## COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

CHURCH UNIVERSAL & TRIUMPHANT, INC., A MONTANA CORPORATION,

PLAINTIFF, CROSS-DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT;

ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET,

CROSS-DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT,)

VS.

GREGORY MULL,

DEFENDANT, CROSS-COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT.

SUPERIOR COURT NO. C 358191

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

HONORABLE ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE PRESIDING

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

## APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF, CROSS-DEFENDANTS AND APPELLANTS:

FOR THE DEFENDANT, CROSS-COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT:

COPY

VOLUME 11 OF 12 VOLUMES PAGES 2293 TO 2597, INCL.

RIORDAN & MC KINZIE

300 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 2900

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071

TELEPHONE: (213) 629-4824

LAWRENCE LEVY, ESQ. 14724 VENTURA BOULEVARD, SUITE 704 SHERMAN OAKS, CALIFORNIA 91403 (818) 905-5971

-AND-LYLE FRANCIS MIDDLETON, ESQ. 2500 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 810 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90057 (213) 381-2277

KATHLEEN H. ADAMS, CSR #2853 BRIDGET F. GEORGE, CSR #6148 CELESTE HALE, CSR #1310 ERMA DE MAR, CSR #2117 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, MARCH 13, 1986 \* 9:25 A.M. 2 3 DEPARTMENT 50 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) 5 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. 6 7 LET'S PROCEED. MR. KLEIN: WE WOULD CALL DR. JOHN GORDON MELTON, 8 9 YOUR HONOR. 10 JOHN GORDON MELTON, + 11 A PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, 12 13 TESTIFIES AS FOLLOWS: THE CLERK: SIR, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE 14 RECORD AND PLEASE SPELL YOUR NAME. 15 THE WITNESS: JOHN GORDON MELTON. JOHN, J-O-H-N; 16 GORDON, G-O-R-D-O-N; MELTON, M-E-L-T-O-N. 17 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION + 20 BY MR. KLEIN: 21 WOULD YOU PLEASE GIVE US YOUR EDUCATIONAL 22 Q BACKGROUND? 23 I HAVE A BACHELOR'S DEGREE FROM BIRMINGHAM 24 SOUTHERN COLLEGE IN ALABAMA; A MASTER OF DIVINITY DEGREE 25 26 FROM GARRETT THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS, WITH A MAJOR IN CHURCH HISTORY AND MINOR IN METHODIST HISTORY; 27 AND I HAVE A PH.D. FROM NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY WITH A MAJOR 28

IN HISTORY AND LITERATURE OF RELIGIONS AND MINOR IN INTELLECTUAL HISTORY.

Q COULD YOU TELL US YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND,
PLEASE?

A I AM THE DIRECTOR OF THE INSTITUTE FOR THE STUDY OF AMERICAN RELIGION, A POST I HAVE HELD FULL-TIME FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS. PRIOR TO THAT, I WAS PASTOR OF SEVERAL METHODIST CHURCHES.

Q WHAT IS THE INSTITUTE FOR THE STUDY OF AMERICAN RELIGION?

A THE INSTITUTE FOR THE STUDY OF AMERICAN
RELIGION IS AN INDEPENDENT RESEARCH FACILITY WHOSE PRIME
AREA OF RESEARCH ARE THE VARIOUS RELIGIOUS GROUPS OF
AMERICA, WHICH THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 1,800 RIGHT NOW.

OUR PRIMARY TASK HAS BEEN TO BUILD A RESEARCH LIBRARY THAT WOULD HOUSE MATERIAL FROM EACH OF THE VARIOUS RELIGIOUS GROUPS OF AMERICA AS WELL AS A FILE ON THOSE AND MAKE THEM AVAILABLE TO THE SCHOLARLY AND GENERAL PUBLIC.

Q DOES THE INSTITUTE FOR THE STUDY OF AMERICAN RELIGION CURRENTLY RECEIVE FINANCIAL SUPPORT FROM ANY INSTITUTION?

A YES. WE ARE CURRENTLY RECEIVING SUPPORT FROM
THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT SANTA BARBARA. THE
FACILITIES OF THE INSTITUTE ARE CURRENTLY HOUSED ON CAMPUS
IN THE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY AND THEY ARE PROVIDING OFFICE
SPACE FOR MYSELF AS WELL.

Q AS DIRECTOR OF THE INSTITUTE, WHAT ARE YOUR DUTIES, SIR?

A TO ADMINISTER THE AFFAIRS OF THE INSTITUTE, BUT PRIMARILY MY TIME IS SPENT RESEARCH AND WRITING.

Q HAVE YOU PUBLISHED ANY BOOKS OR ARTICLES IN THE AREA OF COMPARATIVE RELIGIONS?

A A NUMBER.

Q COULD YOU PLEASE TELL US SOME OF THE MORE PROMINENT ONES?

A THE FIRST ONE WAS THE PUBLICATION MY
DISSERTATION, "THE SHAPE AND STRUCTURE OF THE AMERICAN
RELIGIOUS EXPERIENCE," WHICH WAS MY DOCTORAL RESEARCH ON
SURVEYING SOME 1,500 AMERICAN RELIGIONS.

OUT OF THAT GREW "THE ENCYCLOPEDIA OF AMERICAN RELIGIONS," WHICH IS A TWO-VOLUME SURVEY OF ALL OF THE RELIGIOUS GROUPS THAT WERE EXISTING IN THE LATE SEVENTIES IN THE UNITED STATES WHICH HAS NOW BECOME A STANDARD REFERENCE WORK IN THAT AREA.

I HAVE ALSO DONE TWO BOOKS ON WHAT ARE CALLED CULTS, SOME NONCONVENTIONAL RELIGIONS. A BOOK CALLED "CULT EXPERIENCE," WHICH IS WIDELY USED AS A TEXTBOOK IN COLLEGES, AND A SECOND BOOK RECENTLY CAME OUT CALLED "WHY THE CULTS SUCCEED WHERE THE CHURCH FAILS."

JUST THIS WEEK A VOLUME I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON FOR THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS APPEARED CALLED "BIOGRAPHICAL DICTIONARY OF CULTS AND SECTS." MOST OF THE BOOKS I WRITE ARE REFERENCE VOLUMES. I HAVE ALSO DONE WRITING IN METHODIST HISTORY AS WELL AND A NUMBER OF ARTICLES.

Q ARE YOU A MEMBER OF ANY ORGANIZATIONS INVOLVED IN THE STUDY OF RELIGION?

A I AM A MEMBER OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY -- THE ACADEMY OF RELIGION AND FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS HAVE CHAIRED SESSIONS ON NONCONVENTIONAL RELIGIONS THERE. I WILL ALSO CHAIR A SESSION AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE ACADEMY. I AM A MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY FOR SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF RELIGION AND AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CHURCH HISTORY.

Q ARE YOU AN ORDAINED MINISTER?

A I AM AN ORDAINED MINISTER IN THE UNITED
METHODIST CHURCH AND I AM CURRENTLY UNDER BISHOPS
APPOINTMENT. I AM CURRENTLY A MEMBER OF THE NORTHERN
ILLINOIS CONFERENCE.

Q HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONSULTED BY THE UNITED STATES ARMY IN THE AREA OF RELIGION?

A YES. I WAS HIRED BY THE ARMY TO HELP PREPARE A CHAPLAIN'S MANUAL ON VARIOUS RELIGIOUS GROUPS THAT CHAPLAINS ENCOUNTER WHEN THEY ARE IN THE ARMY, THAT THERE WERE TWO EDITIONS OF THAT MANUAL THAT I PREPARED FOR THEM AND THAT IS STILL BEING USED BY THE ARMY CHAPLAINS CORPS.

Q AND IN ADDITION TO YOUR EDUCATIONAL TRAINING
AND YOUR READING OF THE LITERATURE, HAVE YOU HAD OCCASION TO
GAIN KNOWLEDGE OF RELIGIOUS GROUPS BY YOUR OWN RESEARCH AND
OBSERVATION?

A YES. I CONSIDER THAT PART OF THE STRENGTH OF MY WORK. FOR THE LAST 20 YEARS, I HAVE DEVOTED A GOOD DEAL, ALMOST ALL OF MY RESEARCH TIME TO ACTUALLY GOING OUT AND VISITING GROUPS, MEETING WITH THEIR LEADERS, PARTICIPATING IN THEIR WORSHIP SERVICES, INTERVIEWING THEIR MEMBERS, COLLECTING THEIR LITERATURE, COMPILING FILES ON THEM.

 AND THESE FILES ARE NOW CURRENTLY HOUSED AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA.

Q WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF DOING SUCH OBSERVATIONS?

A WELL, DURING THE LAST 20 YEARS PARTICULARLY,
BUT THROUGHOUT THIS CENTURY, IN AMERICA WE HAVE HAD A GREAT
PLURALIZATION OF RELIGION. WE NOW HAVE OVER 1,800 DIFFERENT
RELIGIOUS GROUPS FUNCTIONING IN AMERICA.

AND IT IS VERY HARD FOR ANY ONE PERSON TO GET AHOLD OF THE DIFFERENT BELIEFS AND HOW THEY VARY. SOME OF THEM USE QUITE DIFFERENT SYMBOLS, DIFFERENT LANGUAGES, DIFFERENT WAYS OF UNDERSTANDING THE UNIVERSE.

AND TO HAVE A CLEARING HOUSE WHERE PEOPLE CAN
GO AND GET SOLID INFORMATION ABOUT DIFFERENT RELIGIOUS
GROUPS SEEMED VERY IMPORTANT IN GIVING THE NATURE OF THE
GROWTH OF DIFFERENT RELIGIONS IN THIS COUNTRY. IT'S BECOME
A VITAL PART OF OUR CULTURAL LIFE TO KNOW WHAT DIFFERENT
GROUPS, DIFFERENT COMMUNITIES BELIEVE.

Q IN WHAT GEOGRAPHICAL AREA HAVE YOU CONDUCTED YOUR OBSERVATIONS?

A OVERWHELMINGLY MY RESEARCH HAS BEEN DONE WITHIN THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES. BUT BECAUSE MANY OF THE GROUPS THAT WE HAVE HERE COME FROM MANY OTHER COUNTRIES, I HAVE HAD TO EXTEND. SO I HAVE HAD TO DO RESEARCH IN ENGLAND, IN JAPAN, IN KOREA, BASICALLY VISITING THE HEADQUARTERS OF GROUPS THAT HAVE MISSIONS IN THIS COUNTRY AS WELL AS TOURING CANADA AND MEXICO AND JAMAICA.

Q DO YOU HAVE SOME CRITERIA TO DETERMINE WHETHER
A GROUP QUALIFIES AS A RELIGIOUS GROUP?

A THAT IS A BASIC QUESTION I HAD TO DEAL WITH IN THE EARLY DAYS OF MY RESEARCH. YES, I DO.

## 0 WHAT IS YOUR CRITERIA?

A A RELIGIOUS GROUP WE DEFINE AS A GROUP THAT

DEALS WITH THE THREE BASIC RELIGIOUS QUESTIONS OF WHERE WE

AS HUMAN BEINGS COME FROM, HOW WE WERE CREATED, HOW WE

ORIGINATED, WHY ARE WE HERE, WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF LIFE AND

WHAT IS OUR DESTINY. TO THAT -- THAT IS THE CONTENT OF

RELIGIOUS THOUGHT, THE BASIC CONTENT.

THEN TO BE A RELIGION, THERE MUST BE A GROUP WHO IS SOLIDIFIED IF NOT AROUND AN AGREEMENT ON THE ANSWER TO THOSE BASIC RELIGIOUS QUESTIONS, AT LEAST BY COMMON SEARCH FOR THE ANSWERS AND A COMMON SET OF SYMBOLS THAT REFLECT THAT SEARCH AND THEIR ANSWERS.

AND THEN WHO PROPOSE A LIFE STYLE BASED UPON WHAT THEY HAVE FOUND OUT. THEY HAVE A WAY OF WORSHIPPING, THEY HAVE A MORAL CODE, GENERALLY A WAY OF LIVING TOGETHER.

Q HOW MANY DIFFERENT RELIGIOUS GROUPS HAVE YOU PERSONALLY OBSERVED DURING THE YEARS YOU'VE BEEN STUDYING RELIGIONS?

A OF THE 1,800 GROUPS THAT I HAVE NOW LOCATED, I HAVE PERSONALLY VISITED WELL OVER HALF OF THEM. I HAVE CORRESPONDED WITH 95 PERCENT OF THEM. THERE ARE ABOUT 900 CHRISTIAN DENOMINATIONS, COMMON RUN-OF-THE-MILL CHURCH ON THE CORNER TYPES OF GROUPS IN THIS COUNTRY. I VISITED A WIDE VARIETY OF THOSE.

THERE ARE 3- OR 400 NEW AGE, OCCULT TYPE
GROUPS. I TRIED TO VISIT ALMOST ALL OF THOSE. THERE ARE

5

6

7

8 9

11 12

10

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

27

28

100 DIFFERENT HINDU GROUPS IN THE COUNTRY. I HAVE PROBABLY VISITED A LITTLE OVER HALF OF THEM. MOST OF THEM ARE FAIRLY SMALL AS YET AND STILL RATHER CONFINED TO ONE PART OF THE COUNTRY SO I HAVEN'T VISITED QUITE THE NUMBER OF THEM.

AND THEN THERE ARE 70 OR 80 BUDDHIST GROUPS. THERE ARE ABOUT 30 JEWISH GROUPS. I VISITED MOST OF THOSE.

DO YOU KNOW OF ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE UNITED STATES CARRYING ON THE TYPE OF RESEARCH AND OBSERVATIONS THAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED THAT YOU ARE DOING?

YES AND NO. THERE ARE A FEW PEOPLE WHO HAVE SOME VERY FOCUSED STUDIES IN ONE AREA. THEY ARE CARRYING ON RESEARCH, SAY, ON ALL THE MORMON GROUPS.

BUT I AM THE ONLY PERSON CURRENTLY WHO IS ATTEMPTING TO CARRY ON RESEARCH ACROSS THE BROAD AREA OF THE WHOLE SCOPE OF AMERICAN RELIGION. THERE WERE THREE OTHER PEOPLE WHO WERE DOING IT. BUT OF THOSE THREE, TWO ARE DEAD AND ONE IS NOW RETIRED.

WHAT, IF ANY, KNOWLEDGE DO YOU HAVE ABOUT CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT?

I HAVE DONE RESEARCH ON THEM SINCE I FIRST ENCOUNTERED THEM IN THE EARLY SEVENTIES. MOST OF THAT RESEARCH HAS BEEN RATHER INFORMAL AND AS THE OPPORTUNITY AROSE. FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN I LIVED IN CHICAGO UP UNTIL A YEAR AGO AND WHEN THEY WOULD PUT ON SPECIAL CONFERENCES IN CHICAGO, I WOULD ATTEND.

I HAVE HAD THREE PERIODS OF VERY CONCENTRATED RESEARCH ON THEM. THE FIRST WAS THE -- A PRIME TIME OF DATA GATHERING IN THE MIDSEVENTIES WHEN I WAS PREPARING THE ITEM

 ON THE SUMMIT LIGHTHOUSE, THE NAME BY WHICH THE CHURCH USED TO BE KNOWN, FOR MY ENCYCLOPEDIA.

AT THAT POINT I GATHERED CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL OF A LARGE PERCENTAGE OF THEIR PUBLICATIONS, AND I READ THROUGH THEM AND I USED SOME MEMBERS OF THE CHURCH THAT I INTERVIEWED TO HELP WRITE THAT ARTICLE.

THEN THE SECOND PERIOD OF CONCENTRATION CAME
WHEN I WAS INVOLVED IN A CASE IN MINNEAPOLIS IN A ZONING
CASE. ONE UNIT OF THE CHURCH IN MINNEAPOLIS WAS -- HAD TO
GO TO A ZONING HEARING, AND THEY ASKED ME TO COME IN AND TO
TALK ABOUT THAT CENTER BEFORE THE ZONING BOARD. AND IT WAS
ACTUALLY A COURT SITUATION. SO I WENT TO MINNEAPOLIS AND I
DID MUCH RESEARCH AND PREPARATION FOR THAT.

THE THIRD IS IN PREPARATION FOR THIS CASE, I
WAS AGAIN ASKED TO UPDATE MY KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHURCH. AS IT
HAPPENED, THAT CAME CONCURRENTLY WITH THE FACT THAT I HAVE
HAD TO WRITE TWO ARTICLES ABOUT THE CHURCH, BOTH OF WHICH
ARE IN PRESS.

- Q DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR STUDIES OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT, HAVE YOU EVER ATTENDED ANY DECREE SERVICES?
  - A QUITE A NUMBER.
  - Q HAVE YOU EVER INTERVIEWED MEMBERS OF THE GROUP?
- A I HAVE INTERVIEWED OVER THE YEARS IN SOME DEPTH

  15 OR 20 MEMBERS OF THE CHURCH, MORE LIGHTLY QUITE A NUMBER

  OF MEMBERS.
- Q HAVE YOU EVER INTERVIEWED ANY EX-MEMBERS OF THE CHURCH?

A A HANDFUL. ACTUALLY MOST OF THE EX-MEMBERS I ENCOUNTERED WERE THEN MEMBERS OF OTHER GROUPS AND I ENCOUNTERED THEM IN THE PROCESS OF INTERVIEWING MEMBERS OF OTHER GROUPS THAT I WAS SURVEYING.

Q WHAT, IF ANY, DOCUMENTS HAVE YOU READ PERTAINING TO THIS PARTICULAR TRIAL HERE?

A I HAVE READ MR. MULL'S DEPOSITION, SEVERAL
DEPOSITIONS BY MR. KING, SOME OF THE TRIAL TESTIMONY, DR.
SINGER, RABBI ROBBINS, MR. MULL'S TESTIMONY, MR. KING'S
TESTIMONY. I HAVE READ A NUMBER OF THE EXHIBITS,
PARTICULARLY SET OF LETTERS WRITTEN BY MR. MULL.

AND IN THE COURSE OF THIS, OUR INSTITUTE HAS
NOW ATTAINED ALMOST A COMPLETE SET OF THE PUBLICATIONS OF
THE CHURCH, WHICH FILL UP TWO OR THREE SHELVES. AND I HAVE
A HALF A FILE DRAWER FULL OF CLIPPINGS, NEWSPAPER CLIPPINGS
ABOUT THE CHURCH.

Q NOW, YOU HAVE TESTIFIED YOU'VE BEEN TO DECREE
SERVICES. WE HAVE HEARD TESTIMONY IN THIS TRIAL THAT
DECREEING IS DONE IN A VERY FAST, VERY REPETITIVE MANNER.

IS THE NOTION OF PRAYING IN A VERY FAST, VERY
REPETITIVE MANNER UNIQUE TO CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT?

- A NO.
- Q WOULD YOU EXPLAIN?

A THE FIRST TIME I ENCOUNTERED ANYTHING LIKE THIS WAS ACTUALLY IN A SHIN BUDDHIST SERVICE. SHIN BUDDHISM IS THE MOST POPULAR FORM OF BUDDHISM. IT WOULD BE TO JAPAN WHAT METHODISM OR BAPTIST CHURCH IS TO AMERICA.

I CAN REMEMBER IN THE MIDSEVENTIES ATTENDING A

SERVICE AT A CHURCH IN CHICAGO IN WHICH VERY FAST TYPE OF REPETITIVE MANTRA SAYING WAS BEING DONE.

- Q WHAT KIND OF SERVICE WAS THAT?
- A SHIN BUDDHIST.

THE NEXT PLACE I ENCOUNTERED IT WAS IN A SIKH SERVICE. SIKHISM IS ONE OF THE VARIETIES OF INDIAN RELIGION THAT IS VERY POPULAR IN THE PUNJAB AND THE KASHMIR.

IN THAT PARTICULAR SETTING, IT WAS COMBINED WITH REGULATED BREATHING. THAT IS AS YOU WERE SAYING THE MANTRAS, YOU WERE REGULATING YOUR BREATHING SO IT BECAME MUCH MORE INTENSE THAN THE WAY IT IS DONE AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY OR THE WAY IT IS DONE AT CHURCH MEETINGS.

I HAVE ALSO ENCOUNTERED IT QUITE A BIT IN HINDU SETTINGS.

Q WITH RESPECT TO THESE OTHER CHURCHES WHERE YOU HAVE ENCOUNTERED SIMILAR REPETITIVE, VERY FAST TYPE OF A PRAYING, ARE THE LENGTH OF THEIR SERVICES COMPARABLE TO THE LENGTH OF THE DECREEING SERVICES YOU'VE BEEN TO?

A CHURCH SERVICES I HAVE ATTENDED HAVE LASTED UP
TO FOUR HOURS. AND BY CHURCH SERVICE, I MEAN DIFFERENT
CHURCHES, HOLINESS, PENTECOSTAL CHURCHES. THE SERVICES THAT
I ATTENDED WHERE THIS FAST REPETITION OF MANTRAS OCCURRED,
USUALLY THE SERVICES WERE A COUPLE OF HOURS LONG.

Q WE HAVE HEARD TESTIMONY THAT CHURCH UNIVERSAL HAS RULES WITH RESPECT TO DIET, THAT PRIMARILY IT IS A VEGETARIAN DIET.

IN YOUR STUDIES OF RELIGIOUS GROUPS, IS A VEGETARIAN DIET IN ANY WAY UNUSUAL?

3

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

VEGETARIANISM IS SOMETHING THAT HAS BEEN POPULAR IN EVERY MAJOR RELIGIOUS TRADITION IN THE WORLD, LESS SO IN WESTERN TRADITIONS THAN IN THE EASTERN TRADITIONS.

IN THE EASTERN TRADITIONS IN BUDDHISM AND HINDUISM, IT IS A MAJOR FEATURE OF VARIOUS GROUPS WHO WOULD BE CALLED HINDU AND BUDDHIST. BUT IT IS -- AND IN THE UNITED STATES DURING THE LAST 20 YEARS, IT HAS GROWN IN POPULARITY BOTH AMONG CHURCH PEOPLE AND NONCHURCH PEOPLE.

WE KNOW OF SOME OF THE EASTERN GROUPS THAT ARE RECRUITING IN THIS COUNTRY THAT HAVE PRIMARILY RECRUITED AMONG PEOPLE WHO ARE ALREADY VEGETARIANS BECAUSE THEY ARE IN FACT VEGETARIANS.

WE HAVE ALSO HEARD TESTIMONY THAT CHURCH MEMBERS FAST.

THROUGH YOUR OBSERVATIONS OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT AND YOUR READINGS OF THEIR LITERATURE, HAVE YOU ENCOUNTERED ANYTHING CONCERNING -- CONCERNING THE FACT THAT THEY FAST?

WELL, THE FACT THAT THE CHURCH FASTS JUST MAKES THEM ONE OF A HUGE NUMBER OF RELIGIOUS GROUPS THAT ALSO FAST, EVEN CONSERVATIVE PROTESTANT GROUPS.

AS A CHILD I WAS BROUGHT UP IN THE METHODIST CHURCH IN ALABAMA AND FASTING WAS SOMETHING OUR PASTOR TALKED ABOUT. IT IS QUITE A COMMON FEATURE OF CHURCH LIFE. THE PECULIARITIES THAT YOU FIND IN THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT ABOUT FASTING ARE THE OPTIONS THAT THEY OFFER. AMONG PEOPLE WHO ARE MOST LOOSELY AFFILIATED

28

 WITH THE CHURCH, FASTING IS SORT OF RECOMMENDED, NICE THING TO DO, THE MASTERS TALK ABOUT IT. WHEN YOU GET TO A CHURCH CENTER OR IF YOU GO TO CAMELOT TO SPEND SOME TIME THERE, IT IS A REGULATION THAT YOU HAVE THAT YOU DO SOME FASTING ONE DAY A WEEK OR SOMETIMES THREE DAYS A WEEK, DEPENDING UPON WHAT YOUR RELATIONSHIP IS TO THE CHURCH.

MOST PLACES YOU EITHER FAST OR YOU DON'T FAST.

YOU ARE EITHER IN OR YOU ARE OUT. WITH THE CHURCH, THEY

HAVE OPTIONS. YOU CAN DO A WATER FAST, YOU CAN DO A JUICE

FAST, YOU CAN DO A JUICE AND FRUIT FAST, OR IF FOR SOME

REASON YOU ARE UNABLE TO DO THAT, YOU CAN OPT OUT OF IT

ALTOGETHER.

Q WE HAVE HEARD TESTIMONY THAT THE CHURCH MEMBERS BELIEVE IN REINCARNATION.

IS THAT UNUSUAL IN YOUR EXPERIENCE?

REINCARNATION IS A VERY POPULAR THOUGHT. ACCORDING TO THE GALLUP POLL TAKEN A FEW YEARS AGO, 20 PERCENT OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE NOW BELIEVE IN REINCARNATION AND KARMA. IN CALIFORNIA 30 PERCENT OF THE PEOPLE IN CALIFORNIA BELIEVE IN IT. IT IS A VERY STARTLING REVELATION WHEN THAT CAME ABOUT.

WE LEARNED THAT THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE, THE COMMUNITY THAT HAS THE HIGHEST BELIEF ARE SOUTHERN BLACKS, BLACK PEOPLE IN CALIFORNIA OR BLACK PEOPLE IN THE SOUTH.

ALMOST 35 PERCENT OF THEM BELIEVE IN REINCARNATION.

Q WHAT ABOUT MAINSTREAM RELIGIONS? DO THEY, AS FAR AS YOUR OBSERVATIONS AND KNOWLEDGE ARE CONCERNED, DO THEY HAVE ANY BELIEFS IN REINCARNATION?

A CERTAINLY. AND THE GROWTH OF BELIEF IN REINCARNATION IN THIS COUNTRY IS RELATED TO THE FACT THAT HINDUISM AND BUDDHISM HAVE GROWN TREMENDOUSLY IN THIS COUNTRY BECAUSE REINCARNATION IS A VITAL PART OF BOTH OF THESE RELIGIONS.

AND AS EASTERN RELIGION BEGAN TO PROCEED IN THE 1890'S, ALONG CAME WITH IT A BELIEF IN REINCARNATION. AS YOGA, HATHA YOGA, THE EXERCISE GROUP ALONG WITH HATHA YOGA, A LOT OF THE TEACHERS PUT OUT A LITTLE INDIAN PHILOSOPHY.

AND SO THAT PARTICULAR -- ONE PARTICULAR BELIEF HAS GROWN EVEN AMONG PEOPLE WHO ARE OTHERWISE NOT ATTUNED TO HINDUISM.

Q WE HAVE ALSO HAD TESTIMONY THAT CERTAIN CHURCH MEMBERS KNOW WHO THEY WERE IN PAST HISTORY.

IS THAT UNUSUAL IN YOUR STUDY OF RELIGIONS?

A NOT REALLY. THAT'S BEEN ONE OF THE MAJOR
THINGS OVER THE CENTURIES THAT HAVE SOLD THE IDEA OF
REINCARNATION. AMONG HINDUS IT IS QUITE COMMON.

FELLOW NAMED IAN STEVENSON, WHO IS ON THE FACULTY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, HAS DONE A RATHER EXTENSIVE STUDY OF PAST LIFE MEMORIES, AS IT IS CALLED, AND OVERWHELMINGLY THEY CAME FROM SOUTHERN ASIA WHERE HINDUS PROFESSED TO KNOW WHO THEY WERE IN AN IMMEDIATE PAST LIFE.

OTHERS HAD SOME IDEA OF WHO THEY WERE IN MANY LIFETIMES AGO.

Q WE HAVE HEARD TESTIMONY THAT ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET IS BELIEVED TO BE THE MESSENGER.

IS IT UNUSUAL TO HAVE A PERSON CONSIDERED TO BE THE MESSENGER IN ANY RELIGIOUS GROUPS?

A WELL OVER HALF OF THE RELIGIOUS GROUPS

CURRENTLY FUNCTIONING IN THIS COUNTRY WERE FOUNDED BY SOMEONE WHO CLAIMS TO HAVE A SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP TO THE DIVINE, TO GOD.

IT GOES BACK TO MOSES AND JUDAISM. MOSES
TALKED WITH GOD. JESUS WAS BELIEVED TO BE THE INCARNATION
OF GOD. MUHAMMAD TALKED TO ANGELS AND RECEIVED THE KORAN
FROM THEM. RIGHT ON DOWN TO CONTEMPORARY TIMES, PEOPLE LIKE
MARY BAKER EDDY AND JOSEPH SMITH.

THAT IS GENERALLY THE CHARACTERISTIC OF THE FIRST GENERATION OF A GROUP. GROUPS TEND TO BE FOUNDED BY SOMEONE WHO HAS SOME FORM OF CHARISMATIC LEADERSHIP WHO EITHER CLAIMS DIRECT COMMUNION WITH GOD OR DIRECT COMMUNION WITH SPIRITS. LATER GENERATIONS OF THE GROUP TEND TO DRAW UPON THE MATERIAL THAT FIRST GENERATION MESSENGER PROPHET BROUGHT.

Q WE HAVE HEARD TESTIMONY BY CHURCH MEMBERS THAT THEY PRAY AGAINST A PERSON'S ENERGY, BUT NOT AGAINST THE PERSON.

IS THAT CONCEPT CONSISTENT WITH INFORMATION
THAT YOU LEARNED WHEN YOU STUDIED THE GROUP?

A RIGHT. DECREEING IS FOR THE GROUP A FORM OF PRAYER. AND WITHIN THE GROUP, EVERY PERSON IS CONCEIVED TO BE A SPARK OF GOD. EVERY PERSON HAS WHAT IS CALLED AN I AM PRESENCE. SO TO DIRECTLY PRAY AGAINST A PERSON WOULD BE SELF-CONTRADICTORY BECAUSE YOU WOULD BE PRAYING AGAINST GOD.

IN OTHER WORDS, I AM PARTIALLY, IN THEIR

CATEGORIZATION, I AM PARTIALLY DIVINE. SO YOU WOULD NOT

PRAY -- THEY WOULD NOT PRAY AGAINST ME BECAUSE THAT WOULD BE

- -

 PRAYING AGAINST THE DEITY.

BUT AROUND EVERY PERSON, THERE ARE ENERGY, EVIL ENERGIES. ONE OF THEIR DAILY PRACTICES, IF I WERE A MEMBER OF THE GROUP, EVERY DAY I WOULD DO DECREES THAT WOULD DISSIPATE THE EVIL ENERGIES AROUND ME. AND IF THERE WAS -- THERE WERE CONDITIONS IN THE WORLD THAT BECAME A FOCUS OF EVIL ENERGIES, I WOULD PRAY AND DECREE TO HAVE THOSE EVIL ENERGIES DISSIPATED.

IT IS VERY MUCH LIKE -- AS A CHRISTIAN, WHAT IT IS COMPARABLE TO, I BELIEVE AS A CHRISTIAN THAT I AM A SINNER. SO EVERY DAY I WANT TO CONFESS MY SINS AND ASK FORGIVENESS FOR THEM. AND IF THERE ARE PEOPLE THAT I KNOW WHO ARE PARTICULARLY CAUGHT UP IN SOME SIN, I WOULD PRAY FOR THEM, THAT THAT SIN WILL BE TAKEN CARE OF, THAT THEY WILL ASK FORGIVENESS FOR IT AND RECEIVE FORGIVENESS FOR IT.

SO IN LIKE MEASURE, THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL WOULD SAY IF THEY SEE SOMEONE WHO IS PARTICULARLY CAUGHT UP IN A MORASS OF EVIL ENERGY, THEY WOULD PRAY TO HAVE THAT MORASS OF EVIL ENERGY THAT SURROUNDS THEM DISSIPATED SO THAT THEIR GOD SELF CAN SHOW THROUGH. SO IT IS VERY COMPARABLE.

Q WE HAVE HEARD TESTIMONY THAT STAFF MEMBERS WORK FOR ROOM AND BOARD AND RECEIVE LITTLE, IF ANY, SALARY.

IS THAT UNIQUE TO THAT RELIGION?

A NO. ALMOST ANY KIND OF RELIGION WHO HAS SOME KIND OF ORDERED GROUP AS A PART OF IT HAVE AS PART OF THAT ORDERING OF THE GROUP A VOW OF EITHER POVERTY OR A VOW TO LIVE A REDUCED LIFE. AMONG PROTESTANTS, THERE ARE GROUPS WHO HAVE BECOME DEVOTED TO THE SIMPLE LIFE. THEY REFUSE TO

LIVE ON MORE THAN A FEW THOUSAND DOLLARS A YEAR.

I HAVE SOME VERY GOOD FRIENDS IN CHICAGO WHO REPORTED TO ME JUST A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO THAT THEY HAD FINALLY GOTTEN THEIR FINANCES SIFTED DOWN AND THE MEMBERS OF THE GROUP WERE LIVING ON \$2,500 A YEAR, THAT THAT WAS THEIR COMMITMENT. SO THAT THE REST OF THE MONEY THAT THEY RAISED WENT TO THEIR SOCIAL SERVICES AND THEIR RECRUITMENT FOR NEW MEMBERS TO THE GROUP.

I HAVE A GOOD FRIEND WHO IS A JESUIT PRIEST.

HE IS IN THE JESUIT ORDER WITHIN THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH.

HE IS A FULL PROFESSOR AT DETROIT UNIVERSITY. HE WORKS FOR

HIS ROOM AND BOARD AND EXPENSES AS A FULL PROFESSOR. THAT

IS VERY, VERY COMMON WITH PROTESTANT GROUPS, CATHOLIC

GROUPS, HINDU, BUDDHIST.

ANY TIME THAT YOU HAVE -- THAT YOU ACCEPT AN ORDERED CONDITION, THEN USUALLY AS PART AND PARCEL OF THAT IS A REDUCED FINANCIAL LIFESTYLE.

Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT'S RECRUITMENT TECHNIQUES?

FOLLOW THEM BECAUSE THEY ARE SOMEWHAT UNUSUAL FOR NEW AGE GROUPS. MOST OF THEIR RECRUITMENT HAS ALWAYS BEEN DONE, AT LEAST FROM THE EARLY VERY INFORMAL YEARS WHEN THEY WEREN'T DOING MUCH OF ANYTHING, THROUGH ADVERTISEMENT IN MEDIA.

PARTICULARLY FATE MAGAZINE I AM FAMILIAR WITH, WHICH IS THE LARGEST CIRCULATING PERIODICAL IN THE OCCULT NEW AGE

COMMUNITIES. THEY HAVE A FULL PAGE AD IN THERE EVERY MONTH.

WHAT MAKES THIS SO DIFFERENT IS THAT A PERSON,

TO BECOME AFFILIATED WITH THE CHURCH, HAS TO TAKE A VERY ACTIVE ROLE. THAT IS THEY ARE NOT BUTTONHOLED AND BROUGHT INTO A SEMINAR FOR A WEEKEND AND SORT OF PRESSURED INTO BECOMING A PART OF THE GROUP. THEY HAVE TO TAKE AN ACTIVE ROLE IN RESPONDING TO A VERY IMPERSONAL AD IN THE MEDIA.

ONCE THEY RESPOND TO THAT AD, THEN THEY ARE PUT ON A MAILING LIST TO RECEIVE A MAGAZINE CALLED PEARLS OF WISDOM. IT COMES OUT EVERY WEEK. AND THE PEARLS PROVIDE CONTEMPORANEOUS MESSAGES FROM CHURCH HEADQUARTERS. USUALLY IT CONTAINS DICTATIONS FROM THE MASTERS THROUGH MRS. PROPHET.

AND THEN THEY ARE OFFERED THE OPTION OF BECOMING WHAT'S CALLED KEEPER OF THE FLAME. IF THEY CHOOSE TO BECOME A KEEPER OF THE FLAME, THEY AGAIN HAVE TO BECOME VERY ACTIVE IN THE PROCESS AND RESPOND TO A MAILING TO DO THAT.

IF THEY BECOME A KEEPER OF THE FLAME, THEN THEY GO THROUGH A SERIES OF LESSONS THAT ARE MAILED TO THEM IN THEIR HOME. THEY GO THROUGH SEVEN LESSONS THAT ARE SORT OF THE FIRST LEVEL AND THEN THERE ARE A NUMBER OF OTHERS. I THINK THERE ARE SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 24 AND 30, THE NUMBER HAS GROWN A LITTLE BIT OVER RECENT YEARS. NUMBER OF LESSONS WHICH EXPLAIN TO THEM THE BELIEFS AND PRACTICES OF THE GROUP.

AFTER THEY BECOME A KEEPER OF THE FLAME, THEN
THEY HAVE THE OPTION OF GOING TO SUMMIT UNIVERSITY. MOST OF
THE MEMBERS NEVER DO, OR MOST OF THE PEOPLE AFFILIATED WITH
THE CHURCH. THERE IS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN BEING AFFILIATED

WITH THE CHURCH AND BEING A MEMBER OF IT. MOST OF THE PEOPLE NEVER GO TO SUMMIT UNIVERSITY. BUT SOME DO.

BUT IF THEY DO, THEY AGAIN HAVE TO RESPOND TO SOME CORRESPONDENCE THAT OFFERS THEM THAT OPPORTUNITY. AND THEY HAVE TO PAY A TUITION FEE AND GO TO THE SCHOOL. THIS IS REALLY FOR MOST OF THEM THEIR FIRST REAL ENCOUNTER WITH THE CHURCH AS A GROUP.

MOST OF THE PEOPLE THAT ARE AFFILIATED WITH THE CHURCH HAVE NO ONGOING RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER MEMBERS OF THE CHURCH. THEY ARE RELATED BY CORRESPONDENCE TO THE GROUP.

SEVERAL TIMES A YEAR THEY ALSO HAVE THE OPTION OF COMING TO CONVOCATIONS THAT ARE HELD NOW USUALLY AT CAMELOT. BUT THEY HAVE BEEN HELD AT VARIOUS PLACES FROM COLORADO TO MOUNT SHASTA IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA. THESE ARE WEEK-LONG AFFAIRS, FOUR TO SIX, SEVEN DAYS THAT ARE HELD AT VARIOUS TIMES OF THE YEAR.

WHAT IS UNUSUAL ABOUT THE GROUP IS KIND OF THE LOOSENESS OF THE ORGANIZATION, ESPECIALLY DURING THE FIRST COUPLE OF YEARS THAT PEOPLE ARE GENERALLY AFFILIATED WITH THEM. ONCE THEY HAVE GO THROUGH THE TEACHINGS, ONCE THEY UNDERSTAND WHAT'S HAPPENING, THEN THEY CAN GO FOR MORE INTENSE EXPERIENCES.

A FEW MIGHT BECOME MEMBERS OF A CENTER, LIVE IN RESIDENCES. BUT THERE ARE ONLY A HANDFUL OF CENTERS AND EACH CENTER HAS A VERY LOW CAPACITY. SO FOR A LARGE NUMBER, THAT IS NOT A BIG EXPERIENCE.

AND A VERY, VERY FEW MIGHT BE INVITED TO COME TO CAMELOT AND EVEN FEWER STILL BECOME PART OF THE PERMANENT

STAFF AT CAMELOT. TO BECOME PART OF THE PERMANENT STAFF IS
REALLY TO ENTER INTO AN ORDERED EXISTENCE THAT IS SIMILAR TO
JOINING A MONASTIC ORDER.

Q BASED ON YOUR STUDIES OF OTHER NEW AGE AND MAIN LINE RELIGIONS, HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THESE RECRUITMENT TECHNIQUES?

A THEY ARE VERY LOW-KEY. THEY, OF COURSE, ARE IN STARK CONTRAST TO WHAT WE HAVE GENERALLY CALLED THE CULTS, WHO DO HAVE HIGH PRESSURE KINDS OF EVANGELISM WHERE THEY TAKE PEOPLE OFF THE STREET, AND RUN THEM IMMEDIATELY INTO AN INTENSE EXPERIENCE, AND THEN MAKE THEM MEMBERS OF THE GROUP, AND PUT THEM TO WORK AND THEY ARE KIND OF AT IT DAY AND NIGHT.

WITH SUMMIT AND WITH THE CHURCH THAT FOLLOWS

IT, THE PERIOD OF TIME THAT YOU ARE INVOLVED IN BECOMING A

PART OF THE CHURCH, YOU HAVE A LOT OF TIME TO TEST OUT THE

PRACTICES OF THE GROUP, PARTICULARLY DECREEING, SEE IF YOU

LIKE IT OR NOT, TO GET TO KNOW WHAT THE CHURCH BELIEFS ARE

IN THE PRIVACY OF YOUR OWN HOME BEFORE YOU EVER HAVE ANY

GROUP EXPERIENCES OF IT.

AND OVERWHELMINGLY, THE PEOPLE WHO BECOME

AFFILIATED WITH THE CHURCH, EVEN AT THE BASIC LEVEL, HAVE

ALREADY HAD A GOOD DEAL OF ASSOCIATION WITH OTHER OCCULT

GROUPS OR THEY HAVE READ OTHER OCCULT BOOKS.

AND I AM QUITE SURE THAT IF WE TOOK -- WERE

ABLE TO TAKE A SURVEY OF THE GROUP, WE WOULD FIND THAT MOST

OF THEM HAD ENCOUNTERED ASCENDED MASTER TEACHINGS AND I AM

TEACHINGS PRIOR TO THEIR BECOMING A PART OF THIS GROUP. SO

THAT THEY WERE ALREADY ATTUNED TO THE TEACHINGS AT LEAST IN SOME BASIC WAY BEFORE THEY BECAME A PART OF IT.

I KNOW THAT CERTAINLY IN TERMS OF MY OWN
CHURCH, THEIR RECRUITMENT METHODS ARE MUCH LOWER KEY THAN
METHODISM IS. AS A CHURCH, WE WENT OUT IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD
AND KNOCKED ON DOORS COLD TO LOCATE PEOPLE WHO WERE
UNAFFILIATED. WE WOULD INVITE THEM TO THE CHURCH, INVITE
THEM TO BECOME MEMBERS, WOULD TEACH THEM WHAT METHODISM WAS
ALL ABOUT LATER ON DOWN THE LINE. BUT IF THEY LIKED THE
FELLOWSHIP AND THE GROUP, THAT WAS FINE.

I KNOW I JUST HAD THE EXPERIENCE IN MY CHURCH
IN SANTA BARBARA THAT I HAVE JOINED OF TEACHING A SUNDAY
SCHOOL CLASS, AN ADULT SUNDAY SCHOOL CLASS ON BASIC
METHODISM.

AND I THOUGHT IT WAS PRETTY STANDARD MATERIAL
IN THE HISTORY AND BELIEFS OF THE CHURCH AND HERE I FOUND
MEMBERS WHO HAD BEEN AROUND FOR SEVERAL YEARS WHO REALLY
DIDN'T UNDERSTAND HOW THE CHURCH WAS ORGANIZED, WHERE THE
POWER FLOWED, WHAT THE DOCTRINAL STANDARDS OF THE CHURCH
WERE.

TRIUMPHANT IS A FAIRLY SLOW PROCESS AND IT ALLOWS A LOT OF TIME FOR THOUGHT AND FOR THOUGHTFUL REFLECTION.

Q WE HAVE HEARD TESTIMONY THAT CHURCH MEMBERS ARE DEDICATED TO THE SPIRITUAL LEADER, ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.

IS IT UNUSUAL FOR CHURCH MEMBERS TO BE DEDICATED TO THEIR SPIRITUAL LEADER?

A NO. SPIRITUAL LEADERS, RELIGIOUS LEADERS IN

4 5

GENERAL, IF THEY SHOW ANY FLARE OF CHARISMA, GENERALLY PULL DEVOTION OUT OF THEIR FOLLOWERS. MEMBERS OF GROUPS ARE READY TO GIVE DEVOTION QUITE APART FROM THAT.

I AM NOT PARTICULARLY A CHARISMATIC PERSON, BUT
I FOUND AS A PASTOR I HAD MEMBERS WHO WERE WILLING TO DO
ANYTHING I WANTED THEM TO DO QUITE APART FROM MY ASKING
THEM.

