUT A 3,300 SEAT
21	AUDITORIUM.
22	A YES. THE AUDITORIUM WAS MENTIONED IN PEARLS
23	AND THEREFORE SHE WAS INTERESTED IN HAVING AN AUDITORIUM
24	WITH THREE 3,300 SEAT AUDITORIUM OR FOUR THOUSAND SEAT
25	AUDITORIUM.
26	Q YOU MENTIONED JUST NOW THAT IT WAS MENTIONED IN
27	THE PEARLS. WHAT ARE THE PEARLS, MR. MULL?
28	A THE PEARLS ARE DICTATIONS TAKEN BY ELIZABETH
•	
	1

1	CLARE PROPHET FOR RUNNING HER CHURCH.
2	Q WHERE DOES SHE GET THESE DICTATIONS?
3	A FROM WHAT SHE CALLS THE ASCENDED MASTERS.
4	Q DO YOU PERSONALLY KNOW OF ANYONE ELSE WHO GETS
5	THESE DICTATIONS?
6	A I HAVE HEARD OF PEOPLE TAKING THEM. I DON'T
7	KNOW ANYBODY AS SUCH. BUT SHE HAS SAID SHE IS ONE THAT CAN
8	TAKE DICTATIONS.
9	Q HAVE YOU EVER TAKEN A DICTATION?
10	A NO.
11	MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I'D LIKE TO -
12	WELL, LET ME DO ONE OR TWO MORE.
13	Q MR. MULL, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID ANY OTHER
14	ARCHITECTURAL FIRM WORK TO DO ANY KIND OF ARCHITECTURAL WORK
15	FOR CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT?
16	A YES. BUT THAT WAS BEFORE I CAME ON PREMISES.
17	. Q DID YOU EVER SEE ANY OF THEIR WORK PRODUCT?
13	A YES.
19	Q CAN YOU DESCRIBE TO THIS COURT WHAT IT WAS THAT
20	THEY ACTUALLY DID?
21	A THEY DID THE SAME THING THAT I DID. THEY
22	DESIGNED FOR CERTAIN BUILDINGS, BUT NO STRUCTURAL WORK WAS
23	DONE OR NO PERMIT WAS HAD FOR THEIR WORK.
24	Q DID THEY ACTUALLY DO THE WORKING BLUEPRINTS OR
25	DID THEY DO AN ELEVATION?
26	A MAINLY JUST ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND YOU WOULD
27	CALL IT A PLAN AND AN ELEVATION.
28	Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE NAME OF THAT FIRM IS?
_	1

1	A NO. BUT IT IS IN I AM SURE IT CAN BE GOTTEN
2	THERE. THEY ARE IN LOS ANGELES. A LARGE ARCHITECTURAL FIRM
3	WITH SEVERAL NAMES.
4	Q LET ME PILE THIS IN FRONT OF YOU FOR A MOMENT.
5	THIS ONE IS ENTITLED "A NEW MONTESSORI
6	BUILDING." AND IT APPEARS TO HAVE AN AREA THAT IS
7	DESIGNATED AS A STAGE.
3	A AND ALSO LIBRARY I THINK.
9	Q IS THIS PART OF YOUR WORK PROJECT?
10	A YES.
11	Q FOR HOW MANY MONTHS DID YOU ACTUALLY WORK ON
12	PLANS AND DESIGNS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF ELIZABETH CLARE
13	PROPHET AND EDWARD FRANCIS AND MONROE SHEARER?
14	A I WOULD SAY ALL THE TIME THAT I WAS THERE, I
15	WORKED ON DESIGN OF SOMETHING.
16	MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I'D LIKE TO
17	INTRODUCE THIS, WHICH IS EXHIBIT NUMBER 35, AS THE WORK
18	PRODUCT OF MR. GREGORY MULL. IT EVIDENCES HIS WORK PRODUCT
19	FOR THAT PERIOD.
20	THE COURT: THE BOX CONTAINING THE BLUEPRINTS?
21	MR. LEVY: YES, SIR.
22	THE COURT: IT IS RECEIVED.
23	MR. LEVY: IF I MAY, I WILL CLEAN THEM ALL UP AND PUT
24	THEM IN A BOX AFTER SO I CAN GO AHEAD AND CONTINUE.
25	THE COURT: THAT IS FINE.
26	MR. LEVY: CAN I HAVE JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?
27	Q JUST A FEW MORE QUESTIONS IF I MAY WITH REGARD
28	TO THE BLUEPRINTS. IN ORDER TO COMPLY WITH THE BUILDING
I	

CODE AND BUILDING SAFETY REGULATIONS, DOES EACH PORTION OF 1 2 EACH PROJECT HAVE TO BE SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL BY THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY? 3 IT HAS TO BE COMPLETE SOONER OR LATER AND YOU 5 ARE SUPPOSED TO HAVE IT COMPLETE WHEN YOU SUBMIT IT. AND BEFORE A JOB CAN BE COMPLETED, DO THEY --6 Q 7 DOES THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY HAVE AN 8 INSPECTION SYSTEM? 3 Α YES. 10 NOW, IF A PROJECT WERE TO HAVE BEGUN, WOULD YOUR SERVICES HAVE BEEN REQUIRED DURING ALL OF THESE 11 12 INSPECTIONS AND ALL OF THESE MEETINGS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF 13 BUILDING AND SAFETY? IT COULD BE VERY WELL THAT I WOULD BE REQUIRED 14 15 TO ANSWER THEIR QUESTIONS. IN YOUR OPINION, WERE YOUR HIERARCHS, MONROE 16 17 SHEARER AND EDWARD FRANCIS, QUALIFIED TO ANSWER THE 18 TECHNICAL QUESTIONS ABOUT BUILDING AND DESIGN? NOT REALLY. 19 Α WHAT DO YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY, "NOT REALLY"? 20 Q WELL, THEY ARE NOT TRAINED TO DO IT. 21 A 22 TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE --Q THEY CAN ONLY DO IT GENERALLY, BUT THAT DOESN'T 23 24 APPLY. 25 TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, ARE THEY LICENSED TO DO IT? Q Α NO. 26 SO IF IT WAS ACTUALLY DONE -27 Q IT WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE BY A LICENSED PERSON. 28 Α