LEADERS, WHETHER THEY BE CHARISMATIC

PENTECOSTAL LEADERS OR LEADERS WHO CLAIM MORE DIRECT

RELATIONSHIPS TO GOD OR WHAT HAVE YOU, DO CLAIM A LOT OF

DEVOTION. THAT IS USUALLY PRETTY MUCH A PERSONAL FACTOR,

HOWEVER. THAT IS THEY WANT LEADER WHO IS ATTRACTIVE, A

LEADER WHO SPEAKS WELL, A LEADER WHO GENERATES EXCITEMENT,

THERE IS MORE DEVOTION TENDS TO FLOW THEIR WAY.

ORAL ROBERTS IS SUCH A PERSON, FOR EXAMPLE. HE
CLAIMS A GREAT DEAL OF DEVOTION FROM HIS FOLLOWERS QUITE
APART FROM HIS ASKING FOR IT.

Q OVERALL TAKING ALL OF THE CHARACTERISTICS WITH WHICH YOU ARE FAMILIAR OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT, IS IT IN ANY WAY UNIQUE AMONG THE RELIGIONS YOU'VE STUDIED?

A IT IS UNIQUE IN THE SENSE THAT EVERY RELIGIOUS GROUP HAS ITS OWN PECULIARITIES. EVERY CHURCH HAS ITS LITTLE WAY IT IS DIFFERENT. EVERY GROUP HAS ITS OWN PARTICULAR JARGON. BUT I HAVE BEEN ABLE -- UNABLE TO FIND ANYTHING ABOUT THE CHURCH THAT DOESN'T HAVE ITS DIRECT COUNTERPART IN THE MAJOR RELIGIOUS TRADITIONS OF THE WORLD.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.

NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION +

BY MR. LEVY:

Q YOUR CURRICULUM VITAE LISTED YOU BOTH AS A DOCTOR AND A REVEREND. WHICH ARE YOU MORE COMFORTABLE WITH, SIR?

A I AM ACTUALLY MORE COMFORTABLE WITH GORDON, BUT DOCTOR WILL BE FINE.

Q I DON'T WANT TO BE PRESUMPTUOUS CALLING YOU GORDON BECAUSE THEN YOU MIGHT CALL ME LARRY AND THEN MR. KLEIN MIGHT GET UPSET.

YOU WERE SOMEWHAT MODEST WHEN YOU TOLD US ABOUT YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND. I NOTE IN YOUR LIST OF YOUR ACCOMPLISHMENTS, YOU ALSO GOT A DEGREE -- AN UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE IN GEOLOGY.

A YES.

Q NOW, I DON'T CONSIDER MYSELF A RELIGIONIST.

AND I ASSUME AS A MINISTER, SOMETIMES YOU BURY PEOPLE, DO
YOU?

A OH, YES.

Q DO YOU REALLY HAVE TO GET A DEGREE IN GEOLOGY
AND KNOW ABOUT THE GROUND? YOU DON'T REALLY DIG THE HOLES,
DO YOU?

- A FORTUNATELY, NOT.
- Q THANK YOU FOR CLEARING THAT UP.
- A SOMETIMES I HAVE TO THROW THE FIRST DIRT IN TO COVER THEM UP, BUT THAT IS ALL.

Q THE ONE THING I MISSED IN YOUR ACCOMPLISHMENTS WAS I DIDN'T NOTICE ANY DEGREES IN PSYCHOLOGY OR PSYCHIATRY OR HUMAN BEHAVIOR. DO YOU HAVE ANY ADVANCED DEGREES IN ANY OF THOSE SUBJECTS?

A NO.

Q THERE WAS A TIME WHEN IN THE LIST OF YOUR ACCOMPLISHMENTS THAT SAYS THAT YOU GAVE A SEMINAR AND IT WAS FOR THE SOCIETY FOR THE SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF RELIGION. THAT WAS AT CINCINNATI, OHIO, IN 1980, WAS IT?

A I SPOKE AT A SESSION OF THE SOCIETY AT THAT TIME, YES.

Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THE TOPIC WAS THAT YOU SPOKE ABOUT?

A SEEMS LIKE IT WAS DEPROGRAMMING.

Q LET ME GIVE YOU THE FULL TITLE.
\*DEPROGRAMMING, A RESPONSE TO THE RISE OF CULTS.\*

A YES.

Q DO YOU FEEL THAT DEPROGRAMMING MAY BE VALUABLE ON OCCASION IN WORKING WITH A PERSON WHO HAS HAD WHAT THEY CONSIDER A BAD EXPERIENCE WITH A CULT?

A NO.

Q WOULD YOU TELL ME WHY YOU DON'T THINK DEPROGRAMMING MIGHT BE OF ASSISTANCE?

A BASICALLY BECAUSE IT IS DONE BY PEOPLE OVERALL WHO DO NOT HAVE THE KIND OF PROFESSIONAL PSYCHOLOGICAL TRAINING THAT IS NECESSARY TO DO WHAT DEPROGRAMMERS WANT TO DO.

Q DO YOU HAVE THAT KIND OF PSYCHOLOGICAL AND

PROFESSIONAL TRAINING? 1 2 NO. 3 ARE YOU AT THE PRESENT TIME A CONTRIBUTOR TO ANY REGULAR MEDICAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL JOURNALS? 4 5 NO. 6 YOU USED TO CONTRIBUTE REGULARLY TO -- YOU 7 MENTIONED THAT MAGAZINE, FATE MAGAZINE. I JUST HAPPEN TO HAVE A CURRENT COPY OF IT. YOU WERE FOR A REGULAR TIME --8 9 FOR SEVERAL YEARS I WAS THEIR BOOK REVIEW 10 EDITOR. 11 ASIDE FROM THIS MAGAZINE, WERE THERE ANY OTHER 12 MEDICAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL PERIODICALS THAT YOU WERE A REGULAR 13 CONTRIBUTOR TO? 14 Α NO. THERE WAS AN ARTICLE IN THERE IN MARCH OF 1979 15 16 THAT WAS AN INTERVIEW WITH YOURSELF. 17 WITH REGARD TO THAT ARTICLE, I AM CURIOUS IF 18 YOUR OPINION IS WITH REGARD TO DEPENDENT PERSONALITIES? WOULD IT BE A FAIR STATEMENT TO SAY THAT THE NEW WAVE 19 20 RELIGIONS SEEM TO ATTRACT PEOPLE WHO ARE DEPENDENT 21 PERSONALITIES? 22 I WOULD NOT SO CHARACTERIZE THEM. THEY TEND, AS FAR AS I CAN TELL, TO ATTRACT PEOPLE FROM THE GENERAL 23 PUBLIC AND AMONG THEM ARE PEOPLE WITH DEPENDENT 24 PERSONALITIES. BUT I WOULD ALSO HASTEN TO ADD THAT I DON'T 25 REALLY FEEL QUALIFIED TO SPEAK ON THAT, ESPECIALLY IN THIS 26 AUGUST ASSEMBLY. 27 Q WELL, I REMIND YOU IN YOUR ARTICLE YOU ARE 28

QUOTED AS SAYING:

(READING.)

б

"I HAVE COME MORE AND MORE TO
FEEL THAT THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO HAVE
DEPENDENT PERSONALITIES. AND WHY THEY ARE
DEPENDENT, I DON'T KNOW. BUT THERE ARE
PEOPLE WHO ARE ALWAYS GOING TO NEED SOMEONE
TO TELL THEM WHAT TO DO. AND IT APPEARS
THAT THE AUTHORITARIAN GROUPS LIKE CULTS
SEEM TO ATTRACT THAT TYPE OF PERSON."

WOULD THAT BE AN ACCURATE STATEMENT OR AN ACCURATE QUOTE BY YOURSELF AT THAT TIME SINCE IT 15 --

A COULD HAVE BEEN, YES.

Q NOW, WE'VE HEARD AT THIS TRIAL AN AWFUL LOT
ABOUT BRAINWASHING. WE'VE MADE IT CLEAR TO THE JURY AND THE
PEOPLE HERE THAT WE ON MR. MULL'S SIDE HAVE NEVER APPROACHED
THE SUBJECT OF BRAINWASHING BECAUSE IT CONJURES UP THOUGHTS
OF PRISONS AND BARS AND GUNS AND THAT SORT OF THING.

IN THIS ARTICLE WHERE YOU WERE A CONTRIBUTOR,
YOU SOMEWHAT AGREE WITH THAT. IT SAYS: (READING.)

"I'M NOT SURE THAT BRAINWASHING

IS QUITE THE RIGHT WORD, AT LEAST NOT IN THE SENSE THAT IT WAS USED AGAINST AMERICAN PRISONERS IN NORTH KOREA AND CHINA. WHAT'S INVOLVED HERE I THINK IS A THOROUGH-GOING INDOCTRINATION SYSTEM REINFORCED THROUGH ISOLATION. THE OUTSIDE INFLUENCES CAN BE CONTROLLED AND THE MODERATING INFLUENCES OF THE OUTSIDE WORLD DONE AWAY WITH. A GROUP

DYNAMIC TAKES OVER AND PEOPLE BECOME VERY 1 2 SUGGESTIBLE." DO YOU STILL HAVE THAT OPINION? 3 WITH SOME GROUPS, YES. 4 NOW, I NOTICE WHEN YOU WERE DISCUSSING YOUR 5 RESEARCH ON CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT, YOU TOLD US 6 THAT YOU WENT TO SOME CONFERENCES THAT LASTED FROM FOUR TO 7 8 SEVEN DAYS? 9 I DID NOT, NO. I WENT TO -- MOST OF THE 10 CONFERENCES I WENT TO WERE ONE-DAY AFFAIRS OR WEEKEND 11 AFFAIRS. WAS THE GENERAL PUBLIC INVITED TO THOSE? 12 Q YES. 13 NOW, IN YOUR WORK AS A MINISTER AND AS A DOCTOR 14 OF RELIGION, WOULD IT BE A FAIR STATEMENT TO SAY AND WOULD 15 YOU AGREE WITH THAT MOST PEOPLE AT A PUBLIC OCCASION PUT 16 THEIR BEST FOOT FORWARD? 17 A OH, YES. 18 SO IT WOULD BE A FAIR CONCLUSION TO REACH THAT 19 IF THE GENERAL PUBLIC CAME TO A ONE-DAY OR A WEEKEND AFFAIR, 20 THEY MIGHT ANTICIPATE A VERY NICE DISPLAY AND NOTHING 21 PERSONALLY OBJECTIONABLE? 22 23 I THINK THE ATTEMPT WOULD BE MADE TO DO THAT, 24 YES. DID YOU EVER ATTEND SUMMIT UNIVERSITY? 25 Q I HAVE ATTENDED IT AS AN OBSERVER. I HAVE 26 NEVER ATTENDED IT FOR A PERIOD OF TIME. 27 28 WHEN YOU SAY YOU ATTENDED IT --

WHEN YOU SAT IN, WAS IT FOR A FULL DAY OR FOR A FULL WEEK OR FOR A FULL MONTH OR FOR HOW LONG? IT WAS FOR PERIODS USUALLY PARTS OF DAYS. I WOULD GO IN AND OBSERVE, I WOULD LEAVE, GO DO SOMETHING ELSE, COME BACK, OBSERVE SOME MORE. I WOULD WATCH PEOPLE DURING THE CLASSES WHEN THERE WERE DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES GOING ON, I WOULD OBSERVE THEM AT BREAK TIME. I WOULD WATCH THEM MOVE FROM ONE CLASS TO A BREAK PERIOD TO ANOTHER CLASS. DID YOU EVER INTERVIEW ANYONE, SAY, PERIODICALLY, AFTER A WEEK AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY AND THEN AFTER A MONTH AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY AND THEN AT THE DID YOU EVER JOIN THE STUDENTS IN FASTING? DID YOU EVER PARTAKE OF THE DIET FOR, SAY, A NO. I HAVE PARTAKEN OF THE DIET FOR TWO OR THREE DAYS. THAT IS THE LONGEST. I HAVE NEVER BEEN ABLE TO IN THE COURSE OF YOUR INTERVIEWING SOME 900 OR MORE GROUPS, I CAN APPRECIATE THAT YOU REALLY DON'T HAVE AN AWFUL LOT OF TIME TO SPEND WITH ANY ONE OF THEM. MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. IS THAT A QUESTION BY MR. LEVY: NOW, YOU DEFINED A NUMBER OF

CHARACTERISTICS OF RELIGIONS. AND YOU SAID A RELIGION, IF I

JOTTED DOWN CORRECTLY, HAS TO BE INTERESTED IN WHERE DO WE

COME FROM, WHY ARE WE HERE AND WHERE ARE WE GOING?

YOU COMPILED A LIST, YOU SAY IT IS NOW UP TO 1,800 RELIGIONS?

A RIGHT.

Q BACK IN THE 1980'S, IT WAS ONLY UP TO 1,200 RELIGIONS?

A IN THE MIDSEVENTIES, IT WAS AROUND 1,200. BY
THE END OF THE SEVENTIES, IT WAS UP TO ABOUT 1,500. AND NOW
IT IS UP TO ABOUT 1,800.

- Q WHAT WOULD IT HAVE BEEN IN THE EARLY EIGHTIES?
- A EARLY EIGHTIES, 1,600.
- Q YOU ALSO PUBLISHED AN ARTICLE OR HAD PUBLISHED FOR YOU AN ARTICLE -- WELL, BEFORE I GET TO THAT ARTICLE, WE WERE TALKING ABOUT DEPENDENT PERSONALITIES AND THE ARTICLE THAT WAS IN FATE MAGAZINE. YOU MADE THE STATEMENT IN FATE MAGAZINE IN THAT INTERVIEW AT THAT TIME AND YOU ARE SPECIFICALLY QUOTED AS SAYING THAT: (READING.)

\*OUR KNOWLEDGE OF PSYCHOLOGY IS
NOT DEVELOPED TO THE POINT THAT WE KNOW WHAT
CAUSES DEPENDENT PERSONALITIES.\*

NOW, YOU ARE NOT TRAINED IN PSYCHOLOGY OR

PSYCHIATRY OR HUMAN BEHAVIOR. WAS THAT JUST YOUR GENERAL

OPINION OR WERE YOU REFLECTING THE OPINION OF THE MEDICAL

COMMUNITY?

A IT IS MY GENERAL OPINION BASED UPON WHAT SMALL AMOUNT OF READING I HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO IN THE AREA.

Q I AM CURIOUS, DO YOU THINK THAT CULTIC

INDOCTRINATION PLACE A SIGNIFICANT PART IN MAKING PEOPLE

VERY SUGGESTIBLE TO CULT LEADERS?

A I WOULD VENTURE ONLY WHAT IS MY PURELY PERSONAL OPINION, AND WOULD EXIT FROM MY ROLE AS AN EXPERT AT THIS TRIAL AND SAY NO. I THINK THAT THAT IS PROBABLY A PART OF THE DEVELOPMENTAL PROCESS IN CHILDHOOD AND THAT PEOPLE MAY EXPRESS THAT THROUGH A RELIGION.

BUT I HAVE MET PEOPLE THAT I WOULD CALL

DEPENDENT PERSONS IN EVERY RELIGIOUS GROUP I HAVE EVER DONE

ANY STUDY OF, BE THEY MAIN LINE CHURCHES OR CULTS. AND I

HAVE MET THEM AS CATHOLICS AND I HAVE MET THEM AS METHODISTS

AND I HAVE MET THEM AS MEMBERS OF CULT GROUPS, SO-CALLED.

AND I HAVE NEVER SEEN -- I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU WOULD DEVELOP THAT OTHER THAN THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENTAL PROCESS IN CHILDHOOD.

Q NOW, I APPRECIATE ALL THAT INFORMATION.

UNFORTUNATELY, IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH MY QUESTION.

WHAT MY QUESTION IS, SIR, IS DO YOU THINK THAT

CULTIC INDOCTRINATION HAS A SIGNIFICANT PART IN MAKING

PEOPLE SUGGESTIBLE TO CULT LEADERS?

A NO.

Q WELL, THEN YOUR OPINION IS CHANGED FROM WHAT'S
IN THE ARTICLE, SOME PARTS OF WHAT I JUST READ TO YOU A FEW
MOMENTS AGO. WHEN THE OUTSIDE INFLUENCES CAN BE CONTROLLED,
WHERE THERE IS A THOROUGH GOING INDOCTRINATION, PEOPLE
BECOME VERY SUGGESTIBLE TO THE GROUP LEADER.

IS YOUR OPINION TODAY DIFFERENT FROM WHAT IT

WAS WHEN YOU WROTE THE ARTICLE?

A NO. MY OPINION IS THAT IF YOU OVER A PERIOD OF TIME DEVELOP A VERY CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT WHERE OUTSIDE INFLUENCE WAS CUT TO ALMOST NOTHING, YOU COULD MAKE A COMMUNITY AS OPPOSED TO INDIVIDUALS SUSCEPTIBLE TO SOME SUGGESTIONS BY A GROUP.

I HAVE SPECIFIC REFERENCE, IF I REMEMBER

CORRECTLY, IN THAT ARTICLE TO JONESTOWN WHERE THE GROUP WAS

LIVING IN ISOLATION IN A FOREIGN COUNTRY WITH NO MEDIA, NOT

EVEN NEWSPAPERS COMING IN.

IN THAT KIND OF CASE WHERE THE GROUP WAS BEING TRAINED TO DO CERTAIN THINGS OVER A PERIOD OF TIME, THEY THEN BECAME SUGGESTED -- IT WAS EASY -- EASIER TO MOVE TO REPEATING THOSE THINGS AS TIME WENT ON.

Q NOW, I KNOW YOU ARE NOT A PSYCHOLOGIST OR A
HUMAN BEHAVIORIST OR A PSYCHIATRIST, BUT I WONDER IF AS A
REVEREND AND A DOCTOR IF YOU THINK THE EXPERIENCE AT THAT
PLACE THAT YOU MENTIONED, JONESTOWN, WAS A BENIGN AND
FAVORABLE EXPERIENCE FOR THE MEMBERS OF THE PEOPLE'S TEMPLE?

A THAT HAS BOTH A YES AND NO ANSWER TO IT IF I
MAY BE SO BOLD. NO, BECAUSE THE ULTIMATE OUTCOME OF IT WAS
NOT.

ONE OF THE REASONS THAT PEOPLE WHO LIVE THERE WENT THERE IS BECAUSE THEIR INITIAL EXPERIENCE THAT THIS WAS A GREATEST THING THAT THEY HAD EVER EXPERIENCED. MANY OF THE MEMBERS OF THE GROUP WERE VERY POOR BLACKS WHO HAD EXPERIENCED RATHER HARSH LIVING CONDITIONS HERE.

AND EVEN WHAT -- WHEN THE LIVING CONDITIONS AT

JONESTOWN WERE DESCRIBED TO ME, I SAID I WOULDN'T LIVE UNDER THOSE CONDITIONS. BUT FOR MANY OF THE PEOPLE THERE, THAT WAS THE BEST CONDITIONS THEY HAD EVER LIVED IN. THEY HAD A FLOOR UNDER THEIR FEET FOR THE FIRST TIME FOR SOME OF THEM.

SO IT STARTED OUT AS FOR THEM A VERY BENIGN EVEN VERY POSITIVE EXPERIENCE. AS IT DEVELOPED, IT OF COURSE TURNED ON THEM VERY, VERY MUCH AND THAT ISOLATED CONDITION THAT THEY LIVED IN FOR SEVERAL YEARS CONTRIBUTED TO THAT.

Q YOU FIND ANY COROLLARIES BETWEEN WHAT HAPPENED
THERE AND SOME OF THE THINGS THAT PEOPLE WHO WERE NOT
NECESSARILY FAVORABLE TO CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT
HAVE REPORTED THAT THEY EXPERIENCED AT CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND
TRIUMPHANT?

A NOT REALLY BECAUSE THE CONDITIONS -- WELL, OF COURSE OVERALL THE CHURCH IS SO LOOSELY ORGANIZED THAT THE COMPARISON BETWEEN IT AND JONESTOWN JUST GOES OUT THE WINDOW ALMOST IMMEDIATELY.

BUT EVEN IF ONE CENTER IN -- SAY, ON A TEACHING CENTER OR ON CAMELOT, AS THE EXAMPLE, OR ON SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, WHAT YOU HAVE HERE IS A CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT FOR A PERIOD OF WEEKS WITH SUMMIT UNIVERSITY.

YOU ARE MAKING A TEN-WEEK COMMITMENT THEN YOU HAVE GOT TO GO BACK TO THE REAL WORLD, SO TO SPEAK. IT IS VERY MUCH LIKE RETREATS THAT ALMOST ALL CHURCHES HAVE. SO THAT YOU ARE STILL IN CONTACT WITH THINGS. AND WHILE YOU ARE THERE, OF COURSE YOUR MEDIA IS SOMEWHAT CONTROLLED.

BUT THE PEOPLE AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY STILL HAVE

THEIR OUTSIDE INTERESTS, THEY STILL ARE CONCERNED ABOUT
THINGS THAT HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE CHURCH. AND THEY,
AT THE END OF TEN WEEKS, GO BACK TO THE REAL WORLD.

THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE AT CAMELOT OF COURSE ARE LIVING AN ORDERED EXISTENCE, VERY MUCH LIKE ANY MONASTIC GROUP. AND AGAIN THEIR MEDIA ENVIRONMENT IS VERY MUCH CONTROLLED. BUT IT IS NOT STIFLED IN THE SENSE THAT — WELL, FOR EXAMPLE, THERE ARE NOT A LOT OF TELEVISIONS AROUND.

THE TIME THAT I SPENT THERE IN THE EVENING, I
LIKE TO CLOSE THE EVENING WITH TELEVISION. AND THERE WASN'T
ONE TO DO IT. BUT NEWSPAPERS ARE READILY AVAILABLE SO THAT
THE FLOW OF INFORMATION IS THERE. THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE THERE
ARE INTERESTED IN SPORTS, AND THEY KNEW WHAT HAPPENED THE
DAY PREVIOUS TO THEIR BASEBALL TEAM AND THEY KEEP UP WITH
THINGS IN THE OUTSIDE WORLD.

SO, NO, THE PARALLELS ARE NOT THERE. THE PARALLEL IS MUCH MORE LIKE A LARGE, LOOSE ORGANIZATION THAT HAS A COUPLE OF CENTERS OF VERY DEDICATED FOLLOWERS VERY MUCH LIKE THE ORDERS IN THE CATHOLIC CHURCH.

Q YOU KIND OF LIKEN SUMMIT UNIVERSITY TO A

RETREAT. IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT A RETREAT IS A SOMEWHAT

CONTROLLED ISOLATED ENVIRONMENT WHERE YOU REALLY FOCUS ON

THE INTERESTS OF THE ORDER AT THE RETREAT?

A YOU FOCUS ON THE INTEREST OF THE ORDER AND ON YOUR OWN INTERIOR SPIRITUAL LIFE.

Q BUT IT IS SOMEWHAT ISOLATED, IS IT NOT?

A OH, YES.

Q AND YOU CHARACTERIZE THE EXISTENCE AT CAMELOT AS STRUCTURED LIKE IN AN ORDER, A CHURCH ORDER?

A VERY MUCH SO.

Q IN THOSE STRUCTURED CHURCH ORDERS, USUALLY THE AUTHORITY IS AT THE TOP AND THE MEMBERS OF THE ORDER PARTICIPATE, BUT ADHERE TO THE DICTATES OF THE ORDER?

A RIGHT. AND IN ROMAN CATHOLICISM FOR EXAMPLE,

IT IS THE TRADITIONAL VOWS ARE CHASTITY, POVERTY AND

OBEDIENCE. AND OBEDIENCE IS USUALLY OBEDIENT TO THE ABBOT

OR MOTHER SUPERIOR OF THE ORDER.

Q IN THIS CASE, IF THERE IS A SPIRITUAL LEADER,

IT WOULD BE TO THE SPIRITUAL LEADER?

A WELL, ACTUALLY AT CAMELOT THE OBEDIENCE THERE

ARE NOT CLEARLY SPELLED OUT. THERE ARE SPIRITUAL DICTATES.

THEY ARE CERTAINLY EXPECTED TO FOLLOW A MORAL CODE. BUT

THERE IS NOT THE KIND OF ABSOLUTE OBEDIENCE AT LEAST SPELLED

OUT.

I AM SURE THAT IN PRACTICE IT WORKS THAT WAY,
BUT I FOUND NOTHING ABOUT TAKING A VOW OF OBEDIENCE WHERE
ONE IS EXPECTED TO FOLLOW AUTHORITY STRUCTURES AT LEAST IN
THE MAJOR DICTATES OF LIFE. THERE ARE CERTAIN FREE TIMES
LEFT.

Q AND IF THEY HAD AS PART OF THEIR ORGANIZATION SOMETHING MAYBE CALLED SONS OR DAUGHTERS OF DOMINION WHERE THE INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THAT GROUP TAKE A VOW OF OBEDIENCE AND DEDICATION, THEN YOU'D CONSIDER IT THAT THE PEOPLE WHO ATTEND THERE ARE VERY MUCH DEDICATED AND UNDER AND SUBJECTED TO THE RULE OF THE SPIRITUAL LEADER THERE, WOULD YOU NOT?

1 Α YES. 2 DO YOU BELIEVE THAT RELIGIOUS LEADERS, AND I 3 DON'T WANT TO BE DEROGATORY TOWARD RELIGIOUS LEADERS, BUT DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THEY KIND OF WANT TO MANIPULATE PEOPLE? 4 5 YES. Α PRETTY MUCH ACROSS THE BOARD? 6 Q 7 YES. 8 NOW, YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT 1,800 RELIGIONS AND 9 SAID THERE WAS UP TO ABOUT 1,600 OR SO IN THE EIGHTIES? 10 Α YES. THAT WE KNEW ABOUT. 11 GOOD HOUSEKEEPING MAGAZINE, ANOTHER ONE OF 12 THOSE MEDICAL AND PHILOSOPHICAL JOURNALS, PUBLISHED AN 13 ARTICLE THAT YOU CONTRIBUTED TO. AND THEY LIST 1,200 14 RELIGIONS AND THEY EXCERPTED IT FROM YOUR RESEARCH. 15 THE CRITERIA I NOTICE IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT. WHEN YOU DESCRIBED THE CRITERIA FOR RELIGIONS, 16 17 YOU SAID WHERE DO WE COME FROM, WHY ARE WE HERE AND WHERE 18 ARE WE GOING? 19 IN THAT ARTICLE, YOUR CRITERIA WAS A CHURCH 20 MUST ACHIEVE RELIGIOUS LOYALTY OF ITS MEMBERS, IT MUST 21 PROMOTE ITS BELIEFS AND IT MUST BE BIG ENOUGH TO HAVE AT 22 LEAST TWO CONGREGATIONS OR ONE WITH OVER 2,000 PEOPLE. DO YOU RECALL THE ARTICLE? 23 IT'S BEEN AN EMBARRASSMENT TO ME EVER SINCE IT 24 Α 25 APPEARED. THAT IS THE ARTICLE. IT MIGHT CALL TO YOUR 26 Q ATTENTION SOME OF THE THINGS YOU SAID. 27 YOU LISTED A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT RELIGIONS. 28

YOU LISTED SUMMIT LIGHTHOUSE, WHICH IS THE FORERUNNER OF THE CHURCH INVOLVED HERE NOW, CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT.

BUT I NOTICE RIGHT IN THAT ARTICLE YOU LISTED FLYING SAUCER GROUPS, MAIL ORDER CHURCHES, RITUAL MAGIC CHURCHES, SATANISM AND WITCHCRAFT. AND YOU LISTED THEM RIGHT ALONG WITH ALL THE MAIN LINE CHURCHES.

DO YOU REALLY EQUATE BLACK MAGIC CHURCHES AND MAIL ORDER CHURCHES WITH THE METHODIST CHURCH?

A NO. AS I SAID, THIS ARTICLE HAS BEEN AN EMBARRASSMENT TO ME EVER SINCE IT CAME OUT BECAUSE IT CAME OUT UNDER MY NAME AND I DIDN'T WRITE IT.

THIS IS AN ARTICLE THAT WAS PLANTED BY THE MARKETING PEOPLE WHO PUBLISHED MY ENCYCLOPEDIA. THEY CALLED ME UP ONE DAY AND SAID THAT, "FOLKS AT GOOD HOUSEKEEPING WANT TO EXCERPT SOME OF YOUR ENCYCLOPEDIA AND PRINT IT AS AN ARTICLE. CAN WE DO THAT?"

I SAID, "FINE." WHEN IT CAME OUT, I WAS ONE OF
THE MOST SURPRISED PEOPLE IN THE WORLD. THE INTRODUCTORY
MATERIAL HERE WAS WRITTEN BY A P.R. PERSON EITHER AT THE
PUBLISHER OR WITH GOOD HOUSEKEEPING.

AND WHAT THEY EXCERPTED FROM THE ARTICLE WAS THE TABLE OF CONTENTS OF MY ENCYCLOPEDIA. THEY DIDN'T EXCERPT ANY OF THE CONTENT OF IT.

AND THE EMBARRASSING PART WAS THEY LEFT SOME STUFF OUT AND I GOT ALL KINDS OF ANGRY LETTERS SAYING, "WELL, THE REFORM CHURCH IN AMERICA IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST CHURCHES IN THE COUNTRY. THEY ARE NOT LISTED. DON'T YOU CONSIDER THEM A RELIGION? NO."

BUT IN FACT WHAT THIS IS IS THE ENCYCLOPEDIA.

AND WHAT WE TRY TO DO IN THE ENCYCLOPEDIA WAS TO BE VERY,

VERY COMPLETE OF ALL OF THE GROUPS WHO MET A BASIC CRITERIA.

WE HAD TWO QUESTIONS THAT WE HAD TO DEAL WITH.

ONE WAS IS IT A RELIGION OR NOT A RELIGION.

AND THAT WAS THE CRITERIA THAT I GAVE YOU EARLIER. IS IT A RELIGION, DOES IT DEAL WITH THE RELIGIOUS QUESTIONS OF WHERE DO WE COME FROM, WHERE ARE WE GOING, WHY ARE WE HERE?

SECONDLY, WAS IT A FULLY RELIGIOUS GROUP OR IS

IT A SPECIALIZED RELIGIOUS GROUP? FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU LOOK

THROUGH HERE, THE BILLY GRAHAM EVANGELISTIC ASSOCIATION IS

NOT LISTED. WELL, THAT IS A RELIGIOUS GROUP.

Q EXCUSE ME, DOCTOR, I HATE TO INTERRUPT YOU. I
SEE YOU ARE ONLY PARTIALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR YOUR
CONTRIBUTION?

A RIGHT.

Q AND THEY JUST TOOK A PORTION OF THE TOTALITY OF WHAT YOU WROTE?

A RIGHT.

Q THERE IS ONE GROUP IN THERE THAT I LIKE ESPECIALLY AND THAT IS THE ONE THAT IS NAMED AFTER THE COMEDIAN FLIP WILSON'S GROUP. WHAT IS THAT?

SERIOUS RELIGIOUS GROUP. THIS WAS FORMED BY A WOMAN IN WASHINGTON, D.C., WHO IS A PENTECOSTAL MINISTER AND HAD NO RELATION TO FLIP WILSON ACTUALLY. IT WAS FORMED PRIOR TO HIS USING THAT NAME AND I SUSPECT HE DID IT IN IGNORANCE OF IT.

BUT SHE WAS -- IT WAS A PENTECOSTAL SOCIAL ACTION GROUP. AND SHE WANTED TO EMPHASIZE THE FACT THAT HER CHURCH WAS INVOLVED IN SOCIAL ACTION IN WASHINGTON, D.C., AND THAT WAS THE NAME SHE HAPPENED TO CHOOSE FOR THE GROUP. IT HAS FOUR OR FIVE CONGREGATIONS IN WASHINGTON. VERY SERIOUS, VERY DEVOTED GROUP OF BLACK PENTECOSTALS.

- Q SINCE THAT ARTICLE WAS SUCH AN EMBARRASSMENT TO
  YOU AND SINCE THE GOOD HOUSEKEEPING MAGAZINE INCLUDED IT IN
  THEIR PUBLICATION, WERE YOU OFFENDED ENOUGH TO SUE THEM OR
  TO SEEK AN APOLOGY FROM THEM?
  - A NO.
  - Q DIDN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT?
  - A NO. JUST LET IT DIE.
  - Q JUST LET IT DIE.

NOW, IN PREPARATION FOR YOUR TESTIMONY HERE,
YOU TOLD US YOU READ MR. MULL'S DEPOSITION, SOME OF THE
DEPOSITIONS OF MR. KING, DR. SINGER, RABBI ROBBINS, SOME OF
THE EXHIBITS, SOME OF THE EXCHANGE OF LETTERS AND A LOT OF
THE PUBLICATIONS OF THE CHURCH. I NOTE THAT YOU ONLY READ
ONE SIDE.

IS THERE ANY REASON THAT YOU DIDN'T MAKE AN EXAMINATION AS TO THE DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET OR THE TESTIMONY OF THE PEOPLE FROM THE CHURCH?

- A NOT PARTICULARLY. I WAS ASKED TO READ CERTAIN DEPOSITIONS BY MR. KLEIN WITH WHOM I WAS CONSULTING AND I READ THOSE AT HIS REQUEST.
- Q AS A PROFESSIONAL THOUGH, DON'T YOU THINK IT
  MIGHT HAVE ENHANCED YOUR EXPERTISE IF YOU SAW BOTH SIDES OF

THE PICTURE?

A IT MIGHT HAVE. IN THE SENSE THAT I HAD ACCESS
TO LEADERS IN THE CHURCH, SO I WAS ABLE TO QUESTION THEM
DIRECTLY ABOUT QUESTIONS THAT HAD ARISEN IN THE -- MR. MULL
AND MR. KING'S DEPOSITION.

Q AS A CURIOUS RELIGIONIST, DID YOU THINK IT
MIGHT HAVE ENHANCED YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAD YOU REQUIRED A
MEETING WITH MR. MULL TO CHAT WITH HIM?

A AS A CURIOUS RELIGIONIST, YES. BUT OVERALL,

THE LENGTH OF HIS DEPOSITION AND HIS TESTIMONY SEEMED TO BE

QUITE SUFFICIENT. I DIDN'T HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS THAT

I WOULD HAVE PUT TO HIM.

Q YOU KNEW AT THE TIME OF HIS DEPOSITION, HE HAD JUST BEEN RECOVERING FROM A STROKE-LIKE INCIDENT AND HE WAS RECENTLY OUT OF THE HOSPITAL?

A YES.

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. THAT IS JUST A TESTIMONY BY COUNSEL.

THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: THE ANSWER IS "YES"?

THE WITNESS: YES.

Q BY MR. LEVY: AND YOU COULD SEE BY THE DATES OF THOSE DEPOSITIONS THAT THEY WERE NOT ALL ON ONE DAY, THEY WERE OVER A PERIOD OF SEVERAL MONTHS?

A OH, YES.

Q DID YOU NOTE ANY IMPROVEMENT IN MR. MULL FROM THE FIRST DEPOSITION TO THE SIXTH ONE?

A (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)

Q LET ME WITHDRAW THAT SINCE YOU HAVE ALREADY
TOLD US YOU DON'T HAVE ANY MEDICAL DEGREES OR EXPERTISE IN
THAT AREA.

YOU TALKED ABOUT THE RECRUITMENT TECHNIQUES OF THIS CHURCH AS BEING SLOW. LET ME GIVE YOU A LITTLE ANALOGY AND SEE IF THAT MAYBE YOU MIGHT AGREE WITH ME.

WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT RECRUITMENT

TECHNIQUES MIGHT BE OR MIGHT EQUATE WITH LIKE THE PLANTING

OF A SEED, AND A PERIOD OF TIME GOES BY WHERE YOU WATER THE

SEED AND YOU NURTURE THE SEED, AND EVENTUALLY THE SEED

SPRINGS INTO FULL BLOSSOM AND THEN PEOPLE HAVE THE

OPPORTUNITY FOR FULL PARTICIPATION?

MAYBE THAT IS A LITTLE MELODRAMATIC AND MAYBE A LITTLE BIT FLOWERY, BUT WOULD THAT ANALOGY BE SOMEWHAT CORRECT IN THE FACT THAT SLOWLY OVER A PERIOD OF TIME, PEOPLE GET INVOLVED UNTIL THEY HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A FULL COMMITMENT, AND IT IS AFTER THE TIME THEY MAKE A FULL COMMITMENT THAT THEY MIGHT GO ON PERMANENT STAFF AT CAMELOT?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. THAT IS A VERY LONG AND COMPOUND QUESTION.

- Q BY MR. LEVY: DO YOU UNDERSTAND IT, SIR?
- A I SEEM TO. I AM THINKING ABOUT IT.

AS FAR AS IT GOES, IT DIDN'T PRESS THE ANALOGY
TOO MUCH FURTHER, AS FAR AS IT GOES, YES.

Q IN THE COURSE OF YOUR READING OF THE
DEPOSITIONS, DID YOU BECOME AWARE THAT MR. MULL WAS NOT
RECRUITED IN THAT FASHION?

A I REMEMBER THAT HE HAD BEEN A -- INVOLVED IN

OCCULT TEACHINGS OR IN METAPHYSICAL TEACHINGS FOR A GOOD

DEAL OF HIS LIFE. BUT RIGHT AT THIS POINT, I CAN'T REMEMBER

EXACTLY HOW HE WAS RECRUITED.

Q WOULD IT ALTER YOUR THEORY SOMEWHAT IF YOU
DISCOVERED THAT SPECIFIC PEOPLE CONTACTED HIM AND MADE HIM
AWARE OF THE TEACHINGS OF THIS CHURCH AS OPPOSED TO HIM
ANSWERING AN ADVERTISEMENT IN YOUR MAGAZINE?

A NO. I AM AWARE THAT SOME PEOPLE IN THE CHURCH HAVE JOINED THROUGH PERSONAL CONTACT BEING THEIR FIRST -- FIRST CONTACT WITH THE CHURCH. BUT THAT IS -- THAT IS A MINORITY SITUATION.

Q YOU HAVE TOLD US IN YOUR TESTIMONY THAT ALL KIND OF PEOPLE SPEAK TO POWERS OUTSIDE AND BEYOND US MERE MORTALS. I THINK MOST PEOPLE WILL RECOGNIZE THAT JESUS WAS ONE OF THOSE VERY, VERY SPECIAL PEOPLE WHO MADE MENTION OF CONTACTS THAT HE HAD WITH HIS FATHER WHO HE REFERRED TO AS GOD.

WERE HE TO HAVE WRITTEN A LETTER WHICH

PURPORTED TO BE A COMMUNICATION FROM GOD, DO YOU THINK IT

WOULD HAVE BEEN SOMEWHAT PRESUMPTUOUS OF HIM TO HAVE SIGNED

THE LETTER WITH HIS FATHER'S NAME?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THAT QUESTION,
YOUR HONOR. IT IS VAGUE, AMBIGUOUS AND CALLS FOR
SPECULATION UNLESS COUNSEL HAPPENS TO HAVE THAT LETTER.

MR. LEVY: I JUST MIGHT.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q BY MR. LEVY: WELL, YOU HAVE BEEN SAVED FROM

ANSWERING THAT ONE SO LET ME ASK YOU ANOTHER ONE, SIR.

LET'S SAY THE SPIRITUAL LEADER OF THIS CHURCH,
THE ONE YOU'VE INVESTIGATED, CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND
TRIUMPHANT, WERE TO BE COMMUNICATING WITH SOMEONE IN HER
CHURCH AND A COMMUNICATION THAT SHE WAS GIVING TO THE OTHER
PERSON PURPORTED TO COME FROM ONE OF THOSE SPIRITUAL BEINGS
THAT SHE GETS MESSAGES FROM.

DO YOU THINK IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN PRESUMPTUOUS OF HER IF SHE SIGNED THAT ASCENDED MASTER'S NAME INSTEAD OF HER OWN?

- A I -- I HAVE TWO ANSWERS FOR THAT.
- Q I BET YOU DO.

A RIGHT. AS -- AND IF I MAY PUT ON MY METHODIST MINISTER CAP FOR A MINUTE, THE ANSWER IS YES. IF I MAY -- AS A RELIGIOUS RESEARCHER, NOT PARTICULARLY -- I HAVE TONS OF MATERIAL OF THAT KIND.

I HAD A VERY GOOD FRIEND IN BALTIMORE THAT I KNEW, WHO, WHEN HER HUSBAND DIED, FROM THAT POINT UNTIL HER OWN DEATH SEVERAL YEARS LATER, WOULD SIGN EVERY LETTER THAT SHE WROTE WITH BOTH THE NAME OF HERSELF AND HER HUSBAND. AND IT IS SOMETHING QUITE COMMON IN OCCULT RELIGIOUS CIRCLES.

Q YOU KNOW, I HAVE READ THE OLD TESTAMENT, THE NEW TESTAMENT, THE KORAN, THE BOOK OF MORMON. I HAVE READ JUST ABOUT EVERY RELIGIOUS VOLUME THAT PURPORTS TO BE THE TEACHINGS OF EVERY MAJOR RELIGION AND MOST OF THE MINOR RELIGIONS.

AND TRY AS I MIGHT, I HAVE NEVER FOUND ANYWHERE

WHERE ANY OF THE SPOKESMEN FOR THE RELIGION HAD THE AUDACITY 1 2 TO SIGN THE NAME OF ALLAH, OR GOD, OR BUDDHA, OR JESUS OR 3 ANYONE ELSE. WELL, YOU MUST COME UP TO MY INSTITUTE SOME Α 5 TIME AND I WILL SHOW YOU A GOOD DEAL OF THAT KIND OF LITERATURE. 6 7 LIKE WITH THE CHURCH OF WHAT'S HAPPENING NOW OR Q 3 THE FLYING SAUCERS OR THE BLACK MAGIC CHURCHES? SOME OF THE FLYING SAUCERS GROUPS, YES. THE 9 CHURCH OF WHAT'S HAPPENING NOW, NO. 10 MR. LEVY: ONE MINUTE IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. 11 12 ONE FURTHER QUESTION. Q 13 OKAY. IF SOMEONE IN A POSITION OF APPARENT AUTHORITY, 14 LIKE A SPIRITUAL LEADER OF ONE OF THOSE GROUPS, WERE TO SEND 15 A LETTER OR GIVE A LETTER TO ONE OF THE FOLLOWERS AND SIGNED 16 THE NAME OF ONE OF THE ASCENDED MASTERS, DO YOU BELIEVE IT 17 IS POSSIBLE THAT THE DOING OF THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN DONE FOR 18 MANIPULATIVE PURPOSES? 19 MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. THAT CALLS FOR 20 PURE SPECULATION, YOUR HONOR. 21 THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER. 22 THE WITNESS: MIGHT HAVE, YES. 23 24 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, DOCTOR. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 25 111 26 111 27 111 28

## BY MR. KLEIN:

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION +

Q DOCTOR, THE LAST QUESTION THAT YOU WERE ASKED ABOUT, DO YOU BELIEVE IT IS POSSIBLE THAT SIGNING THAT NAME

WOULD HAVE BEEN FOR MANIPULATIVE PURPOSES? IS IT JUST AS POSSIBLE THAT IT WASN'T FOR MANIPULATIVE PURPOSES?

A I THINK OVERALL WHEN THAT HAPPENS, IT ISN'T FOR MANIPULATIVE PURPOSES EXCEPT IN THE SENSE THAT ALL RELIGIOUS TEACHERS ARE TRYING TO GET THEIR FOLLOWERS TO FOLLOW THE TEACHINGS THAT THEY ARE HANDING OUT. SO IN A SENSE YOU ARE ALWAYS TRYING TO MANIPULATE PEOPLE TO FOLLOW THE RELIGIOUS TEACHINGS IN THAT VERY BROAD SENSE.

BUT I BELIEVE THE SENSE THAT WAS IMPLIED WAS IF YOU SEND A PERSONAL LETTER AND YOU SIGN IT WITH THE NAME OF AN ASCENDED MASTER, THAT YOU ARE TRYING TO GET A PERSON TO DO SOMETHING VERY SPECIFIC. AS A WHOLE, WHEN I HAVE SEEN THOSE KINDS OF COMMUNICATIONS, I DON'T BELIEVE THEY ARE MANIPULATIVE.

Q DURING MR. LEVY'S CROSS-EXAMINATION, YOU SAID
THAT ALL RELIGIOUS LEADERS WANT TO MANIPULATE. DID YOU
INCLUDE IN THAT GROUP ONLY NEW AGE RELIGIONS OR DOES THAT
EXTEND TO WHAT WE CALL MAIN LINE RELIGIONS?

A NO. IT EXTENDS — IT IS JUST A GENERAL ACROSS
THE BOARD THING. AS A PASTOR, I WAS PUT IN CHARGE OF A
CONGREGATION AND I HAD TO LEAD THAT CONGREGATION. SO I
PLANNED THE WORSHIP SERVICES AND I PLANNED THEM VERY
CAREFULLY TO PRODUCE CERTAIN EFFECTS. I ORDERED HOW THE
WORSHIP SERVICE WOULD TAKE PLACE, I SAW TO THE ATMOSPHERE OF

THE SANCTUARY.

THOSE ARE ALL -- IF ONE LOOKS AT THEM IN TERMS

OF A MECHANICAL TYPE OF UNIVERSE, THOSE ARE ALL MANIPULATING

THE ENVIRONMENT OF THE PEOPLE WHO WERE THERE. IT WAS VERY

LOW-GRADE MANIPULATION. IT IS NOT TWISTING ANYBODY'S ARM.

BUT IT HAS A HOPED FOR SUBTLE EFFECT OVER THE PEOPLE OVER A

PERIOD OF TIME.

Q ALSO IN RESPONSE TO A QUESTION BY MR. LEVY, YOU SAID THAT THERE ARE SOME GROUPS DOING EITHER BRAINWASHING OR TRYING TO GO THROUGH A -- TRYING TO THOROUGHLY INDOCTRINATE THEIR MEMBERS.

IN YOUR OBSERVATIONS, IS CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT ONE OF THOSE GROUPS?

A IN THE UNITED STATES, MOST PEOPLE GROW UP IN -MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. THE
QUESTION CALLS FOR A YES OR NO ANSWER.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q BY MR. KLEIN: CAN YOU ANSWER THAT YES OR NO AND THEN I WILL ASK YOU ANOTHER QUESTION AFTER THAT.

A YES.

Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN?

A IN THE UNITED STATES, MOST PEOPLE ARE RAISED IN A CHRISTIAN CHURCH. THEREFORE, IF THEY GO TO ANOTHER CHRISTIAN CHURCH, THEY CAN CARRY A LOT OF MATERIAL WITH THEM.

IF I AS A METHODIST DECIDE TO BECOME A

PRESBYTERIAN, 95 PERCENT OF WHAT I LEARNED AS A METHODIST

WOULD BE CARRIED OVER AND THERE WOULD NOT BE REQUIRED A LOT

OF NEW LEARNING. IN THE EXPERIENCE OF A LIFETIME, I HAVE
LEARNED PRETTY MUCH WHAT IT IS LIKE TO BE A PRESBYTERIAN BY
BEING A METHODIST.

IF I JOINED CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT,
HOWEVER, I HAVE TO GO THROUGH A WHOLE REPROCESS OF LEARNING.
I HAVE TO UNLEARN EVERYTHING I HAVE LEARNED RELIGIOUS FROM
MY CHILDHOOD. I HAVE LEARNED A NEW SET OF HYMNS, A NEW SET
OF PRAYERS, A NEW WAY OF BEING RELIGIOUS.

AND IN ORDER TO DO THAT AND NOT TAKE ANOTHER 40
YEARS TO DO IT, I WOULD HAVE TO GO THROUGH A PRETTY STRONG
INDOCTRINATION PROCESS. BUT THAT IS VERY COMMON WHEN YOU
CONVERT.

THROUGH THE SAME KIND OF STRONG INDOCTRINATION PROCESS TO LEARN THAT NEW RELIGION. SO THAT IS BASICALLY WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

THE POINT BECOMES WITH A FEW GROUPS, AND THERE ARE CERTAINLY A FEW GROUPS LIKE THIS, THE INDOCTRINATION PROCESS GOES ALONG WITH A STRONG COMMITMENT TO THE GROUP ITSELF. AN EXCLUSIVE COMMITMENT TO THE GROUP, NOT JUST A PRIMARY COMMITMENT. AND THAT IS SOMEWHAT OBJECTIONABLE.

Q HAVE YOU FOUND THAT IN CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT?

A NO.

Q YOU SAID THAT YOU WENT TO CERTAIN CONFERENCES
OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT AND MR. LEVY ASKED YOU
ABOUT PUTTING YOUR BEST FOOT FORWARD AT THE CONFERENCES.

MY QUESTION TO YOU IS IS WHAT YOU SAW AT THE

 CONFERENCES THAT YOU WENT TO IN ANY WAY INCONSISTENT WITH

THE INFORMATION THAT YOU LEARNED THROUGH YOUR OWN

INTERVIEWS, YOUR LITERATURE THAT YOU READ AND WHATEVER OTHER

OBSERVATIONS YOU HAD CONCERNING CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND

TRIUMPHANT?

A NOT REALLY. THE -- I CAN REMEMBER ONE

CONFERENCE I WENT TO IN CHICAGO WHERE SOME OF THE BASIC

TEACHINGS WERE EXPLAINED. THE VISION OF WHAT WAS BEING

BUILT AT CAMELOT WAS DONE WITH A MULTIMEDIA PRESENTATION.

THERE WAS SOME DECREEING.

I REMEMBER THAT MY MAJOR OBSERVATION IN THE EVENING IS THAT MOST OF THE PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE WERE PEOPLE THAT I KNEW WHO WERE MEMBERS OF OTHER GROUPS. AND WE GATHERED OUTSIDE AFTERWARDS TO DO A CRITIQUE OF THE EVENING BASED UPON WHAT HAD HAPPENED WHEN OTHER SPIRITUAL TEACHERS HAD PASSED THROUGH TOWN.

BECAUSE IN CHICAGO, WE WERE IN A UNIQUE
SITUATION IN THAT ALL THE SPIRITUAL TEACHERS WOULD COME TO
TOWN AND DO THIS. AND THERE WOULD BE THE SAME -- BASICALLY
THE SAME GROUP OF PEOPLE WOULD SHOW UP FOR EACH OF THESE
EVENTS. AND WE WOULD GET TOGETHER AFTERWARDS AND COMPARE
NOTES. AND IT WAS QUITE INTERESTING.

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, JUST ONE MOMENT, PLEASE.

Q IN YOUR STUDIES OF COMPARATIVE RELIGIONS, IS IT UNUSUAL FOR A SPIRITUAL LEADER TO SAY THAT GOD IS TALKING THROUGH THEM?

A WITH MOST OF THE SO-CALLED SPIRITUAL LEADERS IN THE NEW AGE GROUPS, THEY WILL VARY. SOME WILL SAY THAT THEY

ARE PROPHET, THAT THEY SPEAK OUT OF INSPIRATION. OTHERS
WILL SAY THAT THEY ARE DIRECT MESSENGERS OF GOD. MORE THAN
ONE HALF OF THEM WILL SAY IN ONE FORM OR ANOTHER THEY
INCARNATE GOD, PARTICULARLY IF THEY ARE HINDU.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.

I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.

MR. LEVY: A FEW MORE, YOUR HONOR.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION +

BY MR. LEVY:

Q DOCTOR, YOUR SPECIALTY IS MORE RELIGION THAN
PSYCHOLOGY. LET ME ASK YOU A QUESTION THAT HAS A LITTLE BIT
TO DO WITH BOTH OF THEM.

SAY IN YOUR MINISTERIAL CAPACITY THERE IS SOME LITTLE BIT OF DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN YOURSELF AND ONE OF YOUR PARISHIONERS. I ASSUME SOMETIMES THAT YOU MIGHT EVEN QUOTE FROM THE BIBLE WHEN YOU CHAT WITH THEM?

A OCCASIONALLY.

Q WHAT IF I WAS THE PARISHIONER, AND WE WERE
TALKING ABOUT DOLLARS, AND YOU IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT
DIALOGUE ABOUT A DISAGREEMENT OF DOLLARS WERE TO QUOTE TO ME
A NICE LITTLE STORY OF ANANIAS AND SAPPHIRA WHICH SAYS
ESSENTIALLY IF YOU LIE TO ME AND I DON'T GET ALL OF IT, YOU
ARE GOING TO DIE.

WOULD YOU CONSIDER THAT THAT MIGHT BE A

MANIPULATIVE TECHNIQUE ON YOUR PART IF YOU WERE TO SO USE

IT?

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS TO A

MISCHARACTERIZATION OF THE STORY THAT HE HAS GIVEN THEM AND ALSO IMPROPER REDIRECT -- IMPROPER RECROSS.

THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: I MUST ADMIT I WOULD NEVER USE THAT
STORY IN THAT PARTICULAR SITUATION. THAT IS A STORY THAT
IF, YES, IF IT WERE USED IN THAT KIND OF SITUATION, I WOULD
CONSIDER IT MANIPULATIVE.

MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, THE DOCUMENT -- I WOULD ASK
THAT EXHIBIT NUMBER 3, WHICH IS THE ARTICLE IN GOOD
HOUSEKEEPING, BE ACCEPTED INTO EVIDENCE SINCE THE GOOD
DOCTOR TOLD US THAT MOST OF IT IS HIS WITH THE EXCEPTION --

THE COURT: WE HEARD WHAT HE SAID. IT IS NOT NECESSARY FOR YOU TO --

MR. KLEIN: MAY I JUST HAVE A MOMENT TO LOOK AT THE ARTICLE, YOUR HONOR? I HAVE NOT SEEN THAT.

THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED.

(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 3, GOOD

--- - HOUSEKEEPING ARTICLE)

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE WITH THIS WITNESS?

MR. KLEIN: JUST ONE MOMENT.

NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

MR. LEVY: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU ARE EXCUSED.

THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY BE SO BOLD, MY NEXT DOOR NEIGHBOR WAS AL MARGOLIS.

THE COURT: I AM FROM THE MIDWEST, BUT NOT CHICAGO.

JUST A COINCIDENCE? 1 2 THE WITNESS: I AM SURE. 3 THE COURT: OKAY. WE WILL TAKE OUR MORNING RECESS AT THIS TIME. 5 (RECESS.) 6 THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED. 7 MR. KLEIN: WE WOULD CALL ANTHONY NOTTOLI, YOUR 8 HONOR. 9 10 VICTOR ANTHONY NOTTOLI, + 11 A PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, 12 TESTIFIES AS FOLLOWS: THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. 13 14 SIR, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD AND PLEASE SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME. 15 THE WITNESS: VICTOR ANTHONY NOTTOLI. MY FIRST NAME 16 17 IS V-I-C-T-O-R. 18 THE CLERK: SLOWER. THE WITNESS: SORRY. V-1-C-T-O-R. LAST NAME IS 19 20 NOTTOLI, N-O-T-T-O-L-I. THE CLERK: MIDDLE NAME, ALSO. 21 22 THE WITNESS: ANTHONY, A-N-T-H-O-N-Y. THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 23 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION + 25 BY MR. KLEIN: 26 ARE YOU CURRENTLY A CHURCH MEMBER? 27 Q 28 YES, I AM.

1	Q	ARE YOU A STAFF MEMBER?
2	A	NO, I AM NOT.
3	Q	ARE YOU PRESENTLY EMPLOYED?
4	A	YES, 1 AM.
` 5	Q	WHAT DO YOU DO?
6	A	MY WIFE AND I HAVE A BOARDING AND TRAINING
7	STABLE AT	OUR HOUSE IN CHATSWORTH AND I HAVE A SHOP WHICH I
8	RUN.	
9	Q	WHAT KIND OF SHOP?
10	A	IT IS A GARAGE. I AM A MECHANIC.
11	Q	AN AUTOMOBILE MECHANIC?
12	A	YEAH, AUTOMOBILE MECHANIC.
13	Q	WE HAVE HEARD TESTIMONY FROM MRS. ANNE
14	COURTRIGHT	. ARE YOU RELATED TO HER?
15	A	YES. SHE IS MY MOTHER.
16	Q	HOW OLD ARE YOU?
17	A	I AM 23.
18	Q	DO YOU KNOW MR. GREGORY MULL?
19	A	YES, I DO.
20	Q	DO YOU RECALL WHAT YEAR YOU FIRST MET HIM?
21	A	IT WAS 1975 I BELIEVE.
22	Q	SO YOU WOULD HAVE BEEN ABOUT 12 OR 13?
23	A	I BELIEVE I MET HIM WHEN I WAS ABOUT YEAH,
24	ABOUT 12.	
25	Q	HOW DID IT COME ABOUT THAT YOU MET HIM?
26	A	AT CHURCH.
27	Q	CHURCH UNIVERSAL?
28	A	CHURCH UNIVERSAL CAFETERIA.
	1	

1	Q WAS THAT AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
2	A YES, IT WAS.
3	Q DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU STAYED AT HIS
4	HOUSE IN SAN FRANCISCO, AT MR. MULL'S HOUSE FOR A PERIOD OF
· 5	TIME?
6	A YES, I DID.
7	Q WHEN WAS THAT? DO YOU RECALL APPROXIMATELY
8	WHAT YEAR?
9	A IT WAS IT WAS '76 I BELIEVE, 1976.
10	Q AND WHY WAS IT THAT YOU STAYED AT HIS HOUSE FOR
11	A PERIOD OF TIME?
12	A I HAD BEEN INVITED BY HIM TO LIVE UP THERE. I
13	WAS ATTENDING A CONFERENCE IN LOS ANGELES, CHURCH
14	CONFERENCE, AND HE INVITED ME TO LIVE UP THERE WITH HIM.
15	Q HAD YOU WHO HAD YOU BEEN LIVING WITH PRIOR
16	TO GOING UP THERE?
17	A WELL, I WAS LIVING WITH MY MOM AND MY ONE
18	BROTHER AND MY THREE SISTERS. WE WERE ALL LIVING IN OUR
19	HOUSE IN COLORADO SPRINGS AND I CAME OUT THERE TO A
20	CONFERENCE.
21	AND HE HAD CALLED MY MOM AND ASKED ME IF I, YOU
22	KNOW, SAID THAT HE WANTED ME TO COME UP THERE AND LIVE
23	THERE. AND MY MOM THOUGHT IT WAS A GOOD IDEA BECAUSE MY MOM
24	WAS DIVORCED AND SHE FELT THAT I NEEDED A FATHER FIGURE. SO
25	GREGORY CALLED HER AND SAID, "I WOULD LIKE TONY TO COME UP
26	AND LIVE WITH ME, " AND ASKED ME
27	MR. LEVY: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. AT THIS POINT I AM
28	GOING TO OBJECT. IT'S BECOMING A NARRATIVE.

THE COURT: IT IS TIME FOR ANOTHER QUESTION. 1 BY MR. KLEIN: CALM DOWN AND JUST TRY TO ANSWER 2 THE QUESTION I ASK YOU. 3 Α OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WHEN YOU WERE IN SAN FRANCISCO 5 LIVING WITH MR. MULL, DID HE DO OR SAY ANYTHING THAT 6 INDICATED TO YOU WHAT HIS SEXUAL PREFERENCES WERE? 7 YES, HE DID. WE HAD A CONVERSATION ABOUT IT 8 AND THERE WAS AN INCIDENT. 9 TELL US ABOUT THE CONVERSATION AS BEST AS YOU 10 Q CAN RECALL. WHERE DID IT OCCUR? 11 WELL, IT OCCURRED IN HIS OFFICE BEDROOM. AND 12 WE WERE TALKING ABOUT HIS WIFE AND CHILDREN. AND HE SAID 13 THAT BEFORE HE HAD GOTTEN INTO THE TEACHINGS, SUMMIT 14 TEACHINGS, THAT HE HAD BEEN A HOMOSEXUAL. AND THAT SINCE HE 15 HAD BEEN IN THE TEACHINGS, THAT HE HAD BEEN CURED OF THAT. 16 WHEN HE USED THE WORD -- DID HE ACTUALLY USE Q 17 THE WORD "HOMOSEXUAL"? 18 YES, HE DID. 19 DID YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT MEANT? Q 20 YES, I DID. 21 DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU LEFT HIS HOUSE 22 Q IN SAN FRANCISCO? 23 YEAH. YES. I LEFT THERE ABOUT THREE WEEKS 24 AFTERWARDS. I FELT A LITTLE UNCOMFORTABLE AFTER, YOU KNOW, 25 LEARNING -- LEARNING ABOUT THAT. 26 DURING THE YEARS THAT YOU'VE BEEN A CHURCH 27 MEMBER, HAVE YOU DECREED? 28

1	A YES, I HAVE.	
2	Q DO YOU STILL DECREE?	
3	A YES, I DO.	
4	Q HAVE YOU EVER FELT THAT DECREEING LESSENED	YOUR
<b>5</b>	ABILITY TO CONTROL YOUR OWN THOUGHTS AND ACTIONS?	
6	A NO.	
7	Q WHAT EFFECT DOES DECREEING HAVE ON YOU?	
8	A MAKES ME FEEL GOOD INSIDE, GOOD AS A PERSON	•
9	IT IS A PRAYER TO ME. IT IS MY RELIGION.	
10	MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.	
11	I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.	
12		
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION +	
14	BY MR. LEVY:	
15	Q MR. NOTTOLI, YOU TOLD US YOU AND YOUR WIFE	HAVE
16	SOME BOARDING AND TRAINING STABLES?	
17	A YES.	
18	Q HOW OLD ARE YOU NOW?	
19	A TWENTY-THREE.	
20	Q FAIRLY YOUNG MAN TO HAVE BOARDING STABLES A	ND
21	TRAINING STABLES. YOU HAVE HORSES, ALSO?	
22	A YES, WE DO.	
23	Q DID YOUR WIFE HAVE THOSE HORSES BEFORE YOU	GOT
24	MARRIED?	
25	A YES.	
26	Q YOU ARE 23. HOW OLD IS YOUR WIFE?	
27	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR, AS	TO
28	RELEVANCE OF HOW OLD HIS WIFE IS.	

1 THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER. 2 THE WITNESS: PARDON ME? 3 THE COURT: YOU CAN ANSWER. THE WITNESS: MY WIFE IS 42. BY MR. LEVY: YOU ARE 23 AND SHE IS 42. IS 5 6 THIS THE LADY WHOSE HUSBAND DIVORCED HER WHEN HE CAUGHT YOU 7 WITH HER IN AN APARTMENT IN WOODLAND HILLS? 8 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS TO 9 THE RELEVANCY OF THAT LINE OF QUESTIONING. 10 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 11 BY MR. LEVY: DO YOU KNOW SEAN PROPHET? Q 12 Α YES, I DO. ARE YOU THE YOUNG MAN WHO USED TO SWIPE WINE 13 FROM THE CHURCH'S RESTAURANT, AND GO UP IN THE HILLS WITH 14 15 SEAN AND DRINK WINE WHILE YOU WERE AT CAMELOT? NO, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT SEAN AND I EVER SWIPED 16 Α 17 WINE FROM ANY RESTAURANT AND GO UP IN THE HILLS AND DRINK 18 IT. WHERE DID YOU DRINK IT? 19 I DON'T RECALL SEAN AND I EVER DRINKING WINE 20 TOGETHER UP IN THE HILLS. 21 NOW, BACK IN 1976, YOU WERE MATURE FOR THE AGE 22 Q OF 13? 23 I WAS 13. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "MATURE"? 24 A MAN OF THE WORLD, SOMEWHAT INTELLECTUAL, KNEW 25 PRETTY MUCH WHAT WAS GOING ON. 26 NOT REALLY. I WAS JUST -- I WASN'T A MAN OF 27 28 THE WORLD.

1	Q NOW, HOW OLD WAS MR. MULL WHEN YOU STAYED AT
2	HIS HOME WHILE YOU ATTENDED A CONFERENCE?
3	A I DON'T RECALL NOW WHAT WAS MR. MULL'S AGE.
4	Q WAS HE OLDER THAN YOU WHEN YOU STAYED THERE?
<b>5</b>	A YES, HE WAS.
6	Q WAS HE AROUND 50 YEARS OF AGE?
7	A I I THINK ABOUT 50, YEAH. 45 OR 50 OR SO.
8	Q SOMETHING LIKE THAT. WHILE YOU WERE STAYING
9	THERE, WERE THERE ANY OTHER PEOPLE CONNECTED WITH THE CHURCH
10	THAT WERE STAYING THERE ALSO AND ATTENDING THE CONFERENCE?
11	A AT HIS WELL, AT HIS HOUSE, AT HIS HOUSE YOU
12	MEAN?
13	Q YES, SIR.
14	A NO. AT HIS HOUSE, IT WAS JUST ME AND GREGORY
15	AND HIS DAUGHTER LINDA.
16	Q NO ONE ELSE STAYED IN HIS HOME DURING THAT
17	PERIOD OF TIME WHEN YOU WERE ATTENDING THE CONFERENCE?
18	A WELL, SIR, WE DIDN'T ATTEND THE CONFERENCE IN
19	SAN FRANCISCO. THE CONFERENCE WAS IN LOS ANGELES. IT WAS
20	AFTER THE CONFERENCE I WENT TO LIVE WITH HIM.
21	Q AFTER THE CONFERENCE, YOU WENT TO STAY WITH HIM
22	AND HIS DAUGHTER?
23	A UH-HUH.
24	Q NOW, YOU HAVE TOLD US THAT THIS 45 TO 50 YEAR
25	OLD GENTLEMAN DECIDED TO CONFESS TO YOU HIS SEXUAL PAST?
26	A I WOULDN'T CALL IT A CONFESSION. IT WAS A
27	CONVERSATION THAT WE HAD HAD.
28	Q HE WAS 50, AND YOU WERE 13 AND HE WAS

1	A AND PINK SHIRTS. I HAPPEN TO LIKE PINK SHIRTS.
2	Q DO ANY OTHER 50 YEAR OLD MEN CONFESS TO YOU
3	THEIR SEXUAL PROCLIVITIES?
. 4	A NO, I DON'T RECALL ANY OTHERS.
<b>&gt;</b> 5	Q JUST GREGORY OUT OF THE BLUE ONE DAY DECIDED TO
6	TELL YOU ABOUT HIS SEXUAL PAST?
7	A WELL, IT WAS I WAS LIVING AT HIS HOUSE, AND
8	HE HAD, I GUESS, THOUGHT THAT, YOU KNOW, IT WAS HIS JOB TO
9	BE A FATHER FIGURE TO ME AND I GUESS PART OF WHAT HE THOUGHT
10	WAS BEING A FATHER FIGURE TO ME WOULD BE TO TELL ME WHAT HE
11	FELT ABOUT HIS PAST. I DON'T KNOW.
12	Q YOU DON'T KNOW? DID HE EVER TALK TO YOU ABOUT
13	BASEBALL?
14	A NO, HE NEVER TALKED TO ME ABOUT BASEBALL.
15	Q WHAT ABOUT ARCHITECTURE?
16	A YES, HE DID SHOW ME A LITTLE BIT ABOUT
17	ARCHITECTURE.
18	MR. LEVY: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR,
19	MR. KLEIN: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
20	THE COURT: YOU ARE EXCUSED.
21	CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
22	MR. KLEIN: SUSAN HARROW, YOUR HONOR.
23	
24	SUSAN HARROW, +
25	A PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN,
26	TESTIFIES AS FOLLOWS:
27	THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND.
28	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD AND PLEASE SPELL YOUR

NAME . 1 2 THE WITNESS: SUSAN HARROW, H-A-R-R-O-W. 3 THE CLERK: AND YOUR FIRST NAME, ALSO. THE WITNESS: SUSAN, S-U-S-A-N. 5 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. THE COURT: PROCEED. б MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 7 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION + 10 BY MR. KLEIN: ARE YOU CURRENTLY A STAFF MEMBER AT CHURCH 11 12 UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT? 13 Α YES, I AM. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A MEMBER OF THE STAFF? 14 Q SEVEN YEARS NOW. 15 WHEN DID YOU ACTUALLY JOIN? DO YOU RECALL WHAT 16 17 YEAR THAT WAS? IT WAS -- ACTUALLY IT WAS IN MARCH OF '79. SO 18 IT'S BEEN JUST SEVEN YEARS. 19 WHEN YOU JOINED THE STAFF IN MARCH OF 1979, 20 WHAT JOB DID YOU HAVE? 21 22 MY FIRST ASSIGNMENT WAS TO BE THE SECRETARY IN 23 THE KITCHEN. WHAT WERE YOUR DUTIES AS THE SECRETARY IN THE 24 KITCHEN? 25 WELL, I DID QUITE A FEW THINGS. I ANSWERED THE 26 TELEPHONE, TOOK MESSAGES AND ASSISTED THE SUPERVISOR TO THE 27 KITCHEN. I ALSO STARTED A RECORD KEEPING SYSTEM AND 28

RECORDED, FOR INSTANCE, THE MEALS THAT WERE SERVED AND OUR PROCEDURES FOR PREPARING THEM.

Q WITH RESPECT TO RECORDING THE MEALS THAT WERE SERVED, DID YOU DO IT FOR BREAKFAST, LUNCH AND DINNER?

A ACTUALLY, I DID IT MAINLY FOR THE LUNCH AND DINNER MEALS. THE BREAKFAST WAS A STANDARD MEAL.

Q WHAT WAS THE PROCEDURE THAT YOU USED TO RECORD THE LUNCH AND DINNER MEALS?

A WELL, THERE WAS WHAT WE CALLED AN ASSIGNMENT FORM THAT WAS PREPARED BY THE KITCHEN SUPERVISOR WHICH WOULD LIST WHAT WE WERE SERVING THAT DAY AND THE PERSONNEL IN THE KITCHEN THAT WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARING EACH PART OF IT.

SO I WOULD TAKE THAT FORM AT THE END OF THE DAY AND RECORD -- I RECORDED IT LIKE IN A CALENDAR KIND OF BOOK ON A DAILY BASIS, YOU KNOW, WHAT WE HAD FOR LUNCH, WHAT WE HAD FOR DINNER.

Q NOW, LET'S SAY ON A PARTICULAR DAY THERE HAD

BEEN A LAST MINUTE CHANGE IN THE MENU. WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO

RECORD THAT IN THE RECORDS YOU WERE KEEPING?

A WELL, YES, BECAUSE THE ASSIGNMENTS WERE JUST MADE ON A DAILY BASIS FOR EACH MEAL. IN OTHER WORDS, IN THE MORNING, THE SUPERVISOR WOULD MAKE UP THE ASSIGNMENT SHEET JUST FOR THAT LUNCH MEAL AND THE SAME FOR THE DINNER.

SO IF THERE WAS A CHANGE, IF SOMETHING HAD TO BE ALTERED, IT WOULD BE NOTED AT THAT TIME BECAUSE THEY WERE RESPONSIBLE TO SEE EXACTLY WHAT WENT ON THE LINE, ON THE SERVING LINE FOR THAT MEAL.

1	Q WOULD THE ASSIGNMENT SHEET REFLECT WHO WAS
2	ASSIGNED TO PREPARE A PARTICULAR PART OF THE MEAL?
3	A RIGHT.
a 4	Q NOW, DURING THE TIME THAT YOU WERE KEEPING
` 5	THESE RECORDS OF WHAT WAS BEING SERVED AT LUNCH AND DINNER,
6	WERE YOU EATING LUNCH AND DINNER AT CAMELOT?
7	A YES, I WAS.
8	Q TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID THOSE ASSIGNMENT SHEETS
9	ACCURATELY REFLECT WHAT WAS ACTUALLY BEING SERVED IN THE
10	CAFETERIA AT LUNCH AND DINNER?
11	A YES, THEY DID.
12	Q WAS THERE ANY OCCASION DURING 1979 AND 1980
13	WHEN THE ASSIGNMENT SHEET DID NOT ACCURATELY REFLECT WHAT
14	YOU HAD ACTUALLY EATEN OR SEEN BEING SERVED IN THE
15	CAFETERIA?
16	A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
17	Q WHEN WOULD YOU WRITE DOWN THE INFORMATION IN
18	THOSE CALENDAR LOGBOOKS AS FAR AS WHAT WAS BEING SERVED FOR
19	A PARTICULAR DAY?
20	A WELL, IT WOULD EITHER BE DONE THAT DAY OR THE
21	DAY AFTER.
22	Q FOR WHAT PERIOD OF TIME DID YOU PERSONALLY
23	WRITE THE INFORMATION IN THE LOGBOOKS?
24	A I I STARTED DOING IT ACTUALLY RIGHT WHEN I
25	STARTED MY SERVICE IN '79 AND I BELIEVE I STOPPED DOING IT
26	PERSONALLY MYSELF IN 1981.
27	Q NOW, YOU SAID YOU CAME IN MARCH OF 1979. DO
28	YOU KNOW IF THERE WAS A RECORDING OF WHAT WAS BEING SERVED

BEEN MARKED NUMBERS 116 AND 117 FOR IDENTIFICATION AND TELL 1 2 ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE BOOKLETS? 3 YES, I DO. THESE ARE THE BOOKS I RECORDED IN. WERE THESE RECORDS WHERE YOU RECORDED THE LUNCH AND DINNER MEALS KEPT IN THE REGULAR COURSE OF RUNNING THE 5 6 KITCHEN? 7 YES. HOW MUCH TIME WOULD TYPICALLY ELAPSE FROM A 8 9 MEAL TO THE TIME THAT YOU WOULD WRITE THE ENTRY IN THE LOG? 10 WELL, I WOULD SAY AT THE MOST TWO TO THREE DAYS. 11 12 AND WHEN WOULD THE ASSIGNMENT SHEETS FROM WHICH 13 YOU COPIED THE INFORMATION, WHEN WERE THOSE PREPARED? 14 THEY WERE PREPARED ON A DAILY BASIS, THEN I 15 WOULD COLLECT THEM AT THE END OF THE DAY. 16 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I WOULD ASK THAT 17 NUMBERS 116 AND 117 FOR IDENTIFICATION BE RECEIVED IN 18 EVIDENCE. MR. LEVY: MAY I INQUIRE, YOUR HONOR? 19 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. LEVY: IS MR. KLEIN OFFERING IN THE ORIGINALS 21 22 INTO EVIDENCE? THE COURT: HOW ABOUT IT? 23 24 MR. KLEIN: IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING. I WILL ASK THE 25 WITNESS. 26 ARE THOSE THE ORIGINAL LOGBOOKS WHERE YOU MADE Q 27 THE ENTRIES? 28 Α YES, THEY ARE.

MR. LEVY: WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THE ENTRY OF THE ORIGINALS INTO EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 116 AND 117 ARE RECEIVED.

(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBITS 116 AND 117)

- Q BY MR. KLEIN: IN THOSE LOGBOOKS, ARE THERE ANY DAYS WHERE NOTHING IS RECORDED?
  - A THERE PROBABLY ARE A FEW DAYS.
- Q AND WHY WOULD THERE BE A DAY OR TWO OR THREE
  WHERE NOTHING IS RECORDED?

A WELL, THERE COULD BE A COUPLE OF REASONS.

THERE PROBABLY WOULD BE A TIME OR TWO WHERE I DIDN'T GET THE ASSIGNMENT SHEETS COLLECTED OR THEY GOT TOSSED AWAY. I WAS ILL AND NO ONE ELSE DID THE JOB.

THE OTHER THING WAS THAT WHEN WE HAD A SPECIAL EVENT OR A BIG MEAL LIKE A THANKSGIVING MEAL OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, WE WOULD RECORD IT SEPARATELY BECAUSE WE WOULD KEEP A FILE ON THE PREPARATIONS FOR THAT KIND OF A MEAL.

- Q WERE THERE ANY DAYS DURING 1979 THROUGH 1980, WHILE YOU WERE WORKING AS A SECRETARY IN THE KITCHEN, WHERE THE KITCHEN DID NOT SERVE ANY FOOD?
  - A NO, THERE WEREN'T.
  - Q DO YOU KNOW WHY THESE RECORDS WERE KEPT?
- A WELL, THEY WERE PRIMARILY KEPT FOR FUTURE PLANNING. IN OTHER WORDS, THE SUPERVISOR AND THE FLOOR MANAGER WOULD GO BACK TO THEM AND PLAN THEIR NEXT SET OF MENUS BASED ON WHAT HAD BEEN DONE BEFORE, GET IDEAS, SEE WHAT KIND OF THINGS WERE PLANNED. IT WAS JUST A ROUTINE FUNCTION TO ASSIST THEM IN THE FUTURE.

I WOULD LIKE YOU TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO 1 2 THE WEEK OF SEPTEMBER 2ND, 1979. WHY DON'T YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THOSE MENUS FOR A MOMENT ON THAT WEEK. 3 IS THAT A TYPICAL WEEK FOR THE YEARS 1979 TO 5 1980? YEAH. I THINK IT LOOKS PRETTY TYPICAL. 6 CAN YOU READ FOR US WHAT THE -- FOR THE -- FOR 7 THAT WEEK, THE ENTIRE WEEK, THE BREAKFAST MENU WAS AND WHAT 8 9 THE DINNER MENU WAS? 10 BREAKFAST AND DINNER? I AM SORRY. LUNCH MENU AND DINNER MENU. 11 OKAY. ON SUNDAY, WHERE WE HAD BAKED FISH FOR 12 LUNCH WITH CHARRED OVEN ROASTED POTATOES, CAULIFLOWER WITH 13 CHEESE SAUCE, RICE AND SALAD. AND THEN DINNER THAT DAY WAS 14 PANCAKES WITH STRAWBERRY SYRUP. 15 DOES THAT SAY RICE PANCAKES? 16 Q YES, ACTUALLY. THEY ARE MADE WITH A RICE 17 FLOUR. 18 MONDAY LUNCH WAS MINESTRONE SOUP, CAESAR SALAD, 19 BREAD STICKS, RICE AND CAMELOT CORN, WHICH WOULD HAVE MEANT 20 CORN FROM OUR GARDEN. DINNER WAS SWISS CHEESE SOUFFLE, 21 HERBED RICE AND BRUSSELS SPROUTS. 22 TUESDAY LUNCH MILLET BURGERS --23 24 Q WHAT IS MILLET BURGERS? IT IS LIKE A VEGETARIAN BURGER. IT IS MADE 25 WITH COOKED MILLET, SAUTEED VEGETABLES, SEASONINGS AND THEN 26 IT IS PUT ON A -- LIKE A HAMBURGER BUN SERVED WITH BARBECUE 27 SAUCE, LETTUCE AND PICKLES. THAT WENT WITH CORN ON THE COB 28

AND A SPINACH OLIVE SALAD. DINNER WAS CABBAGE ROLLS WITH RICE, GREEN BEANS AND SQUASH.

WEDNESDAY LUNCH WAS CHICKEN SOUP SERVED WITH CREAMED ASPARAGUS ON TOAST, RICE AND CARROTS. AND DINNER WAS TOFU FILLETS IN BROWN GRAVY WITH RICE AND BROCCOLI.

ON THURSDAY WE HAD A HARDY CURRIED SOUP, SAN

JUAN SALAD, RICE MUFFINS, RICE AND ZUCCHINI AND TOMATOES.

DINNER WAS MRS. M'S EGGPLANT CASSEROLE, MILLET AND CARROTS.

AND FRIDAY LUNCH WAS WE SERVED LEFTOVER SOUPS
FROM THE WEEK, BUDDHIST DELIGHT, WHICH IS A CHINESE
VEGETABLE DISH, CHINESE NOODLES, YELLOW SQUASH AND RICE.
DINNER WAS SOY LOAF, GRAVY, PEAS AND RICE.

AND ON SATURDAY LUNCH WAS A GARDEN VEGETABLE SOUP WITH TOSTADAS, RICE AND SPINACH. SATURDAY NIGHT WE NORMALLY SERVED LEFTOVERS, SO WE'D TAKE LEFTOVERS FROM THE WEEK AND SERVE THEM AGAIN.

Q NOW, IS THE FOOD LISTED IN THE LOG WHICH YOU'VE JUST READ AS TO THAT WEEK, FOR ANY PARTICULAR MEAL, WAS THAT THE ONLY FOOD THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE?

MEALS THAT WE WOULD PUT ON THE CAFETERIA LINE. WE ALWAYS
HAD A LARGE SALAD BAR AVAILABLE. YOU KNOW, WE HAVE THINGS
LIKE NUTS AND SEEDS, SEAWEEDS, AVOCADOS, SPROUTS, LETTUCE,
ALL KINDS OF VEGETABLES. THAT WOULD BE OUT.

LUNCH AND DINNER WE WOULD ALSO HAVE A FRUIT BAR OUT WITH YOGURT. AND OF COURSE WE HAVE OUR BEVERAGES,

COFFEE, HERB TEAS, MILK. AND WE ALSO HAVE A CONDIMENT LINE \
WHERE WE HAVE LIKE DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS, WHEAT GERM, BRAN,

CAROB POWDER, VEGETABLE BROTH, THINGS ALONG THAT LINE.

Q NOW, IF SOMEBODY HAD A PARTICULAR DIETARY NEED AND IT WASN'T MET BY ALL THE THINGS THAT YOU'VE EITHER READ FROM THE LOG OR JUST LISTED RIGHT NOW, WAS THERE ANY OTHER FOOD AVAILABLE?

A WELL, ACTUALLY WE HAD WHAT WAS CALLED A SPECIAL REQUEST DEPARTMENT. AND IF SOMEBODY NEEDED SOMETHING ASIDE FROM WHAT WAS OUT, THEY COULD COME TO THE TABLE AND SOMEONE WOULD TRY TO HELP THEM. WE'D PROVIDE THINGS LIKE SLICED CHEESE, TUNA FISH, CHICKEN, ESPECIALLY FOR PEOPLE THAT WOULD HAVE THAT KIND OF A NEED.

Q ONE THING I NOTICE, ON SOME OF THESE PAGES
THERE IS SOMETHING CALLED A TIDY JOSEPH. WHAT IS THAT?

A WELL, A TIDY JOSEPH IS A VEGETARIAN VERSION OF A SLOPPY JOE. IT IS MADE WITH A VEGETABLE PROTEIN PRODUCT. IT IS ACTUALLY MADE FROM SOY AND VEGETABLES, AND IT IS SAUTEED JUST LIKE YOUR HAMBURGER, AND MIXED WITH THE TOMATO AND RICE AND YOU PUT IT OVER A BUN WITH GRATED CHEESE.

Q DURING THE YEARS YOU'VE LIVED AT CAMELOT, WHAT EFFECT, IF ANY, HAS LIVING THERE HAD ON YOUR LIFE?

A WELL, I -- I THINK IT'S HAD A VERY POSITIVE EFFECT. THAT IS WHY I AM STILL THERE. IT'S -- IT IS THE KIND OF COMMUNITY AND LIFESTYLE THAT I WAS LOOKING FOR.

- Q DO YOU DECREE?
- A YES, I DO.
- Q WHAT EFFECT, IF ANY, DOES DECREEING HAVE ON YOU?
  - A WELL, ONCE AGAIN, I FEEL THAT IT HAS A POSITIVE

•

EFFECT. TO ME IT SEEMS TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN MY -- LIKE MY POWERS OF CONCENTRATION AND BEING ABLE TO FOCUS ON MY WORK, LEARN MORE ABOUT WHAT I AM DOING.

AND IT IS ALSO JUST FROM A SPIRITUAL ASPECT AN OPPORTUNITY TO -- TO DO WHAT WE FEEL IS A FORM OF PRAYER IN WHICH WE ARE ACTUALLY HELPING THE PLANET, SENDING OUT A GOOD VIBRATION YOU COULD CALL IT.

Q DURING THE YEARS YOU HAVE BEEN A STAFF MEMBER,
HAVE YOU EVER BELIEVED THAT YOU WERE BEING MANIPULATED OR
CONTROLLED IN ANY WAY?

A NO, I HAVEN'T.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.

I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION +

BY MR. LEVY:

Q MAY I TAKE A PEEK INTO THIS THING?
YOU STARTED ON THE 2ND OF -- THE 2ND OF
SEPTEMBER. IN LOOKING AT THAT LITTLE COLUMN ABOUT THE 2ND
OF SEPTEMBER, YOU READ OFF THAT LIST TO US AND YOU READ
EVERY SINGLE WORD IN THERE EXCEPT ONE.

WHEN YOU WERE DESCRIBING WHAT WAS ON THAT LIST,
MISS HARROW, YOU TALKED ABOUT BAKED FISH, AND CHARRED AND
OVEN ROASTED POTATOES, AND CAULIFLOWER, AND RICE WITH CHEESE
SAUCE, RICE PANCAKES AND STRAWBERRY SYRUP. THE ONLY WORD
YOU LEFT OUT WAS THE ONE THAT PRECEDED THE SALAD.

YOU WANT TO TELL US WHAT WORD YOU LEFT OUT?

1	A WELL, I DID LEAVE A WORD OUT ACTUALLY, YOU ARE
2	CORRECT. THE SALAD THAT DAY WAS CALLED MOTHER SALAD.
3	Q MOTHER SALAD. AND WHAT IS MOTHER SALAD?
. 4	A IT IS A SPECIFIC RECIPE WE HAVE FOR A TOSSED
` 5	GREEN SALAD WITH LOTS OF VEGETABLES AND AN OIL AND VINEGAR
б	DRESSING.
7	Q DID YOU ATTEND SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
8	A YES, I DID.
9	Q WHEN DID YOU ATTEND SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
10	A FROM JANUARY OF '79 UNTIL UNTIL MARCH.
11	Q DID YOU ATTEND SUMMIT UNIVERSITY IN 1975 ALONG
12	WITH MR. MULL?
13	A '75? NO, I DIDN'T.
14	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT WAS FED TO THE
15	STUDENTS THEN OR WHAT WAS NOT FED TO THE STUDENTS THEN?
16	A I REALLY DON'T.
17	Q YOU SAID ALL OF THESE BLANK PAGES THAT ARE IN
18	HERE ARE BECAUSE SOMEBODY NEGLECTED TO CONVEY TO YOU, OR YOU
19	OVERLOOKED, OR SOMETHING ELSE HAPPENED OR THEY JUST ENDED UP
20	BLANK?
21	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT. SHE
22	DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ABOUT ALL OF THESE BLANK PAGES.
23	THE COURT: REPHRASE IT.
24	Q BY MR. LEVY: THE DAYS WHERE THERE IS NOTHING
25	WRITTEN IN HERE, WOULD YOU TELL US WHY ON THOSE DAYS THERE
26	IS NOTHING WRITTEN IN HERE AGAIN?
27	A WELL, THERE PROBABLY ARE A FEW DAYS WHEN
28	NOTHING IS WRITTEN. AS I SAID, EITHER IT WAS A SPECIAL

EVENT OR A CONFERENCE OR A SPECIAL MEAL WHERE WE WOULD HAVE
A SEPARATE FILE ON THAT. OR THERE PROBABLY ARE A FEW DAYS
WHERE THE JOB DIDN'T GET DONE AND NOTHING WAS WRITTEN DOWN.

Q WELL, I NOTICE A LOT OF THESE DAYS MIGHT BE THREE IN A ROW LIKE I AM LOOKING AT --

A WELL, THAT IS PROBABLY A CONFERENCE. WE HAVE CONFERENCES FOUR OR FIVE DAYS IN A ROW AND THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN SOMETHING THAT WAS ON A SEPARATE FILE.