1 EITHER AN ARCHITECT AND BUILDING DESIGNER OR A STRUCTURAL 2 ENGINEER. 3 I NOTE WHEN I AM LOOKING THROUGH THESE PLANS --Q LIKE THIS IS THE PRIMARY AND THE HIGH SCHOOL. 5 Α YES. I NOTE QUITE A NUMBER OF THESE. AS A MATTER OF 7 FACT, I THINK I COUNTED OFF ALMOST A COUPLE OF DOZEN JUST ON 8 THIS ONE PROJECT. Α YES. 10 IN ORDER TO COMPLETE JUST ONE OF THESE, CAN YOU 11 GIVE ME YOUR BEST ESTIMATE AS TO HOW MUCH TIME YOU MIGHT 12 HAVE TO ACTUALLY SPEND WORKING TO COMPLETE AN APPROVED PLAN? 13 THAT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT TO DO BECAUSE, AS 14 I SAID BEFORE, IT WOULD INVOLVE TALKING TO HIERARCHS, THE 15 TEACHING FACILITIES, THERE WERE GRADE SCHOOL TEACHERS AND 16 MONTESSORI HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS. BUT TO DRAW IT UP WOULD 17 TAKE PROBABLY -- TO DRAW OR REDRAW WOULD TAKE 13 APPROXIMATELY -- I WOULD GUESS IT WOULD TAKE THREE OR FOUR 19 MONTHS. 20 NOW, I ASSUME SOMEWHERE ALONG THE WAY, SOME OF 21 THESE WERE -- THESE BLUEPRINTS WERE PHOTOCOPIED OR COPIES 22 WERE MADE OF THEM? 23 Α YES. 24 AFTER A COPY WAS MADE OF AN ORIGINAL PLAN, DID 25 YOU THEN HAVE TO WORK OVER THE PLAN? 26 Α YES. 27 Q AND SPEND ADDITIONAL HOURS TO --28 Α YES. BECAUSE FROM THE ORIGINAL TRACING WOULD

. 1	COME THE FINAL BLUEPRINT FOR THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT AND FOR
2	THE CONTRACTOR.
3	Q NOW, I NOTICE ON THIS ONE, IT LISTS A SURVEYOR,
4	MR. LOUIS ZEHFUSS. DID YOU HAVE MEETINGS WITH HIM?
5	A YES, I DID.
б	Q WAS THAT ALSO PART OF YOUR OBLIGATION AS
7	BUILDING DESIGNER?
8	A YES. ALL ARCHITECTS AND BUILDING DESIGNERS
9	HAVE TO MAKE A BUILDING CONTOUR WITH THE LAND AND OFTEN A
10	SURVEY IS DONE.
11	Q ASIDE FROM THE BLUEPRINTS LIKE I AM SHOWING
12	YOU, DID YOU WORK ON WHAT IS CALLED TRACINGS?
13	A YEAH. TRACINGS WITH COLOR YOU HAVE IN YOUR
14	HAND. ONE USED AT A CONFERENCE FOR MONEY RAISING.
15	Q DID YOU EVER HAVE OCCASION TO DISPLAY YOUR
16	PLANS AT CONFERENCES?
17	A YES, I DID.
18	Q DID YOU EXPLAIN THEM TO THE PEOPLE WHO WERE AT
19	THE CONFERENCE?
20	A YES.
21	Q AND WHY DID YOU DO THAT, MR. MULL?
22	A WELL, IT WAS A FUND RAISING DRIVE. AND MONROE
23	ASKED ME TO DO IT.
24	Q IS THIS ONE OF YOUR TRY NOT TO RUB THIS ON
25	THAT BECAUSE IT MAKES THAT AWFUL NOISE.
26	A ALL RIGHT.
27	Q THIS SAYS ON THE BOTTOM, "CARNIVAL TENT PLAN."
28	A WELL, THIS IS VERY INTERESTING BECAUSE THEY HAD
-	

A CONFERENCE EVERY THREE MONTHS. AND THEY WOULD HAVE ME --1 2 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT. 3 THE COURT: JUST --MR. KLEIN: I WOULD OBJECT. I DON'T KNOW THAT THERE 5 IS A QUESTION OR I DON'T KNOW THAT HE IS ANSWERING ANY б QUESTION AT THIS POINT. 7 THE COURT: WELL, HE IS APPARENTLY DESCRIBING IT. 8 PLEASE WAIT FOR A MINUTE. 9 THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT. 10 THE COURT: YOU WANT THE FORMALITY OF HIM SAYING, 11 "OKAY. WHAT IS THIS?" 12 MR. KLEIN: I JUST WANTED TO KNOW SO I CAN KNOW WHAT 13 THE LIMITS ARE. IF IT IS, "WHAT IS IT," THEN I HAVE NO 14 PROBLEM. 15 MR. LEVY: WHY DON'T WE START WITH THAT, MR. KLEIN. 15 WHAT IS THIS? 17 THE COURT: WHAT DOES THAT REPRESENT? 18 BY MR. LEVY: GREGORY --0 19 IT REPRESENTS A -- I HAD TO SUBMIT A PLAN OF 20 THE LOCATION OF THE TENTS, THE PARKING AND SO FORTH FOR A 21 CONFERENCE BECAUSE ABOUT 3,000 PEOPLE WOULD ATTEND A 22 CONFERENCE EVERY THREE MONTHS. AND SO A PERMIT WAS TO BE 23 GOTTEN TO PUT A TENT ON THE PROPERTY. 24 Q WHILE YOU WERE AT CAMELOT, WAS THERE ANYONE 25 ELSE WHO DID THIS WORK AND SUBMITTED THIS KIND OF WORK TO 25 **OBTAIN PERMITS?** 27 YES. I THINK ED FRANCIS DID IT, AND ALSO 28 LUCINDA MANN AND CHARLES BRIGHT WERE ALSO FAMILIAR WITH IT.

1	Q THIS ONE WHICH LOOKS TO BE THE ONE ABOUT THE
2	MONTESSORI SCHOOL BUILDING AND THE STAGE.
3	A YEAH.
4	Q THIS IS ANOTHER ONE YOU WORKED WITH MR. LOUIS
5	ZEHFUSS?
6	A YES. HE WAS A SURVEYOR THAT WAS A STAFF
7	MEMBER.
8	Q NOW, ON ONE LIKE THIS, ABOUT HOW LONG WOULD IT
9	TAKE YOU TO COMPLETE THIS KIND OF WORK?
10	A THREE OR FOUR MONTHS.
11	Q NOW, I AM SHOWING YOU ONE DOCUMENT. THAT
12	INDIVIDUAL DOCUMENT, NOT THE PROJECT, HOW LONG WOULD IT TAKE
13	YOU TO COMPLETE SAY THIS ONE DOCUMENT AS OPPOSED TO THE
14	WHOLE PROJECT?
15	A WELL, IT INVOLVED MANY ASPECTS. SO IT WOULD
16	TAKE ABOUT THREE MONTHS.
17	Q OKAY. NOW, ARE YOU SAYING THREE MONTHS WITH
13	REGARD TO THE WHOLE PROJECT?
19	A WELL, CONCERNING THE ONE DRAWING, IT COULD TAKE
20	AS LONG AS THREE MONTHS.
21	Q AND IN ORDER TO GO FORWARD STAGE BY STAGE WITH
22	THE DOCUMENT, WOULD YOU HAVE TO CONFER WITH SURVEYORS?
23	A YOU WOULD WORK FROM TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEYS, YOU
24	WOULD WORK FROM THE STANDPOINT LOCATE A BUILDING AND
25	ELEVATION OF YOUR BUILDINGS, AND ROADS AND SO FORTH.
26	Q DID YOU HAVE TO WORK WITH ANY OTHER TECHNICAL
27	TRADES BEFORE YOU COULD GO AHEAD AND FINALLY COMPLETE A
28	PROGRAM LIKE THIS?