- Q DO YOU EVER HAVE FAST DAYS AT CAMELOT?
- A THERE ARE DAYS WHEN PEOPLE FAST. BUT THERE ARE NOT SET DAYS THAT -- THAT, YOU KNOW, ONE EATS, FOR INSTANCE.
  - Q HOW OFTEN DO YOU HAVE CONFERENCES?
  - A THEY ARE HELD ON A QUARTERLY BASIS.
- Q I NOTICE THERE IS A BLANK DATE IN SEPTEMBER AND BLANK DATES IN OCTOBER AND BLANK DATES IN NOVEMBER. THEY DIDN'T SNEAK ANY CONFERENCES IN ON YOU DURING THOSE MONTHS, DID THEY?
- A WELL, IF YOU ARE -- I DON'T SEE ANY BLANK DAYS
  HERE IN SEPTEMBER. IF THIS IS '79 WE ARE LOOKING AT --
  - Q IT STARTS ON THE SECOND ON --
  - A RIGHT.
- Q I AM LOOKING AT THE PAGE MR. KLEIN PHOTOCOPIED FOR ME SO MAYBE IT IS DIFFERENT FROM THAT PAGE. SO THE PAGES THAT HE PHOTOCOPIED FOR ME I SEE IT GOES HERE ALL THESE BLANK PAGES HERE ARE BECAUSE THE CALENDAR CHANGES?
- A YES. THAT WOULD HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN FROM THE MONTH BEFORE.
  - Q I NOTICE IN HERE THERE ARE SOME PREPRINTED

SCHEDULES OF WHAT WILL BE SERVED. ON THE PREPRINTED 2 SCHEDULES, ARE THOSE ALL SPECIAL DAYS? 3 YES. THIS ONE, FOR INSTANCE, IS OUR OCTOBER 4 CONFERENCE. SO IT IS ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR DAYS THAT WE PRINT UP A SCHEDULE FOR A CONFERENCE. WE DO PLANNING A 5 LITTLE FURTHER AHEAD OF TIME SO FOOD CAN BE ORDERED AND WE б 7 WOULDN'T BE MAKING CHANGES IN THE MENU THE WAY WE MIGHT ON A 8 DAILY BASIS. 9 Q WHILE -- YOU WERE THERE IN 1982, YOU ARE STILL 10 THERE, RIGHT? 11 YES, THAT'S CORRECT. 12 WAS THERE SOMETHING ISSUED CALLED "MOTHER'S 13 TEACHING ON CLARE'S LUNCH." YES, I BELIEVE THERE WAS. 14 15 MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, I'D ASK THAT THIS BE MARKED 16 FOR IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT NUMBER -- I BELIEVE WE ARE UP TO 119. 17 18 THE COURT: NO. 118. 19 (MARKED FOR ID: ^ EXHIBIT 118, MOTHER'S ---- TEACHING ON CLARE'S LUNCH) 20 MR. LEVY: 118. THIS IS ONLY A PAGE AND JUST A 21 22 PARAGRAPH. WAS THIS A TEACHING THAT CAME FROM MISS 23 24 PROPHET? MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT. THAT 25 26 DOCUMENT IS A 1982 DOCUMENT, WHICH IS BEYOND THE PERIOD THAT WE ARE DEALING WITH HERE. 27

THE COURT: LET ME SEE IT.

28

APPROACH THE BENCH, PLEASE.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD

AT THE BENCH:)

THE COURT: WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE OF THIS TO MR. MULL'S CASE?

MR. LEVY: WELL, THIS WOMAN HAS TESTIFIED ABOUT WHAT SHE WAS DOING THERE IN 1979 AND 1980 AND CERTAINLY MR. MULL WAS NOT THERE FOR A PORTION OF TIME IN 1980. SHE'S TESTIFIED THAT SHE HAS NO KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT HE WAS DOING IN 1975 OR WHAT HIS DIET WAS.

THIS DISPLAYS AN ATTITUDE OF THE CHURCH WITH REGARD TO DIET. THEY HAVE TESTIFIED — EVERY ONE OF THE WITNESSES HAS TESTIFIED THAT WHILE THEY ARE THERE NOW, EVERYTHING IS WONDERFUL, THE DIET IS WONDERFUL. I BELIEVE THIS DISPLAYS SOME OF THE ATTITUDE ABOUT THE CHURCH IN THE AREA OF CONTROL WITH REGARD TO THE DIET.

I BELIEVE IT IS EXTREMELY RELATIVE. IN LIGHT OF MR. KLEIN'S WITNESSES TESTIFYING --

THE COURT: IT IS TRUE THAT MR. KLEIN'S PRODUCED WITNESSES THAT TESTIFIED THAT AT VARIOUS TIMES THAT THEY WERE AT CAMELOT, THAT AT VARIOUS TIMES AT SUMMIT U. AND EVERYTHING WAS GLORIOUS AND TASTY.

MR. KLEIN: IF I MAY BE HEARD, YOUR HONOR. I HAVE
NEVER ASKED A WITNESS ANYTHING — I HAVE NEVER EVER ASKED A
WITNESS A QUESTION ABOUT CAMELOT RELATING BEYOND 1981 WHEN
MR. MULL WAS THERE. TO START BRINGING IN ALL OF A SUDDEN
THINGS ABOUT 1982 AND OTHER YEARS IS SIMPLY IRRELEVANT TO
MR. MULL'S CASE.

4 5

THERE IS NOT ONE QUESTION THAT I HAVE EVER ASKED WHERE I SAID, "TELL ME ABOUT 1982 OR '83." I ALWAYS START OFF, "WERE YOU AT CAMELOT IN '79 AND '80 AND TELL ME ABOUT THE FOOD." EVERY SINGLE WITNESS WAS IN CAMELOT FROM '79 TO '80. I HAVE BEEN VERY CAREFUL TO DO THAT WHEN WE TALK ABOUT FOOD.

FOR HIM TO BRING IN A DOCUMENT THAT LISTS 1982 IS TOTALLY IRRELEVANT. MR. MULL COULDN'T HAVE EATEN ANY OF THAT FOOD, WHATEVER IT SAYS. I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT IT SAYS.

THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE. THIS SEEMS TO BE OUT OF TIME. YES, SIR.

MR. MIDDLETON: I HAVE ONE THING. WHETHER MR. KLEIN BROUGHT IT UP OR NOT, CERTAINLY MR. LEVY HAS ASKED QUESTIONS OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN AT CAMELOT FOR LONGER THAN THE PERIOD OF TIME UP TO 1980. THEY HAVE BEEN THERE THROUGH '82, '83, '84. MONROE SHEARER LEFT AS LATE AS WHO KNOWS WHEN. THEY HAVE BEEN THERE FOR LONGER PERIODS OF TIME.

MR. LEVY HAS ASKED QUESTIONS FOR THAT WHOLE
PERIOD OF TIME THEY HAVE BEEN THERE, THE FOOD WAS WONDERFUL.
ALL THESE PEOPLE SAY YES. THERE IS NO RESTRICTION, THEY
ALWAYS CAN GET THAT.

IF THAT MATERIAL WAS OBJECTIONABLE, WHY WASN'T

IT OBJECTED AT THAT POINT IN TIME WHEN IT WAS ASKED? THIS

CAN BE USED AS A FORM OF IMPEACHMENT. I ADMIT IT GOES TO

'82. BUT WE HAVE GOT ANOTHER PROBLEM.

THIS IS A CHURCH THAT KEEPS ALL THE DOCUMENTS
THAT THEY WANT. IT IS VERY DIFFICULT FOR US TO FIND

DOCUMENTS LIKE THIS. WE HAVE TO HUNT AND SCRAPE FOR THESE KINDS OF THINGS.

MR. LEVY: ONE OTHER THING, YOUR HONOR. MR. KLEIN
HAS PUT INTO EVIDENCE THEIR RECORDS THAT EXCEED THE PERIOD

OF TIME THAT MR. MULL WAS THERE. THEY HAVE PUT IN THE DIARY
FOR 1980. HE WAS NOT THERE ALL OF 1980.

MR. KLEIN HAS OPENED THE DOOR BY WHAT HE HAS PUT INTO EVIDENCE ON HIS OWN. IF HE'S OPENED THE DOOR, I DON'T BELIEVE HE HAS THE RIGHT TO CLOSE IT.

THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.

MR. KLEIN: MAY I BE HEARD?

THE COURT: I THINK WE SHOULD PROCEED.

MR. KLEIN: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR. I THINK TO SAY THAT BECAUSE I PUT IN A LOG WHICH IS A 1980 LOG, IF I CUT IT OFF, COUNSEL WOULD BE THE FIRST ONE TO SAY, "WHY DID YOU CUT THE LOG UP?"

THERE IS NO TESTIMONY THAT MR. MULL HAS EVER
SEEN THAT DOCUMENT, THAT HE HAS EVER EATEN ANYTHING IN THAT
DOCUMENT. TO PUT IT IN IS SIMPLY A WAY TO PREJUDICE THIS
CASE. IT HASN'T ANY RELEVANCE IF MR. MULL HASN'T EATEN THAT
FOOD. IF HE HASN'T SEEN THE DOCUMENT, HOW COULD IT POSSIBLY
HAVE ANY RELEVANCE TO HIM AND HIS FOOD?

IF MR. LEVY HAS ASKED PEOPLE WHAT THEY ATE IN

1983 AND '84, AND I DON'T BELIEVE HE EVER HAS, THAT

CERTAINLY WOULDN'T MAKE IT NOW RELEVANT. I HAVE NEVER ASKED

A PERSON WHAT THEY ATE IN ANY TIME EXCEPT '79 AND '80. I

SAID, "WERE YOU IN CAMELOT IN" ---

THE COURT: LET'S PROCEED. THE OBJECTION IS

3

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

> 18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 OVERRULED.

(THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

BY MR. LEVY: I WONDER IF YOU'D BE KIND ENOUGH TO READ THIS DOCUMENT TO THE COURT. IF YOU WOULD SPEAK RIGHT INTO THE MICROPHONE SO WE CAN ALL HEAR ABOUT "MOTHER'S TEACHING ON CLARE'S LUNCH."

MR. KLEIN: FOR THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT AS TO THE RELEVANCE OF THE '82 DOCUMENT.

THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: THIS IS FROM THE JOHN THE BELOVED SEMINAR, JULY, 1982. (READING.)

> "TO GET TO BE A DISCIPLE OF JESUS CHRIST, YOU MUST BE TESTED BY THE DOCTRINE OF THE EATING OF THE FLESH AND THE BLOOD, THE ALPHA AND THE OMEGA OF JESUS.

"NOW, I STARTED OUT" -- THAT IS A QUOTE, BEGINNING OF A QUOTE.

> "NOW, I STARTED OUT WITH A CUSTOM, WHICH IS PURSUED HERE AT WEDNESDAY LUNCH, OF SERVING THE COMMUNITY THE LUNCH THAT I EAT ON A REGULAR BASIS, WHICH IS THE RAW FOOD, THE NUMBER ONE DIET. AND I DID THIS OUT OF THE GREAT LOVE OF MY HEART BECAUSE IT'S A DIFFICULT MEAL TO PREPARE. IT TAKES A LOT OF WORK. AND I WANTED PEOPLE TO REMEMBER WHAT THE NUMBER ONE DIET IS AND HOW GOOD IT IS FOR YOU. AND I CONCEIVED OF

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THIS LUNCH AS A COMMUNION BETWEEN MYSELF AND THE STAFF, MANY OF WHOM DO NOT FEEL, IN THE WORK THAT THEY DO, THAT THEY CAN LIVE ON THIS ON A DAILY BASIS.

"WELL, WHAT I SET FORTH IN LOVE HAS BECOME A GREAT SOURCE OF TESTING OF INDIVIDUALS. PEOPLE WERE ANGRY. THEY WERE ANGRY THEY COULDN'T FEED THEIR CHILDREN WHAT THEIR CHILDREN WANTED TO EAT. THEY DEMAND OTHER FOOD THAT'S NOT BEING SERVED. AND ALL KINDS OF ENERGY COMES UP. AND SO, THIS WAS REHEARSED TO ME YESTERDAY BY OUR COOKS, WHO HAVE STARTED TO OFFER ALTERNATIVE FOOD BY THESE DEMANDS.

"AND I SAID, 'THERE IS NO ALTERNATIVE FOOD. THE ONLY ALTERNATIVE IS THE ONE COOKED MEAL OF THE LUNCH WHICH IS A VERY HEARTY SOUP. SO, IF YOU ABSOLUTELY WON'T EAT THE RAW FOOD, YOU CAN JUST EAT THREE OR FOUR BOWLS OF BARLEY SOUP AND HAVE THE POWER TO GO ON.

"SO, I REALIZED YESTERDAY THAT THIS IS THE INITIATION AND THAT BECAUSE I HAVE MADE THIS SPREAD OF LOVELY FOOD ON WEDNESDAY, THE PEOPLE WHO ARE REFUSING TO EAT IT OR FUSSING ABOUT IT ARE REALLY HAVING A PROBLEM WITH THIS DOCTRINE OF EATING MY FLESH AND DRINKING MY BLOOD, BECAUSE IT IS

MY ALPHA/OMEGA GIFT EACH WEDNESDAY. IT IS MY COMMUNION.

\*ON THE FACE OF IT, IF YOU JUST LOOK AT IT FROM THE HUMAN SENSE, I AM THE HOSTESS OF MORYA THAT WELCOMES HIS CHELAS HERE. I AM THE HOSTESS OF CAMELOT. AND I AM INVITING MY FRIENDS TO LUNCH.

"WELL, WHEN YOU GO TO LUNCH

SOMEWHERE IN A FORMAL SENSE, YOU DON'T SAY,

'I DON'T LIKE YOUR FOOD. GIVE ME SOMETHING

ELSE.' YOU EAT WHAT IS SERVED. IF YOU WENT

TO THE LAST SUPPER AND ATE WITH JESUS, YOU'D

EAT WHAT WAS SERVED AND YOU WOULDN'T SAY, 'I

DON'T LIKE THAT KIND OF BREAD. GIVE ME

PUMPERNICKEL,' YOU KNOW.

"BUT PEOPLE ARE DOING IT HERE.

AND WHY? THEY ARE CRYING, 'MY RIGHT, MY
RIGHT, MY RIGHT. I HAVE A RIGHT TO EAT WHAT
I FEEL LIKE EATING.".

"AND, OF COURSE, WHAT THEY ARE
REVEALING IS THAT THEY ARE SLAVES TO CERTAIN
KINDS OF FOOD. THEY ARE ADDICTED. AND IT
GOES TO SHOW THAT IN ALL OF US WE HAVE
CERTAIN ADDICTIONS. THE BODY HAS CRAVINGS
AND WE SPOIL THE BODY AND WE FILL ITS
CRAVINGS.

"I KNOW THAT OLDER PEOPLE WILL PUT UP THE EXCUSE, I VE HEARD IT FOR YEARS,

'I CAN'T EAT RAW FOOD. I CAN'T EAT RAW
FOOD.' WELL, THEN, YOU EAT A LITTLE BIT AND
YOU CHEW IT UNTIL IT IS PULVERIZED ALMOST TO
THE POINT OF BEING BABY FOOD AND YOU TRAIN
YOUR BODY TO START RECEIVING A CERTAIN
AMOUNT OF RAW FOOD. AND, THE SPROUTS ARE
VERY TENDER. AND YOU CAN PREPARE THE FOOD
WITH WHAT GOD GAVE YOU TO PREPARE IT WITH,
YOUR MOUTH AND YOUR SALIVA AND YOUR TEETH,
AND MAKE IT FIT FOR YOUR BODY.

"SO, I HAVE DECIDED TO BECOME

MERE INTENSE IN THIS FIERY DISCIPLINE ON

WEDNESDAY, AND I AM GIVING YOU ADVANCE

WARNING SO THAT YOU WON'T MAKE THE MISTAKE

OF GRIPING AND GRUMBLING WHEN YOU GET TO THE

CAFETERIA TODAY FOR THIS CLARE'S LUNCH."

END OF QUOTE.

Q BY MR. LEVY: DO YOU FOLLOW THE MOTHER'S DICTATES?

- A DO I FOLLOW THEM PERSONALLY?
- Q YES, MA AM.

A I EAT SOME RAW FOOD ON A REGULAR BASIS, NOT NECESSARILY STRICTLY A RAW FOOD DIET.

MR. LEVY: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR. WE'D ASK THAT THAT EXHIBIT BE PLACED INTO EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED.

(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 118)

MR. KLEIN: I WOULD OBJECT.

4 5

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION +

BY MR. KLEIN:

Q WHEN MR. MULL WAS AT CAMELOT IN 1979 AND FIRST PART -- FIRST HALF OF 1980, WAS THAT PARTICULAR CLARE'S LUNCH SERVED?

A NOT AS A SPECIFIC LUNCH AS REFERRED TO IN THIS CASE, NO. SOME OF THE ELEMENTS WERE ALWAYS OUT IN ADDITION TO THE OTHER FOOD.

Q WHEN MR. MULL WAS AT CAMELOT, IF SOME OF THOSE ELEMENTS WERE PRESENT, WOULD THERE STILL BE ALL THE OTHER THINGS THAT YOU'VE LISTED, THE NORMAL THINGS THAT WOULD BE SERVED?

A OH, YES.

MR. KLEIN: JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.

Q WHEN THAT CLARE'S LUNCH WAS SERVED IN 1982

AFTER MR. MULL HAD LEFT CAMELOT, WERE THERE OTHER THINGS

ALSO SERVED, SALAD BAR, FOR EXAMPLE?

A OH, YES. IT IS PART OF THE RAW MEAL ACTUALLY
IS THE COMPLETE SALAD BAR, AS I DESCRIBED BEFORE, IN
ADDITION TO THE RAW LUNCH ITEMS AND THE SOUP.

Q WHAT WERE THE ITEMS THAT WOULD BE IN THE RAW LUNCH FROM CLARE'S LUNCH?

A WELL, IT IS QUITE A LONG LIST ACTUALLY. BUT IT INCLUDES RAW VEGETABLES, IT INCLUDES NUMEROUS DIPS AND SPREAD THAT YOU CAN USE WITH YOUR VEGETABLES THAT ARE MOST OF THEM ARE HIGH PROTEIN ITEMS MADE FROM ALMONDS OR SPROUTED FOOD SO THEY CAN BE SPREAD, YOU KNOW, USED AS A SPREAD ON YOUR BREAD.

THERE IS A SPROUTED WHOLE GRAIN BREAD THAT IS
ALSO SERVED WITH THE MEAL. AND THEN OF COURSE THERE IS THE
SOUP AND A HOT RICE IS ALSO SERVED ALONG WITH THE MEAL ON A
REGULAR BASIS.

Q NOW, IN 1982 WHEN THAT CLARE'S LUNCH WAS SERVED, WAS MEAT SERVED?

A IN --

MR. LEVY: I WOULD OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. I THINK THE MENU SPEAKS FOR THEMSELVES. THEY ARE THE BEST EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: IN 1982, WE WERE OFFERING MEAT IN THE CAFETERIA.

THE COURT: WE WILL RESUME AT 1:30.

(AT 11:58 A.M., A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, MARCH 13, 1986 *
2	1:44 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT 50 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE
a 4	(APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
` 5	
6	SUSAN HARROW, +
7	THE WITNESS ON THE STAND AT THE TIME OF THE RECESS, RESUMES
8	THE STAND AND TESTIFIES FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:
9	THE CLERK: MA'AM, YOU PREVIOUSLY HAVE BEEN SWORN AND
10	ARE STILL UNDER OATH. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR THE
11	RECORD.
12	THE WITNESS: SUSAN HARROW.
13	THE CLERK: THANK YOU,
14	THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED.
15	MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
16	
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION + (RESUMED)
18	BY MR. KLEIN:
19	Q MISS HARROW, THIS CLARE'S LUNCH THAT WAS SERVED
20	IN 1982, HOW MANY MEALS A WEEK WAS IT SERVED?
21	A IT WAS JUST ONE MEAL A WEEK ON WEDNESDAYS,
22	WEDNESDAY LUNCH.
23	Q WHEN THEY SERVED THAT CLARE'S LUNCH, DID THEY
24	HAVE A SOUP SERVED, ALSO?
25	A YES. THERE WAS ALWAYS A HOT SOUP SERVED.
26	Q WOULD IT BE THE SAME SOUP?
27	A NO. IT WOULD VARY. IT WAS USUALLY A HARDY
28	VEGETABLE SOUP WITH A GRAIN LIKE BARLEY AND VEGETABLE OR

1 SOMETHING LIKE THAT. 2 AND IN 1982, WHEN THEY WERE SERVING THE CLARE'S 3 LUNCH, DID THEY SERVE MEAT -- NOT AT THE SAME MEAL, BUT AT CAMELOT? 4 5 Α YES. MEAT WAS SERVED. 6 Q CHICKEN? 7 Α YES. 8 Q FISH? 9 YES. 10 MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR. 11 MR. LEVY: JUST MAYBE ONE. 12 13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION + 14 15 BY MR. LEVY: MISS HARROW, JUST OUT OF CURIOSITY, DO YOU HAVE 16 Q ANY DEGREES IN NUTRITION OR DIETARY ANYTHING? 17 18 NO, I DON'T, SIR. WITH REGARD TO THIS TEACHING FROM MOTHER, I 19 KNOW YOU ARE NOT A PSYCHOLOGIST EITHER, BUT DO YOU THINK 20 WHEN ELIZABETH SAID THAT, "PEOPLE WHO ARE REFUSING TO EAT IT 21 22 OR FUSSING ABOUT IT ARE REALLY HAVING A PROBLEM WITH THIS DOCTRINE OF EATING MY FLESH AND DRINKING MY BLOOD, " SHE SAID 23 THAT BECAUSE THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN A FEW PEOPLE COMPLAINING 24 ABOUT THE DIET? 25 MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. THAT IS 26 SPECULATION, YOUR HONOR. 27 THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER IF SHE KNOWS. 28

THE WITNESS: I THINK I'D HAVE TO HAVE YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION IF I WAS GOING TO ANSWER IT.

Q BY MR. LEVY: OKAY. I STARTED OUT BY SAYING SINCE YOU ARE NOT A PSYCHOLOGIST, THIS MIGHT TEST YOUR INTELLECT A LITTLE BIT.

AND I ASKED YOU IF YOU THINK WHEN SHE WROTE,

"THE PEOPLE WHO ARE REFUSING TO EAT IT OR FUSSING ABOUT IT

ARE REALLY HAVING A PROBLEM WITH THIS DOCTRINE OF EATING MY

FLESH AND DRINKING MY BLOOD," THAT THIS DOCUMENT MIGHT HAVE

BEEN PRECIPITATED BY SOME COMPLAINTS FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE

COMMUNITY?

A WELL, I'D REALLY HAVE TO MAKE A GUESS. ONCE IN A WHILE SOMEONE COMPLAINS ABOUT SOMETHING THAT IS SERVED.

IT IS KIND OF TRUE IN EVERY CAFETERIA.

Q NO, NO, NO. I AM NOT ASKING ABOUT EVERY

CAFETERIA. I AM ASKING ABOUT MOTHER AND THE PEOPLE AT THE

CHURCH.

A WELL, I -- I MEAN I WASN'T DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN

THE -- IN THE PRECIPITATION OF THAT DOCUMENT AT THE TIME,

YOU KNOW, I REALLY WASN'T ONE OF THE COOKS THEN. SO I DON'T

THINK I COULD REALLY SAY.

Q IN YOUR EXPERIENCE, DID MOTHER WRITE A DOCUMENT LIKE THIS EVERY TIME SOMEBODY COMPLAINED ABOUT SOMETHING?

A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.

MR. LEVY: SOMETIMES SHE DIDN'T WRITE DOCUMENTS WHEN THEY COMPLAINED.

I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

1 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION + 2 BY MR. KLEIN: 3 MR. LEVY ASKED IF YOU WERE A DIETITIAN OR NUTRITIONIST. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, HAS THAT CLARE'S LUNCH 5 EVER BEEN ANALYZED AS FAR AS BY ANY NUTRITIONIST? 6 MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. THIS CALLS FOR -- I MAY BE PRECIPITOUS. IT WOULD CALL FOR 7 8 HEARSAY IF HE ASKED THE SECOND QUESTION. 9 BY MR. KLEIN: HAS IT EVER BEEN ANALYZED BY A 10 NUTRITIONIST, A PROFESSIONAL NUTRITIONIST? 11 ACTUALLY, THE DIET HAS BEEN ANALYZED BY A 12 COMPUTER COMPANY. IT'S CALLED COMPUTRITION, WHICH HAS ALL 13 FOODS LISTED ON A COMPUTER WITH THEIR --14 MR. LEVY: AT THIS POINT I WILL OBJECT. 15 THE COURT: YOU HAVE ANSWERED THE QUESTION. 16 ANYTHING ELSE? 17 MR. KLEIN: YES. 18 WITHOUT TELLING ME WHAT THE COMPANY SAID, AFTER THEY ANALYZED IT, WAS CLARE'S LUNCH CHANGED IN ANY WAY? 19 NO. IT WASN'T NECESSARY TO. 20 BY THE WAY, HOW OLD ARE YOU? 21 Q 22 I AM 39. 23 MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. 24 I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. 25 MR. LEVY: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU ARE EXCUSED. 26 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. 27 MR. KLEIN: DOCTOR JAMES RICHARDSON, YOUR HONOR. 28

YOUR HONOR, MAY I STEP OUT --1 2 THE COURT: SURE. 3 MR. KLEIN: THE WITNESS IS MOMENTARILY INDISPOSED, BUT WILL BE HERE IN A MOMENT. I THINK HE HAD A CALL FROM A 4 5 HIGHER AUTHORITY. 6 7 JAMES T. RICHARDSON, + 8 A PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, 9 TESTIFIES AS FOLLOWS: 10 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. 11 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. 12 THE CLERK: SIR, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE 13 RECORD AND PLEASE SPELL IT. THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS JAMES T. RICHARDSON. 14 J-A-M-E-S, T, R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S-O-N. 15 16 THE CLERK: SLOWER, SIR. THE WITNESS: OH. PARDON ME. R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S-O-N. 17 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 18 19 THE COURT: PROCEED. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION + 21 BY MR. KLEIN: 22 COULD YOU PLEASE TELL US YOUR EDUCATIONAL Q 23 BACKGROUND IN THE FIELD OF SOCIOLOGY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY? 24 YES. I HAVE A BACHELOR'S AND A MASTER'S IN 25 SOCIOLOGY FROM TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY. I RECEIVED A PH.D. IN 26 SOCIOLOGY FROM WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY WITH A SPECIAL 27 AREA OF EMPHASIS IN SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY, THE AREA IN WHICH I 28

DID MY DISSERTATION. ALSO HAD A COMPUTER SCIENCE MINOR FOR THE PH.D. AT WASHINGTON STATE.

Q WHAT IS SOCIOLOGY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY?

RELATE TO ONE ANOTHER, HOW THEY ARE ORGANIZED, HOW THEY
FUNCTION, HOW THEY CHANGE OVER TIME. SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY
LOOKS AT THE INDIVIDUAL IN RELATIONSHIP TO THE GROUP, AND
TALKS ABOUT HOW THE GROUP INFLUENCES THE INDIVIDUAL AND VICE
VERSA.

Q COULD YOU PLEASE GIVE US YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND?

A I AM CURRENTLY PROFESSOR OF SOCIOLOGY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA IN RENO. I AM ALSO A FACULTY MEMBER IN THE JOINT PROGRAM SPONSORED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY AND PSYCHOLOGY IN SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY, WHICH IS A PH.D. PROGRAM.

I HAVE ALSO BEEN INVOLVED IN TEACHING AT TWO UNIVERSITIES OVERSEAS. LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, WHERE I SPENT A SABBATICAL OF A YEAR IN 1974 AND '75, AND CATHOLIC SCHOOL IN NIJMEGEN, WHERE I SPENT SEMESTER AS A FULBRIGHT FELLOW.

Q HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY HONORS AND/OR AWARDS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIOLOGY OR SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY?

A YES, I HAVE. I JUST MENTIONED THE FACT THAT I SPENT TWO YEARS OVERSEAS. THE LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS.

TIME THAT I SPENT THERE, I WAS A VISITOR IN SOCIOLOGY IN THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY, HAD A SABBATICAL. THE FULBRIGHT IS, OF COURSE, AN AWARD THAT IS COMPETITIVE.

I HAVE ALSO BEEN ELECTED THIS YEAR AS PRESIDENT OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR THE SOCIOLOGY OF RELIGION, WHICH IS AN INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF SOME 6- OR 700 SOCIOLOGISTS AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGISTS OF RELIGION. THAT ORGANIZATION SPONSORS A JOURNAL CALLED "SOCIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS." WE HAVE MEETINGS. I WILL BE IN CHARGE OF THE ANNUAL MEETING THIS YEAR.

I HAVE ALSO SERVED ON EDITORIAL BOARDS OF A NUMBER OF JOURNALS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIOLOGY, SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY AND RELIGIOUS STUDIES.

I HAVE BEEN INVITED TO GIVE LECTURES IN EIGHT OR NINE DIFFERENT COUNTRIES IN MY AREA OF STUDY, WHICH IS THE STUDY OF NEW RELIGIONS. THAT INCLUDES INVITATIONS TWO DIFFERENT TIMES TO GO TO OXFORD TO CONFERENCES, PRESENT PAPERS, SCHOOL OF THEOLOGY AT UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY AND HAGUE, CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY AT NIJMEGEN, AT L.A.C., DURHAM UNIVERSITY, STERLING UNIVERSITY IN SCOTLAND AND A NUMBER OF OTHER SUCH PLACES.

I ALSO HAVE RECEIVED GRANTS FROM THE NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES, NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION AND THE AMERICAN COUNCIL OF LEARNED SOCIETIES.

I HAVE EDITED THREE SPECIAL ISSUES OF JOURNALS THAT DEAL WITH THE AREA OF NEW RELIGIONS AND NEW RELIGIOUS STUDIES.

AT ONE TIME I WAS INVITED AS THE AMERICAN
REPRESENTATIVE ON A COMMITTEE SPONSORED BY UNESCO TO STUDY
NEW RELIGIONS IN EUROPE AND WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR
PARTICIPATING IN SOME CONFERENCES THERE TRYING TO COMPARE

THE AMERICAN EXPERIENCE WITH THE EUROPEAN EXPERIENCE.

Q HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY HONORS FROM PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC ORGANIZATIONS?

A YES, I HAVE. I HAVE BEEN INVITED TO BECOME A PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATE WITH THE AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL DIVISION 36, WHICH IS THE DIVISION ENTITLED PSYCHOLOGISTS INTERESTED IN RELIGIOUS ISSUES. HAS SEVERAL HUNDRED MEMBERS, VERY FEW WHO ARE NOT MEMBERS OF THE A.P.A. AND PRACTICING PSYCHOLOGISTS.

ALSO RECENTLY I WAS INVITED TO PARTICIPATE IN A STUDY THAT IS BEING DONE BY THE AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION. DR. MARC GALANTER, A PSYCHIATRIST AT ALBERT EINSTEIN SCHOOL OF MEDICINE IN NEW YORK, HAS BEEN ASKED TO EDIT A VOLUME THAT DEALS WITH CULTS AND NEW RELIGIONS. AND I AM ONE OF THREE SOCIOLOGISTS THAT HAVE BEEN ASKED TO PRESENT PAPERS IN THAT VOLUME.

Q HAVE YOU WRITTEN ANY BOOKS OR ARTICLES IN THE FIELD OF SOCIOLOGY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY DEALING WITH RELIGION?

A YES, I HAVE. I HAVE DONE THREE BOOKS IN THIS AREA. THE FIRST IS ENTITLED "ORGANIZED MIRACLES: A SOCIOLOGICAL STUDY OF JESUS MOVEMENT ORGANIZATION." THAT IS ABOUT A 350-PAGE VOLUME THAT FOCUSED ON A NATIONWIDE JESUS MOVEMENT ORGANIZATION THAT WAS RATHER PROMINENT IN THE EARLY SEVENTIES.

I HAVE ALSO DONE -- EDITED A VOLUME CALLED \*\*CONVERSION CAREERS: IN AND OUT OF THE NEW RELIGIONS.\*\*

THAT WAS ORIGINALLY PUBLISHED AS A SPECIAL ISSUE OF THE

AMERICAN BEHAVIORAL SCIENTIST THAT I WAS ASKED TO EDIT AND SAGE PUBLICATIONS CHOSE TO PUT IT OUT AS A SEPARATE VOLUME CALLED "CONVERSION CAREERS."

I HAVE ALSO RECENTLY EDITED AND CONTRIBUTED TO A VOLUME ENTITLED "BRAINWASHING/DEPROGRAMMING CONTROVERSY: SOCIOLOGICAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL, LEGAL AND HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES." AND THAT CAME OUT IN 1983.

I AM CURRENTLY WORKING ON A FOURTH BOOK CALLED "MONEY AND POWER IN THE NEW RELIGIONS."

DO YOU WANT ME TO TALK ABOUT THE ARTICLES NOW OR --

Q AS FAR AS ARTICLES, YOU MIGHT GIVE US AN IDEA

OF HOW MANY YOU HAVE WRITTEN AND THEN GIVE US SOME OF THE

MORE PROMINENT ONES THAT DEAL WITH THE MATTER THAT THE COURT

IS LOOKING AT IN THIS CASE.

A ALL RIGHT. I HAVE PUBLISHED OVER 50 ARTICLES IN REFEREE PROFESSIONAL JOURNALS.

Q WHAT IS A REFEREE PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL?

A A REFEREE PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL IS A JOURNAL THAT WILL ACCEPT SUBMISSIONS. THEN THEY SELECT REVIEWERS WHO ARE KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE FIELD AND ANONYMOUSLY HAVE THE PAPERS REVIEWED. THE REVIEWERS REVIEW THEM ANONYMOUSLY IN TERMS OF THE QUALITY OF THE ARTICLE AND MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE EDITOR WHETHER OR NOT TO PUBLISH THEM.

PUBLISHED HAVE REJECTION RATES OF AROUND 85 OR 90 PERCENT,

SO THE REFEREEING IS A PRETTY TOUGH PROCESS. I COULD NAME

SOME OF THESE JOURNALS FOR THE RECORD IF YOU WOULD LIKE.

Q WHY DON'T YOU JUST NAME JUST A FEW.

A WELL, JUST A FEW OF THE JOURNALS I HAVE
PUBLISHED IN THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF SOCIOLOGY, AMERICAN
SOCIOLOGIST, SOCIAL FORCES, EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL
PSYCHOLOGY, REVIEW OF RELIGIOUS RESEARCH, SOCIOLOGICAL
ANALYSIS, MARRIAGE AND FAMILY REVIEW, AND NATIONAL JOURNAL
OF SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY, JOURNAL OF COMMUNITY PSYCHOLOGY, THE
AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGIST, JOURNAL FOR THE SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF
RELIGION AND SOME OTHERS.

I MIGHT NOTE FOR THE RECORD THAT OVER 20 OF THESE PAPERS HAVE ALSO BEEN REPRINTED IN OTHER VOLUMES.

WOULD YOU LIKE -- I COULD READ THE TITLES OF A FEW OF THEM TO GIVE THE FLAVOR.

Q WITHOUT GIVING US ALL OF THE ARTICLES, WHY DON'T YOU JUST TELL US THE TITLES OF A FEW OF THE ARTICLES THAT WOULD DEAL WITH THE SUBJECTS THAT THIS COURT IS DEALING WITH NOW.

A OKAY. ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS I DID WAS BACK IN 1972. THIS ISN'T A REFEREE JOURNAL, BUT IT IS WELL-KNOWN. IT IS PSYCHOLOGY TODAY. AND THEY INVITED A PIECE FROM ME FOR THEIR CHRISTMAS ISSUE ON "THE JESUS PEOPLE" I DID WITH TWO GRADUATE STUDENTS.

"CONVERSION PROCESS MODELS AND THE JESUS
MOVEMENT," PUBLISHED IN THE AMERICAN BEHAVIORAL SCIENTIST.

"CULT TO SECT: CREATIVE ECLECTICISM IN NEW RELIGIOUS
GROUPS," IN THE PACIFIC SOCIOLOGICAL REVIEW.

"CONVENTIONAL PSYCHOTHERAPIES AND
UNCONVENTIONAL NEW RELIGIONS IN A PLURALISTIC SOCIETY," DONE

WITH ONE OF MY STUDENTS, ROD KILBOURNE, PUBLISHED IN '84 IN THE AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGIST.

"SOCIAL EXPERIMENTATION: SELF PROCESS OR NEW SOCIAL ROLE," THAT WAS PUBLISHED LAST YEAR IN THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL PSYCHIATRY.

AND ONE LAST ONE THAT I THINK I WILL BE TALKING FROM A LITTLE TODAY, "THE ACTIVE VERSUS PASSIVE CONVERT: PARADIGM CONFLICT IN CONVERSION/RECRUITMENT RESEARCH." THAT WAS PUBLISHED LAST YEAR IN THE JOURNAL FOR THE SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF RELIGION.

Q ARE YOU A MEMBER OF ANY ORGANIZATIONS INVOLVED

IN THE PRACTICE OF SOCIOLOGY OR SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY?

A YES. I AM A MEMBER OF A NUMBER OF PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS. THE AMERICAN SOCIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, WHICH IS THE NATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR SOCIOLOGISTS. THE PACIFIC SOCIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, WHICH IS THE REGIONAL ORGANIZATION I AM A MEMBER OF.

THE SOCIETY FOR THE SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF RELIGION, WHICH IS THE LARGEST SUCH ORGANIZATION IN THE WORLD THAT DOES SPONSOR SCIENTIFIC STUDIES OF VARIOUS TYPES ON THE TOPIC OF RELIGION. THE ASSOCIATION FOR THE SOCIOLOGY OF RELIGION, I MENTIONED THAT I AM PRESIDENT OF THAT THIS YEAR. THE SOCIETY FOR THE SOCIOLOGICAL STUDY OF MORMON LIFE.

THE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE FOR THE SOCIOLOGY

OF RELIGION, WHICH IS A EUROPEAN-BASED ORGANIZATION OF

MEMBERS FROM SOME 40 OR 50 DIFFERENT COUNTRIES. THE BRITISH

SOCIOLOGICAL SOCIOLOGY OF RELIGION STUDY GROUP. THE

 RELIGIOUS RESEARCH ASSOCIATION.

I AM A MEMBER OF ALL THOSE ORGANIZATIONS AND HAVE BEEN OFFICER IN SEVERAL OF THEM.

Q DURING YOUR CAREER, HAVE YOU HAD OCCASION TO GAIN KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE DUE TO PERSONAL OBSERVATIONS WITH RESPECT TO NEW AGE RELIGIONS?

A YES, I HAVE. OVER THE -- I STARTED RESEARCH
ON -- THEY WEREN'T CALLED NEW AGE RELIGIONS THEN. PEOPLE
WERE JUST BEGINNING TO GET CURIOUS. BUT BACK IN 1970, '71
IS WHEN I BEGAN MY RESEARCH. THAT IS THE TIME WHEN A LOT OF
MEDIA ATTENTION WAS FOCUSED ON THEM. I HAVE BEEN STUDYING
THEM EVER SINCE.

Q CAN YOU TELL US DURING YOUR RESEARCH, HAVE YOU HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO EITHER CONDUCT OR SUPERVISE INTERVIEWS WITH MEMBERS OF WHAT WE ARE CALLING NOW NEW AGE RELIGIONS?

A YES, I HAVE. I HAVE SUPERVISED A NUMBER OF DOCTORAL STUDENTS AND MASTER'S LEVEL STUDENTS WHO HAVE DONE THEIR THESES OR DISSERTATIONS IN THIS AREA. I HAVE ALSO PARTICIPATED IN VARIOUS RESEARCH PROJECTS FOCUSING ON DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF NEW RELIGIONS.

IF YOU WANT ME TO ENUMERATE THE TYPES OR SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO DO THAT.

Q AS A PART OF YOUR OWN PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, DO YOU EVER USE QUESTIONNAIRE TYPE DEVICES?

A YES. IN FACT, WE HAVE I THINK PROBABLY THE LARGEST DATA SET AROUND ANYWHERE IN TERMS OF THAT KIND OF INFORMATION ON A SPECIFIC NEW RELIGIOUS GROUP. WHEN WE STARTED OUR RESEARCH, WE DEVELOPED VERY LENGTHY

QUESTIONNAIRES, SOME OF THEM 2- OR 300 ITEMS THAT WE ADMINISTERED TO SEVERAL HUNDRED PEOPLE.

I ADMINISTERED A NUMBER OF THEM MYSELF AND SUPERVISED OTHER STUDENTS THAT DID THAT. WE ALSO ADMINISTERED PERSONALITY ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENTS TO SEE WHAT KIND OF PEOPLE WERE IN THERE AND WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAD CHANGED, THAT KIND OF THING.

I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN AS WELL IN WHAT WE WOULD CALL MORE OPEN-ENDED INTERVIEW SITUATIONS WHERE I WOULD VISIT COMMUNAL NEW RELIGIOUS GROUPS OR ORGANIZATIONAL HEADQUARTERS EITHER HERE OR IN EUROPE, TALKED TO MEMBERS AND LEADERS IN A MORE INFORMAL BASIS WITHOUT HAVING A STRUCTURED QUESTIONNAIRE TO FOLLOW.

I HAVE ALSO BEEN INVOLVED IN CONTENT ANALYSIS
OF THE MATERIALS PUT OUT BY A NUMBER OF THESE KINDS OF
GROUPS. AND HAVE ALSO, AS YOU MIGHT EXPECT, VERY CAREFULLY
TRIED TO KEEP ABREAST OF ALL THE LITERATURE IN THE AREA OF
NEW RELIGION. SO I FEEL LIKE I KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT AT
LEAST A FEW OF THEM.

Q IN PREPARATION FOR YOUR TESTIMONY TODAY, WHAT, IF ANY, MATERIALS HAVE YOU REVIEWED?

A WELL, I HAVE A LIST HERE IF I CAN FIND IT.

SHALL I JUST READ THE LIST?

Q WHY DON'T YOU DO THAT.

A ALL RIGHT. THIS IS A LIST OF THINGS THAT I
READ THAT SPECIFICALLY DEAL WITH THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND
TRIUMPHANT THAT WERE SENT TO ME BY YOURSELF OR OTHERS.

"A WAY OF LIFE, THE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR

STUDENTS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY." I ALSO READ THE ORIENTATION RULES FOR SUMMIT UNIVERSITY.

I READ AN ARTICLE FROM PEOPLE MAGAZINE FROM 7-1
OF '85 AND I READ A REPLY TO THAT ARTICLE FROM MR. FRANCIS
DATED 6-25 OF '85.

I READ A HANDBOOK FOR PARENTS THAT IS

ASSOCIATED WITH THE MONTESSORI INTERNATIONAL LOWER SCHOOL.

PLEDGE FOR TRUSTEE OF SUMMIT LIGHTHOUSE STUDY GROUP.

I LISTENED TO A TAPE CASSETTES OF DECREES THAT WERE SENT TO ME. I HAVE READ A NUMBER OF COPIES OF VARIOUS INSERTS THAT INVOLVED DECREEING. COPIES OF A LARGE NUMBER OF NEWSPAPER CLIPPINGS, WHICH I WAS HAPPY TO GET TO ADD TO MY FILES.

I READ A TRANSCRIPT OF THE MEETING HELD JUNE
THE 6TH, 1980, BETWEEN ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET, GREGORY
MULL, MONROE SHEARER AND EDWARD FRANCIS. I READ A NUMBER OF
LETTERS, SOME OF WHICH I HAVE WITH ME, BUT PROBABLY 2 DOZEN,
15 OR 20 -- I'D SAY 15 OR 20 LETTERS WRITTEN BY MR. MULL TO
ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET OR OTHERS IN THE GROUP AND, IN FACT,
SOME TO, I THINK, HIS EX-WIFE.