1	A YES. BEFORE A PERMIT COULD BE GOTTEN, IT WOULD
2	HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED TO A STRUCTURAL ENGINEER. BUT WE NEVER
3	DID THAT. I ASSUMED WHAT WOULD BE NEEDED STRUCTURALLY, BUT
4	THAT WAS ALL.
5	Q THIS DOCUMENT IS ENTITLED "CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND
6	TRIUMPHANT TYPICAL HOUSING UNIT OF FOUR BEDROOMS ON THE
7	CLOISTER."
8	A YES.
9	Q AND I SEE THIS BEARS YOUR NAME, GREGORY MULL,
10	S.C.B.D. WHAT IS S.C.B.D.?
11	A STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING DESIGNER, NUMBER
12	440, LICENSED WITH THE SACRAMENTO THE STATE BOARD OF
13	ARCHITECTURAL EXAMINERS.
14	Q NOW, THIS ALSO BEARS ANOTHER NAME, AND THAT IS
15	JOHN DINI, AND IT LOOKS LIKE ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEER?
16	A YES. HE WAS FROM ITALY HE HELPED ME ON A FEW
17	I TEMS.
18	Q THIS ONE ALSO BEARS THE DATE JANUARY THE 15TH,
19	1978.
20	A YEAH.
21	Q WERE YOU DOING ARCHITECTURAL WORK FOR THE
22	CHURCH EVEN BEFORE YOU WENT TO CAMELOT?
23	A YES.
24	Q WERE YOU DONATING SOME OF YOUR WORK AT THAT
25	TIME?
26	A YES.
27	Q THE CHURCH HAD A KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE QUALITY
28	AND THE CAPABILITY WITH WHICH YOU DID THIS WORK?
•	
	1

1	A YES.
2	Q DO YOU RECALL OFFHAND WHAT THE STATE
3	REQUIREMENTS WERE FOR THE CARNIVAL TENTS THAT WERE UTILIZED
4	AT CONFERENCES?
5	A WELL, IT WAS CALLED A CARNIVAL TENT AND WE PUT
6	DOWN ACTIVITIES THAT WOULD SIMULATE A CARNIVAL BECAUSE THEY
7	WERE HAVING A HARD TIME GETTING PERMITS FOR TENTS.
8	Q WERE YOU ABLE TO ASSIST THE CHURCH IN
9	A YES, IN GETTING PERMITS FOR THESE TENTS.
10	Q DID THE BOARD OF SAFETY COME OUT AND EXAMINE
11	THE PREMISES AT CAMELOT?
12	A YES. ONE TIME THEY CAME OUT, AND THERE WAS A
13	FIRE HAZARD BECAUSE THE BLOWER THAT WOULD BLOW STEAM TO THE
14	TENT WAS NOT UP TO CODE AND THEY TOLD THEM TO TAKE IT OUT.
15	BUT IT WAS SO COLD THAT AS SOON AS THE FIRE MARSHAL LEFT,
16	THE BLOWERS IT WAS PUT BACK IN ILLEGALLY.
17	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THE
13	LAST PART OF THAT AS NOT RESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION AND ASK
19	THAT IT BE STRICKEN.
20	THE WITNESS: BUT WHAT I STATED WAS THE
21	THE COURT: JUST A SECOND.
22	MR. LEVY: IT IS THE JUDGE'S CHANCE NOW, GREGORY.
23	THE COURT: MR. MULL, IT WILL HELP IF YOU WILL JUST
24	CONCENTRATE ON THE QUESTION, AND LIMIT YOUR ANSWER TO THE
25	QUESTION AND THEN WAIT FOR THE NEXT QUESTION.
26	THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT.
27	THE COURT: I AM GOING TO LET THAT ANSWER STAND. BUT
28	LET'S PROCEED.

BY MR. LEVY: WERE THERE TIMES AT CAMELOT WHERE 1 0 2 YOU INFORMED YOUR HIERARCHS THAT THEY WERE DOING SOMETHING THAT WAS IN VIOLATION OF THE BUILDING AND SAFETY CODES? 3 I DID IT REPEATEDLY TO WORKMEN THAT WERE THERE 5 AND TO MY HIERARCHS THAT THEY WERE NOT DOING IT LEGALLY. 6 Q CAN YOU GIVE US AN IDEA OF SOME OF THE THINGS THAT THAT INCLUDED? 7 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT AS TO THE 8 RELEVANCY OF THIS LINE OF QUESTIONING. 9 10 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 11 THE WITNESS: ONE EXAMPLE WOULD BE THE WILL OF GOD 12 FOCUS. THEY DIDN'T PUT A FOUNDATION UNDERNEATH THE ENTIRE 13 BUILDING AND IT SHOWED THEY DID NOT HAVE A BEAM RESTING ON A POST. AND BRUCE MC INTOSH -- I WOULD QUESTION THEM ABOUT IT 14 AND THEY WOULD SAY, "IT WAS A FLOW OF THE HOLY SPIRIT." AND 15 I TOLD THEM IN A PUBLIC LECTURE, "IT WAS A FLOW OF 16 17 NONSENSE. AND IT GOT CAUGHT BY THE BUILDING INSPECTOR NOT 13 19 DOING THINGS LEGALLY. AND THEY ASKED TO SEE THEM, AND I REFUSED BECAUSE I SAID THEY WERE WRONG FOR NOT DOING IT. 20 BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU HAVE A CONCERN THAT THE 21 Q PLANS THAT YOU DESIGNED MIGHT BE MISUSED? 22 I DIDN'T KNOW THAT THEY WOULD BE MISUSED UNTIL 23 THEY WERE, UNTIL THEY WERE CAUGHT BY THE BUILDING INSPECTOR 24 25 MAINLY. HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THIS CHURCH THAT YOU 26 WERE PARTICIPATING WITH AT THAT TIME IN YOUR OPINION 27 DELIBERATELY VIOLATING THE BUILDING AND SAFETY CODES? 26

<b>1</b>	A WELL WHAT WAS THE QUESTION? I HAVE FEELINGS
2	ABOUT IT, BUT WHAT WAS THE QUESTION?
3	Q LET ME TRY AGAIN. HOW DID YOU FEEL INSIDE HERE
4	(POINTING) ABOUT THE CHURCH THAT YOU WERE WORKING FOR IN
5	YOUR OPINION DELIBERATELY VIOLATING THE BUILDING AND SAFETY
<b>=</b> 6	CODES?
	A I FELT VERY, VERY DISILLUSIONED AND DECEIVED
-	BECAUSE THE CHURCH SHOULD BE HONEST. ALL CHURCHES SHOULD BE
9	HONEST. SO I NO LONGER CALLED THIS A CHURCH. I CALLED IT A
10	CULT. IT IS A PSEUDO-RELIGION FOR POWER AND MONEY, PERIOD.
11	BUT I WILL CALL IT MAINLY A CHURCH HERE.
12	Q WHEN YOU CAME IN CONFLICT WITH THE CHURCH ABOUT
13	THEREINTENTIONAL VIOLATION OF BUILDING CODES, DID YOU ALSO
14	COME IN CONFLICT WITH YOUR DAILY DECREEING?
15	A I CONTINUED MY DECREES BECAUSE I FELT AT THAT
16	TIME THAT MY CONTACT WAS BETWEEN GOD AND MYSELF REGARDLESS
17	OF THE CHURCH.
18	I DON'T KNOW IF I ANSWERED YOUR QUESTION OR
19	NOT.
20	Q THAT IS FINE. WERE YOU ABLE TO CONVERSE WITH
21	MISS PROPHET ABOUT WHAT YOU CONSIDERED TO BE PROBLEMS AT
22	THAT TIME?
23	A I TRIED TO. I WOULD PUT IN A REQUEST. MY WIFE
24	WAS AT THE TIME WAS HER SECRETARY AND I PUT IN A REQUEST
25	TO SEE HER, BUT IT WAS NOT GRANTED.
26	Q BEFORE YOU WENT TO CAMELOT, AS I UNDERSTAND IT,
27	YOU WENT ON SHOPPING TRIPS WITH HER?
26 27 28	A YES.

1	Q AND YOU WENT TO DINNER WITH HER?
2	A AND I THOUGHT WE WERE CLOSE FRIENDS.
3	Q AND WHEN YOU GOT TO CAMELOT, ARE YOU TELLING ME
4	YOU HAD DIFFICULTY GETTING TO SEE HER AND TALK WITH HER?
5	A YES.
6	Q MR. MULL, LET'S JUMP NOW TO YOUR WIFE WHO YOU
7	MENTIONED, KATHLEEN MULL.
8	A YES.
9	Q WERE YOU AND SHE SHARING THE SAME RESIDENTIAL
10	QUARTERS WHEN YOU LIVED AT CAMELOT?
11	A NO. I STAYED IN MY OFFICE. BUT BEFORE I MOVED
12	TO CAMELOT WHEN I WOULD VISIT HER, I WOULD STAY IN A TWIN
13	BED WITH HER IN A PRIVATE ROCM.
14	Q WAS HER ROOM APPROXIMATELY THE SAME SIZE AS
15	YOURS AT CAMELOT?
15	A EXACTLY THE SAME SIZE.
17	Q THAT IS ABOUT EIGHT FEET BY EIGHT FEET?
18	- A CORRECT.
19	Q WERE YOUR EVENINGS EVER INTERRUPTED WHILE THE
20	TWO OF YOU WERE RESIDING THERE TOGETHER?
21	A CONSTANTLY. SHE WAS CALLED EVEN DURING THE
22	NIGHT BY ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET TO GET OUT A CERTAIN FILE
23	OR TO DO SOME WORK FOR ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
24	Q NOW, WHAT TIME OF NIGHT MIGHT BE
25	A TWO OR THREE O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING.
26	Q NOW, HAD SHE WAS THAT ON A DAY WHEN SHE HAD
27	NOT WORKED DURING THE DAY?
28	A NO. SHE HAD WORKED DURING THE DAY AND

ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET WOULD SAY, "SEE ME." SHE WAITED UP 1 AND WANTED TO WORK AND KATHLEEN WOULD BE CALLED AS HER 2 PRIVATE SECRETARY. 3 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT. AND AGAIN THE QUESTION WAS WELL BEYOND -- THE ANSWER WAS WELL BEYOND 5 THE QUESTION. AND I THINK IT ALSO INVOLVED HEARSAY WHEN IT 6 7 GOT TO THAT POINT AND ASK THAT IT BE STRICKEN. 3 THE COURT: LAST ANSWER IS STRICKEN. THE JURY IS 9 DIRECTED TO DISREGARD IT. 10 BY MR. LEVY: LET ME ASK YOU THIS, MR. MULL: Q ON ANY OF THE EVENINGS THAT YOU WERE SPENDING THE NIGHT WITH 11 12 YOUR WIFE, WAS SHE AWAKENED DURING THE NIGHT BY A SUMMONS BY 13 MISS PROPHET? 14 YES. REPEATEDLY. AND WOULD THAT EVER OCCUR ON A DAY WHEN SHE HAD 15 PUT IN A FULL DAY IN THE OFFICE, SO TO SPEAK? 16 IT WAS -- HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH HER PUTTING IN 17 A FULL DAY. EVERY DAY SHE PUT IN A FULL DAY. SHE WAS A 18 VERY EFFICIENT SECRETARY. 19 FROM YOUR EXPERIENCE AT CAMELOT, DID MOST 20 Q PEOPLE WHO WORKED THERE WORK THE SAME KIND OF FULL WEEK YOU 21 AND YOUR WIFE DID? 22 THEY MAY HAVE. 23 Α WHEN YOUR WIFE WOULD BE SUMMONED BY MISS 24 PROPHET TO GET FILES AND EVERYTHING, DID THAT INTERFERE WITH 25 YOUR SLEEP PATTERNS? 26 27 Α YES. DID IT AFFECT IN ANY WAY THE WORK THAT YOU HAD 28 Q

1	TO DO?
2	A NOT THAT I WAS AWARE OF, BUT IT AFFECTED ME
3	THAT IT WAS MORE PRESSURE, TO MORE TIRED.
4	Q WAS THE DIET AT CAMELOT ANY DIFFERENT FROM THE
5	DIET AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
6	A NO. IT WAS ALL VEGETARIAN OR MAINLY
7	VEGETARIAN.
8	Q DID YOU ALSO HAVE FAST DAYS WHILE YOU WERE AT
9	CAMELOT?
10	A YES.
11	Q WAS THAT HOW MANY TIMES A WEEK WAS THAT?
12	A WELL, WHEN LIVING ON STAFF, THIS GO BY
13	ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET WHETHER WE WERE TO TAKE A COLONIC
14	WITH OUR DIET, BE ONE DAY OR THREE DAYS.
15	Q SO I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY, IT WAS ONE DAY A
16	WEEK?
17	A THAT WAS WHAT WAS REQUIRED AND DURING THE
18	QUARTER, BUT NOT NECESSARILY WHILE LIVING AT STAFF. UNLESS
19	IT WAS AN ORDER GIVEN BY ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
20	Q NOW, LET'S JUMP RIGHT BACK TO SUMMIT
21	UNIVERSITY. WAS THERE EVER A TIME WHILE YOU WERE AT SUMMIT
22	UNIVERSITY WHEN ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET SOUGHT YOU OUT AND
23	YOU AND SHE LEFT THE TEACHING CENTER AT THAT TIME?
24	A YES.
25	Q WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT WHERE YOU WENT?
26	A WE WENT SHOPPING AT MERCHANDISE MART IN LOS
27	ANGELES FOR ONE THING.
28	Q DID YOU GO OUT AND EAT?
•	