I HAVE READ A VOW OF SERVICE BY MR. MULL ABOUT COMPLIANCE OF MR. MULL. A DOCUMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR DAMAGE AND LOSS, ET CETERA, SIGNED BY MR. MULL.

I READ A VOLUME ENTITLED "MANTRAS OF THE ASCENDED MASTERS," WHICH I THOUGHT WAS INTERESTING BECAUSE IT HAD SOME OF MY OLD FAVORITE BAPTIST HYMNS IN IT.

I ALSO READ THE COMPLAINT AND CROSS-COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE. I READ SOME TRANSCRIPTS OF EARLY PARTS OF

THIS TRIAL.

AND I HAVE LOOKED IN MY LIBRARY. I READ PARTS
OF SEVERAL BOOKS THAT TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE CHURCH
UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT OR SOME OF ITS PREDECESSOR GROUPS
AND GROUPS THAT ARE RELATED TO IT.

THAT I THINK IS A FAIRLY EXHAUSTIVE LIST OF THE KIND OF THINGS I READ SPECIFICALLY ABOUT THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT AND ITS PREDECESSOR GROUPS WITHIN THAT TRADITION.

Q WHAT EXPERIENCE, IF ANY, DO YOU HAVE WITH
RESPECT TO CONVERSION AND RECRUITMENT TO NEW AGE RELIGIONS?

MY WORK, AT LEAST INITIALLY. FOR THE FIRST SEVERAL YEARS I AND SOME GRADUATE STUDENTS WERE FASCINATED BY THE FACT THAT SOME OF THE BEST EDUCATED FOLKS IN AMERICA WERE CHOOSING NEW RELIGIONS OVER GOING TO LAW SCHOOL OR MEDICAL SCHOOL OR WHATEVER, AT LEAST TEMPORARILY, AND WE DECIDED TO EXAMINE THE SOCIOLOGY LITERATURE, PSYCHOLOGY LITERATURE SEARCHING FOR THEORIES OR MODELS, WE CALL THEM, TO EXPLAIN WHY THIS WOULD HAPPEN, HOW IT WOULD WORK THAT HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE WOULD DECIDE TO EXPERIMENT ABOUT WITH NEW RELIGIONS AND WHAT WE THOUGHT WAS A GROWING AGE OF SECULARITY.

IT SEEMS A LONG TIME SINCE WE THOUGHT THAT, BUT THERE IS REALLY ONLY ABOUT 10 OR 15 YEARS AGO THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE WERE SURPRISED AT THE RISE OF INTEREST IN NEW RELIGIONS.

Q HAVE YOU CONTINUED YOUR INVESTIGATIONS INTO

THAT AREA OVER THE LAST 10, 15 YEARS?

A YES, I HAVE. IN FACT, YOU MAY REMEMBER THAT

ONE OF THE PAPERS I READ IS I CONSIDER KIND OF A CULMINATING

THEORETICAL STATEMENT THAT WAS RECENTLY PUBLISHED CALLED

"THE ACTIVE VERSUS PASSIVE CONVERT." SO THAT AREA OF MY

STUDIES HAS CONTINUED OVER THE LAST 15 YEARS. IT'S BEEN THE

PRIMARY FOCUS, ALTHOUGH, AS I INDICATED, I HAVE STUDIED

OTHER THINGS AS WELL.

Q BASED ON YOUR RESEARCH AND STUDIES, WHAT

CONCLUSIONS, IF ANY, DID YOU REACH AS TO WHY PEOPLE JOINED

NEW AGE RELIGIOUS GROUPS?

A WELL, THE FIRST CONCLUSION THAT I AND MY
STUDENTS REACHED WAS ONE WE REACHED SOMEWHAT HESITANTLY. WE
DIDN'T NECESSARILY WANT TO. WE FOUND THESE THEORIES AND
THESE MODELS AND STARTED TRYING TO APPLY THEM TO THE NEW AGE
RELIGIONS AND WE FOUND THAT THEY DIDN'T WORK, THAT THEY
SIMPLY WOULDN'T FIT THE DATA.

AND THAT IS ALWAYS A DISQUIETING EXPERIENCE TO A RESEARCHER WHEN THEY GO OUT AND DO RESEARCH WITH A THEORY THEY HAVE FOUND AND IT DOESN'T WORK. BUT THAT WAS OUR EXPERIENCE IN THIS CASE.

Q WHAT WERE THE THEORIES THAT WEREN'T WORKING?

A THE PROBLEM WITH THE THEORIES THAT WE ENCOUNTERED IS THAT THEY MADE SOME ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT HUMAN BEINGS, AND HOW THEY RELATE TO RELIGIOUS GROUPS AND HOW -- WHAT KIND OF PEOPLE THEY ARE.

THE BEST WAY TO SUM IT UP, IF I MIGHT, IS THAT
THE THEORIES MADE AN ASSUMPTION THAT THE PEOPLE BEING

4 5

CONVERTED TO RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS WERE PASSIVE KIND OF OBJECTS OR ENTITIES THAT WERE PUSHED AROUND BY SOCIAL FORCES OVER WHICH THEY HAD NO CONTROL.

THOSE KINDS OF THEORIES WERE DEVELOPED OVER
PREVIOUS YEARS TYPICALLY BY PEOPLE WHO WERE STUDYING
RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS THAT MAYBE DEALT WITH LOWER CLASS
GROUPS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT AND THEY WERE TRYING TO
EXPLAIN WHAT THEY THOUGHT WAS STRANGE BEHAVIOR SO THOSE KIND
OF MODELS SEEMED TO HAVE SOME APPEAL, BUT THEY DID NOT FIT
THE CURRENT SITUATION.

BECAUSE WHAT WE KEPT ENCOUNTERING BECAUSE WE
WENT IN TO AND TALKED TO THEM AND INTERVIEWING THEM WAS
THESE PEOPLE WERE VERY MUCH IN CONTROL OF THEIR LIVES. THEY
WERE OUT LOOKING FOR NEW PURPOSE AND MEANING.

GRANTED MANY OF THEM HAD REJECTED THE STATUS

QUO OR THE PHILOSOPHY OF LIFE THAT THEIR PARENTS WOULD HAVE

LIKED FOR THEM TO HAVE OR SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE, BUT THEY

REJECTED -- BUT THEY WERE OUT LOOKING, THEY WERE OUT

SEARCHING, THEY WERE ACTIVE. THEY WERE NOT PASSIVE.

SOME OF THESE FOLKS WERE SOME OF THE BRIGHTEST FOLKS I HAVE EVER ENCOUNTERED. AND I MUST SAY I WASN'T NECESSARILY LOOKING FOR THAT OR EXPECTED IT, BUT THE DATA JUST DIDN'T FIT.

Q DID YOU FIND A HIGH INCIDENCE OF THIS PERSON WHO WAS SEARCHING AS OPPOSED TO PASSIVE PERSONALITY?

A YES. VIRTUALLY EVERY PERSON WE HAVE DONE INTERVIEWS WITH OR HAD FILL OUT QUESTIONNAIRES OVER THE YEARS OF MY STUDY, THE PEOPLE I HAVE ENCOUNTERED IN

ORGANIZATIONS HERE AND IN EUROPE, THEY FIT THIS PATTERN OF AN ACTIVE KIND OF PERSON TRYING TO MAKE SENSE OUT OF THEIR LIFE, AND DETERMINE WHERE THEY WANT TO GO IN THEIR LIFE AND NOT BE PUSHED AROUND. QUITE THE REVERSE OF THE PASSIVE PERSON.

Q WHAT IS THE -- ANY SIGNIFICANCE DOES THE TERM "NEGOTIATION" HAVE IN YOUR STUDY OF CONVERSION AND RECRUITMENT?

A THAT IS WHAT YOU MIGHT CALL A SERENDIPTIOUS FINDING. YOU COME ON IT BY ACCIDENT. AND IT IS ALWAYS A DELIGHT WHEN YOU ARE A RESEARCHER AND YOU FIND SOMETHING THAT IS INTERESTING, POTENTIALLY VALUABLE AND YOU WEREN'T LOOKING FOR IT.

WHAT WE ENCOUNTERED IN OUR STUDIES WERE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO WERE OPENLY INVOLVED IN NEGOTIATING WITH GROUPS THAT THEY WERE THINKING OF PARTICIPATING IN.

ONE OF THE CONCEPTS THAT I HAVE DEVELOPED IN

PUTTING TO THE LITERATURE IS CALLED CONVERSION CAREERS. IT

IS MEANT TO REFER TO PEOPLE WHO GO FROM ONE GROUP TO

ANOTHER.

THEY GO TRY OUT ONE GROUP AND THEY DECIDE IT
DOESN'T QUITE FIT THEIR NEEDS SO THEY GO INTO ANOTHER AND
ANOTHER. AND WE HAVE GOT DATA ON PEOPLE THAT SOMETIMES WENT
THROUGH A HALF DOZEN DIFFERENT GROUPS OR SETS OF BELIEFS OR
PRACTICES.

AND IN THAT CONTEXT, WHAT WE QUITE OFTEN RAN

INTO WERE PEOPLE WHO WERE OVERTLY NEGOTIATING WITH GROUPS AS

TO WHAT DO I HAVE TO DO TO BE A MEMBER OF THIS GROUP? DO I

HAVE TO GIVE UP THIS, THAT OR THE OTHER? WHAT CAN I GET OUT OF IT? THAT WAS A VERY COMMON KIND OF THING WE ENCOUNTERED.

Q IN THE MATERIALS YOU REVIEWED REFERRING TO THIS CASE, DID YOU FIND ANY INDICATIONS THAT MR. MULL NEGOTIATED WITH CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT IN THE WAY YOU HAVE DISCUSSED?

A YES, I DID. IN FACT, I WAS QUITE SURPRISED
WHEN SENT THIS SET OF LETTERS BECAUSE THEY SEEMED TO BE A
CLASSIC ILLUSTRATION OF THE KIND OF THING WE ENCOUNTERED ON
A REGULAR BASIS IN A NUMBER OF OTHER GROUPS.

I HAVE STUDIED SOME GROUPS IN VERY GREAT

DETAIL. I HAVE STUDIED PROBABLY AT LEAST A DOZEN DIFFERENT

GROUPS IN SOME DETAIL THAT INVOLVED SOME RESEARCH I HAVE

DONE.

THIS ACTUALLY REPRESENTS ONE OF THE MOST
COMPLETE DATA SETS I HAVE SEEN BECAUSE IN MOST INSTANCES
PEOPLE WERE NOT SO PRONE TO WRITE THINGS DOWN. THEY WERE
ORAL DISCUSSIONS. THEY WOULD HAVE CONVERSATIONS WITH
PEOPLE. SOMETIMES FORMAL, SOMETIMES INFORMAL. TYPICALLY
INFORMAL, AS A MATTER OF FACT, WITH NOBODY TAKING NOTES.
AND IF IT DIDN'T WORK OUT, THEN SOMEBODY WOULD MOVE ON.

MR. MULL HAS SHOWN A REAL TENDENCY TO WRITE
THINGS DOWN. AND THE LETTERS THAT I REVIEWED -- I HAVE GOT
THREE OR FOUR OF THEM HERE THAT IF YOU WANTED, I COULD QUOTE
FROM THEM TO ILLUSTRATE THE POINT I AM TRYING TO MAKE.

Q WHY DON'T YOU BRIEFLY DO THAT, PLEASE.

THE COURT: FIRST OF ALL, JUST FOR THE RECORD, WHY DON'T YOU PLEASE GIVE US THE DATES OF THE THREE OR FOUR,

HOWEVER MANY LETTERS YOU HAVE.

THE WITNESS: I CERTAINLY WILL, YOUR HONOR. THESE DON'T HAVE EXHIBIT NUMBERS, BUT I CAN GIVE THE DATES ON THEM.

THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE APPRECIATED.

THE WITNESS: I BROUGHT FOUR OF THESE LETTERS UP HERE NOT BECAUSE THEY WERE THE ONLY ONES THAT MADE THE POINT, BUT BECAUSE THEY MADE THE POINT BETTER. I HAD A COUPLE OF DOZEN THAT I HAD MARKS IN, BUT THEY GO OVER THE TIME PERIOD OF THIS EPISODE.

THE FIRST ONE THAT I NOTED WAS OCTOBER 17TH,

1974, WHICH I THINK MAY HAVE BEEN ONE OF THE FIRST LETTERS

THAT MR. MULL WROTE TO ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET. THE LETTER

DOES AN INTERESTING THING. IT STARTS OUT WITH PHRASES OF

ADULATION. SAYS: (READING.)

"YOU PREPARED A FOUR-DAY

BANQUET FOR ME AT THE CONFERENCE AND I COULD

NOT DO OTHER THAN SAY THANK YOU FROM MY

HEART FOR AN ABUNDANCE OF PRECIOUS

DISCOVERY."

THEN LATER IN THE LETTER, THERE IS AN INTERESTING MENTION THAT UNLESS -- WELL, IT FIRST CAUGHT ME UNAWARES. IT SAYS: (READING.)

"I AM AN ARCHITECT AND HAVE A WISH TO HELP YOU WITH YOUR ARCHITECTURAL NEEDS IN THE FUTURE. LET IT WORK OUT IN THE INNER PLANES FOR NOW."

THAT WAS THE FIRST MENTION I AM AWARE OF IN THE

LETTERS I READ OF MR. MULL OFFERING TO BECOME THE DESIGNER
OR THE ARCHITECT FOR THE GROUP. AND THERE IS AN INTERESTING
JUXTAPOSITION OF THAT IN THE LETTER WITH SOME PHRASES OF
ADULATION AND WHATNOT.

THEN I NEXT PICKED OUT AS AN EXAMPLE THE SEPTEMBER 22ND, 1975, LETTER TO, I THINK THE NAME IS, RANDALL KING WHERE MR. MULL HAS GOTTEN VERY UPSET.

AND THIS IS A KIND OF LETTER, BY THE WAY, THAT CERTAINLY DEMONSTRATES WHAT I AM TALKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF AN ACTIVE KIND OF CONVERT. THIS IS A PERSON WHO IS ENGAGED IN ARGUMENT HERE AND CERTAINLY TAKING A VERY POSITIVE ACTIVE ROLE.

HE SAYS AND JUST -- I WON'T READ THE WHOLE

LETTER UNLESS YOU WANT ME TO, BUT I HAVE MARKED SOME

SENTENCES. I THINK IT PROBABLY HAS BEEN ENTERED INTO THE RECORD.

THE COURT: THAT IS OKAY.

THE WITNESS: IT SAYS: (READING.)

"I AM NOT THAT MASOCHISTIC THAT

I WOULD LET YOU MAKE ME A SLAVE FOR YOUR

DOMINATION AND CONTROL," ON ONE PAGE.

THEN IT SAYS, "OF MANY YOU HAVE FALLEN SHORT OF ASCENDED MASTER BEHAVIORS.

I READ THOSE WORKS. WHAT EMPIRE YOU ARE BUILDING IS AN ATTITUDE FOR YOURSELF OR OF GOD?"

ON THE NEXT PAGE, "AS OF THE DAY YOU SO INDISCREETLY PLACED YOUR

HOSTILITY ON MY DICTAPHONE TAPE, I HAVE
WITHDRAWN FROM ALL LOCAL ACTIVITIES AND HAVE
SO STATED WHY.\*\*

THAT IS A CLASSIC STATEMENT OF SOMEONE THREATENING WITHDRAWAL IF CONDITIONS AREN'T MET.

ON THE NEXT PAGE, "TILL THEN I HAVE WITHDRAWN MY ENERGIES AND TIME FROM THE ORGANIZATION, BUT NOT THE TECHNIQUES."

SO THERE IS A LITTLE BIT OF A QUALIFIER THERE.

AND THEN THE VERY LAST SENTENCE IN THE LETTER SAYS, "I DO

HOPE THIS CAN BE RESOLVED."

THAT IS ACTUALLY A VERY -- I THINK A VERY

BRILLIANTLY WRITTEN LETTER OF NEGOTIATION. I REFER TO IT AS

A GOOD ILLUSTRATION OF NEGOTIATION INSTRUMENT.

THE FEBRUARY -- THEN WE MOVE FORWARD IN TIME.

FEBRUARY 22ND, 1979, IS THE LETTER I AM REFERRING TO. IT IS

A LETTER TO BELOVED MOTHER AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

IS THERE A -- ANY WATER? I MAY GET A BIT THIRSTY.

## STARTS OUT: (READING.)

"I AM WRITING TO YOU TO LET YOU
KNOW I FEEL I AM IN MY RIGHT PLACE HERE
DOING THAT WHICH I LOVE TO DO MOST," I THINK
THIS IS A VERY REVEALING COMMENT, "THAT
WHICH I LOVE TO DO MOST, WHICH IS DOING
ARCHITECTURE. I HAVE A GOOD FEELING ABOUT
WHAT COULD BE IF I DESIGN FOR CAMELOT," AND
IT GOES ON.

THEN AT THE BOTTOM OF THAT FIRST PAGE, HE
STARTS TALKING ABOUT -- "THANK YOU VERY MUCH." SOME VERY
OVERT NEGOTIATING ASPECTS. HE SAYS: (READING.)

"I SAID I COULD ONLY COME AT ONCE IF MY MONTHLY BILLS WERE MET, WHICH RUNS ABOUT \$2,000 PER MONTH."

SO HE NAMES AN AMOUNT. THAT IS THE FIRST TIME

I AM AWARE HE NAMES AN AMOUNT IN THE LETTER. I NOTED IN

LATER LETTERS THAT THE AMOUNT JUMPS TO 4- OR \$5,000 PER

MONTH IT TURNS OUT WHAT HE NEEDS. BUT THE FIRST TIME HE

NAMES AN AMOUNT, IT IS A RELATIVELY LOW FIGURE, \$2,000.

AND HE ALSO SAYS IN THE SAME PARAGRAPH, AND I KNOW THIS HAS BEEN AN IMPORTANT ISSUE OF CONTENTION HERE, HE SAYS: (READING.)

"I HAVE DEFINITELY DECIDED TO
PUT MY HOME ON THE MARKET FOR SALE THIS
SUMMER."

AND THEN HE GOES ON, AS YOU RECALL -- I THINK THIS LETTER HAS BEEN DISCUSSED HERE -- TALKING ABOUT:

ALL PROFIT I MAKE FROM THE SALE OF MY PROPERTY.

SO HE IS TRYING TO GET A COMMITMENT TO BILLS
THAT HE HAS TO PAY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,000 AND HE IS
OFFERING TO COME UP WITH SOME KIND OF REIMBURSEMENT. THIS
WHOLE PARAGRAPH -- I DON'T KNOW IF I NEED TO READ IT ALL,
BUT HE SAYS:

\*FROM THAT POINT ON, I CAN

"I WILL GIVE YOU TEN PERCENT OF

4 5

REDUCE THE SUM APPROXIMATELY 2,000 PER MONTH
TO 700 PER MONTH.

THE FUTURE. 700 PER MONTH. THEN DOWN AT THE BOTTOM OF THAT PAGE, HE FINALLY SAYS:

"MY CURRENT EMERGENCY OF

NEEDING \$4,276.76 IS BECAUSE MY APPLICATION

TO INCREASE MY PRESENT MORTGAGE WAS DECLINED

AS I HAD INCREASED IT \$20,000 LAST YEAR."

SO THAT LETTER CLEARLY ILLUSTRATES AGAIN THAT HE IS INVOLVED IN SOME PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD NEGOTIATION.

THIS IS A VERY RATIONAL LETTER.

THE LAST ONE I WOULD REFER TO, I THINK THE

COURT HAS ALSO TALKED ABOUT, IS THE APRIL 20TH LETTER, 1980,

TO BELOVED MOTHER. HE STARTS OUT WITH AGAIN WHAT I HAVE

REFERRED TO AS ADULATION OR ADORATION. (READING.)

"I THANK YOU FOR STOPPING ME ON
THE CAMPUS ON A FEW OCCASIONS AND ESPECIALLY
RECENTLY WHEN YOU ASKED ME IF YOU COULD MAKE
CALLS FOR ME AND IF EVERYTHING WAS ALL
RIGHT. I ALSO THANK YOU FOR YOUR LAST VISIT
WHEN YOU RECOMMENDED I TAKE BACK A LOAN ON
MY HOME IF I NEED TO SELL IT AND THAT YOU
WOULD MAKE CALLS FOR ME FOR IT TO BE SOLD."

HE STARTS OUT THAT WAY. AND THEN DOWN AT THE BOTTOM OF THAT PAGE, HE STARTS TALKING ABOUT THE \$4,000 PER MONTH PROBLEM THAT HE HAS WITH HIS CURRENT OBLIGATIONS.

THEN THIS -- SOME OF THE MOST TELLING PHRASEOLOGY IN THE

LETTER IS ON PAGE THREE WHERE HE SAYS: (READING.)

THIS EXPERIENCE AT CAMELOT HAS
TAUGHT ME TO TURN TO THE MASTERS ALWAYS, BUT
ALSO TO BE PRACTICAL AND LOOK OUT FOR MY OWN
FUTURE AGE AND ALL CONSIDERED. ALSO, I AM
HOPING THAT IN EIGHT YEARS WE WILL GET THE
GOLDEN AGE STARTED AND I MIGHT SLOW DOWN
JUST A BIT."

BUT HE IS SAYING THERE HE IS LOOKING OUT FOR

HIS OWN FUTURE. IN THE NEXT PARAGRAPH HE SAYS: (READING.)

#I MUST EXERCISE MY FREE WILL

TO OWN PROPERTY TO SPONSOR MY DAUGHTER AND PROVIDE FOR MY OLD AGE IN THE EVENTUALITY YOU WOULD NOT NEED ME AT CAMELOT OR IF I BECAME ILL AND COULD NOT WORK."

SO HE IS STRESSING THERE HIS USE OF HIS OWN

FREE WILL. THEN HE STARTS GOING INTO THE DISCUSSION OF HOW

MUCH HE IS GOING TO SELL HIS HOUSE FOR, AND THAT IT IS LESS

AND HE SAID HE MADE HIS EARLIER AGREEMENTS ON THE BASIS THAT

HE COULD PAY BACK ALL THE MONEY IF IT SOLD FOR \$240,000.

AND NOW HE IS STARTING TO BACK OFF OF THAT AND I THINK THE RECORD SHOWS IN A PLACE OR TWO THAT MR. MULL MAY NOT HAVE -- MAY HAVE SIGNED THOSE EARLIER DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE INTENTION.

THAT IS ANOTHER ILLUSTRATION OF THE KIND OF NEGOTIATION THAT HE WOULD PERHAPS SIGN THE DOCUMENT WITHOUT THE INTENTION OF ABIDING BY IT. THEN HE ON PAGE FOUR, AS YOU RECALL, STARTS TALKING ABOUT HE WILL OFFER \$10,000 TO

1

SETTLE ALL CLAIMS AGAINST HIM AND HE OFFERS TO PAY 7,000 IMMEDIATELY, 3,000 IN JUNE. HE SAYS THIS: (READING.)

> "I DO NOT FEEL, BUT I ASK FOR YOU TO MAKE THAT FINANCIAL COMMITMENT NULL AND VOID BY CALLING IT SALARY OR INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR PAYMENT OR A FORGIVENESS OF DEBT."

SO HE IS OFFERING SEVERAL ALTERNATIVES THERE TO ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET IN TERMS OF HOW THEY MIGHT DECIDE WHAT THE VOIDED DEBT WOULD BE CALLED OR REFERRED TO. SOME OF THOSE, OF COURSE, HAVE VARIOUS IMPLICATIONS.

THEN HE GOES INTO THE LAST PAGE OF THIS LETTER ON PAGE FIVE BY POINTING OUT SOME THINGS HE'S DONE FOR THE GROUP IN TERMS OF CONTACTS WITH OTHER PEOPLE AND GETTING THEM TO COME AND MAKE PLEDGES AND THINGS. HE CLOSES THAT PAGE BY SAYING BLUNTLY: (READING.)

> "I AM PREPARED TO GIVE YOU \$10,000 AS MY TOTAL PAYMENT TO YOU, BUT ALL COMMITMENTS IN THE PAST WILL HAVE TO BE WAIVED."

THAT AGAIN IS A VERY RATIONAL STATEMENT AND ILLUSTRATES THE KIND OF THING I WAS TALKING ABOUT PERHAPS BETTER THAN I HAVE EVER SEEN, AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE NEGOTIATING THAT SOMETIMES GOES ON BETWEEN PEOPLE WHO ARE CONSIDERING BEING IN A GROUP OR CONSIDERING DOING THINGS FOR A GROUP.

> I AM SORRY TO TAKE SO LONG BUT --BY MR. KLEIN: DURING THE COURSE OF HER Q

27

TESTIMONY, MARGARET SINGER LISTED SIX CHARACTERISTICS OF A THOUGHT REFORM PROGRAM. VERY BRIEFLY, I WILL GO OVER THOSE CHARACTERISTICS.

FIRST IS YOU NEED TO GET CONTROL OVER THE PERSON'S SOCIAL AND/OR PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT, HAVE TO GET CONTROL OVER THEIR TIME.

SECOND ONE IS YOU HAVE TO CREATE A SENSE OF POWERLESSNESS IN THE PERSON.

THIRD ONE IS THAT THE MANAGEMENT HAS TO MANIPULATE THE REWARDS, PUNISHMENTS IN ORDER TO INFLUENCE THE PEOPLE TO SUPPRESS THEIR OLD BEHAVIOR.

THE NEXT ONE IS MANAGEMENT HAS TO MANIPULATE REWARDS, EXPERIENCES AND PUNISHMENTS IN ORDER TO ELICIT NEW BEHAVIOR.

THE FIFTH CHARACTERISTIC IS THAT THE PERSON BEING REFORMED HAS TO BE UNAWARE THAT IT IS BEING DONE TO THEM.

AND THE SIXTH CHARACTERISTIC IS IT HAS TO BE DONE WITHIN A CLOSED SYSTEM OF LOGIC WHERE THERE IS NO COMPLAINT UPWARD TO MANAGEMENT, NO MODIFICATION OF THE SYSTEM.

MY QUESTION TO YOU IS WHAT EFFECT, IF ANY, IN YOUR OPINION WOULD THESE CHARACTERISTICS HAVE ON AN ENTIRE GROUP, EVEN ASSUMING THAT THE CHARACTERISTICS WERE PRESENT?

MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT AT THIS
POINT. AT THIS POINT WE HAVE NOT ESTABLISHED THIS MAN'S
CREDENTIALS AS AN EXPERT IN THE FIELD OF THOUGHT REFORM. I
WOULD LIKE TO ASK A FEW QUESTIONS ON VOIR DIRE.

## THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

## BY MR. LEVY:

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION +

Q DR. RICHARDSON, ARE YOU'RECOGNIZED BY ANY BOARD OR ANY INTELLECTUAL GROUP IN THIS COUNTRY AS AN EXPERT ON THOUGHT REFORM?

A I HAVE PUBLISHED ARTICLES THAT DEAL WITH THE THOUGHT REFORM MODEL, WHICH MEANS THAT THEY HAVE PASSED THE REFEREING PROCESS THAT IS USED BY PROFESSIONAL JOURNALS THAT I HAVE DESCRIBED EARLIER.

I ALSO -- I GUESS YOU WOULD HAVE TO SAY I AM RECOGNIZED BY THE AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION TO THE EXTENT THAT THOSE IN CHARGE OF DEVELOPING THE PUBLICATION THAT IS PLANNED THIS YEAR ON CULTS AND NEW RELIGIONS SAW FIT TO INVITE ME TO CONTRIBUTE AN ARTICLE TO THAT IN WHICH I WILL BE DISCUSSING SOME OF THOSE MODELS.

I ALSO HAVE WRITTEN A CHAPTER ---

Q EXCUSE ME, DOCTOR.

A I AM SORRY.

Q I DON'T MEAN TO BE RUDE, BUT ALL THAT WAS VERY NICE, BUT BACK TO THE QUESTION.

ARE YOU RECOGNIZED BY ANY MEDICAL OR

PSYCHOLOGICAL OR INTELLECTUAL GROUPS IN THIS COUNTRY AS AN

EXPERT IN THE FIELD OF THOUGHT REFORM?

MR. KLEIN: I WOULD OBJECT. I THINK HE'S ANSWERED THAT QUESTION. COUNSEL IS SUGGESTING IT HASN'T BEEN ANSWERED.

 THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: WELL, ONE RESPONSE I HAVE IS I AM NOT AWARE THAT ANY GROUP LICENSES EXPERTS IN THOUGHT REFORM. IF YOU ARE ASKING IF I AM A LICENSED PSYCHIATRIST, I AM NOT. I INDICATED THAT I AM A PH.D. IN SOCIOLOGY WITH A EMPHASIS ON SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY.

MY AREA OF INTEREST IN CONVERSION MODELS AND
THE PROCESS WHEREBY PEOPLE JOIN OBVIOUSLY LED ME TO
PUBLISH -- TO DO RESEARCH IN THAT AREA.

AND, IN FACT, I THINK I DID PUBLISH THE FIRST ARTICLES IN A REFEREE JOURNAL IN 1972 ENTITLED "THOUGHT REFORM IN THE JESUS MOVEMENT." I THINK THAT IS THE FIRST SUCH USE OF THE MODEL IN THE REFEREE JOURNAL IN THE FIELD OF THE BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES.

Q BY MR. LEVY: THE REASON I ASK YOU THE QUESTION, DOCTOR, IS A NUMBER OF WITNESSES WHO HAVE TESTIFIED FOR THE CHURCH IN THIS CASE SEEM TO HAVE MADE REFERENCE TO A TEXT BY A DR. LIFTON.

ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH HIS TEXT?

A YES.

Q NOW, WOULD IT BE A FAIR STATEMENT TO SAY THAT HE IS A RECOGNIZED EXPERT IN THE FIELD OF THOUGHT REFORM?

A WELL, HE IS THE ONE THAT PUT THE WORD IN THE LITERATURE, YES.

Q NOW, ARE THERE ANY BODIES -- EDUCATIONAL,

SCIENTIFIC, MEDICAL, INTELLECTUAL OR OTHERWISE -- THAT

INCLUDE YOU IN THE SAME CAPACITY AS THEY DO DR. LIFTON?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THIS. IT IS NOT

ONLY VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS, IT IS TOTALLY IRRELEVANT.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED ON THE FIRST GROUND.

Q BY MR. LEVY: DOCTOR, YOU HAVE TOLD US THAT YOU INTEND TO PUBLISH A PAPER IN THE NEAR FUTURE.

IS THERE ANY DOCUMENT THAT YOU HAVE PUBLISHED
TO DATE THAT HAS BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE MEDICAL AND SCIENTIFIC
COMMUNITY THAT IS HELD OUT TO BE THE MODEL FOR THOUGHT
REFORM PROGRAMS?

A HELD OUT TO BE A MODEL FOR THOUGHT REFORM

PROGRAMS? I -- THE WAY THAT THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY

OPERATES IS THAT PEOPLE DEVELOP THEORIES OR MODELS AND OTHER

PEOPLE TEST THEM.

IF THEY -- IF THEY TEST THEM AND WRITE UP THE RESULTS IN A PAPER AND SUBMIT IT TO A JOURNAL, THEN THE WAY THE COMMUNITY OPERATES, THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY, IS THAT THAT CONTRIBUTION IS REFEREED.

IF IT IS FOUND WANTING, THEN IT IS REJECTED.

IF IT IS NOT FOUND WANTING, THEN IT IS PUBLISHED. AND

INDEED I HAVE PUBLISHED SEVERAL ARTICLES WHERE I DISCUSS

THOUGHT REFORM MODELS.

SO I -- I THINK THAT THERE IS A COMMUNITY OF PEOPLE OUT THERE GENERALLY KNOWN AS REFEREES OR PROFESSIONAL JOURNALS IN THE AREA OF PSYCHOLOGY AND SOCIOLOGY THAT ACCEPTS MY WORK.

Q IS MY UNDERSTANDING CORRECT THEN IF SOMEBODY
PUBLISHES SOMETHING ABOUT THOUGHT REFORM, THEY ARE
AUTOMATICALLY A THOUGHT REFORM EXPERT?

A NO. PEOPLE CAN PUBLISH THINGS IN ALL KINDS OF

AREAS AND CALL THEM ANYTHING THEY LIKE. IF THEY HAVE NOT FOLLOWED THE VERY RIGOROUS REFEREEING PROCESS, THEY WOULD HAVE NO PREROGATIVES TO CALL THEMSELVES AN EXPERT ABOUT ANYTHING.

Q WELL, LET ME ASK YOU FOR YOUR EXPERT OPINION.

IN YOUR OWN OPINION, ARE YOU AN ACKNOWLEDGED

THOUGHT REFORM EXPERT?

A YES, I AM.

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, DOCTOR.

THE COURT: PROCEED.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.

DIRECT EXAMINATION + (RESUMED)

BY MR. KLEIN:

Q DOCTOR, MY QUESTION WAS -- AND I WON'T READ THE SIX CHARACTERISTICS AGAIN THAT WE HAVE BEEN TOLD THOSE ARE THE SIX CHARACTERISTICS OF A THOUGHT REFORM PROGRAM.

BASED ON YOUR EDUCATION, YOUR EXPERIENCE, WHAT EFFECT, IF ANY, WOULD THOSE CHARACTERISTICS HAVE ON AN ENTIRE GROUP EVEN ASSUMING FOR THE MOMENT THAT THOSE SIX CHARACTERISTICS WERE PRESENT?

A WELL, GIVEN THE TESTIMONY, I MIGHT QUESTION

SOME OF THOSE. BUT GIVEN YOUR HYPOTHETICAL THAT THEY WOULD

EXIST, I WOULD SAY THAT TRYING TO FIND THE SITUATION IN

WHICH THEY WOULD OPERATE WOULD BE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT ON THE

GROUP LEVEL BECAUSE OF THE VERY FACT THAT YOU ARE TALKING

ABOUT A GROUP.

WHEN YOU COME INTO ONE OF THESE NEW AGE

RELIGIONS, FOR INSTANCE, AND YOU START GETTING DATA ON WHERE THEY COME FROM, THEY COME FROM MANY DIFFERENT PLACES, THEY WERE RAISED IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE COUNTRY, THEY HAD DIFFERENT RELIGIOUS BACKGROUNDS, THERE ARE DIFFERENT RACIAL GROUPS, THEY HAVE MANY DIFFERENT EXPERIENCES.

YOU BRING THAT KIND OF HETEROGENATE TOGETHER IN ONE GROUP AND YOU JUST DON'T HAVE THE KIND OF SITUATION THERE WHERE YOU WOULD SEE SOME KIND OF GROUP PHENOMENON THAT YOU MIGHT REFER TO AS GROUP THOUGHT REFORM.

THE ONLY SITUATION IN WHICH I WOULD THINK THAT WOULD BE POSSIBLE IN ITS ENTIRETY WOULD BE SOMETHING LIKE A PRISON, FOR INSTANCE, WHERE YOU DID HAVE TOTAL CONTROL OVER SOMEONE 24 HOURS A DAY, THEY COULDN'T LEAVE, THEY COULDN'T CONTACT OUTSIDE WORLD AND WHATNOT.

ELABORATE JUST A LITTLE BIT MORE, IS THAT THE SOCIAL

PSYCHOLOGICAL LITERATURE ON CONFORMITY DEMONSTRATES THAT IF

YOU GET PEOPLE IN A GROUP THAT HAVE SOME DIFFERENCES OF

OPINION AND THOSE GET EXPRESSED, WHICH THEY WOULD BE WANT TO

DO, THAT ALL IT TAKES, FOR INSTANCE, IN A GROUP TO SHATTER

THE WHOLE KIND OF MILIEU OR ATMOSPHERE WOULD BE ONE PERSON

TAKING ONE ISSUE OR SAYING, "I DON'T REALLY ACCEPT THAT KIND

OF PERSPECTIVE."

AND WHAT I HAVE FOUND IN THE RESEARCH THAT I HAVE DONE IS THAT EVERY GROUP HAS THOSE KINDS OF PEOPLE.

EVERY GROUP HAS FOLKS THAT ARE COMING IN, AND THEY ARE NOT QUITE COMMITTED OR THEY ARE ON THEIR WAY OUT BECAUSE IT REALLY WASN'T THE RIGHT THING FOR THEM.

ROB BALCH DID A VERY INTERESTING STUDY WITH U.F.O. CULT WHERE HE FOUND SOME PEOPLE, WHO HE CALLED IT ROLE PLAYING, ANOTHER SOCIO --

MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR. HEARSAY AS TO WHOEVER THIS PERSON IS WHO WAS MENTIONED.

THE COURT: IT IS TIME FOR ANOTHER QUESTION.

Q BY MR. KLEIN: OTHER THAN THAT ARTICLE, HAVE
YOU SAID EVERYTHING THAT YOU HAVE INTENDED TO SAY AS FAR AS
WHAT EFFECT, IF ANY, THE SIX CHARACTERISTICS WOULD HAVE ON A
GROUP?

A WELL, THE ONLY THING I CAN SAY IS I HAVE
VISITED COMMUNAL RELIGIOUS GROUPS FROM A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT
TRADITIONS, BOTH IN AMERICA AND IN EUROPE. I HAVE LIVED
WITH THEM FOR LENGTHS OF PERIOD. I HAVE HAD STUDENTS DO THE
SAME THING AND WE HAVE SIMPLY NEVER SEEN IT HAPPEN IN THE
WAY YOU DESCRIBED YOUR HYPOTHETICAL.

THEY ARE ALWAYS DIFFERENT KINDS OF PEOPLE

COMING FROM DIFFERENT LOCATIONS. THEY ARE JUST NOT THE

REQUIRED HOMOGENATE AND NOT THE REQUIRED CONTROL TO PULL IT

OFF.

Q WHAT DOES THE TERM "RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY" MEAN?

THAT IS A TERM THAT HAS A LOT OF CREDENCE IN
THE SOCIOLOGICAL DISCIPLINE. IT REFERS TO THE GENERAL
TENDENCY FOR PEOPLE TO RECONSTRUCT OR REINTERPRET WHAT HAS
HAPPENED TO THEM IN THE PAST IN TERMS OF THEIR PRESENT KIND
OF EXPERIENCE, THE CONTEXT THAT THEY ARE IN NOW.

Q ARE THERE DIFFERENT TYPES OF RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY IN THE SOCIOLOGICAL LITERATURE?

A YES, THERE ARE. WHILE IT IS A GENERAL TENDENCY
THAT IS TALKED ABOUT IN WRITINGS LIKE PETER BERGER'S BOOKS
"SOCIOLOGY OF RELIGION," FOR INSTANCE, THERE IS SOME
ATTENTION PAID TO SPECIAL TYPES OF THAT THAT ARE REFERRED TO
AS ACCOUNTS IN THE LITERATURE.

LITERATURE THAT TWO PARTICULAR TYPES OF THOSE ACCOUNTS THAT ARE WORTH TALKING ABOUT, I THINK IN THE CONTEXT OF WHAT THIS TRIAL IS ABOUT, ONE IS AN EXCUSATORY ACCOUNT AND THE OTHER IS CALLED A JUSTIFICATION KIND OF ACCOUNT WHERE PEOPLE EXCUSE THEMSELVES FOR PAST BEHAVIOR ARE JUSTIFIED DOING THINGS IN THE PAST THAT MAYBE THEY DIDN'T WANT TO DO OR WHATEVER ON THE GROUNDS OF SOME NEW INTERPRETATION THAT THEY HAVE ACCEPTED.

Q WITH RESPECT TO THE NEW AGE RELIGIONS THAT YOU HAVE STUDIED, DID YOU ENCOUNTER THIS PHENOMENA OF RECONSTRUCTION BIOGRAPHY?

A YES. VERY REGULARLY, AS A MATTER OF FACT. I ENCOUNTERED IT TO THE EXTENT THAT WE HAVE EVEN — I AND MY GRADUATE STUDENTS HAVE EVEN WRITTEN ABOUT IT IN A PAPER TO THE STRONG TENDENCY FOR PEOPLE TO JOIN IN THESE GROUPS TO REINTERPRET THEIR BACKGROUND AS WORSE THAN IT WAS AND THEREFORE THEY JUSTIFY MAKING GREATER CLAIMS IN THE PRESENT KIND OF SITUATION LIKE I WAS SUCH A SINNER, I WAS SUCH A DRUNKARD AND NOW I AM SAVED KIND — WE FOUND THAT A LOT.

WE ALSO HAVE FOUND IT IN THE CONTEXT OF PEOPLE

LEAVING GROUPS AND WORKING OUT NEW VIEWS AND NEW
INTERPRETATIONS OF WHAT THEY ENCOUNTERED, WHAT THEY
EXPERIENCED IN THE PAST IN TERMS OF MOVING INTO SOME KIND OF
NEW EXPERIENCE.

MAYBE A NEW GROUP, MAYBE GOING BACK TO, QUOTE,
NORMAL LIFE OR MAYBE GETTING A JOB. BUT SOMEHOW THEY HAVE
GOT TO COME TO SOME KIND OF EXPLANATION TO THEMSELVES AND TO
OTHERS ABOUT WHY THEY DID THAT, WHY DID THEY JOIN THAT
GROUP, WHY DID THEY PARTICIPATE IN IT?

SO IN THAT SENSE, IT IS AN EXCUSE OR JUSTIFICATION FOR PAST BEHAVIORS.

Q BASED ON WHAT YOU HAVE READ, THE MATERIALS YOU HAVE READ IN THIS CASE, WAS THE BEHAVIOR OF MR. MULL CONSISTENT WITH SOMEONE WHO IS RECONSTRUCTING HIS BIOGRAPHY?

WERE VERY TELLING IN THIS REGARD BECAUSE THEY DO INITIALLY
TELL ONE STORY OF SOMEONE WHO HAS A VERY DEFINITE VIEW, A
POSITIVE VIEW OF WHAT THEY HAVE ENCOUNTERED. IT IS VERY
GOOD FOR THEM, IT'S GIVEN THEM A MEANING AND PURPOSE IN
LIFE. IT'S DONE A LOT OF POSITIVE THINGS FOR MR. MULL.

I EVEN READ, I THINK, TWO OR THREE LETTERS THAT HE HAD TAKEN THE TIME TO WRITE TO NEWSPAPERS, DEFENDING THE GROUP WHEN THEY WERE UNDER ATTACK, THAT SORT OF THING.

THEN LATER, AND WE HAVE LOOKED AT SOME OF
THOSE, THE SITUATION CHANGES AND TOTALLY CHANGES. I DIDN'T
BRING ONE OF THE LETTERS UP HERE WITH ME THAT REALLY
ILLUSTRATES THAT IN EARLY 1981. I DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT
DATE.

BUT IT TALKS IN A VERY NEGATIVE SENSE ABOUT THE EXPERIENCES. THEY ARE THE SAME EXPERIENCES, BUT THEY ARE JUST A DIFFERENT VIEW OF THEM, A DIFFERENT INTERPRETATION OFFERED THAT FITS THE PRESENT SITUATION BETTER.

Q IN YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH EITHER INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE RECONSTRUCTED THEIR BIOGRAPHIES, IS THE RECONSTRUCTION GENERALLY ACCURATE AS TO THE FACTS?

A NO. THE RECONSTRUCTION CAN BEST BE UNDERSTOOD

IN TERMS OF THE CURRENT INTEREST OF THE PERSON, WHAT GROUP

ARE THEY TRYING — MAYBE THEY ARE TRYING TO COME BACK TO

THEIR FAMILY, THEY ARE TRYING TO NEGOTIATE SOME KIND OF

ACCOUNT WITH THEIR FAMILY THAT THEIR FAMILY WILL ACCEPT,

MAYBE THEY ARE TRYING TO COME BACK INTO A GROUP OF FRIENDS

OR SOMETHING.

SO YOU NEED TO LOOK AT THE ACCOUNT IN TERMS OF THE INTEREST AND THE VALUES AND THE PERSPECTIVES OF THAT NEW REFERENCE GROUP BECAUSE THAT IS HOW YOU INTERPRET THE NEW DATA IN TERMS OF THE NEW REFERENCE GROUP.

Q YOUR OBSERVATIONS OF NEW AGE RELIGIOUS GROUPS, WHAT, IF ANY, SOCIOLOGICALLY SIGNIFICANT FUNCTIONS DO THEY PLAY IN SOCIETY?

A WELL, AS YOU KNOW, THERE IS A LOT OF DEBATE

ABOUT THAT. AND I HAVE ATTENDED TO THAT QUESTION -
MR. LEVY: EXCUSE ME, SIR.

I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE.

THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.

THE -- WHAT I AND OTHERS HAVE FOUND IN TERMS OF

4 5

STUDY OF NEW AGE RELIGIOUS GROUPS IS THAT THEY DO SERVE SOME VERY INTERESTING AND I THINK IMPORTANT FUNCTIONS THAT ARE SOMETIMES OVERLOOKED.

I USUALLY -- I WOULD LIKE TO KIND OF BREAK DOWN
MY RESPONSE INTO TALKING ABOUT SOCIAL LEVEL OR SOCIETAL
LEVEL CONSIDERATIONS AND THEN PERHAPS TALK ABOUT THE
INDIVIDUAL LEVEL A BIT.

AT THE LEVEL OF THE SOCIETY AS A WHOLE, THE NEW AGE RELIGIOUS GROUPS HAVE SEEMED TO SERVE A VERY REMARKABLE AND IMPORTANT FUNCTION THAT SOME PEOPLE REFER TO AS A HALFWAY HOUSE FUNCTION.

IT IS ALSO TALKED ABOUT IN SOCIOLOGICAL
LITERATURE AS A REINTEGRATION FUNCTION WHERE INDIVIDUALS WHO
WERE OUT FLOATING IN SOCIETY WITHOUT REGULAR SOCIAL MOORINGS
WOULD BE BROUGHT BACK INTO SOCIETY VIA GETTING INVOLVED IN
SOME OF THESE GROUPS.

THIS WAS PARTICULARLY THE CASE IN THE LATE
SIXTIES AND EARLY SEVENTIES WHERE A LOT OF GROUPS WOULD FIND
MEMBERS WHO LITERALLY HAD VERY FEW, IF ANY, SOCIAL MOORINGS,
BRING THEM INTO THE GROUP AND TEACH THEM USEFUL SKILLS, GIVE
THEM SOME KIND OF MEANING AND PURPOSE.

IT MIGHT NOT BE ONE YOU AND I AGREE WITH IN

TERMS OF PHILOSOPHY, BUT THEY WOULD DO THAT AND THEY WOULD

SERVE A HALFWAY HOUSE OR REINTEGRATED FUNCTION.

WHAT HAPPENED TO A LOT OF THOSE PEOPLE AS THEY CAME INTO THE GROUP AND LEARNED SOME SKILLS OR GOT SETTLED DOWN, SOME OF THEM FORMED FAMILIES OR WHATNOT AND THEN THEY WENT BACK INTO SOCIETY IN MORE NORMAL WAYS THAT WE ARE USED

4 5

TO.

THERE IS ALSO A SOCIETAL LEVEL FUNCTION THAT I
THINK IS OFTEN OVERLOOKED AND THAT IS THE ROLE THESE KINDS
OF GROUPS PLAY IN KIND OF EXPERIMENTING WITH DIFFERENT
CONDITIONS. THEY ARE ONE OF THE WAYS THAT OUR SOCIETY
CHANGES.

ONE OF THE MECHANISM OF SOCIAL CHANGE IN OUR SOCIETY IS TO ALLOW RELIGIOUS GROUPS TO TRY OUT DIFFERENT THINGS AND WHATNOT AND SEE IF SOME NEW WAY OF APPROACHING A PROBLEM WILL WORK.

AND SO THERE HAS BEEN SOME VALUE, I THINK, IN TERMS OF JUST TRYING OUT SOME THINGS LIKE COMMUNAL LIVING, I THINK, WHICH HAPPENS TO BE A LOT MORE EFFICIENT AND INEXPENSIVE WAY TO LIVE THAN A LOT OF FOLKS LIVE. SO WE SEE SOME EXPERIMENTATION GOING ON.

ON THE INDIVIDUAL LEVEL, THE DATA HERE WERE VERY DRAMATIC AND I MUST ADMIT BROUGHT ME UP SHORT A BIT WHEN I FIRST STARTED GATHERING THE DATA BACK IN THE EARLY SEVENTIES.

MOST OF THE PEOPLE, FOR INSTANCE, THAT

PARTICIPATED IN THE JESUS MOVEMENT OR IN OTHER NEW AGE

RELIGIOUS GROUPS HAVE PARTICIPATED PRETTY HEAVILY IN DRUGS.

SOMETIMES YOU SEE STATISTICS FROM RESEARCH 85, 90, 95

PERCENT OF THE MEMBERS HAD BEEN IN THE DRUG SUBCULTURE AND

BEEN REGULARLY USERS OF DRUGS.

THAT WAS A CASE, FOR INSTANCE, IN THE GROUP

THAT WE WROTE ORGANIZED MIRACLES ABOUT. WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT

THEIR BEHAVIOR, AFTER THEY COME INTO THE GROUP, THE DRUG USE

HAD DROPPED TO FIRST ZERO IN PERCENTAGE, IT STOPPED USING ALCOHOL, THEY STOPPED SMOKING, SOME 75 PERCENT OF THEM HAD SMOKED BEFORE THEN, THEY -- ONE OF THE PROBLEMS THAT HAD BEEN DEALT WITH ALSO IS THE SEXUAL PROMISCUITY THAT A LOT OF THESE PEOPLE ENGAGED IN AND HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR.

THESE GROUPS SEEMED TO HAVE A REMARKABLE

ABILITY TO CURE, IN QUOTES, THAT KIND OF BEHAVIOR AND STOP

THE INVOLVEMENT IN THE KIND OF SEXUAL PROMISCUITY CULTURE OR

SUBCULTURE THAT WAS IN THE 1968, '70 ERA OF TIME.

ON THE INDIVIDUAL LEVEL, YOU CAN SEE A LOT OF EFFECTS THAT MANY OBSERVERS HAVE HAD TO ADMIT ARE POSITIVE EFFECTS IN TERMS OF HELPING PEOPLE STRAIGHTEN OUT THEIR LIVES, GIVE THEM MEANING AND THAT KIND OF THING.

I AM NOT SAYING IT IS THE BEST THING FOR EVERYONE NECESSARILY. I AM SAYING THAT IN GENERAL, I CAN SAY THAT ABOUT THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE THAT I HAVE ENCOUNTERED IN TERMS OF THE RESEARCH THAT I HAVE DONE AND I THINK THE OVERWHELMING WEIGHT OF THE LITERATURE DEMONSTRATES THAT AS WELL.

Q BY MR. KLEIN: CAN YOU CITE FOR US OTHER
RESEARCHERS WHO SUPPORT THE GENERAL OPINIONS AND CONCLUSIONS
YOU HAVE GIVEN US TODAY?

A YES, I CAN. BOTH IN EUROPE AND IN NORTH AMERICA. WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO LIST A FEW?

Q JUST LIST SOME OF THEM FOR US, SOME OF THE MORE PROMINENT.

A OKAY. EILEEN BARKER, A PROFESSOR AT LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, HAS WRITTEN A TWO-VOLUME STUDY ON ONE

OF THE NEW AGE RELIGIOUS GROUPS. SHE SHARES THAT PERSPECTIVE.

JIM BECKFORD, WHO IS A PROFESSOR AT DURHAM UNIVERSITY IN ENGLAND, SHARES THIS KIND OF PERSPECTIVE.

JAN VAN DER LANS, WHO IS AT CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY WHERE I DID MY FULBRIGHT, HAS DONE A LOT OF RESEARCH IN THIS AREA AND CERTAINLY DEVELOPED INDEPENDENT OF ME THIS KIND OF PERSPECTIVE.

WHEN YOU COME TO THIS COUNTRY, DR. MARC

GALANTER, A PSYCHIATRIST WHO'S AT ALBERT EINSTEIN SCHOOL OF

MEDICINE IN NEW YORK, WOULD BE SOMEONE THAT I WOULD CITE IN

THE PSYCHIATRIC FIELD.

WHEN YOU START TALKING ABOUT PSYCHOLOGY OF RELIGION, THERE IS NEWTON MALONY AT FULLER THEOLOGICAL.

AND OTHERS IN THE SOCIOLOGY AREA, DAVE BROMLEY,
WHO IS CHAIRMAN OF THE SOCIOLOGY DEPARTMENT AT VIRGINIA
COMMONWEALTH IN RICHMOND, VIRGINIA; ANSON SHUPE; ROB BALCH,
WHO IS A PROFESSOR AT UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA IN MISSOULA.

STUART WRIGHT, RECENT STUDENT OUT OF UNIVERSITY

OF CONNECTICUT, HAS DONE A DISSERTATION ON PEOPLE WHO LEAVE

NEW RELIGIONS AND HAS EMPHASIZED VOLUNTEERISTIC NOTIONS

THERE.

BURKE ROCHFORD, PROFESSOR THERE AT TULSA
UNIVERSITY, RECENTLY COMPLETED A BOOK ON THE HARE KRISHNA
HAS COME TO THIS CONCLUSION BASED ON HIS RESEARCH. AND I
COULD LIST MORE IF YOU DESIRE.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. THAT IS ENOUGH. THANK YOU.

I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: LET'S TAKE OUR RECESS AT THIS TIME AND THEN WE WILL RESUME.

(RECESS.)

THE COURT: PROCEED.

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION +

BY MR. LEVY:

Q DR. RICHARDSON, I HAVE LISTENED TO YOUR RECITATION OF YOUR SCHOLARLY ACTIVITIES AND THEY APPEAR TO ME TO BE A RATHER FULL SCHEDULE.

ALONG WITH YOUR FULL SCHEDULE OF TEACHING AND WRITING, DO YOU ALSO DO ANY SOCIOPSYCHOLOGICAL PRIVATE COUNSELING WITH PEOPLE?

A NO. I AM NOT A LICENSED COUNSELOR. I HAVE

OVER THE YEARS A NUMBER OF TIMES BEEN ASKED ON AN INDIVIDUAL

BASIS BY PARENTS OF PEOPLE WHO JOINED NEW RELIGIONS OR BY

PEOPLE WHO WERE IN THE NEW RELIGIONS FOR SOME ADVICE THAT I

MIGHT GIVE ON A PERSONAL LEVEL, BUT I AM NOT A COUNSELOR AND

NOT A LICENSED COUNSELOR.

- Q DID YOU GIVE THAT ADVICE ON A PRIVATE BASIS?
- A DID I GIVE ADVICE ON A PRIVATE BASIS?
- Q YOU SAID YOU ARE NOT LICENSED, AND YOU HAD BEEN ASKED BY SOME PARENTS AND PEOPLE FOR YOUR ADVICE AND COUNSEL AND I AM CURIOUS IF YOU DID GIVE THAT ADVICE AND COUNSEL?

A WELL, WHAT I WOULD -- LIKE I REMEMBER ONE
EPISODE WHERE A MAN CALLED ME AND SAID, "MY SON HAS JOINED
THE CHILDREN OF GOD. WHAT SHOULD I DO?"

AND THE ADVICE AND COUNSEL I GAVE HIM WAS BE PATIENT AND IT TURNS OUT THAT WAS GOOD ADVICE BECAUSE HE IS NOW REUNITED WITH HIS SON. BUT THAT IS THE EXTENT OF THE KIND OF ADVICE THAT I WOULD GIVE.

Q BUT YOU HAVE NEVER ACTUALLY COUNSELED ANYONE
WHO IS EITHER IN ONE OF THE NEW AGE RELIGIONS OR OUT OF ONE
OF THE NEW AGE RELIGIONS?

A WELL, I DO RESEARCH ON PEOPLE WHO JOIN THEM AND PEOPLE WHO LEAVE THEM AND I DON'T CALL THAT COUNSELING.

Q I APPRECIATE THAT YOU DO RESEARCH AND YOU DO COLLECT INFORMATION ON THEM.

MY SPECIFIC QUESTION WAS WHETHER OR NOT YOU ACTUALLY DID ANY COUNSELING AND YOU HAVE ANSWERED ME NO; IS THAT CORRECT?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q DID YOU EVER MEET, IN YOUR PREPARATION FOR THIS TRIAL, WITH ANY OF THE CURRENT MEMBERS OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT?

A NOT UNTIL THE LAST DAY OR SO.

Q DID YOU EVER MEET WITH ANY EX-MEMBERS OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT?

A NO.

Q DID YOU EVER ATTEND OR INVESTIGATE SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?

A WELL, IN THE LAST SEVERAL WEEKS I HAVE
INVESTIGATED SUMMIT UNIVERSITY TO THE EXTENT OF READING
THINGS LIKE THE RULES OF ORIENTATION, THE CODE OF CONDUCT, I
HAVE READ TRANSCRIPTS ABOUT WHAT HAPPENS THERE AND THINGS OF

THAT NATURE. I HAVE NOT VISITED THERE IF THAT IS WHAT YOU ARE ASKING ME. I HAVE NOT VISITED THERE.

Q NOW, THIS IS INTENDED IN A VERY PROFESSIONAL SPIRIT AND NOT TO OFFEND YOU, SIR.

A CERTAINLY.

Q BUT YOU CHARGE FEES FOR YOUR TIME WHEN YOU COME TO COURT?

A WELL, YES. THIS IS THE SECOND TIME THAT I HAVE
TESTIFIED IN A CASE OF THIS NATURE IN MY ENTIRE LIFE AND I
AM TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT I THINK IS A REASONABLE FEE
SCHEDULE. I WAS BOTH IMPRESSED AND ENCOURAGED WHEN I READ
THE FEES THAT SOME OF THE OTHER WITNESSES ARE GETTING.

BUT YES, I CHARGE FEES FOR BEING HERE. I AM A BUSY PERSON. I HAVE TAKEN SEVERAL WEEKS OF EVERY SPARE MINUTE I HAVE TO READ MATERIAL, SO I GET SOME REIMBURSEMENT FOR THAT.

Q AND THAT IS NOT ABNORMAL. MOST PROFESSIONALS WHEN THEY CHARGE FOR THEIR TIME, CERTAINLY NOT FOR THEIR TESTIMONY. WOULD THAT BE ACCURATE?

A RIGHT.

Q YOU TOLD US ABOUT A NUMBER OF TRIPS THAT WERE FOR STUDY PURPOSES. LIKE SAY YOU WENT TO EUROPE TO STUDY SOME GROUP UP IN THE ALPS AT A NIGHT SKIING RESORT.

DID THAT GROUP ALSO PAY FOR YOUR TRIP TO GO MAKE THAT STUDY?

A THE TRIPS TO EUROPE THAT I HAVE TAKEN -- THE FIRST TRIP I MENTIONED IN '74, '75 WAS A SABBATICAL THAT I HAD BEEN AWARDED FROM MY UNIVERSITY AND I WAS INVITED TO GO

TO LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, SO IT WAS PAID FOR BY MY 1 UNIVERSITY. 2 THE FULBRIGHT I HAD IN 1981 WAS PAID BY THE 3 FULBRIGHT PROGRAM WITH SOME SUPPLEMENT FROM CATHOLIC 4 UNIVERSITY IN NIJMEGEN. **\** 5 WHEN I HAVE TRAVELED TO PROFESSIONAL 6 CONFERENCES IN EUROPE TO GIVE PAPERS, THEN I HAVE ON ONE OR 7 TWO OCCASIONS I HAVE GOTTEN A N.S.F. GRANT OR AMERICAN 8 COUNSEL LEARNING SOCIETY GRANT. 9 BUT I HAVE ALSO PAID MY OWN WAY TO THOSE THINGS 10 IN THE SENSE THAT THAT IS WHAT A PROFESSIONAL HAS TO DO 11 SOMETIMES. IT IS AT LEAST TAX DEDUCTIBLE. 12 BUT I HAVE NOT MADE ANY TRIPS TO EUROPE AT THE 13 EXPENSE OF ANY GROUP, IF THAT IS WHAT YOU ARE SAYING, IN THE 14 NEW AGE RELIGIONS. 15 ALL I AM DOING IS INQUIRING, DOCTOR. I DON'T Q 16 KNOW, YOU HAVE TO ASK THE QUESTIONS. 17 SURE. 18 Α SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT YOUR OPINIONS 19 ARE OPEN, HONEST, AND UNBIASED AND HAVE NOT BEEN BOUGHT AND 20 PAID FOR? 21 A I CERTAINLY THINK SO. 22 THAT IS MY IMPRESSION. BUT I STILL NEEDED TO 23 Q ASK THE QUESTION. 24 SURE. 25 Α Q YOU DO UNDERSTAND THAT, DON'T YOU? 26 I CERTAINLY DO. Α 27 YOU TOLD US ABOUT A NUMBER OF LETTERS, FOUR OF Q 28

THEM VERY SPECIFIC LETTERS THAT YOU CONSIDERED NEGOTIATING 1 2 LETTERS? YES. GOOD EXAMPLES OF NEGOTIATING INSTRUMENTS. 3 I NOTICED YOU DIDN'T INCLUDE ANY LETTERS FROM THE CHURCH ALONG WITH THOSE LETTERS. 5 IN THE COURSE OF YOUR RESEARCH, DID YOU COME 6 7 ACROSS ANY LETTERS TO MR. MULL FROM THE CHURCH? WELL, I'VE LISTENED TO THE TAPE OF THE MEETING 8 9 THAT WAS HELD, I THINK, ON JUNE 6, 1980 --10 Q EXCUSE ME, SIR, I COULDN'T HEAR. DID YOU SAY 11 THE BEATING OR THE MEETING? 12 THE MEETING. 13 THE MEETING. OKAY. AND THE CHURCH WAS CERTAINLY REPRESENTED IN ITS 14 POSITION THERE AND SO I THINK I AM AWARE OF THE CHURCH'S 15 POSITION. AND I THINK THEY WERE NEGOTIATING, TOO. THAT IS 16 PART OF THE PROBLEM OR PART OF THE SITUATION. ANY TIME YOU 17 HAVE GOT A NEGOTIATION TAKING PLACE, IT USUALLY INVOLVES TWO 18 PARTIES. 19 IN THE COURSE OF LISTENING TO THAT, YOU WERE 20 AWARE, OF COURSE, THAT MR. MULL AT THAT TIME HAD BEEN ASKED 21 TO LEAVE THE CHURCH: IS THAT CORRECT? 22 Α YES. 23 NOW, ON ONE OF THE LETTERS YOU TALKED ABOUT, 24 YOU TALKED ABOUT, IF I REMEMBER, FEBRUARY 22ND, 1979, LETTER 25 26 FROM MR. MULL TO MOTHER AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS? YES. 27 Α YOU WERE READING ON THE SECOND PAGE WHERE MR. 28

 MULL -- DO YOU HAVE THAT LETTER, SIR?

A YES, I HAVE IT RIGHT HERE.

MR. LEVY: I THINK THE COURT HAS A COPY AND THAT IS EXHIBIT NUMBER 28, SIR.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

Q BY MR. LEVY: ON THE SECOND PAGE, YOU WERE READING WHERE MR. MULL OFFERED ". . . 10 PERCENT OF ALL THE PROFIT I MAKE FROM THE SALE OF MY PROPERTY." AND YOU QUOTED TO US CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THAT LETTER. AND I NOTE, OH, ABOUT TWO THIRDS OF THE WAY DOWN, THE SENTENCE THAT BEGINS WITH, "THE \$700 PER MONTH SALARY," HAVE YOU GOT THAT IN YOUR LETTER, SIR?

A YES.

Q IT SAYS: (READING.)

"THE \$700 PER MONTH SALARY PLUS
LIVING EXPENSES LIVING ON OR OFF CAMPUS MUST
BE AGREED UPON BY YOU BEFORE THE FOREGOING
OFFER CAN BE A COMMITMENT."

I NOTICE IN YOUR DISCUSSION, YOU LEFT THAT OUT OF YOUR LETTER. DOES THAT SUGGEST THAT MR. MULL WAS NOT NECESSARILY LOCKING HIMSELF INTO A POSITION OF DONATING \$10,000 OR 10 PERCENT OR ANYTHING ELSE UNTIL THE CHURCH ALSO COMMITTED ITSELF TO SOMETHING?

A WELL, I THINK ALL THE LETTERS INDICATE THAT MR.

MULL IS TRYING TO MAKE A CERTAIN -- TAKE A CERTAIN POSITION,

AND THEN KIND OF BACK OFF OF IT, AND OFFER SOME ALTERNATIVES

AND MAINTAIN CONTACT WITH THE GROUP. THAT IS PART OF

NEGOTIATION IT SEEMS TO ME.

THERE ARE SEVERAL OF THESE LETTERS WHERE THINGS

ARE SAID, THAT KIND OF ULTIMATUM STATEMENTS LIKE, "IF I

DON'T HEAR FROM YOU, I WILL ASSUME YOUR APPROVAL OF THIS

KIND OF THING," THAT KIND OF THING IS DONE.

SO THE REASON I DIDN'T READ THE SENTENCE IS -THE WHOLE PARAGRAPH I HAVE MARKED, BUT I DIDN'T SKIP THAT
SENTENCE DELIBERATELY. IT IS ALL AN OFFER. IT IS A VARIOUS
MULTIFACETED OFFER OF WHAT KINDS OF THINGS MR. MULL MIGHT
DO. THAT IS THE POINT. IT IS A VERY RATIONAL PARAGRAPH.

Q THAT IS WHAT I AM TRYING TO UNDERSTAND BECAUSE
I AM NOT A SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGIST.

NOW YOU NOTED IN THAT TWO AND A HALF HOUR

MEETING AND YOU TALKED RATHER DEFINITIVELY ABOUT A \$10,000

OFFER FOR SETTLEMENT THAT MR. MULL HAD MADE AT ONE TIME.

THAT IS ALSO A NEGOTIATION?

A YES. I THINK IT IS. IN FACT I BELIEVE THE RECORD DEMONSTRATES THAT IN THE JUNE 6TH MEETING, THAT HE WITHDREW THE OFFER AT THAT POINT, THAT THAT IS ON THE TAPE AND IN THE TRANSCRIPT.

SO THAT WOULD CERTAINLY INDICATE TO ME THAT
THAT WAS A MATTER THAT HE WAS PUTTING OUT THERE TO SEE IF
ANYONE WOULD TAKE IT, SEE WHAT RESPONSE IT EVOKED FROM THOSE
PEOPLE WHO WERE LISTENING OR READING THE LETTER. BUT THEN
HE APPARENTLY WITHDREW IT.

Q NOW, FROM YOUR POINT OF VIEW IN SOCIAL

PSYCHOLOGY, WHEN A PERSON MAKES AN OFFER, AND ANOTHER

ACCEPTS OR REJECTS IT, AND ANOTHER OFFER IS MADE AND THE

NEGOTIATIONS GO ON, DOES THAT SUGGEST TO YOU THAT NO

AGREEMENT HAS BEEN REACHED AND THAT ALL YOU HAVE IS NEGOTIATIONS WITHOUT ANY HARD AND FAST AGREEMENT?

A WELL, WHAT IT SUGGESTS TO ME IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS CASE IS THAT PEOPLE WERE TRYING -- MR. MULL WAS TRYING TO MODIFY AN AGREEMENT. THE EARLIER LETTERS THAT HE HAD WRITTEN TALK ABOUT LOANING MONEY TO COVER THINGS.

AND I THINK THE IMPRESSION I GET, OTHERWISE HE WOULDN'T BE WRITING THE LETTERS, IS TRYING TO CHANGE THE UNDERSTANDING, THAT MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE CHURCH TREATED IT THAT WAY.

AND SO MR. MULL IS ENGAGED IN TRYING TO NEGOTIATE THAT FROM ONE CATEGORY TO ANOTHER. THAT IS THE POINT I WAS TRYING TO MAKE.

Q DID YOU NOTICE IN THERE AT ALL MR. MULL SAYING REPEATEDLY, "THIS IS NOT THE ARRANGEMENT WE HAD AT THE OUTSET?" WHAT DO YOU THINK HE WAS REFERRING TO WITH REGARD TO THAT?

A I THINK WHEN HE SAYS THAT -- I TREAT THESE

LETTERS AS GOOD STATEMENTS OF WHAT HIS FEELINGS WERE AT THE

TIME, KEEPING IN MIND WHAT WE SAID EARLIER ABOUT

RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY.

WHEN I READ THAT IN A LATER LETTER, I INTERPRET
THAT AS A SOCIOLOGIST IN TERMS OF RECONSTRUCTION OF
BIOGRAPHY. THERE IS SOME EVENTS THAT TOOK PLACE BACK THERE
THAT HE IS NO LONGER SATISFIED WITH. I HAVE THE IMPRESSION
THERE WERE SOME UNDERSTANDINGS THAT HE WAS BORROWING MONEY
FROM THE GROUP.

I WASN'T PRESENT. I WILL ADMIT I HAVE HAD TO

DEPEND ON LETTERS AND WHATNOT. BUT IT IS NOW UNPLEASANT, IT
IS NOT IN MR. MULL'S INTEREST TO ADMIT THAT THAT IS THE
CASE. SO HE IS MAKING COUNTER OFFERS. THIS IS KIND OF A
CLASSIC ILLUSTRATION OF OFFER, COUNTER OFFER, THAT KIND OF
THING.

Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT. THERE WERE ANY ORAL NEGOTIATIONS PRIOR TO THE SEQUENCE OF LETTERS THAT YOU REFERRED TO?

A I WOULD ASSUME THAT THERE WERE A NUMBER OF CONVERSATIONS. BUT I ALSO AM IMPRESSED WITH, AS I SAID, THE VOLUME OF THESE LETTERS. SO THERE WAS SOME REASON THAT I MAY NOT FULLY UNDERSTAND THAT MR. MULL SAW FIT TO WRITE ALL THESE LETTERS DOWN, BUT I THINK THEY CLEARLY DEMONSTRATE THAT SOMEONE WHO IS ENGAGED IN NEGOTIATING WITH THE GROUP.

THINGS DOWN IN SUCH DETAIL AS IS DONE HERE, THE VERY PAGE WE ARE LOOKING AT, ALL THAT DETAIL, SPECIFIC FIGURES AND ALL THAT, IT IS THE KIND OF DISCUSSION OF COST AND THINGS THAT YOU MIGHT SEE IN A LETTER FROM A REAL ESTATE AGENT OR SOMETHING.

Q WELL NOW, I APPRECIATE THAT LONG DIALOGUE, BUT YOU ARE NOT AWARE OF WHETHER OR NOT THERE WERE ANY ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PRIOR TO THE EXCHANGE OF LETTERS BETWEEN MR. MULL AND THE CHURCH, ARE YOU?

A WELL, I HAVE READ MR. MULL'S TESTIMONY IN THIS COURTROOM, AND I HAVE READ THE SUMMARY OF HIS DEPOSITION AND I HAVE READ THESE LETTERS.

ALL I CAN SAY -- I WASN'T PRESENT WHEN HE HAD

THESE DISCUSSIONS. IF YOU WANT ME TO ADMIT THAT, I WILL. I 1 2 DON'T HAVE THAT DATA ON WHICH TO DEPEND. I DON'T WANT TO MAKE IT TOUGH FOR YOU AND I 3 DON'T WANT YOU TO HAVE TO FEEL YOU ARE UNDER SOME KIND OF 5 OBLIGATION. BUT IF YOU DID NOT KNOW THAT THERE WERE ORAL 6 DISCUSSIONS AND YOU DON'T KNOW THE CONTENTS OF THEM, I DON'T 7 WANT TO PUT ANY PRESSURE ON YOU, BUT IF YOU DON'T KNOW THAT, 8 9 IT MIGHT BE EASIER TO JUST SAY YOU DON'T KNOW ABOUT IT. I DON'T KNOW THAT. 10 THANK YOU, SIR. 11 0 I KNOW WHAT HE WROTE IN THE LETTERS. 12 13 IS IT POSSIBLE -- YOU CALLED IT RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY, IS THAT WHAT YOU CALL IT? 14 15 YES. Α NOW, IF SOMEBODY HAS SOME KNOWLEDGE AND THEY 16 LEARN SOMETHING NEW, DOES THAT CONSTITUTE A PORTION OF THE 17 RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY? 18 19 THEY LEARN SOMETHING NEW? 20 YES. SAY THEY LEARN SOMETHING, AND THEY RELY ON IT, AND THEY BELIEVE IN IT AND THEY LEARN SOMETHING NEW. 21 AND WHEN THEY DISCUSS THAT SUBJECT, THEY SUPPORT THEIR NEW 22 THEORY, WOULD THAT BE PART OF THE THEORY? 23 AS A SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGIST, I WOULD ASK TO SEE 24 WHAT IT WAS FIRST THEY LEARNED THAT WAS NEW KNOWLEDGE. IF 25 IT WAS TRULY A NEW FACT THAT COULD BE VERIFIED BY OTHER 26 METHODS, I WOULD AGREE THAT THAT COULD FIT INTO 27 RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY THAT MIGHT BE MORE FACTUAL. 28

HOWEVER, I WOULD PAY VERY CLOSE ATTENTION TO WHAT THAT FACT WAS AND WHO WAS CLAIMING IT WAS AND WAS NOT A FACT BECAUSE THAT IS EXACTLY THE POINT. VARIOUS FACTS END UP BEING INTERPRETED DIFFERENT WAYS DEPENDING ON THE PARTICULAR PLACE, THE SOCIAL CONTEXT, THE SELF-INTEREST OF THE PERSON AT THE TIME.

- Q I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOU. IS THAT A YES?
- A WHAT IS THE QUESTION?
- Q WELL, SINCE YOU ANSWERED IT AT LENGTH, I AM SORRY, BUT I THOUGHT YOU UNDERSTOOD THE QUESTION.

MY QUESTION WAS IF SOMEBODY RELIED ON ONE STATE OF FACTS, AND THEN THEY GET SOME NEW INFORMATION, AND THEN THEY GO FORTH AND THEY ADVOCATE THE NEW POSITION ON THE NEW INFORMATION, WOULD THAT BE LIKE A RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY? WOULD THAT FIT INTO THE FORMAT YOU DESCRIBED TO US?

A YES.

Q KIND OF LIKE WHEN I TRUSTED MY MOTHER AND FATHER, AND THEY TOLD ME ABOUT THE TOOTH FAIRY, AND I WOKE UP AND CAUGHT THEM PUTTING THE QUARTER UNDER MY PILLOW AND FROM THAT TIME ON I NEVER BELIEVED IN THE FOOT FAIRY; THAT WOULD BE A RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY, WOULD IT NOT?

A I DON'T THINK I WOULD USE THE TERM TO DESCRIBE
THAT PARTICULAR EPISODE. I THINK THAT IS JUST A CHILD
COMING TO BE A MORE MATURE PERSON.

- Q I WAS 34 YEARS OLD THEN.
- A YOU HAVE COLLECTED A LOT OF MONEY FROM THE TOOTH FAIRY THEN.

Q WHAT ABOUT SANTA CLAUS? EVERYBODY LIES ABOUT SANTA CLAUS. IS THERE REALLY A SANTA CLAUS, DOCTOR? OR WHEN WE GO FORTH WHEN WE GET A LITTLE BIT OLDER AND WE GET SOME NEW KNOWLEDGE, IS THAT ALSO A RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY?

A WELL, I THINK YOU ARE OFFERING A TOO SIMPLISTIC ANALOGY OF WHAT RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY IS. EVERYONE ENGAGES IN RECONSTRUCTIONS OF THEIR BIOGRAPHY IN TERMS OF THE CURRENT CONTEXT IN WHICH THEY FIND THEMSELVES. AND IT IS NOT A SIMPLE OR INSIGNIFICANT KIND OF CONCEPT THAT DEALS WITH TOOTH FAIRIES AND WITH SANTA CLAUS.

PARTICULARLY IS OF INTEREST TO THE SOCIOLOGIST AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGIST WHERE YOU TALK ABOUT EPISODES WHERE PEOPLE HAVE DRAMATICALLY CHANGED THEIR POSITION WITH RESPECT TO SOME GROUP, OR WHERE THERE IS SOME CONTROVERSY PARTICULARLY WHEN PEOPLE ARE LEAVING A GROUP, PULLING OUT OF A CHURCH GROUP, OR PULLING OUT OF A POLITICAL ORGANIZATION OR SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE. THEY HAVE GOT TO COME TO SOME KIND OF EXPLANATIONS TO THEMSELVES ABOUT WHAT IT WAS THEY WERE DOING, WHAT HAPPENED TO THEM.

AND THAT IS THE KIND OF THING THAT IS VERY
IMPORTANT IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS CASE AND IS
WELL-ILLUSTRATED BY THE RESEARCH THAT HAS BEEN DONE ON
PEOPLE WHO HAVE LEFT NEW RELIGIONS. I CAN ELABORATE SOME,
IF YOU WOULD LIKE, ON THAT.

Q OH, NO. YOU HAVE DONE FINE. LET ME ASK YOU ANOTHER QUESTION.

LET'S SAY THAT HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION, LET'S SAY
THAT FOR WHATEVER REASON, YOU DECIDED I WAS YOUR SPIRITUAL
LEADER, AND I TOLD YOU I COULD FILL YOUR POCKETS AND MAKE
YOU LEVITATE. IF YOU FOUND OUT THAT I REALLY COULDN'T, AND
YOU LEFT MY GROUP AND THEN YOU TALKED ABOUT MY INABILITY TO
DO WHAT I SAID I COULD DO, WOULD THAT BE A RECONSTRUCTION OF
BIOGRAPHY?

A I AM NOT SURE WHICH ASPECT OF THAT QUESTION YOU WANT ME TO FOCUS ON. IT IS A VERY LONG QUESTION.

Q BUT YOU ARE AN EXPERT. YOU CAN HANDLE IT.

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS TO VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND COMPOUND.

THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: COULD YOU REPHRASE IT FOR ME?

MR. LEVY: I WONDER IF THE COURT WOULD BE KIND ENOUGH
TO HAVE THE REPORTER READ IT BACK.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. PLEASE.

(THE QUESTION WAS READ.)

THE WITNESS: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY CONCEPT WOULD BE APPLICABLE TO THAT KIND OF SITUATION. YOU WANT ME TO ELABORATE OR DO YOU WANT TO ASK ANOTHER QUESTION?

Q BY MR. LEVY: I THINK I WILL TAKE A SHOT AT ANOTHER QUESTION, DOCTOR.

NOW, SINCE YOU TOLD US THAT YOU ARE A THOUGHT REFORM EXPERT, MR. KLEIN ASKED YOU WITH REGARD TO ROBERT LIFTON'S -- PARDON ME, STRIKE THAT. THAT IS INCORRECT.

WITH REGARD TO THOSE SIX ITEMS THAT DR.

MARGARET THALER SINGER ENUMERATED AS PORTIONS OF A THOUGHT

4 5

REFORM PROGRAM, IF THOSE THINGS WERE ALL PRESENT, COULD A THOUGHT REFORM PROGRAM BE EFFECTIVE? AND YOUR RESPONSE WAS THAT YOU DIDN'T THINK SO, YOU DIDN'T THINK EVERYBODY IN A GROUP COULD BE AFFECTED.

IS IT POSSIBLE THAT SOME OF THE PEOPLE IN THE GROUP MIGHT BE AFFECTED?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. THAT CALLS FOR SPECULATION WHEN WE TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE PEOPLE MIGHT BE AFFECTED.

THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: THE SITUATION WITH REFERENCE TO
INDIVIDUALS WOULD BE AFFECTED BY ONE'S ABILITY TO ACTUALLY
ESTABLISH THOSE CONDITIONS. AND THOSE CONDITIONS WOULD BE
VERY DIFFICULT TO ESTABLISH OUTSIDE THE CONTEXT OF SOMETHING
LIKE A PRISON WHERE YOU HAD PHYSICAL CONTROL OF PEOPLE.

IN A SITUATION WHERE ALL THE INDIVIDUALS CAN

GET UP AND LEAVE, CAN GO OUT AND CATCH A BUS OR CAN USE A

TELEPHONE, CALL OTHER PEOPLE, HAVE OUTSIDE CONTACTS, I DON'T

SEE THOUGHT REFORM OPERATING THERE. I SEE RESOCIALIZATION

OPERATING AND THAT IS A DIFFERENT TERM.

THOUGHT REFORM IS A TERM LIKE BRAINWASHING. IT
IS NEGATIVELY CONNOTED TERM. WE HAVE ALL KINDS OF
SITUATIONS IN OUR SOCIETY WHERE THERE IS RESOCIALIZATION
GOING ON. JUST HOW THOROUGH THAT IS, HOW SYSTEMATIC IT IS
DEPENDS ON THE CONTEXT.

AND I AM SIMPLY SAYING THAT I HAVE SOME SERIOUS QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ABILITY OF ANY SUCH GROUP TO OPERATE IN OUR SOCIETY BECAUSE WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT PRISON BARS,

AND WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT PHYSICAL COERCION AND KEEPING PEOPLE INSIDE OF BUILDINGS AND GROUPS LIKE THAT.

Q BY MR. LEVY: LET ME SEE IF I UNDERSTAND YOU CORRECTLY. ARE YOU SUGGESTING THAT NO NEW AGE RELIGIONS SOMETIME HAVE A LESS THAN POSITIVE EFFECT ON SOME PEOPLE WHO GET CAUGHT UP IN THEIR DOGMA DOCTRINE AND DICTATES?

A I DON'T FOLLOW THAT QUESTION. YOU HAD A COUPLE OF NEGATIVES IN THERE THAT I GOT A LITTLE FOULED UP ON.

Q OKAY. AND SINCE I AM NOT A SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGIST, I HAVE PROBLEMS SAYING IT THE RIGHT WAY.

LET'S SAY WE HAD A CULT, AND LET'S SAY THERE
WERE SOME PEOPLE, A WHOLE BUNCH OF THEM, THAT WERE EXPOSED
TO IT, AND LET'S SAY MOST OF THOSE PEOPLE LEFT AND WENT AWAY
BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T LIKE IT. AND LET'S SAY A FEW OF THEM
STAYED THERE.

IS IT POSSIBLE THAT THAT EXPERIENCE MIGHT NOT BE BENEFICIAL TO THE FEW WHO STAYED THERE?

A I DON'T SEE WHY THEY WOULD STAY THERE IF IT
WASN'T BENEFICIAL. THE MODEL OF CONVERSION THAT I AND A LOT
OF OTHER PEOPLE HAVE ARRIVED AT THROUGH EMPIRICAL STUDY IS
ONE THAT HAS AN ACTIVE PERSON LOOKING FOR POSITIVE OPTIONS
FOR THEM. SO I DON'T SEE WHY THEY WOULD STAY THERE. THEY
ARE NOT TRAPPED, THEY ARE NOT IMPRISONED.

Q EXCUSE ME ONE SECOND.

DOCTOR, DID YOU EVER DO ANY SCHOLARLY RESEARCH ON A GROUP CALLED THE CHILDREN OF GOD?

A YES, I HAVE.

Q IS THAT THE GROUP WHERE THE LITTLE GIRLS BECOME

THAT IS A PRACTICE OF THE GROUP. IN FACT I HAVE WRITTEN AN ARTICLE IN THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF RELIGION, THE JOURNAL OF AMERICAN ACADEMY OF RELIGION ABOUT THEIR

WHAT DO YOU THINK THE BENEFIT TO THOSE LITTLE KIDS IS FOR BECOMING HOOKERS FOR CHRIST?

WELL, I AM NOT SURE I AGREE WITH YOUR PHRASEOLOGY OF "LITTLE KIDS."

BIG GIRLS THEN?

OKAY, BIG GIRLS. DO YOU WANT ME TO DESCRIBE

NO. I JUST --

-- WHAT THAT PROCESS IS?

NO. I JUST WANT YOU TO TELL ME WHAT BENEFIT THERE IS TO THEM WHEN THEY JOIN -- WHEN IT IS SUPPOSED TO BE A RELIGIOUS ORDER AND THEY BECOME PROSTITUTES?

I AM NOT SURE THAT IS A BENEFIT. I DON'T THINK IT IS A BENEFIT. I DID NOT SAY THAT IN THE LITERATURE THAT

BUT YOU JUST TOLD ME A MOMENT AGO THAT IF SOMEBODY JOINS A NEW AGE RELIGION, THEY STAY THERE FOR THE BENEFITS. AND I AM CURIOUS TO KNOW WHAT THE PARTICULAR BENEFIT WAS IN THAT INSTANCE?

IN THOSE INSTANCES, THIS IS, GRANTED, A VERY UNUSUAL ORGANIZATION WHERE A FEW OF THE MEMBERS WERE TALKED INTO GETTING INVOLVED IN PROSTITUTION AS A WAY OF SOLICITING NEW MEMBERS. I DON'T SUPPORT THAT. I DON'T THINK IT WAS A

GOOD IDEA.

IN FACT, I AM ONE OF THE PEOPLE THAT MADE THAT KIND OF PROCEDURE KNOWN TO OTHER PEOPLE BY PUBLISHING AN ARTICLE DESCRIBING IT. I DO NOT MAKE A CLAIM THAT EVERYONE WHO JOINS A NEW RELIGION BENEFITS FROM IT IN SOME KIND OF EXPLICIT WAY. I DON'T CONDONE PROSTITUTION. I DON'T THINK ANYONE IN THIS ROOM WOULD.

Q I DIDN'T SUGGEST YOU DID, SIR. AND IF THAT IS THE IMPLICATION THAT YOU PICKED UP FROM WHAT I WAS SAYING, THEN I APOLOGIZE TO YOU.

YOU TALKED ABOUT RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY.

THE LETTER YOU LOOKED AT THERE IS FEBRUARY THE 22ND, 1979?

A YES.

Q WHILE MR. MULL IS STILL RIGHT IN THE HEART OF THAT GROUP, HE HAS NOT LEFT, HE HAS NOT BEEN KICKED OUT AT THAT POINT, HE IS RIGHT THERE FUNCTIONING, BELIEVING, DOING WHAT THE GROUP NEEDS AND WANTS HIM TO DO, AND HE'S NEGOTIATING DOLLARS, AT THAT POINT WAS HE DOING RECONSTRUCTIVE BIOGRAPHY, OR WAS HE JUST SIMPLY TRYING TO CLARIFY WHATEVER ARRANGEMENT HAD BEEN MADE BETWEEN HIMSELF AND THE PEOPLE IN CHARGE OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT OR DO YOU KNOW?

A I THINK HE WAS INVOLVED IN RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY. AS I SAID, THESE LETTERS REPRESENT AN UNUSUAL DATA SET BECAUSE MOST OF THE NEGOTIATING THAT INDIVIDUALS HAVE DONE IN NEW AGE RELIGIOUS GROUPS HAS BEEN ORAL, NOT WRITTEN. SO THIS REPRESENTS A VERY UNUSUAL DATA SET IN THAT REGARD.

Q CAN YOU DO RECONSTRUCTIVE BIOGRAPHY WHILE YOU ARE STILL PART AND PARCEL OF SOMETHING?

A ANYONE -- WELL, THE ANSWER IS YES, YOU CAN.

MOST PEOPLE WHO JOIN ANY KIND OF GROUP DO SO WITH CERTAIN

KINDS OF RESERVATIONS. SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGISTS HAVE REFERRED

TO THAT OCCASIONALLY AS QUALIFIED JOINING WHERE YOU HAVE

SOME QUALIFICATIONS OR SOME QUESTIONS.