	A 165.
2	Q DID YOU FOLLOW THE DIETARY RULES THAT ALL THE
3	STUDENTS AT SUMMIT WERE REQUIRED TO FOLLOW?
4	A NO.
5	Q HOW DID YOU VARY FROM THE DIETARY RULES OF THE
6	PEOPLE AT SUMMIT?
7	A WELL, ON THE DAY THAT WE WENT SHOPPING, IT JUST
8	HAPPENED TO BE A FAST DAY. AND I APOLOGIZED FOR EATING.
9	AND MISS PROPHET SAID, "OH, THAT'S ALL RIGHT."
10	Q DID SHE HAVE A MEAL WITH YOU?
11	A YES.
12	Q DID SHE FOLLOW THE DIETARY RULES AT SUMMIT
13	UNI VERSITY?
14	A I DOUBT IT.
15	Q WELL, WHEN SHE WAS WITH YOU, DID SHE FOLLOW THE
16	DIETARY RULES?
17	A SHE ATE JUST LIKE I DID.
18	Q AND THAT WAS IN VIOLATION OF THE DIETARY RULES
19	THEN?
20	A YES. ACCORDING TO THE QUARTER, YES.
21	Q DID THERE COME A TIME WHILE YOU WERE LIVING AT
22	CAMELOT THAT TROUBLE AROSE BETWEEN YOU AND YOUR WIFE,
23	KATHLEEN?
24	A YES. BECAUSE SHE WAS OVERWORKED AND VERY
25	EMOTIONAL AND NERVOUS.
26	Q DID YOU ATTEMPT TO TALK TO ANYONE ABOUT THE
27	PROBLEMS YOU TWO WERE HAVING?
28	A YES.

1	Q WHO WAS THAT?
2	A MONROE SHEARER.
3	Q DID HE HELP YOU SOLVE YOUR MARITAL PROBLEMS?
4	A I WOULD SAY NO.
5	Q WHAT DID HE DO?
б	A I TOLD HE ASKED ME WHAT SEEMED TO BE THE BIG
7	PROBLEM? AND I SAID THAT SHE NO LONGER BELIEVED ELIZABETH
8	WAS A MESSENGER FOR THE GREAT WHITE BROTHERHOOD. AND IN 15
9	MINUTES, I WAS IN FRONT OF HIM, JAMES MC CAFFREY, ELIZABETH
10	AND MYSELF IN HIS OFFICE.
11	Q A WHAT HAPPENED THERE?
12	A THERE ELIZABETH SAID THAT - ASKED ME ABOUT
13	WOULD I GO BEFORE THE STAFF AND TELL EVERYTHING ON MY WIFE,
14	WHAT SHE WAS THINKING. AND I SAID NO, I LOVED HER AND I
15	WOULDN'T DO IT. AND SHE ASKED IF I WOULD TELL HER IN FRONT
16	OF KATHLEEN AND EVERYTHING AND I SAID YES.
17	Q DID YOU HAVE A MEETING WITH ELIZABETH?
18	A ELIZABETH, MONROE SHEARER, MYSELF IN MONROE
19	SHEARER'S OFFICE.
20	Q WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT HAPPENED DURING
21	THAT MEETING?
22	A DURING THE MEETING, I TOLD WHAT ELIZABETH (SIC)
23	THOUGHT OF THE ORGANIZATION, THAT WAS VERY TOTALISTIC, VERY
24	COMMUNISTIC.
25	AND ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET KICKED HER OUT THE
26	NEXT MORNING, TOLD HER SHE COULDN'T COME BACK FOR TRIAL, FOR
27	SIX MONTHS. AND SHE HAD TO GET THERAPY, BUT NOT TO GO TO A
28	REGULAR THERAPIST OR THEY WOULD TALK HER OUT OF THE
	·

1	ORGANIZATION.
2	Q DID YOU GET ANY HELP FROM ANYBODY AT THAT
3	CHURCH WHEN YOU WENT TO THEM SEEKING ASSISTANCE FOR THE
4	PROBLEMS YOU WERE HAVING WITH YOUR MARRIAGE?
5	A I WANTED KATHLEEN TO BE PUT IN A DIFFERENT
6	DEPARTMENT. BUT SHE WAS SO EFFICIENT WITH TAKING DICTATION,
7	WITH TAKING NOTES AND TRANSCRIBING THINGS, THAT SHE WAS VERY
8	VALUABLE AND THEY WANTED TO KEEP HER IF AT ALL POSSIBLE.
9	BUT I DIDN'T WANT HER KICKED OUT. I WANTED HER TO GET A NEW
10	JOB IN THE ORGANIZATION.
11	Q MY QUESTION IS THIS, MR. MULL.
12	A YES.
13	Q WHEN YOU HAD PROBLEMS AND YOUR WIFE TOLD YOU OF
14	HER DOUBTS AND HER CONCERNS, YOU WENT AND TALKED TO MONROE
15	SHEARER?
16	A CORRECT.
17	Q DID ANYONE CONNECTED WITH THE CHURCH DO
18	ANYTHING TO HELP YOU SAVE YOUR MARRIAGE?
19	A NO.
20	Q WAS YOUR WIFE ASKED TO LEAVE?
21	A THE NEXT MORNING. AND SHE COULD NOT RETURN,
22	AND I WAS NOT TO WRITE TO HER, OR CALL HER OR GIVE HER ANY
23	MONEY.
24	Q WHO TOLD YOU THAT?
25	A ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
25	Q DID ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET TELL YOU ANYTHING
27	ELSE ABOUT MONEY AND ABOUT YOUR WIFE?
28	A YES. LATER. SHE THOUGHT KATHLEEN WOULD TAKE

1	ME FOR EVERYTHING AND THAT I SHOULD GIVE EVERYTHING I OWNED
2	TO HER, MONEY, TO CAMELOT, MY MONEY.
3	Q DID ELIZABETH EXCUSE ME. YOU WERE GOING TO
4	SAY SOMETHING?
5	A KATHLEEN TOLD ME REPEATEDLY THAT
6	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT TO HIM TELLING
7	US WHAT KATHLEEN TOLD HIM.
8	THE COURT: I THOUGHT THIS WAS A CONTINUATION OF THE
9	ANSWER.
10	MR. KLEIN: WELL, I THINK IT IS NONRESPONSIVE TO THE
11	POINT WHERE HE STARTS TELLING US WHAT SOMEONE ELSE TOLD HIM.
12	AND I WOULD OBJECT IT IS HEARSAY.
13	THE COURT: WERE YOU ABOUT TO TELL US WHAT MISS
14	PROPHET TOLD YOU
15	THE WITNESS: KATHLEEN.
16	THE COURT: WAIT A SECOND. WERE YOU ABOUT TO TELL US
17	WHAT MISS PROPHET SAID TO YOU?
18	THE WITNESS: NO. WHAT SHE SAID TO OTHER PEOPLE.
19	THE COURT: WHAT?
20	THE WITNESS: WHAT SHE SAID TO OTHER PEOPLE. WHAT
21	SHE
22	THE COURT: ABOUT WHOM?
23	THE WITNESS: ABOUT EVERYBODY WHO CAME ON STAFF.
24	THE COURT: WELL, WE WILL WAIT FOR THAT SOME OTHER
25	TIME.
26	GO AHEAD.
27	Q BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU AND ELIZABETH CLARE
28	PROPHET HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT YOUR WIFE, WHO HAD NOW BEEN
_	

<del>-</del>	
1	ASKED TO LEAVE CAMELOT, AND MONEY?
2	A YES.
3	Q WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT YOU AND MISS
4	PROPHET TALKED ABOUT?
5	A MISS PROPHET, IN JAMES STEFANIAK'S OFFICE, THAT
6	HER CHANCES OF MAKING IT TO ASCENSION WERE VERY SLIM AND
7	THAT WE NO LONGER HAD TO STAY MARRIED. THEREFORE, WE HAD
8	BETWEEN US MARRIED OR NOT MARRIED.
9	Q DID MRS. PROPHET AT SOMETIME GIVE YOU
10	AUTHORIZATION TO DIVORCE YOUR WIFE?
11	A RIGHT THEN AND THERE.
12	Q WHERE DID SHE SAY THAT AUTHORITY CAME FROM OR
13	THAT DIRECTION?
14	A I THINK FROM EL MORYA.
15	Q AND WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT WHO EL MORYA IS?
16	A EL MORYA IS ONE OF HER ASCENDED MASTERS ON THE
17	BLUE WAY AND HE IS THE WILL OF GOD. HE IS AN ABSOLUTE
18	TYRANT AS FAR AS I AM CONCERNED.
19	Q DID YOU AT SOMETIME THEREAFTER WRITE A LETTER
20	TO YOUR WIFE, KATHLEEN, ABOUT A DIVORCE?
21	A YES.
22	Q DID YOU EVER TELL YOUR WIFE THAT THE DECISION
23	TO DIVORCE HER WAS YOUR DECISION ALONE?
24	A YES, I THINK I DID.
25	Q WAS IT YOUR DECISION ALONE?
26	A NOT TOTALLY, NO. I HAD MISS PROPHET'S
27	APPROVAL. AND I STILL FELT SHE WAS CAUSING CONFLICT WITH
28	THE MESSENGER OF THE GREAT WHITE BROTHERHOOD. I HAD

1 CONFLICT WITH MASTERS. 2 Q DO YOU YOURSELF TALK TO EL MORYA? 3 I TRIED TO, BUT HE NEVER ANSWERED ME. 4 NOW, YOU GOT THE WORD FROM MISS PROPHET THAT EL 5 MORYA SAID YOU SHOULD DIVORCE YOUR WIFE, KATHLEEN? 6 Α SHE SAID I WAS FREE TO IF I WANTED TO. BUT IT 7 WAS UP TO ME. 8 COULD YOU HAVE DIVORCED HER WITHOUT THE Q 9 APPROVAL OF MISS PROPHET? 10 PROBABLY NOT. THE COURT: LET'S TAKE A SHORT RECESS AT THIS TIME. 11 12 WE WILL RESUME IN TEN MINUTES. 13 (RECESS.) 14 THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED. 15 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 16 YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I'D LIKE TO MAKE THE 17 FOLLOWING STIPULATION. MR. KLEIN HAS BEEN KIND ENOUGH TO 18 SUGGEST AND AGREE WITH ME THAT FOR CONTINUITY SAKE AND 19 BECAUSE OF MR. MULL'S MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS, WE WOULD STIPULATE 20 THAT THE ACTUAL DATE THAT HE CAME TO CAMELOT WAS JANUARY 21 11TH, 1979, AND NOT 1980. AND THAT THE CONTACT THAT WAS 22 MADE WITH HIM PRIOR TO HIS COMING THERE WAS MADE AT THE END OF THE YEAR, 1978. 23 24 IS THAT CORRECT, MR. KLEIN? 25 MR. KLEIN: I WILL STIPULATE TO THAT, YES, YOUR 26 HONOR. ALTHOUGH THE PART ABOUT THE MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS I AM 27 NOT STIPULATING TO. I AM JUST STIPULATING TO THOSE DATES. 28 THE COURT: THE ONLY QUESTION I HAVE OF YOU IS IS THE

STIPULATION AS ARTICULATED BY MR. LEVY AGREEABLE? 1 MR. KLEIN: MY ONLY PROBLEM IS HE MENTIONED SOMETHING 2 ABOUT MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS. I WILL STIPULATE SIMPLY THAT IN 3 THE END OF 19 -- I WILL RESTATE IT. IN THE END OF 1978, 4 THERE WAS A CONTACT WITH MR. MULL BY SOMEONE AT CAMELOT AND 5 THAT IN JANUARY OF 1979 IS WHEN HE ACTUALLY MOVED TO 6 CAMELOT. 7 THE COURT: JANUARY 11? 8 MR. KLEIN: I WILL GO ALONG WITH JANUARY 11TH, 1979. 9 THE COURT: THAT WAS THE EXTENT OF THE STIPULATION 10 THAT WAS PROPOSED. 11 MR. KLEIN: HE STARTED OFF --12 THE COURT: THE OTHER WAS INTRODUCTORY AND NOT A PART 13 OF THE PROPOSED AGREEMENT. 14 MR. KLEIN: OKAY. THEN I HAVE NO PROBLEM. 15 THE COURT: AS I HEARD IT, AT LEAST. 16 MR. LEVY: THAT WAS MY INTENTION, YOUR HONOR. 17 MR. KLEIN: THEN I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THE 18 STIPULATION AS PROPOSED BY MR. LEVY. 19 THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE SIMILAR TO MY SAYING 20 INASMUCH AS IT IS TUESDAY, DO YOU AGREE THAT THERE ARE TWO 21 MORE DAYS UNTIL THURSDAY? INASMUCH AS IT IS TUESDAY IS NOT 22 A PART OF THE AGREEMENT. THE QUESTION IS WHETHER YOU WOULD 23 AGREE IT IS TWO MORE DAYS TILL THURSDAY. BUT LET'S GET ON 24 25 WITH IT. BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU WRITE A LETTER TO 26 KATHLEEN MULL WITH REGARD TO A DIVORCE FROM HER? 27 YES. 28 Α