ONE SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGIST HAS REFERRED TO THIS AS LATENT RESERVATIONS WHEN PEOPLE JOIN. WHEN THEY START PARTICIPATING IN A GROUP, IF THINGS DON'T WORK OUT AS THEY SAY, THEN THEY START LOOKING AT ALTERNATIVES AND DEVELOPING ALTERNATIVES OUTSIDE THE GROUP, LOOKING AROUND.

THEY START NEGOTIATING TO SEE IF THEY CAN WORK

OUT AN ARRANGEMENT THAT IS A LITTLE MORE TO THEIR BENEFIT OR

THEY CAN LIVE WITH IT.

SO ONE OF THE PROBLEMS THAT THE EARLY
LITERATURE HAD OUT OF SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY AND SOCIOLOGY IS
THERE WAS SOME KIND OF AN ASSUMPTION OF AN INSTANTANEOUS
CHANGE IN PEOPLE WHEN THEY JOINED GROUPS WHERE THEIR BELIEFS
WERE CHANGED LITERALLY OVERNIGHT OR IN AN INSTANT AND THEY
WERE DIFFERENT FROM THEN ON.

AND THE LITERATURE, THE EMPIRICAL RESEARCH THAT HAS BEEN DONE ON NEW RELIGIONS BY SOCIOLOGIST, PSYCHOLOGIST AND MOST PSYCHOLOGISTS AND PSYCHIATRISTS SIMPLY DOESN'T SUPPORT THAT. IT IS PEOPLE MAKING SIDE BETS, AND CHECKING OUT OTHER ALTERNATIVES AND LOOKING AT THEM. THAT IS THE PROCESS.

SO I AM NOT AT ALL SURPRISED THAT WHILE SOMEONE

WAS STILL A PART OF A GROUP, THEY WOULD BE ENGAGED IN SOME KIND OF RECONSTRUCTION, REINTERPRETATION OF THEIR BIOGRAPHY TO FIT BETTER WITH THE FACTS. THEY ARE NOT GETTING QUITE THE DEAL THEY THOUGHT THEY WERE GETTING, AND SO THEY END UP COMING TO SOME NEW INTERPRETATION OF THAT.

Q DO YOU THINK MR. MULL, WHEN HE SAID, "I CAN'T MAKE ANY COMMITMENT UNTIL YOU ACCEPT MY TERMS," HE WAS SETTING FORTH EXACTLY WHAT HIS TERMS WERE?

A AT THE TIME, YES.

Q AND YOU DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THOSE WERE

THE TERMS OF ANY OFFER THAT BROUGHT HIM TO CAMELOT? YOU

HAVE NO IDEA WHETHER OR NOT -- WHAT HE IS SETTING FORTH ARE

THE TERMS OF WHAT BROUGHT HIM THERE IN THE FIRST PLACE, DO

YOU?

A WELL, I DIDN'T BRING THE REST OF THE LETTERS UP
HERE, BUT HE WROTE LETTERS THAT TALK ABOUT -- USE THE WORD
"LOAN" AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE.

SO I THINK WHEN THOSE LETTERS WERE WRITTEN

BEFORE THESE LETTERS, THAT THEY INDICATE — THEY EITHER

INDICATE THAT HE WAS INVOLVED IN DISSIMULATION AND NOT

SAYING WHAT HE REALLY INTENDED OR THAT HE THOUGHT THEY WERE

LOANS.

HE MAY HAVE CHANGED HIS MIND LATER IN A
DIFFERENT SITUATION, BUT THE LETTERS REFLECT WHAT HE SAID.
I MEAN IT IS AS GOOD AS A TAPE RECORDING OF AN ORAL
CONVERSATION.

Q YOU MADE REFERENCE TO IT IS AS GOOD AS A TAPE RECORDING OF AN ORAL CONVERSATION.

YOU REMEMBER THE TAPE RECORDING OF THE ORAL CONVERSATION, THE TWO AND A HALF HOUR ORAL CONVERSATION, DO YOU REMEMBER IN THERE WHERE MR. MULL REPEATEDLY SAYS, "WHAT YOU ARE DOING NOW IS NOT ACCORDING TO THE TERMS UNDER WHICH I CAME TO CAMELOT"?

A YES, I REMEMBER THAT.

Q DOES THAT NOT SUGGEST TO YOU THAT MAYBE ALL OF THE NEGOTIATION, AS YOU REFER TO IT, IS PREDICATED ON HIS BELIEF THAT HE WENT TO CAMELOT UNDER OTHER TERMS?

A THAT IS HIS VIEW OF WHAT -- THAT IS HIS VIEW AT THE TIME, UNLESS AGAIN HE WAS DISSIMULATING DURING THE MEETING.

Q ISN'T IT STRANGE IN THE COURSE OF ALL THESE
LETTERS, AND THE FOUR YOU CHOSE TO BRING US AND EVERYTHING
ELSE THAT ALL THE DISSIMULATION SO FAR THAT YOU HAVE FOUND
MUST BE FROM MR. MULL?

IS IT POSSIBLE, SIR, THAT SOME OF THE
DISSIMULATION, IF THERE BE ANY, MIGHT HAVE COME FROM A GROUP
OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE ADMITTEDLY NOT SET OUT SPECIFIC TERMS AND
WHO HAVE TESTIFIED HERE THAT ARRANGEMENTS WERE NOT
COMPLETED, ONLY PARTIALLY COMPLETED BEFORE MR. MULL WENT TO
CAMELOT?

DID YOU BOTHER TO READ ALL OF THOSE LETTERS?

A I HAVE READ A LENGTHY LIST OF THINGS. THE

ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION IS THERE -- YES, THERE MAY HAVE BEEN

MISUNDERSTANDING ON BOTH SIDES. I HAVE -- THAT'S POSSIBLE.

Q DID YOU READ ANY OF THE TESTIMONY OF ANY OF THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE TESTIFIED FOR THE CHURCH?

3 4

2

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

NO.

DOESN'T IT SEEM LIKE IT MIGHT HAVE GIVEN YOU BOTH SIDES OF THE COIN, A LITTLE BETTER PERSPECTIVE TO MAKE ALL OF YOUR DECISIONS IF YOU HAD READ BOTH SIDES?

WELL, I DID READ TWO DIFFERENT TIMES THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE JUNE THE 6TH MEETING AND I HAVE ALSO READ MR. MULL'S LETTERS, WHICH ARE OBVIOUSLY REACTING TO DISCUSSIONS HE'S HAD WITH CHURCH MEMBERS AND OTHER THINGS.

I MUST ADMIT THERE'S ALSO BEEN A PROBLEM OF TIME IN TERMS OF JUST MY ABILITY TO READ TRANSCRIPTS. BUT I THINK I HAVE A FLAVOR FOR THE KINDS OF THINGS -- HE SOMETIMES QUOTES CHURCH MEMBERS IN THE LETTERS AS SAYING CERTAIN THINGS TO HIM AND HE WANTS CLARIFICATION ON THEM OR THINGS OF THAT NATURE.

SO I MUST ADMIT I THINK I HAVE A FLAVOR FOR THE CHURCH'S POSITION. I AM NOT AT ALL SAYING THAT THERE COULDN'T BE A MISUNDERSTANDING THERE. THAT IS PART OF THE NEGOTIATION.

> I THINK I HAVE A FLAVOR, TOO. Q

TELL ME, DOCTOR, AS A SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGIST, IF SOMEONE WHO PURPORTS TO BE THE SPIRITUAL HEAD OF AN ORGANIZATION DELIVERS MATERIAL TO HER FOLLOWERS, MATERIAL THAT YOU AND I WHO ARE PRAGMATISTS AND PRACTICAL PEOPLE AND ACCEPT TRUISMS THAT WE SEE IN LIFE, IF SOMEONE WERE TO DELIVER A MESSAGE TO HER FOLLOWERS, AND THE MESSAGE PURPORTED TO BE FROM SOMEONE WHO US POOR MORTALS CONSIDER TO BE DIED, PASSED ON AND GONE ON THEIR WAY, AND THIS INDIVIDUAL DELIVERED IT TO HER FOLLOWERS AS SOME SPECIAL

COMMUNICATION TO HER, WOULD YOU CONSIDER THAT THAT KIND OF COMMUNICATION MIGHT BE MANIPULATIVE?

## A MANIPULATIVE?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND CALLING FOR SPECULATION FROM THE WITNESS.

THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: COULD I ASK WHAT YOU MEAN BY "MANIPULATIVE" BEFORE I PROCEED?

Q BY MR. LEVY: YOU REMEMBER I TOLD YOU ABOUT MY PARENTS WHO KEPT PUTTING QUARTERS UNDER THERE LIKE THEY WERE KIND OF MAYBE MANIPULATING ME? BUT LET'S SAY THIS MANIPULATION IS A HELL OF A LOT BIGGER. LET'S SAY IT IS A REAL MANIPULATION.

- A WHAT IS A "REAL MANIPULATION"?
- Q A MANIPULATION TO MAINTAIN CONTROL AND DOMINANCE OVER FOLLOWERS. THAT IS WHAT I MEAN BY A BIG MANIPULATION.
- A WELL, ARE YOU ASSUMING THAT THAT IS WHAT TAKES

  PLACE EVERY TIME SOMEONE WHO CLAIMS TO BE A SPIRITUAL LEADER

  SPEAKS TO HIS OR HER FOLLOWERS?
  - Q NO, THAT IS NOT WHAT I SAID, DOCTOR.

WHAT I SAID WAS IF A SPIRITUAL LEADER PASSES ON TO HER FOLLOWERS WHAT PURPORTS TO BE A MESSAGE THAT CAME FROM SOMEBODY WHO IS ALREADY DECEASED DIRECTLY TO THAT INDIVIDUAL AND THAT INDIVIDUAL PASSES IT ON TO THE FLOCK, IS THE OBTAINING OF THAT KIND OF INFORMATION AND THAT KIND OF PRESENTATION TO THE FLOCK GENERALLY DONE WITH THE INTENT TO MANIPULATE OR SUPPORT THAT POSITION OF EMINENCE WITHIN THAT

QUASI-RELIGIOUS GROUP?

A I DON'T THINK SO. I SIMPLY THINK THAT MOST

SPIRITUAL LEADERS OF RELIGIONS ARE IN FACT MAKING A GENUINE

EFFORT TO LEAD THEIR FLOCKS IN THE WAY OF SPIRITUAL

DEVELOPMENT. I AM NOT ANTIRELIGIOUS --

Q I -- I BELIEVE YOU ARE NOT. I BELIEVE YOU ARE VERY STRAIGHTFORWARD AND I BELIEVE YOU ARE HONEST. AND THAT IS WHY I WANT TO SHOW YOU THIS.

I'D ASK THAT THEY MARK THIS FOR IDENTIFICATION
AS THE NEXT NUMBER IN ORDER, WHICH, UNFORTUNATELY, LYNN, I
HAVE FORGOTTEN.

THE COURT: 119.

(MARKED FOR ID: ^ EXHIBIT 119, LETTER)

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

Q SIR, THIS PURPORTS TO BE A LETTER TO ELIZABETH
CLARE PROPHET FROM JOHN F. KENNEDY. IF YOU WILL LOOK AT THE
DATE OF THAT, YOU WILL NOTICE THAT THE COMMUNICATION CAME
SOME SEVEN DAYS AFTER MR. KENNEDY WAS ASSASSINATED. NOW
MY --

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT. I THINK

COUNSEL -- THERE IS NOT A FOUNDATION FOR -- COUNSEL IN FACT

TESTIFIED AS TO WHO THAT LETTER WAS FROM. IT MENTIONS

ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET AND THERE IS NOTHING IN THAT LETTER

THAT SAYS THAT.

THE COURT: LET'S SEE WHAT --

MR. KLEIN: HE HAS GIVEN THE WITNESS AN ASSUMPTION THAT THERE IS NO FOUNDATION FOR. THAT IS MY OBJECTION.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU START WITH A QUESTION.

MR. LEVY: LET ME POINT OUT TO YOU SEVERAL THINGS IN THIS LETTER.

THE COURT: I HAVE NO OPINION ABOUT THE LETTER RIGHT NOW. I HAVE NEVER SEEN IT BEFORE. IN FACT I HAVEN'T SEEN IT YET. YOU HAVEN'T GIVEN ME A COPY.

MR. LEVY: I JUST GOT IT MYSELF, YOUR HONOR.

MR. KLEIN: COUNSEL NEVER SEEMS TO HAVE COPIES, SO WE CAN NEVER READ THE LETTERS WHEN WE GET THEM.

MR. LEVY: IT WAS -- I WON'T HAVE A DIALOGUE. EXCUSE ME, SIR.

THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, AM I SUPPOSED TO READ THIS?
THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. BEATS ME.

Q BY MR. LEVY: WHY DON'T YOU READ THE INITIAL PARAGRAPH, AND MAYBE THAT WILL CLARIFY WHAT THE LETTER IS AND JUST EXACTLY WHERE IT CAME FROM.

THE WITNESS: WOULD YOU LIKE TO READ THE LETTER?

THE COURT: OH, LET ME LOOK AT IT FOR A SECOND. I AM

PROBABLY GOING TO HAVE TO.

MR. KLEIN: WITH THE COURT'S PERMISSION, MIGHT I ALSO TAKE ONE QUICK LOOK AT THE LETTER? I SAW IT A MOMENT AGO, BUT I'D LIKE TO LOOK AT IT.

THE COURT: SURE.

Q BY MR. LEVY: NOW FOR PURPOSES OF MY
HYPOTHETICAL, LET'S ASSUME THIS LETTER CAME, AS IT SAYS IT
DOES, DOWN FROM THE ASCENDED MASTERS. AND TO FURTHER INFORM
YOU, WE HAVE BEEN INFORMED IN THIS COURTROOM THAT THE
ASCENDED MASTERS IN THIS CHURCH SPEAKS ONLY THROUGH
ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET.

THE LETTER ALSO BEARS A COPYRIGHT ON THE BOTTOM AND YOU WILL NOTE THE COPYRIGHT IS IN THE NAME OF SUMMIT LIGHTHOUSE, WHICH IS THE PREDECESSOR OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT.

A WHAT IS IT YOU WANT ME TO SAY ABOUT THE LETTER?

Q WELL, I WANT TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THE

LETTER. IF THE SPIRIT -- AND THIS IS THE HYPOTHETICAL

QUESTION.

IF THE LETTER THAT PURPORTS TO COME FROM A DECEASED INDIVIDUAL THROUGH ONE OF THE ASCENDED MASTERS TO THIS GROUP IS DISSEMINATED TO THE GROUP UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE SPIRITUAL LEADER OF THE GROUP, DOES IT SEEM PROBABLE TO YOU THAT THE SPIRITUAL LEADER OF THE GROUP MIGHT MAINTAIN --- BOY, THAT IS GETTING HAIRY. LET ME SHORTEN IT UP IF I CAN.

CAN YOU SEE ANY OTHER REASON OTHER THAN TO BE ABLE TO MANIPULATE THE FOLLOWERS INTO BELIEVING THE EMINENCE OF THE SPIRITUAL LEADER OF A COMMUNITY TO DISSEMINATE TO THEM A LETTER DATED BY SOMEONE WHO DIED SEVEN DAYS BEFORE THE DATE OF THE LETTER?

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, MY OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, IS THE LETTER IS DATED 1963.

THE COURT: JUST TELL US WHAT THE GROUNDS ARE.

MR. KLEIN: THE HYPOTHETICAL SAID THAT ELIZABETH

CLARE PROPHET IS TO BE ASSUMED TO BE THE SPIRITUAL LEADER.

IN 1963, SHE WASN'T THE SPIRITUAL LEADER, YOUR HONOR. WE

HAVE HAD TESTIMONY THAT SHE WAS NOT AT THAT TIME. MARK

PROPHET WAS STILL ALIVE AT THAT TIME, YOUR HONOR.

THAT IS MY OBJECTION. THE HYPOTHETICAL IS INCORRECT WHEN IT MENTIONS THIS PARTICULAR PERSON AND I WOULD SAY IT IS NOT RELEVANT TO THIS CASE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q BY MR. LEVY: HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION, DOCTOR.

WE DO UNDERSTAND THAT SUMMIT LIGHTHOUSE BECAME CHURCH

UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT?

A YES.

ARE AN EXPERT IN SOCIOLOGY AND SOCIOLOGICAL PSYCHOLOGY,
WOULD THAT TYPE OF COMMUNICATION TO FOLLOWERS GENERALLY BE
USED FOR ANYTHING OTHER THAN AN ATTEMPT TO MANIPULATE AND
CONTROL AND TO DOMINATE FOLLOWERS, ESPECIALLY SINCE IT
PURPORTS TO COME AND BE DATED SEVEN DAYS AFTER THE DEATH OF
THE INDIVIDUAL?

A I -- I HAVE NO WAY OF ATTESTING TO THE VERACITY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Q I DIDN'T ASK YOU TO.

A WELL, YOU ARE IMPLYING THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS TOTALLY FALSE.

Q DOCTOR, EXCUSE ME, DOCTOR. THIS IS NOT A DEBATE. I GAVE YOU A HYPOTHETICAL AND I --

THE COURT: LET HIM ANSWER, PLEASE.

THE WITNESS: YOU ARE IMPLYING THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS TOTALLY FALSE. I HAVE NO WAY, PARTICULARLY AS A SOCIOLOGIST, TO ATTEST TO THE VERACITY OF THIS -- I WOULD CALL IT A REVELATION.

WHEN SOME SPIRITUAL LEADER GIVES A REVELATION

TO A CHURCH, THEY ARE DOING IT, I THINK, GENERALLY BECAUSE
THEY THINK THEY HAVE HAD A REVELATION THAT WOULD BE OF SOME
VALUE TO THEIR MEMBERS.

THE FACT THAT IT IS FROM PRESIDENT -SUPPOSEDLY FROM PRESIDENT KENNEDY SHORTLY AFTER HIS DEATH, I
AM NOT WILLING TO SAY THAT SOMETHING OF THIS NATURE IS
MANIPULATIVE. IT WAS PROBABLY PRESENTED IN THE CONTEXT OF A
RELIGIOUS SERVICE OR EXPERIENCE.

AND EVEN AS A SOCIOLOGIST, I MUST SAY THAT
THERE IS SOME VALUE IN THOSE KINDS OF EXPERIENCES FOR
PEOPLE. SO NO, I DON'T CONSIDER IT MANIPULATIVE TO HAVE
THESE KINDS OF PRESENTATIONS.

I WOULD GO -- AT THE RISK OF OFFENDING SOME CHURCH MEMBERS, I WOULD ALSO SAY THAT PROBABLY A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO HEARD THIS, IF IT WAS PRESENTED, HAD SOME QUESTION ABOUT WHERE IT CAME FROM AND ITS MEANING.

ONE OF THE THINGS I FOUND FROM SITTING IN LOTS
OF MEETINGS AND LOTS OF NEW RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS IS LOTS
OF FOLKS HAVE RESERVATIONS ABOUT THINGS THAT SOME OF THEIR
LEADERS SAY SOMETIMES. SO THEY QUESTION, THEY RAISE ISSUES,
THEY TALK AMONG THEMSELVES.

SO I WOULD -- AS A SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGIST, I WOULD HAVE BEEN FASCINATED TO BE THERE THE NIGHT THIS LETTER WAS READ AND THEN AFTER THE SERVICE, LISTEN TO PEOPLE TALK.

THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN MY INTEREST.

BUT I WILL CLOSE AND JUST REITERATE THAT I

CAN'T ATTEST TO THE VERACITY THAT SOMETHING A SPIRITUAL

LEADER SAYS MAY BE A REVELATION FROM GOD OR FROM A DESCENDED

(SIC) MASTER. THAT IS NOT WITHIN THE PURVIEW OF SOCIOLOGY. 1 BY MR. LEVY: THEN LET ME JUST ASK YOU ONE LAST 2 PRACTICAL QUESTION. 3 HAVE YOU EVER KNOWN ANYBODY WHO DIED, AND GOT RESURRECTED AND THEN WROTE YOU A LETTER SEVEN DAYS AFTER 5 THEY HAVE DIED? 6 7 Α HAVE I EVER KNOWN ANYONE? YOU DO A LOT OF RESEARCH. YES, YOU. 8 9 YOU MEAN HAS SOMEONE I KNOW PERSONALLY DONE THAT, OR DO I KNOW THAT IT HAS HAPPENED OR --10 NO, NO, NO. LET ME TRY TO HELP YOU BECAUSE YOU 11 KEEP GETTING MIXED UP 12 13 HAVE YOU EVER KNOWN ANYONE WHO DIED, AND THEN CAME BACK SEVEN DAYS LATER AND WROTE YOU A LETTER, YOU? 14 NO. 15 MR. LEVY: NEITHER HAVE I, DOCTOR. 16 NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE WITNESS: DO YOU WANT THE LETTER? 18 MR. LEVY: OH, I WILL GET IT AFTER A BIT. 19 THE COURT: IT WILL BE PICKED UP. 20 THE WITNESS: OKAY. THANK YOU. 21 22 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION + BY MR. KLEIN: 24 I JUST HAVE ONE QUESTION, DOCTOR. 25 YOU TESTIFIED THAT THOSE FOUR LETTERS THAT YOU 26 ANALYZED FOR US FROM MR. MULL WERE EXAMPLES OF HIM 27 NEGOTIATING. WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE FACT THAT MR. 28

MULL NEGOTIATED WITH CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT IN THOSE LETTERS AND IN OTHER LETTERS?

A WELL, THE SIGNIFICANCE OF IT IS THAT IT
INDICATES THAT HE WAS A PERSON WHO WAS TRYING TO TAKE
CONTROL OF HIS LIFE AND HE WAS ACTING AS AN ACTIVE AGENT. I
I WOULD NOT ACCEPT THE IDEA BASED ON WHAT I HAVE READ OF MR.
MULL THAT HE WAS A PASSIVE KIND OF OBJECT BEING PUSHED
AROUND.

THERE IS TOO MUCH RATIONAL THOUGHT IN THESE LETTERS, THEY ARE TOO WELL-WRITTEN, THEY ARE BALANCED. I POINTED OUT IN SOME OF THEM THEY START OUT WITH ADULATION KINDS OF PHRASEOLOGY AND THEN MOVE TO WHAT IT IS THAT MR. MULL WOULD LIKE TO ACCOMPLISH VIA THAT LETTER.

SOMETIMES THEY CLOSE WITH KIND OF AN ULTIMATUM STATEMENT, "IF I DON'T HEAR FROM YOU, I WILL ASSUME THIS IS ALL RIGHT." IT IS VERY ILLOGICAL FOR ME TO THINK THAT LETTERS THAT CAREFULLY PUT TOGETHER COULD BE DONE BY SOMEONE WHO WASN'T IN CONTROL OF THEIR —— THEMSELVES AND THEIR SENSES.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.

1 HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

MR. LEVY: JUST ONE, YOUR HONOR.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION +

BY MR. LEVY:

Q I AM LOOKING AT EXHIBIT NUMBER 30. DOCTOR, I'D LIKE YOU TO -- BEFORE I SHOW YOU THIS, ONE QUESTION.

IN ALL THE READING THAT YOU HAVE DONE, IS THERE

ANY INDICATION THAT AT ANY TIME MR. MULL WAS MAYBE UPSET OR FELT PRESSURED OR MIGHT HAVE BEEN EMOTIONALLY CONSTRAINED WHEN HE WAS WRITING ANY OF THOSE LETTERS?

A WELL, I HAD NO INDICATION ON THE FACE OF THE LETTERS. A LOT OF THEM WERE HANDWRITTEN, OBVIOUSLY WRITTEN AT HOME BY HIMSELF, THAT KIND OF THING. MAYBE I AM NOT CLEAR ON YOUR QUESTION.

Q I SEEM TO HAVE A PROBLEM MAKING MY QUESTIONS CLEAR.

IN ALL THE LETTERS THAT YOU HAVE READ, DID ANY
OF THE LETTERS INDICATE TO YOU THAT MR. MULL MIGHT HAVE BEEN
UPSET OR UNDER SOME KIND OF EMOTIONAL CONSTRAINT WHEN HE
WROTE ANY OF HIS LETTERS?

- A WELL, THAT -- THAT IS A COMPOUND QUESTION.
- O IT PROBABLY IS.

A HE CERTAINLY WAS UPSET, FOR INSTANCE, THE

LETTER TO RANDALL KING. HE WAS VERY UPSET THAT A MESSAGE

HAD BEEN LEFT ON HIS DICTAPHONE FROM MR. KING THAT WAS

APPARENTLY ABUSIVE OR SOMETHING AND HE WROTE, WHAT IS IT,

SIX-PAGE LETTER IN LONGHAND THAT IN ITSELF EXPRESSES A GREAT

DEAL OF UPSETNESS OR ANGER.

WHETHER HE WAS UNDER SOME KIND OF COERCION TO WRITE THE LETTER, I -- THAT DOESN'T TO ME MAKE SENSE THAT SOMEONE TALKED HIM INTO WRITING THIS LETTER.

Q I DON'T THINK I SUGGESTED THAT, SIR. YOU'VE CHARACTERIZED THE LETTERS AS WRITTEN BY SOMEONE TOTALLY IN CONTROL. NOW YOU TELL US THAT WHEN YOU LOOK AT SOME OF THOSE LETTERS, YOU SEE THEY WERE WRITTEN BY SOMEONE WHO WAS

EMOTIONALLY DISTRAUGHT ON SOME OCCASIONS.

I HAVE A PROBLEM BECAUSE I CAN'T DECIDE WHETHER MR. MULL WAS IN TOTAL CONTROL AND MAYBE MANIPULATING, OR HE WAS EMOTIONALLY UPSET AND TRYING TO CLARIFY A SITUATION.

DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA FROM HAVING READ THE

LETTERS?

A YES. THE FACT THAT HE IS EMOTIONALLY UPSET IN THE LETTER TO RANDALL KING DOESN'T UNDERCUT THE ARGUMENT THAT HE WAS IN CONTROL OF HIMSELF. IN FACT I THINK WHEN HE IS UPSET AT SOMETHING OF THIS NATURE, IT IS VERY REVEALING TO SEE THE KINDS OF THINGS HE DOES WRITE.

Q I WONDER IF YOU'D BE KIND ENOUGH TO -- THIS IS ONE LETTER FROM MR. MULL THAT YOU HAVEN'T MENTIONED YET.

THAT IS EXHIBIT NUMBER 30.

MR. KLEIN: WHAT IS THE DATE OF THAT LETTER, PLEASE? THE WITNESS: JUNE 5TH, 1979.

MAY I READ THE LETTER?

THE COURT: SURE.

THE WITNESS: SORRY IT TOOK SO LONG. I HAVE SKIMMED
THE LETTER. I HAVE READ IT BEFORE.

Q BY MR. LEVY: DOES THE FIRST PARAGRAPH GIVE YOU ANY INDICATION THAT MR. MULL MIGHT BE IN SOME KIND OF EMOTIONAL TURMOIL OR NOT TOTALLY IN CONTROL?

WE HAVE READ THE LETTER IN ITS ENTIRETY TO THE COURT. I WONDER IF YOU'D DO US A FAVOR AND READ JUST THE FIRST PARAGRAPH.

A ALL RIGHT. (READING.)

"DEAR MOTHER AND MONROE,

STATEMENT.

 "AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION AND NOT COMPLAINT." THAT IS A PRETTY RATIONAL

"MY LIFE SINCE BEING HERE THE LAST FIVE MONTHS IS LIKE A YO-YO -- DIFFERENT THAN WHAT I EXPERIENCED IN THE WORLD. SICK, THEN WELL, FULL OF ENERGY, THEN DEPLETED. I CALL IT GOD OPPORTUNITY, BALANCING KARMA."

## IS THAT --

Q NOW WITH THAT DESCRIPTION, IS IT STILL YOUR OPINION THAT MR. MULL WAS IN TOTAL CONTROL OF HIS SITUATION WHEN HE WROTE SOME OF THOSE OTHER LETTERS THAT YOU'VE POINTED OUT TO US?

A I THINK MR. MULL HAS SHOWN A REMARKABLE ABILITY

AT SELF-CONTROL AND AT BEING RATIONAL IN LETTERS HE WROTE

BEFORE AND AFTER THIS ONE. I AM NOT -- I DON'T THINK THIS

IS AN IRRATIONAL LETTER.

IS THAT YOUR IMPLICATION, THAT THOSE STATEMENTS ARE NOT RATIONAL OR --

Q OKAY, DOCTOR. I SURRENDER. ALL I CAN DO IS
TRY TO ASK THE QUESTIONS.

YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND MY QUESTION WITH REGARD TO THE FIRST PARAGRAPH? IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?

- A I AM WILLING TO LISTEN TO IT AGAIN.
- Q OKAY. LET ME TRY ONE MORE TIME.

THE MAN IS COMPLAINING THAT HE'S BEEN SICK AND HE'S BEEN WELL, HE'S BEEN UP AND HE'S BEEN DOWN. IS THAT DESCRIPTIVE OF A MAN WHO IS IN A SITUATION THAT IS NOT

FRAUGHT WITH EMOTION AND CAPABLE OF KEEPING HIM FROM BEING SO TOTALLY IRRATIONAL AS YOU DESCRIBE HIM IN ALL OF THE LETTERS THAT PRECEDE THAT AND FOLLOW THAT?

A I AM NOT SAYING THAT MR. MULL DIDN'T HAVE
EMOTION WHEN HE WROTE A LOT OF THESE LETTERS. IN FACT QUITE
THE CONTRARY. HE -- HE IS SOMETIMES AN EMOTIONAL PERSON AND
THAT IT SHOWS IN THE LETTERS.

THE FACT THAT HE WAS SAYING THINGS WERE LIKE A YO-YO HERE IN JUNE THE 5TH OF 1979, BY THAT TIME PERIOD, MR. MULL HAD FOUND OUT THAT AGREEMENTS HE'D EITHER MADE OR THOUGHT HE HAD MADE WERE NOT IN FACT THE CASE AND HE WAS SUFFERING CONSIDERABLE DISQUIET ABOUT THAT WOULD BE HOW I WOULD INTERPRET IT.

HE WAS INVOLVED IN REALIZING THAT SOME THINGS

WERE NOT LIKE HE THOUGHT AND HE WAS CONCERNED. SO I -
WE -- I THINK THE PARAGRAPH SUPPORTS THE KIND OF THEORY THAT

I HAVE LAID OUT HERE, AND YOU SEEM NOT TO AND I AM SORRY

THAT I CAN'T SEE YOUR POINT.

Q HAVE YOU EVER HEARD THE OLD SAYING, DOCTOR, THOSE WHO CAN, DO; AND THOSE WHO CAN'T, TEACH?

NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: LET ME ASK A QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: CERTAINLY.

THE COURT: JUST TO BE SURE THAT I UNDERSTAND THIS CLEARLY.

IS IT CORRECT THAT YOU YOURSELF HAVE NO OPINION OR HAVE NO BASIS FOR AN OPINION AS TO WHETHER, BEFORE MR. MULL WROTE THOSE NEGOTIATING INSTRUMENTS THAT YOU TALKED

ABOUT, HE AND THE CHURCH DID OR DID NOT HAVE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH HE WOULD BE AT CAMELOT?

THE WITNESS: I -- SOME OF THE LETTERS DATE BACK TO 1974. FIRST ONE I READ DATES BACK TO 1974. AND SOME OF THOSE MADE VERY CLEAR STATEMENTS THAT HE HAS CERTAIN NEEDS TO BE MET AND HE USES THE WORD "LOAN" IN THAT CONTEXT WITH NO QUALIFICATION.

I TAKE THOSE TO MEAN THAT THAT IS WHAT HE
THOUGHT AT THE TIME UNLESS WE WANT TO MAKE A CASE, WHICH I
AM NOT PREPARED TO MAKE, THAT HE IS INVOLVED IN
DISSIMULATION. HE SAYS "LOAN" IN THOSE EARLY LETTERS. SO I
THINK HE HAD AN UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY WERE LOANING HIM
MONEY.

THE COURT: LET ME TRY ONE MORE TIME.

THE WITNESS: OKAY.

THE COURT: IS IT CORRECT THAT YOU ARE -- THAT YOU HAVE NO BASIS FOR FORMING AN OPINION AS TO WHETHER MR. MULL AND THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT DID OR DID NOT HAVE A FIRM UNDERSTANDING OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH MR. MULL WOULD GO TO CAMELOT IN EARLY 1979 I BELIEVE --

MR. LEVY: JANUARY OF '79.

THE COURT: JANUARY OF '79. IS THAT A FAIR STATEMENT?

THE WITNESS: THE ONLY BASIS I HAVE ARE THESE

LETTERS, AND THE SUMMARY OF THE DEPOSITIONS I READ, AND THE

TRANSCRIPT OF THAT MEETING AND HIS TESTIMONY. I DO NOT

CLAIM TO HAVE TALKED TO HIM OR CHURCH LEADERS, IN FACT I

JUST MET THEM TODAY, ABOUT ANY OF THIS.

THE COURT: OKAY. I AM NOT BEING CRITICAL.

THE WITNESS: OH, I DON'T THINK YOU ARE EITHER.

THE COURT: AND I DON'T WANT TO BE MISUNDERSTOOD. I

JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THE POINT THOUGH THAT I THOUGHT WAS THE

CASE, BUT I WANTED TO HEAR IT FROM YOU.

THE WITNESS: THE LAST PHRASING OF YOUR QUESTION WAS VERY STRAIGHTFORWARD, AND I UNDERSTOOD IT AND THE ANSWER IS I HAVE STATED MY OPINION ON IT.

THE COURT: AND THERE MAY OR MAY NOT BE OTHER FACTORS?

THE WITNESS: THAT IS CERTAINLY POSSIBLE.

THE COURT: IMPACTING WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAD A CLEAR AGREEMENT; AND IF THEY DID, WHAT THE TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT ARE; AND IF THERE IS A PARTIAL UNDERSTANDING, WHAT THE TERMS OF THAT PARTIAL UNDERSTANDING MIGHT HAVE BEEN?

THE WITNESS: THAT IS POSSIBLE. THE FACT THAT HE WROTE SO MANY LETTERS TO ME IS VERY TELLING THAT HE WOULD WRITE THINGS DOWN IN LETTERS. IT IS VERY UNUSUAL AND IT IS OUITE AN INTERESTING DATA SET I AM SURE AS YOU ARE AWARE.

THE COURT: BUT YOU DID NOT SEEK TO GATHER TOGETHER NECESSARILY EVERYTHING THAT MIGHT BEAR UPON WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING AND AGREEMENT AMONG THEM?

THE WITNESS: NO. THAT -- THAT WOULD HAVE INVOLVED --

THE COURT: YOUR EFFORTS WERE OTHERWISE.

THE WITNESS: RIGHT.

THE COURT: AND YOUR INTERESTS WERE OTHERWISE.

THE WITNESS: THAT'S RIGHT.

MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY AT THIS POINT. I AM SOMEWHAT SOCIOLOGICALLY PSYCHOLOGIZED OUT AND MY THROAT IS GIVING ME A PROBLEM. MR. MIDDLETON, MY ASSOCIATE, HAS ONE OR TWO QUESTIONS WHICH MIGHT CLARIFY THE MATTER. I WONDER —

THE COURT: ONE OR TWO?

MR. LEVY: SEVERAL.

MR. MIDDLETON: GIVE ME FIVE MINUTES, OKAY? IT IS FOUR O'CLOCK.

THE COURT: LET'S GET IT DONE QUICKLY.

MR. MIDDLETON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I APPRECIATE

IT. I AM SORRY.

#### RECROSS-EXAMINATION +

### BY MR. MIDDLETON:

Q YOU REFERRED -- JUST A MOMENT AGO YOU WERE

TALKING TO THE JUDGE, AND YOU TALKED ABOUT SEVERAL LETTERS

BETWEEN THAT PERIOD OF TIME JUNE AND GOING BACK TO 1974 THAT

REFERRED TO A LOAN.

NOW ARE YOU SURE THAT THERE WERE LETTERS GOING BACK TO 1974, 1975, 1976, 1977, 1978 THAT REFERRED TO LOANS?

A WELL, MY RESPONSE TO THAT, IF I GIVE A TRUE RESPONSE, IS THAT I NEED TO GO TO MY BRIEFCASE AND DIG OUT THE TWO DOZEN LETTERS AND SHOW YOU -- I AM NOT PREPARED TO SAY THAT IN EVERY ONE OF THOSE YEARS, THERE IS A LETTER THAT USES THE WORD "LOAN."

I AM PREPARED TO SAY THAT IN SEVERAL LETTERS

2 PHRASEOLOGY APPEARS. 3 4 5 LOANS, NOT ONE TIME IS IT MENTIONED? 6 7 Α WELL --Q CAN I --8 9 10 11 12 13 YOU ACCEPT MY WORD AT THAT POINT? 15 16 BRIEFCASE AND TRY TO DIG IT OUT. 17 18 Q 19 LETTER. 20 21 22 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. THE WITNESS: OKAY. 23 24 25 THE COURT: OKAY. 26 MR. LEVY: MR. KLEIN, DO YOU WANT TO OFFER THE 27 STIPULATION? 28

1

DURING THAT INTERVENING TIME PERIOD, THAT KIND OF CAN I HELP YOU BY JUST TELLING YOU BEFORE YOU GO TO YOUR BRIEFCASE BY TELLING YOU THAT THERE ARE NO LETTERS IN THOSE PERIODS OF TIME UP TO 1979 THAT REFER TO YOU MAY SAY THAT IF YOU PLEASE. OKAY. AND IF I SAY THAT ALL THE LETTERS ARE IN EVIDENCE, AND WE HAVE ALL READ THEM AND I CAN PROBABLY GO 50 FAR AS TO GET A STIPULATION FROM COUNSEL HERE THAT THERE IS NOT THE WORD "LOAN" MENTIONED IN ANY OF THOSE LETTERS, WOULD NO, BECAUSE I HAVE READ ABOUT 20 --THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU TAKE A MINUTE, GO TO YOUR BY MR. MIDDLETON: TRY AND DIG ME A LETTER BEFORE FEBRUARY OF 1979 THAT REFERS TO "LOAN," JUST ONE WELL, MY BRIEFCASE IS OUT IN THE HALL. MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, I THINK MR. KLEIN AND I MAY HAVE RESOLVED THE CONFUSION WITH RESPECT TO DATES.

HONOR.

MR. KLEIN: THE STIPULATION IS THAT THE FIRST LETTER FROM MR. MULL THAT USES THE WORD "LOAN" IS DATED MARCH 18TH, 1979.

MR. KLEIN: YES, MARCH 18TH OF 1979.

THE WITNESS: HERE IT IS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IS THAT AGREEABLE?

MR. MIDDLETON: THAT IS AGREEABLE WITH ME, YOUR

MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MARCH OF 1979?

Q BY MR. MIDDLETON: THE FIRST TIME LOAN IS MENTIONED IS MARCH 18TH OR 19TH, 1979. BACK TO THE LETTER OF FEBRUARY 22ND, 1979.

IS IT YOUR POSITION THAT AT THAT PERIOD OF TIME, MR. MULL IS RECONSTRUCTING?

A YES.

Q WHEN DOES IT TAKE PLACE WITH AN INDIVIDUAL?

WILL YOU TELL US THAT AGAIN, WHEN RECONSTRUCTION TAKES

PLACE? WHEN DOES HE START THAT RECONSTRUCTIVE BIOGRAPHY?

A WHEN -- ONE STATEMENT THAT I SHOULD START WITH IS THAT RECONSTRUCTION OF BIOGRAPHY IS SOMETHING THAT IS IN SOME SENSE A CONTINUAL PROCESS.

BUT WHEN YOU MAKE SOME KIND OF DRAMATIC CHANGE
IN OUR LIFE, WE ARE CONSIDERING MOVING OUT OF A GROUP OR
MOVING INTO A GROUP OR GETTING A DIVORCE OR GETTING MARRIED
OR SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE, PARTICULARLY WHERE THERE IS
SOME LEAVING OR SEVERING LIKE IN A DIVORCE SITUATION OR IN A
SITUATION WHERE SOMEONE IS LEAVING A POLITICAL GROUP OR

RELIGIOUS GROUP THAT THEY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN, THEN YOU SEE DRAMATIC KINDS OF CHANGES TAKING PLACE IN ONE'S PERSPECTIVE ABOUT THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT THEY WERE INVOLVED IN BEFORE.

Q I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING.

AND AT THIS POINT IN FEBRUARY THEN OF 1979, WOULD HE BE RECONSTRUCTING BECAUSE HE IS NOW COMING INTO THE GROUP, OR WOULD HE BE RECONSTRUCTING BECAUSE HE IS LEAVING HIS HOME IN SAN FRANCISCO OR IS HE RECONSTRUCTING BECAUSE HE IS LEAVING THE GROUP?

A WELL, MR. MULL IS AN INTERESTING EXAMPLE OF SOMEONE WHO HAS DONE A LOT OF LEAVING AND A LOT OF JOINING OVER HIS LIFE AS I THINK YOU ARE AWARE.

AND IN THIS PARTICULAR TIME PERIOD, IT SEEMS TO ME HE IS OBVIOUSLY MAKING SOME ARRANGEMENTS BOTH MENTALLY AND PHYSICALLY TO CONSIDER GETTING OUT OF THE GROUP AS IS DEMONSTRATED BY THIS LETTER. HE IS MAKING SOME -- WHAT ARE SOMETIMES CALLED SIDE BETS.

Q THIS IS FEBRUARY 22ND OF 1979 HE IS MAKING ARRANGEMENTS TO LEAVE THE GROUP; IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?

A IT SEEMS TO ME THAT HE IS DEMONSTRATING THAT HE IS NOT NECESSARILY MAKING EXPLICIT ARRANGEMENTS TO LEAVE THE GROUP AS MUCH AS HE IS PUTTING OUT SOME OFFERS HERE AND DOING SOME NEGOTIATING WITH THE GROUP. AND WHAT HE DOES NEXT WILL DEPEND UPON HOW THE GROUP AND ITS LEADERS RESPOND TO THIS INSTRUMENT.

O ARE YOU AWARE OF HOW LONG HE LIVED AT CAMELOT

AT THAT PERIOD OF TIME? ON FEBRUARY 22ND, DO YOU KNOW HOW LONG HE HAD BEEN ON CAMPUS AT CAMELOT?

A HE SPENT SEVERAL MONTHS THERE. I THINK ABOUT NINE MONTHS, DIDN'T HE?

- Q WOULD YOU CARE TO KNOW THAT HE MOVED IN JANUARY

  11TH OF 1979 AND WE ARE TALKING FIVE WEEKS LATER?
  - A FIVE WEEKS LATER?
  - Q FIVE WEEKS LATER.
  - A YES. IS THAT --
  - Q HE LIVED THERE UNTIL JUNE OF 1980.
  - A YES.
- Q OKAY. SO FIVE WEEKS AFTER HE MOVES IN, HE IS MAKING EFFORTS TO LEAVE THE GROUP; IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?

A HE IS MAKING OFFERS TO THE GROUP AND INVOLVED IN NEGOTIATION. HE'S COME THERE, HE'S LIVED THERE FOR FOUR OR FIVE WEEKS, SOME THINGS ARE OBVIOUSLY NOT WHAT HE HAD EXPECTED AND HE'S PUTTING FORWARD A KIND OF NEGOTIATING INSTRUMENT.

Q AND AT THIS POINT, ARE YOU CALLING THIS RECONSTRUCTIVE BIOGRAPHY?

IN A SENSE REACH A PLACE WHERE YOU MIGHT SAY IT IS COMPLETED AFTER SOMEONE HAS LEFT A GROUP. RIGHT NOW HE IS INVOLVED IN NEGOTIATION PROCESS THAT WOULD PRECEDE THAT. IT IS NOT A -- THERE IS IN THE -- A TIME LINE THAT YOU CAN DRAW BETWEEN THESE KIND OF THINGS.

THERE IS A PROCESS OF NEGOTIATION THAT GOES ON

AS A PERSON IS ENTERING A GROUP, LIVING WITHIN A GROUP.