Q DID YOU TELL HER IN THAT LETTER THAT THE IDEA
FOR THE DIVORCE WAS YOURS AND YOURS ALONE?
A YES.
Q WAS IT REALLY YOUR IDEA ALL BY YOURSELF?
A NO, NOT REALLY.
d DID Aon
A I WAS WILLING TO TAKE FULL RESPONSIBILITY TO
PROTECT HER BECAUSE THAT WAS WHAT THE GURU SAID.
Q WHEN YOU ARE REFERRING TO THE GURU, WHO ARE YOU
TALKING ABOUT?
A ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET, WHO IS KNOWN AS GURU
MA OR ALSO GURU MOTHER.
Q WOULD YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT PART, IF ANY,
ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET HAD IN TELLING YOU HOW TO ARRANGE
THE FINANCIAL ENDS OF YOUR DIVORCE?
A WELL, FIRST OF ALL, SHE FELT KATHLEEN WOULD
TAKE ALL THE PROPERTY AWAY FROM ME. SHE MENTIONED THAT
ANNISE BOOTH'S HUSBAND TOOK HER MONEY IN THE BANK, ABOUT
EIGHT THOUSAND, AWAY FROM HER. AND SHE FELT KATHLEEN WOULD
DO THE SAME TO ME AND I SHOULD GIVE IT TO HER.
Q GIVE IT TO WHO?
A ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
Q SO I UNDERSTAND YOU CORRECTLY, YOU ARE SAYING
ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET SAID TO GIVE IT TO HER AS OPPOSED TO
GIVING IT TO YOUR WIFE?
A SHE THOUGHT MY WIFE WOULD EVENTUALLY
KATHLEEN WOULD TAKE IT AWAY FROM ME, BUT SHE NEVER DID.
Q AFTER YOUR WIFE LEFT CAMELOT BY THE WAY,

1	WHEN EXACTLY DID SHE LEAVE CAMELOT IF YOU RECALL?
2	A I WOULD SAY IT WAS THE MORNING AFTER OUR
3	MEETING WAS. I DON'T KNOW WHEN THE EXACT DATE.
4	Q WAS IT THREE MONTHS AFTER YOU MOVED?
5	A THREE MONTHS AFTER I MOVED TO CAMELOT, KATHLEEN
б	WAS KICKED OUT.
7	Q WHY DIDN'T YOU LEAVE WITH HER?
8	A THAT IS A GOOD QUESTION BECAUSE I STILL LOVED
9	KATHLEEN AND I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON. AND SHE TOLD
10	ME SO MANY THINGS. I WAS GOING TO QUOTE SOME REMARKS, BUT I
11	WAS STOPPED. AND I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON AND I
12	ALREADY DEDICATED MY LIFE TO BUILDING THE NEW JERUSALEM AND
13	BEING THE ARCHITECT FOR CAMELOT. THAT I WAS TO HEED TO THAT
14	WAS PROBABLY THE MAIN REASON.
15	Q DID YOU MAKE A CHOICE BETWEEN KATHLEEN, YOUR
16	WIFE, AND ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET, YOUR SPIRITUAL LEADER?
17	A NO DOUBT
13	Q HOW IMPORTANT TO YOU WAS YOUR ASCENSION AT THAT
19	TIME?
20	A JUST AS IMPORTANT AS EVER.
21	Q WAS THERE ANYTHING IN YOUR WAY OF THINKING OR
22	IN YOUR LIFE THAT WAS ANY MORE IMPORTANT THAN THAT?
23	A NO.
24	Q NOW, AFTER YOUR WIFE LEFT, WHAT DID YOU DO AT
25	CAMELOT?
26	A I CONTINUED DOING THE ARCHITECTURE.
27	Q YOUR WIFE LEFT ABOUT THREE MONTHS AFTER
28	A NO. THREE MONTHS AFTER, WE HAD THE MEETING
<del>-</del>	

1	WITH ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET AND MONROE. SHE LEFT THE NEXT
2	DAY. GO AHEAD.
3	Q OKAY. AND THAT MEETING TOOK PLACE ABOUT THREE
4	MONTHS AFTER YOU CAME TO CAMELOT?
5	A YES.
6	Q AND IS IT CORRECT THAT YOUR WIFE LEFT THE NEXT
7	DAY AFTER THE MEETING?
8	A YES. THEY PUT HER ON THE BUS BECAUSE PLANES
9	WERE ON STRIKE. SHE WAS TO FLY TO NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, BUT
10	SHE TOOK THE BUS INSTEAD. AND I DROVE HER TO THE BUS WITH
11	PERMISSION FROM ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
12	Q WERE THERE ANY OTHER CONDITIONS TO YOUR PUTTING
13	HER ON THE BUS?
14	A I WAS NOT TO GIVE HER ANY MONEY. SHE COULD
15	COME BACK IN SIX MONTHS FOR A TRIAL AND THAT I WAS NOT TO
16	CONTACT HER BY LETTER OR TELEPHONE, WHICH I DID NOT. AND
17	SHE WAS NOT TO CONTACT ME.
18	Q WHO TOLD YOU NOT TO GIVE HER ANY MONEY WHEN YOU
19	PUT HER ON THE BUS?
20	A ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
21	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY IDEA HOW SHE MIGHT EAT OR BUY
22	FOOD OR BUY ANY NECESSITIES?
23	A WELL, OTHER THAN SHE WOULD LIVE WITH HER
24	MOTHER. AND HER MOTHER WAS DYING OF CANCER, BUT HER MOTHER
25	WOULD NOT SEE HER CHILD STARVE TO DEATH.
26	Q AT THE BEGINNING OF THE NEXT MONTH, DID YOU
27	REQUEST THAT YOU BE PAID FOR YOUR EXPENSES?
28	A YES.

1	Q DID YOU RECEIVE THOSE MONIES?
2	A I WAS TO RECEIVE THEM, BUT RELUCTANTLY AND
3	LATE. MY CREDIT WAS RUINED BECAUSE OF IT.
4	Q DURING THIS TIME, WERE YOU WRITING LETTERS TO
5	THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH REGARD
5	TO PAYMENT TO YOU?
7	A YES.
8	Q YOU WROTE A LOT OF LETTERS?
9	A YES. I HAD NOTHING TO HIDE SO I WROTE A LOT OF
10	LETTERS ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING ON. PARTLY INSIDE OF MYSELF.
11	Q IN ANY OF THOSE LETTERS, DID YOU EVER REFER TO
12	THE MONEY YOU WERE GETTING AS "LOANS"?
13	A I MAY HAVE, BUT I NEVER IN MY MIND OR HEART
14	CONSIDERED IT A LOAN OR THAT IT WAS TO BE PAID BACK BECAUSE
15	I WAS DOING THE ARCHITECTURE. THAT MORE THAN COMPENSATED
16	FOR IT.
17	. Q THEN WHY DID YOU CALL THEM "LOANS"?
18	A I SHOULDN'T HAVE. IT WAS WRONG BECAUSE IT WAS
19	NEVER A LOAN. IT WAS PAYMENT OF BILLS.
20	Q DID ANYONE TELL YOU TO USE THE TERMINOLOGY
21	"LOAN5"?
22	A YES.
23	Q WHO WAS THAT?
24	A IT WAS CONSTANTLY USED BY MONROE SHEARER. HE
25	SAID THAT HE GOT IN TROUBLE WITH ELIZABETH. ALL THE TIME HE
26	NEEDED MONEY, TO REFER TO PAYMENTS TO CALL THEM LOANS. SO I
27	MAY HAVE TO PROTECT HIM AND AT HIS REQUEST.
28	Q MONROE SHEARER WAS YOUR SUPERIOR?
ı	

1	A HE WAS MY HIERARCH AND SUPERIOR, YES. HE WAS
2	DEAN OF STUDENTS AND HE WAS CONSIDERED THE RIGHT-HAND MAN
3	UNDER ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.
4	Q DID YOU BELIEVE THAT HE COULD ASSIST YOU IN
5	YOUR ASCENSION?
6	A YES. I BELIEVED ANYBODY THERE COULD.
7	Q IS THERE ANYTHING THAT HE COULD HAVE TOLD YOU
8	TO DO THAT YOU WOULDN'T HAVE DONE AT THAT TIME?
9	A I CAN T THINK OF WHAT IT WOULD BE.
10	Q AT THE END OF THE FOLLOWING MONTH, NOW I THINK
11	WE HAVE COVERED JANUARY, FEBRUARY, MARCH, APRIL, NOW THE
12	NEXT MONTH WOULD BE MAY, DID YOU WRITE A NOTE REQUESTING
13	THAT YOUR EXPENSES BE PAID?
14	A YES.
15	Q DID THEY PAY YOUR EXPENSES?
16	A PROBABLY, BUT WE HAD OPPOSITION CONCERNING IT.
17	Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
18	A WELL, THERE WAS APRIL 20TH I WROTE A LETTER TO
19	ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET THAT WANTED TO SETTLE ALL OF OUR
20	AGREEMENT
21	THE COURT: I THINK SOME OF THE PEOPLE ARE HAVING A
22	LITTLE DIFFICULTY HEARING MR. MULL.
23	THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT. IT'S ON, YES. DO YOU WANT
24	ME TO GO ON?
25	THE COURT: GET A LITTLE CLOSER TO THE MICROPHONE.
26	THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT.
27	THE COURT: THAT WILL HELP.
28	THE WITNESS: I WROTE A LETTER ON APRIL 20TH THAT
_	

1	WANTED TO SETTLE MY WHOLE ACCOUNT WITH THE CHURCH.
. 2	Q BY MR. LEVY: WHAT WAS THE CONTENT OF THAT
3	LETTER, IF YOU RECALL?
4	A I THINK THE LETTER WAS CONCERNING SETTLING WITH
5	THE CHURCH FOR \$10,000. AND WE HAD A TAPED MEETING IN ABOUT
6	THREE WEEKS WITH ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET, EDWARD FRANCIS AND
7	MONROE SHEARER. AND IT IS ON TAPE AND IT IS ALSO THERE
8	TRANSCRIBED. I THINK IT IS APRIL 20TH, 1979.
9	Q WHEN YOU SAY, "SETTLE WITH THE CHURCH," WHAT DO
10	YOU MEAN SETTLE WITH THE CHURCH?
11	A I WANTED TO SETTLE THEY WANTED TO CALL IT A
12	LOAN. IT NEVER WAS A LOAN BECAUSE I WORKED AND IT WAS
13	ALWAYS CALLED EXPENSES AT THE VERY BEGINNING. AND I EXPECT
14	THE CHURCH TO KEEP ITS WORD OR ANY VERBAL OR WRITTEN
15	AGREEMENT.
16	Q AFTER YOU WROTE THAT LETTER, DID YOU MEET WITH
17	ANY OF THE PEOPLE IN YOUR
18	A YES.
19	Q AND WHO DID YOU MEET WITH?
20	A I MET WITH ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET, EDWARD
21	FRANCIS AND MONROE SHEARER IN MONROE SHEARER'S OFFICE.
22	Q I AM STILL IN APRIL OF 1979?
23	A YES.
24	Q WHAT HAPPENED DURING THAT MEETING?
25	A WELL, A TAPE WAS MADE
26	MR. LEVY: EXCUSE ME. MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH,
27	YOUR HONOR?
28	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
_	

1	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
2	AT THE BENCH:)
3	MR. LEVY: I BELIEVE THAT MR. MULL IS PRETTY MUCH
4	EXHAUSTED FROM THE DAY. HE IS CONFUSING HIS YEARS AND HIS
5	MONTHS AT THIS POINT. AND MR. KLEIN IS AWARE OF IT.
6	MR. KLEIN: THIS LETTER IS A 1980 LETTER. SO HE IS
7	GOING OFF AT THIS POINT.
8	MR. LEVY: IT'S BEEN A LONG DAY FOR HIM.
9	THE COURT: WE WILL MAKE SOME EXCEPTIONS IN HIS CASE.
10	MR. LEYY: HE IS THE ONLY WITNESS THAT WE HAVE THAT
11	WILL BE ANY PROBLEM WITH.
12	THE COURT: THE REST SHOULD BE DON'T HAVE ANY SUCH
. 13	PROBLEMS.
14	MR. LEVY: ABOUT THIS TIME OF DAY, I LIKE TO TAKE A
15	NAP.
16	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WE WILL STOP FOR THE
17	DAY.
18	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU.
19	MR. MIDDLETON: THANK YOU.
20	(THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN
21	COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.)
22	THE COURT: WE ARE GOING TO STOP FOR THE DAY, LADIES
23	AND GENTLEMEN. WE ARE GOING TO RESUME TOMORROW MORNING. WE
24	WILL RESUME TOMORROW MORNING AT 9:15. HAVE A VERY PLEASANT
25	EVENING. EVERYBODY BE HERE AT 9:15 IN THE MORNING READY TO
26	PROCEED.
27	(AT 3:52 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL
28	WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1986, AT 9:15 A.M.)