PARTICULARLY IF SOME THINGS ARE HAPPENING THEY ARE NOT VERY HAPPY WITH, THEN WHEN THEY LEAVE THE GROUP, PARTICULARLY WHEN THEY MOVE INTO A NEW REFERENCE GROUP SITUATION LIKE THEY MOVE BACK INTO FAMILY SITUATION, MOVE BACK WITH THEIR PARENTS OR SOMETHING, THEN THEY HAVE TO COME UP WITH SOME KIND OF AN ACCOUNT OF WHAT IT WAS THEY DID DURING THAT TIME PERIOD.

- Q THIS IS A PERIOD OF NEGOTIATION THEN?
- A THAT'S RIGHT.
- Q SO FEBRUARY 22ND IS NOT RECONSTRUCTIVE BIOGRAPHY?
  - A IT IS LAYING THE GROUNDWORK FOR IT.
- Q AND WHEN HE MOVED TO CAMELOT ON JANUARY 11TH,
  YOU WILL READ THAT ON THE FIRST PAGE OF THAT PARAGRAPH, HE
  SAYS "WHEN MONROE CALLED ME AND ASKED ME TO COME . . . TO
  CAMELOT ON MY TERMS," WHICH IS JUST FIVE WEEKS PRIOR TO
  THAT, WAS HE LAYING NEGOTIATIONS WHEN HE MOVED INTO CAMELOT
  JUST FIVE WEEKS EARLIER?
  - A I THINK SO.
- Q AND HE WAS LAYING NEGOTIATIONS TO DO WHAT WHEN HE MOVED IN FIVE WEEKS EARLIER? TO LEAVE THE GROUP?
- A I THINK THAT HE WAS VERY INTERESTED IN DOING A LOT OF ARCHITECTURAL WORK THERE AND WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SEEN HIS WORK USED THERE. AND HE IS OFFERING TO DO IT FOR -- HE LISTS -- THIS IS A PARAGRAPH THAT LISTS THE \$2,000 PER MONTH THAT IT WOULD TAKE FOR HIM TO DO THAT. (READING.)

"I SAID I COULD ONLY COME AT

ONCE IF MY MONTHLY BILLS WERE MET, WHICH 1 2 RUNS ABOUT \$2,000 PER MONTH." I UNDERSTAND THAT. 3 THEN HE OFFERS TO SELL HIS HOUSE LATER IN THE 5 PARAGRAPH. 6 THE POINT THAT YOU STATED EARLIER IS HE IS 7 PLANNING ON LEAVING THE GROUP, THIS IS NEGOTIATION PRIOR TO 8 RECONSTRUCTIVE BIOGRAPHY. IS THAT REALLY WHAT IS HAPPENING 9 HERE, OR IS HE NEGOTIATING TO BE WITHIN THIS GROUP AND TO 10 STAY THERE? 11 THE NEGOTIATIONS CAN FAIL OR SUCCEED FROM HIS POINT OF VIEW. 12 13 Q OKAY. BUT AT THIS POINT ON FEBRUARY 22ND, BEFORE YOU USED THE TERM "RECONSTRUCTIVE BIOGRAPHY," ARE YOU 14 SAYING IT DOES NOT APPLY NOW AND IT IS ONLY NEGOTIATION AT 15 THIS POINT? 16 17 NO, I AM NOT SAYING THAT. ON JANUARY 11TH, WHEN HE MOVES IN, IS IT 18 RECONSTRUCTIVE BIOGRAPHY OR NEGOTIATION? 19 YOU ARE TRYING TO CHARACTERIZE THE NEGOTIATION 20 21 PROCESS AND THE RECONSTRUCTION PROCESS AND TWO TOTALLY DIFFERENT THINGS. 22 I WOULD LIKE TO GET SOME CLARITY AS TO WHAT IT 23 ALL IS. I AGREE WITH YOUR FIRST STATEMENT THAT 24 RECONSTRUCTIVE BIOGRAPHY GOES ON THROUGHOUT OUR LIFE AS WE 25 ATTAIN NEW KNOWLEDGE. 26 THAT'S RIGHT. IN CERTAIN KINDS OF TRAUMATIC 27 SEVERANCES IN RELATIONSHIPS, WE HAVE TO COME TO A FULL AND 28

LOGICALLY AND CONSISTENT ACCOUNT AND THAT WOULD OCCUR AFTERWARD. PEOPLE ARE BUILDING TOWARD THAT IF THESE I DON'T MEAN TO CUT YOU OFF, BUT WE HAVE SAID ONE THING I WOULD LIKE TO GET CLEAR IS YOU SAY IN CERTAIN TRAUMATIC SEVERANCES IS RECONSTRUCTIVE BIOGRAPHY. IN CERTAIN TRAUMATIC COMING TOGETHER IN THE BEGINNING OF NEW RELATIONSHIPS IN THE BEGINNING OF NEW IDEAS, WOULD THAT PROCESS WOULD WORK THERE BECAUSE YOU WOULD MR. MIDDLETON: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU MAKE OF THE WORDS, "WHEN MONROE . . . ASKED ME TO COME . . . ON MY TERMS, " RELATIVE THE WITNESS: I THINK THAT HE IS OFFERING THAT AS HIS INTERPRETATION OF WHAT -- OF SOME CONVERSATION THAT HE AND THE COURT: WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT BE REALISTIC OR THE WITNESS: HE IS TRYING IT OUT. HE IS WRITING IT DOWN TO SEE IF IT FLIES. IF IT FLIES, FINE. BUT THAT --

HAVING A BUSINESS CONFERENCE WITH SOMEONE, AND WRITING A 1 LETTER SAYING WHAT MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE CONFERENCE IS 2 3 AFTERWARD AND PUT IT INTO WRITING. THE COURT: BUT WHEN YOU DO THAT, YOUR RECITATION MAY 4 BE COMPLETELY FAITHFUL AND ACCURATE. 5 THE WITNESS: THAT'S RIGHT. IT COULD BE. 6 7 THE COURT: OR PERHAPS IT MIGHT NOT BE. THE WITNESS: OR PERHAPS IT MIGHT NOT BE. 8 9 MR. KLEIN: I JUST HAVE ONE OR TWO QUESTIONS, YOUR 10 HONOR. 11 THE COURT: ONE. THAT IS WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO GET AND THEN WE ARE GOING TO RESUME ON MONDAY WITH OR WITHOUT 12 13 DR. RICHARDSON. MR. KLEIN: TWO? CAN WE NEGOTIATE ON THAT? 14 THE COURT: HE HAS BEEN REVISING HIS BIOGRAPHY FOR A 15 MONTH NOW. 16 THE WITNESS: CERTAINLY HAS. 17 MR. KLEIN: CAN I NEGOTIATE FOR TWO? 18 THE COURT: TWO. LET'S GO. 19 20 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION + 21 22 BY MR. KLEIN: DOES THE FACT THAT THE LOAN WAS FIRST MENTIONED 23 Q IN THE MARCH 18TH, 1979, LETTER IN ANY WAY CHANGE ANY OF THE 24 CONCLUSIONS YOU'VE TOLD US TODAY? 25 Α NO. 26 AND SECONDLY AS FAR AS THE NEGOTIATION, DOES IT 27 MATTER WHETHER HE IS RIGHT OR WHETHER HE IS WRONG AS FAR AS 28

THE CONCLUSION YOU GAVE US AS TO WHAT NEGOTIATION TELLS YOU AS A SOCIOLOGIST ABOUT MR. MULL AND HIS EITHER PASSIVITY OR ACTIVITY IN THE GROUP?

A THE FACT THAT SUCH RATIONAL ARTICULATE

NEGOTIATING WAS GOING ON TO ME CLEARLY DEMONSTRATES THAT HE

WAS IN CONTROL OF HIMSELF AND TRYING TO AFFECT THE SITUATION

IN A VERY ACTIVE WAY.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.

I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

MR. LEVY: I WOULDN'T DARE TO ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.

THE COURT: IF YOU DO, YOU ARE GOING TO DO IT ON YOUR OWN TIME, NOT OURS.

9:15 MONDAY.

(AT 4:16 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL MONDAY, MARCH 17, 1986, AT 9:15 A.M.)

LOS ANGELES CALIFORNIA; MONDAY MARCH 17 1986 \* 1 9:50 A M 2 HON, ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE DEPARTMENT 50 3 (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) 4 **\**5 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING 6 I AM SORRY WE ARE STARTING A FEW MOMENTS LATER 7 THAN WE EXPECTED. COUNSEL AND I HAVE HAD A MEETING THE 8 RESULT OF WHICH MIGHT BE TO SHORTEN THE TRIAL JUST A BIT. 9 10 SO I THINK IT WAS WORTHWHILE OKAY. PLEASE PROCEED. 11 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR BEFORE I CALL MY NEXT 12 WITNESS. WE HAVE REACHED A STIPULATION WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO 13 TELL THE COURT AT THIS TIME. 14 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 15 MR. KLEIN: COUNSEL HAVE STIPULATED THAT THE 16 FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS WERE WRITTEN BY MR. MULL AND THAT THEY 17 SHOULD BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE AT THIS TIME. AND I WILL 18 GIVE THE NUMBER AND THE DATE OF THE LETTERS. 19 ONE IS A LETTER WRITTEN -- NUMBER 36 FOR 20 IDENTIFICATION AND IT IS A LETTER DATED OCTOBER 17TH, 1974. 21 SECOND, A NUMBER 61 FOR IDENTIFICATION AND IT 22 IS A LETTER DATED OCTOBER 27TH: 1979. 23 THIRD IS A MEMO DATED -- NUMBER 66 FOR 24 IDENTIFICATION, DATED DECEMBER 11TH, 1979. 25 FOURTH IS NUMBER 74 FOR IDENTIFICATION. IT IS 26 A LETTER DATED APRIL 20TH, 1980 27 AND FIFTH IS NUMBER 75 FOR IDENTIFICATION. IT 28

1	IS A LETTER DATED APRIL 23RD, 1980.
2	THE COURT: THAT AGREEABLE?
3	MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S AGREEABLE.
4	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. EXHIBITS 36, 61, 66. 74 AND
`5	75 ARE RECEIVED.
6	(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 36 LETTER
7	^ DATED 10-17-74)
8	(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 61. LETTER
9	^ DATED 10-27-79)
10	(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 66, MEMO
11	^ DATED 12-11-79)
12	(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 74, LETTER
13	^ DATED 4-20-80)
14	(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 75, LETTER
15	DATED 4-23-80)
16	MR. KLEIN: I WOULD CALL AT THIS TIME DR. KATZ YOUR
17	HONOR.
18	
19	IRVING STANLEY KATZ +
20	A PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN
21	TESTIFIES AS FOLLOWS:
22	THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND.
23	SIR, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD AND PLEASE SPELL
24	YOUR NAME.
25	THE WITNESS: IRVING STANLEY KATZ I-R-V-I-N-G;
26	STANLEY S-T-A-N-L-E-Y; KATZ, K-A-T-Z.
27	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
28	///

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION +
2	BY MR. KLEIN:
3	Q WHAT IS A HYPNOTHERAPIST?
4·	A WELL HYPNOTHERAPIST BASICALLY IS SOMEONE WHO
√5	15
6	MR. LEVY: EXCUSE ME SIR.
7	I AM GOING TO OBJECT AT THIS TIME. YOUR HONOR.
8	THERE IS NO FOUNDATION THAT THIS WITNESS HAS ANY KNOWLEDGE
9	OR ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT HYPNOSIS AT THIS POINT.
10	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
11	Q BY MR. KLEIN: WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL
12	BACKGROUND: SIR?
13	A WELL I RECEIVED MY BACHELOR S DEGREE FROM
14	MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, 1950 MY MASTER'S OF SCIENCE
15	DEGREE IN PSYCHOLOGY FROM PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY IN
16	1951 AND THEN I RECEIVED MY DOCTOR DEGREE IN CLINICAL
17	PSYCHOLOGY FROM MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY IN 1956.
18	Q WHAT, IF ANY, EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND DO YOU
19	HAVE AS A HYPNOTIST?
20	A WELL, BASICALLY MY TRAINING STARTED IN 1958
21	WHERE I WAS TRAINED BY SUCH INDIVIDUALS AS DR. DAVID CHEEK:
22	DR. STOLHEIZER, DR. LE CRON, AND THEN RECEIVED EXTENSIVE
23	EDUCATION CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAMS AT A.P.A.
24	AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, CONTINUING EDUCATION
25	PROGRAMS.
26	I HAVE BEEN TRAINED BY DR. MILTON ERICKSON. WHO
27	IS PROBABLY THE FOREMOST OR GENERALLY RECOGNIZED AS THE
28	FOREMOST HYPNOTHERAPIST. AND EXTENSIVE TRAINING IN PROGRAMS
•	

AT, OH, VARIOUS UNIVERSITIES AND, OF COURSE, I ALSO TEACH HYPNOSIS AT VARIOUS UNIVERSITIES.

Q CAN YOU GIVE US YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND?

A YES. PRESENTLY I AM INSTRUCTOR AT THE PROFESSIONAL SCHOOL OF PSYCHOLOGICAL STUDIES. IN FACT, COINCIDENTALLY, I TAUGHT THIS WEEKEND IN LOS ANGELES AT OUR CAMPUS THERE. AND I ALSO TEACH AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HUMANISTICS STUDIES. I TAUGHT HYPNOSIS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY. I AM A FORMER CHAIRMAN OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY AT UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA

I WAS A MEMBER OF THE STATE BOARD OF

PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS FOR TEN YEARS IN NEVADA, WHICH IS A

LICENSING BOARD FOR CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGISTS IN THAT STATE,

AND I AM A LICENSED CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST WITH THE STATE OF

NEVADA.

- Q HOW MANY YEARS HAVE YOU BEEN TEACHING HYPNOSIS?

  A BASICALLY I HAVE BEEN TEACHING HYPNOSIS FOR THE PAST 25 YEARS.
- Q AND HOW MANY YEARS HAVE YOU BEEN PRACTICING HYPNOSIS?
  - A TWENTY-EIGHT YEARS.
  - 0 WHAT IS A HYPNOTHERAPIST?

A WELL, HYPNOTHERAPIST IS BASICALLY SOMEONE WHO SPECIALIZES IN HYPNOTHERAPY. IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THAT CAN VARY EXTENSIVELY FROM SOMEONE WHO IS EITHER A LICENSED CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST, OR LICENSED MARRIAGE AND FAMILY COUNSELOR, OR LICENSED PHYSICIAN OR A LICENSED DENTIST OR SOMEONE WHO OBTAINED TRAINING THROUGH A PROGRAM

APPROVED BY THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION TO BE CALLED A HYPNOTHERAPIST.

Q ARE YOU PERSONALLY INVOLVED IN ANY TRAINING
PROGRAMS OF MARRIAGE/FAMILY CHILD COUNSELORS IN THE USE OF
HYPNOSIS?

TRAINING MARRIAGE AND FAMILY COUNSELORS IN BEING ABLE TO UTILIZE HYPNOSIS WITHOUT SUPERVISION. CONSEQUENTLY, I DO CERTIFY IS ONE OF THE REASONS I AM TEACHING THIS COURSE THIS WEEKEND, MARRIAGE AND FAMILY COUNSELORS IN THE THEORY AND THE APPLICATION OF HYPNOSIS. SO THAT IF THEY PASS THE COURSE THAT I GIVE, THEY ARE ABLE TO PRACTICE HYPNOSIS AS PART OF THEIR MARRIAGE AND FAMILY COUNSELING CERTIFICATION.

Q DO YOU TEACH ANY OTHER PROFESSIONALS,
PSYCHOLOGISTS, FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE USE OF HYPNOSIS IN THEIR
PRACTICE?

A YEAH. I THINK MY BASIC FUNCTION OR POSITION RIGHT NOW IS WORKING WITH GRADUATE STUDENTS IN PSYCHOLOGY AND TRAINING THEM IN THE USE OF HYPNOSIS IN THEIR FUTURE PRACTICE.

Q HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY HONORS OR AWARDS IN THE FIELD OF PSYCHOLOGY?

A YES. I AM A MEMBER OF -- I GRADUATED MAGNA CUM LAUDE FROM MICHIGAN STATE, A MEMBER OF PSI CHI HONORARY SOCIETY.

I RECENTLY WAS ASKED TO GIVE A PRESENTATION ON THE USE OF HYPNOTHERAPY AT THE LOCAL CHAPTER OF THE SOCIETY OF CLINICAL HYPNOSIS, WHICH IS ONE OF THE TWO LEADING BODIES

WHERE LICENSED PSYCHOLOGISTS, PHYSICIANS AND DENTISTS BELONG TO.

Q HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED AS A -- IN COURT AS AN EXPERT WITNESS IN HYPNOSIS?

A YEAH. I WORKED AS AN EXPERT WITNESS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ON OCCASIONS, PARTICULARLY IN SAN FRANCISCO WHERE I WAS ASKED TO WORK WITH A PERSON REFERRED TO AS A NOB HILL RAPIST TO ASSIST HIM IN RECOVERING SOME AMNESIAC MEMORIES.

I ALSO WORKED WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, USING HYPNOSIS AS AN INVESTIGATIVE TOOL.

# Q WHAT IS HYPNOSIS?

A WELL, BASICALLY HYPNOSIS IS A TRANCE STATE AN INDIVIDUAL GETS INTO AS A RESULT OF A HYPNOTIC INDUCTION. THE TRANCE STATE INVOLVES WHAT WE CALL THE CONSCIOUS PART OF THE INDIVIDUAL CORTICAL ACTIVITY BECOMING DEPRECIATED, NAMELY HAVING LESS POWER, AND THEREFORE THE UNCONSCIOUS PART, SUBCORTICAL ACTIVITIES, BECOME MORE ATTENTIATED (SIC).

YOU HAVE THE AVAILABILITY OF BOTH THE RESOURCES

OF THE UNCONSCIOUS AS WELL AS THE FACT THAT THE OBSERVING

THE EGO CONSCIOUS STATE IS STILL THERE.

IN OTHER WORDS, THE PROCESS OF INDUCTION OF BYPASSING THE CONSCIOUS SELF, THE CRITICAL FACULTIES WOULD NOT BE THE ELIMINATION THEREOF. YOU HAVE MULTILEVEL STATES OF CONSCIOUS LEVEL OCCURRING WHERE THE PERSON IS BOTH IN A STATE OF UNCONSCIOUS ACTIVITY AS WELL AS THE STATE OF CONSCIOUS ACTIVITY.

Q MAYBE YOU COULD JUST EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY THAT IT INVOLVES THE BYPASSING OF THE CRITICAL FACULTY, BUT NOT THE ELIMINATION?

A IN OTHER WORDS, YOU ARE DEALING REALLY WITH A
PROCESS WE CALLED DISASSOCIATION, NAMELY. WHERE THE
CONSCIOUS PART THROUGH VARIOUS INDUCTION PROCEDURES WILL
WILL BECOME LESS PROMINENT IN THE INDIVIDUAL.

AND THE PURPOSE OF THAT IS TO ALLOW THE UNCONSCIOUS PART, THE SUBCORTICAL PART, TO COME FORWARD, THE PART THAT CONTROLS PAIN, OUR INTUITIVE ASPECT. THE PURPOSE BASICALLY OF HYPNOSIS IS NOT TO CONTROL AN INDIVIDUAL AS MUCH AS IT IS TO EMPOWER THEM TO COME FORWARD WITH CERTAIN RESOURCES THAT ORDINARILY THEY DON'T HAVE AVAILABLE TO THEM.

THAT IS WHY I USE IT IN MY PRACTICE, THAT IS
WHY I TEACH PEOPLE TO USE IT. IT IS A VERY VALUABLE STATE
TO BE IN.

Q I WOULD ASSUME THAT MOST OF US HAVE NOT BEEN UNDER HYPNOSIS.

CAN YOU GIVE US SOME SITUATIONS THAT WE MIGHT

BE MORE FAMILIAR WITH WHERE AN INDIVIDUAL IS IN A STATE

EITHER EQUAL TO OR VERY SIMILAR TO A HYPNOTIC STATE?

YOUR CAR FROM ONE PLACE TO ANOTHER. AND YOU FIND YOU HAVE DONE THAT MANY, MANY TIMES, AND YOU ALL OF A SUDDEN REALIZE THAT YOU DON'T KNOW HOW YOU GOT FROM ONE PLACE TO ANOTHER, YOU HAVE BEEN ABSORBED IN YOUR THOUGHTS OR YOUR ACTIVITY. YOU GENERALLY HAVE BEEN IN SOMETHING VERY SIMILAR TO A TRANCE STATE.

OR WHEN YOU GET DEEPLY ABSORBED IN A BOOK OR MOVIE OR TV PROGRAM, YOU ARE ACTUALLY IN A TRANCE STATE.

Q ARE INDIVIDUALS MORE GULLIBLE WHEN THEY ARE IN A HYPNOTIC STATE?

A NOT NECESSARILY. I THINK THAT IS WHERE THE CONFUSION LIE. IT IS ONE OF THE COMMON MISCONCEPTIONS AND THAT IS THAT GULLIBILITY IS EQUATED WITH SUGGESTIBILITY. IT IS TRUE YOU ARE IN A STATE OF HYPERSUGGESTIBILITY. IN OTHER WORDS, SUGGESTIONS GIVEN TO YOU YOU CAN RECEIVE AND ACT ON IF YOU WANT TO. BUT IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT YOU ARE GULLIBLE. YOU ARE NOT NECESSARILY GOING TO BE A HELPLESS ROBOT BECAUSE YOU ARE GOING TO BE IN A TRANCE STATE.

I WOULD BE MUCH MORE EFFECTIVE WORKING WITH MY CLIENTS LOSING WEIGHT OR STOPPING SMOKING IF SOMEHOW THERE WAS A LEVEL AT WHICH THEY WOULD JUST AUTOMATICALLY RESPOND TO SUGGESTIONS I GIVE THEM OR ANY HYPNOTIST GIVES THEM.

Q ARE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE HYPNOTIZED, CAN THEY BE MADE TO DO THINGS AGAINST THEIR ETHICAL AND MORAL JUDGMENTS?

A AS A RULE, NOT SO. WHAT YOU FIND IS THAT THE OBSERVING EGO STILL THERE, STILL THERE IN A PROTECTIVE FUNCTION. CONSEQUENTLY, IF YOU ARE ASKED TO DO SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE REPUGNANT TO YOU, SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE VERY MUCH AGAINST YOUR MORAL JUDGMENT OR YOUR WILL, THE CHANCES ARE YOU WOULD EITHER REFUSE TO DO IT OR WAKE UP FROM DOING IT.

IT IS INTERESTING I WAS JUST READING A -- IF I
MAY QUOTE FROM A BOOK. THIS IS A BOOK BY -- I USE THIS IN
MY CLASSES, I CALL "A HANDBOOK OF HYPNOSIS FOR

PROFESSIONALS" BY ROY UDOLF, WHO IS BOTH A LAWYER AS WELL AS A CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST.

AND HE TALKS ABOUT THE MISCONCEPTIONS THAT INDIVIDUALS HAVE REGARDING HYPNOSIS. AND MISCONCEPTION NUMBER 6, AND THIS IS ON PAGE 15: (READING.)

"THE SUBJECT IS UNDER THE

CONTROL OF THE HYPNOTIST AND CAN BE MADE TO

DO THINGS THAT HE ORDINARILY WOULDN'T DO OR

EVEN REVEAL SECRETS."

AND HE SAYS. "SUFFICE IT TO SAY

THAT THE WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE SEEMS TO

SUPPORT THE NOTION THAT IF A SUBJECT IS

DIRECTLY REQUESTED TO DO SOMETHING THAT IS

OBJECTIONABLE TO HIM, HE WILL SIMPLY REFUSE

TO DO IT OR IN SOME CASES AWAKEN FROM THE

TRANCE."

AND HE GOES ON, OF COURSE, TO GIVE QUITE A BIT OF EVIDENCE FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT SUPPORTING THAT PARTICULAR POSITION.

- Q HAVE YOU HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO READ THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY OF MRS. KATHLEEN LEVY IN THIS TRIAL?
  - A YES, I HAVE.
- Q AND DO YOU RECALL SHE WAS GIVEN A RATHER LENGTHY HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION DURING THE COURSE OF HER DIRECT EXAMINATION?
  - A UH-HUH.
  - Q AND I AM GOING TO READ FOR YOU FROM PAGE 102 OF

THE TRANSCRIPT, LINE 24 TO LINE 27, HER RESPONSE OR OPINION AFTER BEING GIVEN THAT LENGTHY HYPOTHETICAL. THERE WAS MORE TO HER OPINION, BUT I WANT YOU TO JUST CONCENTRATE ON THIS FIRST PART. SHE WAS ASKED BY MR. MIDDLETON: (READING.)

"WHAT IS THAT OPINION?"

THE ANSWER AFTER HER OPINION AS

TO WHAT THAT HYPOTHETICAL WOULD CAUSE,

THAT -- "THAT THAT WOULD INSTILL FEAR, THAT

A PERSON DOING THAT WOULD HAVE AUTHORITY AND

CONTROL OVER THAT INDIVIDUAL THROUGH FEAR

THAT THAT WOULD BE LIKE WHAT HYPNOTISTS CALL

A SHOCK INDUCTION."

NOW, YOU'VE READ THAT HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION?

Q AND DO YOU AGREE WITH THE CONCLUSION REACHED BY MRS. LEVY THAT IF ALL THOSE FACTORS IN THAT HYPOTHETICAL WERE PRESENT, THAT IT WOULD INSTILL FEAR IN THE PERSON DOING IT, BUT HAVE AUTHORITY AND CONTROL OVER THE INDIVIDUAL THROUGH FEAR?

A WELL, I PERSONALLY WOULD NOT DRAW A CONCLUSION LIKE THAT. THE WHOLE IDEA OF SHOCK INDUCTION -- WE DON'T USE THE WORD "SHOCK INDUCTION." WE MAY USE INDUCTION PROCEDURES THAT INVOLVE RAPID INDUCTION PROCEDURES OR USE SURPRISE WHERE SHOCK COULD BE PART OF IT.

BUT IF THE INDIVIDUAL IS INDUCED INTO A STATE
OF HYPNOSIS WHATEVER THE MEANS, WHETHER IT BE SHOCK OR
WHETHER IT BE ANY OTHER PARTICULAR PROCEDURE, IT DOESN'T
AUTOMATICALLY RESULT IN THE INDIVIDUAL BEING IN A STATE

`5

WHERE THEY ARE RENDERED HELPLESS OR RENDERED UNDER THE CONTROL OF SOMEBODY ELSE.

IN OTHER WORDS, THEY STILL HAVE THEIR OBSERVING EGO PRESENT, WHAT DR. ERNEST HILEGARD REFERS TO AS THE HIDDEN OBSERVER, THAT CAN COME IN AT ANY PARTICULAR POINT TO NEGATE WHATEVER SUGGESTIONS, WHATEVER INFLUENCE THE PERSON MIGHT HAVE OVER YOU. IN OTHER WORDS, SHOCK INDUCTION DOES NOT AUTOMATICALLY RESULT IN A PERSON GETTING INTO A STATE OF FEAR.

I USE SHOCK INDUCTION, AS I DEMONSTRATED OVER THIS WEEKEND, AND THE PURPOSE ACTUALLY OF THAT PARTICULAR INDUCTION WAS TO DEMONSTRATE HOW YOU CAN GET INTO A TRANCE STATE RATHER EASILY AND QUICKLY.

AND THE WAY I UTILIZE IT WAS NOT TO INDUCE
FEAR, BUT TO EMPOWER THE INDIVIDUAL TO DEMONSTRATE CERTAIN
PROCEDURES THEY COULD BYPASS THEIR RESISTANCE OR THAT THEY
COULD GO INTO A TRANCE STATE, WHICH AGAIN I WILL REPEAT
REALLY PUTS A PERSON IN MANY INSTANCES A MORE RESOURCEFUL
STATE RATHER THAN IN A SVENGALI-LIKE VICTIM STATE.

Q IN PREPARATION FOR YOUR TESTIMONY TODAY, HAVE
YOU HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO HEAR A TAPE OF DECREEING?

A YES.

Q IN TERMS OF YOUR EXPERIENCE AS A HYPNOTIST,
HYPNOTHERAPIST, THE 28 YEARS YOU HAVE TOLD US, BY PUTTING A
PERSON IN A TRANCE STATE EITHER BY SHOCK INDUCTION OR ANY
OTHER HYPNOTIC TECHNIQUE, CAN YOU PUT THE INDIVIDUAL UNDER
YOUR CONTROL AND HAVE THEM AUTOMATICALLY DO WHAT YOU TELL
THEM TO DO?

1 2 3 DO. . 4 `5 6 7 8 WHAT YOU WANT THEM TO DO. 9 10 11 (READING.) 12 13 14 BEHAVIOR ALSO" --15 16 17 IS THIS FROM? 18 19 HERE. 20 WHERE IT IS FROM. 21 22 23 BOOK AND --24 25 26 AS A PH.D. DEGREE. 27. Q IS THAT CONSIDERED AN AUTHORITATIVE? 28 OH, YES. IT IS ONE OF THE TEXT THAT I UTILIZE

AS I INDICATED BEFORE, THERE IS ALWAYS A POSSIBILITY IF THE INDIVIDUAL WANTS TO, BUT HYPNOSIS IS NOT NECESSARY TO GET PEOPLE TO DO THINGS THAT YOU WANT THEM TO IN OTHER WORDS, HYPNOTIC STATE IS NOT NECESSARILY THE FALL GUY IN THIS REGARD. PUTTING PEOPLE IN A TRANCE STATE DOES NOT MEAN THAT THEY WILL AUTOMATICALLY DO AGAIN, LET ME REPEAT IN TERMS OF A QUOTE FROM THE TEXT WHERE THE AUTHOR GOES OVER ALL THE EVIDENCE: "SINCE THE AUTHOR KNOWS OF NO REPEATED INSTANCE IN THE LABORATORY OR IN OCCASIONAL REAL LIFE REPORTS WHERE SUCH THE COURT: BEFORE YOU DO THAT, LET ME ASK YOU WHERE THE WITNESS: THIS IS FROM THIS PARTICULAR BOOK RIGHT THE COURT: IT WOULD BE WELL IF YOU STATED FOR US Q BY MR. KLEIN: PERHAPS STATE THE NAME OF THE SURE. THIS IS CALLED "HANDBOOK OF HYPNOSIS FOR PROFESSIONALS" BY ROY UDOLF, WHO HAS A J.D. DEGREE AS WELL

IN MY TEACHING AND --1 2 THE COURT: WHAT PAGE? 3 √.5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 TO THEM. 17 18 19 PAGE 284. (READING.) 20 21 22 HIM INTO A MERE ROBOT." 23 24 25 26 27 THE POINT OF VIEW? 28

THE WITNESS: PAGE 284, THIRD PARAGRAPH. (READING.) "SINCE THE AUTHOR KNOWS OF NO REPEATED INSTANCE IN THE LABORATORY OR IN OCCASIONAL REAL LIFE REPORTS WHERE SUCH BEHAVIOR, " AND HE IS REFERRING TO NOW ANTISOCIAL OR SELF-DESTRUCTIVE, "HAS CLEARLY BEEN PRODUCED IN THE ABSENCE OF OTHER FACTORS, AND SINCE IN STUDIES WHERE THESE FACTORS SEEM MINIMIZED, COMPLIANCE RATES TEND TO BE LOW, " HE WOULD VOTE WITH THOSE WHO DOUBT THAT HYPNOSIS PER SE IS LIKELY EITHER TO DECEIVE OR COMPEL THE SUBJECT INTO COMMITTING BEHAVIOR THAT IS CONSIDERED WRONG AND THEN HE QUOTES DR. MARTIN ORNE, A VERY RESPECTED PSYCHIATRIST FROM UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA ON "IT," HYPNOSIS, "HAS NOT BEEN

DEMONSTRATED TO DEPRIVE A SUBJECT OF HIS

WILL OR FREEDOM OF CHOICE, NOR DOES IT TURN

SO I THINK THE EVIDENCE, AT LEAST RESEARCH EVIDENCE AND REPORTS. AND THIS IS IN JUST ABOUT EVERY TEXTBOOK, THAT I FEEL IS REPUTABLE WOULD AGREE.

BY MR. KLEIN: I TAKE IT YOU WOULD AGREE WITH

1 YES, I DO. MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. 3 I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION / + BY MR. LEVY: 6 EXCUSE ME. DO YOU MIND IF WE BORROW THIS FOR 0 RIGHT NOW? 8 9 Α NO, I DON'T. WE WILL RETURN IT. 10 Q 11 OKAY. 12 DR. KATZ, MR. KLEIN TALKED TO YOU ABOUT ABSOLUTES VERSUS POSSIBILITIES. YOU READ TO US ABOUT NOT 13 14 TURNING SOMEONE INTO A ROBOT. LET ME ASK YOU THIS QUESTION. 15 IS THERE SUCH A THING AS MASS HYPNOSIS OR MASS SUGGESTIBILITY? 16 17 UH-HUH. THE COURT: DOES THAT MEAN "YES"? 18 THE WITNESS: YES, THERE IS. 19 BY MR. LEVY: IT IS NOT VERY DIFFICULT TO USE 20 THE TECHNIQUES OF MASS SUGGESTIBILITY, IS IT? 21 22 I THINK THERE ARE SOME DIFFERENCES. I THINK YOU HAVE TO HAVE CERTAIN VARIABLES THAT HAVE TO BE PRESENT 23 WHEN YOU DEAL WITH EITHER MASS HYPNOSIS OR MASS 24 25 SUGGEST IBILITY. 26 Q WHAT VARIABLES WOULD HAVE TO BE PRESENT? WELL, I THINK YOU WOULD HAVE TO HAVE, ONE, A 27 28 RELATIONSHIP WITH A PERSON WHO IS INDUCING THAT MASS, THERE

HAS TO BE SOME KIND OF ADULATION, THERE HAS TO BE SOME KIND OF TRANSFERENCE IS THE TECHNICAL TERM THAT WE UTILIZE. IN OTHER WORDS, THERE HAS TO BE SOME KIND OF INTERPERSONAL CONNECTION WITH THAT INDIVIDUAL. PROBABLY SEEN AS AN AUTHORITY FIGURE OR SOME SOURCE OF POWER OR INFLUENCE.

AND, TWO, THERE HAS TO BE SOME MOTIVATION,
LET'S SAY, TO SUBJUGATE ONE'S INDIVIDUAL EGO TO THE
PARTICULAR GROUP.

Q NOW, LET'S SAY WE HAD A CHURCH SETTING AND WE HAD A VERY CHARISMATIC LEADER, ONE OF THOSE KIND OF PEOPLE WHO SOMETIMES PEOPLE WOULD SAY, "WELL, THEIR SERMON TODAY ALMOST MESMERIZED ME. HE OR SHE WAS SO FORCEFUL TODAY THAT I LISTENED AND I WAS JUST RAPT," R-A-P-T, NOT RAPPED, HIT OVER THE HEAD.

IS THAT OR COULD THAT BE PART OF THE ADULATION
THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY FOR MASS HYPNOSIS TO WORK?

A THAT COULD BE ONE OF THE VARIABLES INVOLVED,
YES.

Q NOW, WE TALKED ABOUT MOTIVATION OR YOU TALKED ABOUT MOTIVATION AND SUBJUGATION.

IF YOU WERE PART OF A RELIGIOUS GROUP, OR LET'S SAY YOU WERE AN INDIVIDUAL WHO WAS SEEKING SPIRITUAL SALVATION AND WHO FELT THAT IN ORDER TO ACCOMPLISH THIS GOAL, THEY WOULD HAVE TO BELONG, THEY WOULD HAVE TO FOLLOW, THEY WOULD HAVE TO BE PART OF SOMETHING, WOULD THAT BE SUFFICIENT MOTIVATION SO THAT MASS HYPNOSIS MIGHT WORK?

A NOT NECESSARILY. I THINK I'D HAVE TO KNOW SOMEWHAT MORE ABOUT THE INDIVIDUAL. THAT COULD BE WHAT I

1 2

`5

CALL A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR. I WOULD HAVE TO KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT THE EGO STRENGTH OF THE INDIVIDUAL, I WOULD HAVE TO KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT THE FAMILY CONTEXT.

IN OTHER WORDS, IS THIS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS WITHOUT FAMILY SUPPORT OR THE USUAL SUPPORT SYSTEM AND THEREFORE IS LOOKING FOR ANOTHER FAMILY SITUATION OR ANOTHER CONTEXT IN WHICH TO GAIN THAT PARTICULAR SUPPORT.

I'D HAVE TO KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT WHETHER --WHAT THE INDIVIDUAL'S CONCEPTION OF HIMSELF IS, NAMELY IS --DOES HE WANT TO AND WILLING TO SUBJUGATE HIMSELF TO SOMEONE
WHO IS CHARISMATIC OR TO THE GROUP PRESSURES THAT ARE THERE.

Q WHAT IF THIS INDIVIDUAL -- HYPOTHETICALLY, OF COURSE -- WHAT IF THIS INDIVIDUAL HAD SHOWED HIMSELF TO BE A MEDITATIVE SORT, A RELIGIOUS SORT, A SEEKER AFTER SALVATION, SO MUCH SO THAT HE HAD HIS OWN MEDITATION GROUP AND HE ALLIED HIMSELF WITH PEOPLE WHO WERE SEEKING THAT KIND OF SPIRITUAL UNDERSTANDING.

IF THAT WERE HIS BACKGROUND, AND HE FOUND AN INDIVIDUAL WHO APPEARED TO HOLD THE KEY TO THE SPIRITUAL SALVATION, AND THAT PERSON WHO APPEARED TO HOLD THE KEY TO THIS INDIVIDUAL'S SPIRITUAL SALVATION WAS AN AUTHORITY FIGURE, ONE WHO A GROUP HAD ADULATION FOR, WOULD THE LIKELIHOOD THEN BE THAT A MASS HYPNOSIS TECHNIQUE MIGHT BE EFFECTIVE?

A WELL, I THINK THE WAY I WOULD PUT IT, I WOULD SAY SOMETHING TO THE EFFECT THAT THOSE PARTICULAR CONDITIONS THAT YOU JUST DESCRIBED COULD -- GIVEN, LET'S SAY, A LOT OF OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD PROBABLY HAVE TO BE

CONSIDERED -- COULD LEAD, LET'S SAY, TO THE PERSON BEING VERY MUCH INFLUENCED.

I WOULDN'T NECESSARILY REFER TO IT AS HYPNOSIS

AS SUCH, BUT AS SAYING THE POWER OF ANY INDIVIDUAL THROUGH

WORD OR ACTION TO INFLUENCE SOMEBODY ELSE, CERTAINLY SOMEONE

WHO IS IN A SITUATION LIKE THAT COULD PUT HIMSELF IN A

POSITION OF SUBJUGATING, LET'S SAY, HIS EGO OR HIMSELF TO

THAT PARTICULAR PERSON.

Q WOULD YOU GO AS FAR AS TO SAY AS THAT

INDIVIDUAL MIGHT BECOME VERY SUGGESTIBLE TO THE AUTHORITY

FIGURE?

A YES. AGAIN RECOGNIZING THEIR BEING VERY SUGGESTIBLE DOES NOT NECESSARILY MEAN IN A HYPNOTIC STATE, BUT I WOULD SAY YES, THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT A PERSON IN THAT SITUATION -- I HAVE MANY CLIENTS WHO HAVE BEEN IN THAT SITUATION WHO FOUND THEMSELVES VERY SUGGESTIBLE TO PEOPLE IN THAT KIND OF AN AUTHORITY SITUATION, THAT KIND OF GROUP SITUATION.

Q NOW, YOU TOLD US ABOUT WRONG CONDUCT VERSUS

CONTROL. IF SOMEONE WERE IN THAT HYPOTHETICAL GROUP

SITUATION AND THEY WERE SEEKING A SPIRITUAL CONNECTION, FOR

THEM TO GIVE OF THEMSELVES EXTENSIVELY, THAT WOULDN'T BE

WRONG CONDUCT UNDER THAT SCENARIO, WOULD IT?

A IF THE PERSON PARTICULARLY INTERPRETED THAT

BEING WRONG -- IN OTHER WORDS, IF THAT PERSON WAS VERY

DEPENDENT, VERY INDIVIDUALISTIC -- I KNOW A LOT OF MY

FRIENDS, ASSOCIATES, INCLUDING MYSELF, WHO WOULD CONSIDER IT

TO BE NOT WITHIN MY BEST INTEREST TO SUBJUGATE MYSELF.

AND IF I FELT THAT, I WOULD PROBABLY NOT GET INVOLVED IN THAT BEHAVIOR. BUT IF I FELT THAT BEHAVIOR WAS CONDUCIVE TO MYSELF, MY WELL-BEING, MY DEVELOPMENT, I MIGHT CONSIDER IT EXACTLY THE RIGHT THING TO DO.

Q IF I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS THAT
PERSON WHO SOUGHT SPIRITUAL SALVATION FOLLOWED -- MAYBE
DICTATES IS TOO STRONG A WORD -- LET'S SAY FOLLOWED THE
SUGGESTIONS OR, IN QUOTES, FOLLOWED THE CONTROL, THE
DIRECTION OF THE AUTHORITY FIGURE, AND THE AUTHORITY FIGURE
APPEARED TO BE DIRECTING THAT INDIVIDUAL ON THIS PATH
TOWARDS SPIRITUAL SALVATION, THAT PERSON THEN WOULD BE GOING
ALONG WITH CERTAINLY WHAT WAS PROPER FROM THEIR PERSPECTIVE,
WOULD THEY NOT?

- A CORRECT.
- Q YOU MADE REFERENCE TO ONE OF THE TEXT THAT YOU CONSIDERED AND TWO OF THE PEOPLE WHO WERE YOUR INSTRUCTORS.

  WAS THAT DAVID B. CHEEK, M.D.?
  - A CORRECT.
  - Q AND ALSO LESLIE LE CRON?
  - A CORRECT.
- Q DOCTOR, I'D LIKE TO READ TO YOU FROM THEIR TEXT. IT IS ON PAGE SEVEN OF THEIR TEXT. IT SAYS:

  (READING.)

\*MANY PEOPLE EXPECT TO BE UNDER
THE CONTROL OF THE HYPNOTIST IN HIS POWER.
THEY THINK ANY SUGGESTION GIVEN MUST THEN BE
CARRIED OUT. IN FACT THERE IS NO SURRENDER
OF WILL POWER. ANY SUGGESTION GIVEN IS

1	STRICTLY CENSORED BOTH CONSCIOUSLY AND
2	SUBCONSCIOUSLY.*
3	WOULD YOU AGREE WITH THAT SO FAR?
<b>4</b>	A UH-HUH.
<b>∖</b> .5	Q IN GENERAL
6	THE COURT: IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF INSTEAD OF
7	THE WITNESS: YES, OKAY.
8	THE COURT: BECAUSE THIS LADY IS MAKING A RECORD AND
9	IT IS HARD TO
10	THE WITNESS: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11	THE COURT: TO TAKE YOUR ANSWERS THAT WAY. THANK
12	YOU.
13	Q BY MR. LEVY: IT CONTINUES.
14	A OKAY.
15	Q (READING.)
16	"IN GENERAL, IT MAY BE SAID
17	THAT NO ONE WILL DO ANYTHING UNDER HYPNOSIS
18	THAT IS AGAINST HIS MORAL CODE OR THAT HE
19	MAY NOT WANT TO DO."
20	WOULD YOU AGREE WITH THAT?
21	A CORRECT.
22	Q (READING.)
23	"THIS MATTER WILL BE CONSIDERED
24	AT MORE LENGTH IN A LATER CHAPTER. IT
25	APPLIES TO HYPNOSIS AS ORDINARILY USED, BUT
26	NOT TO BRAINWASHING OR DICTATOR PERSUASION
27	LONG CONTINUED."
28	WOULD YOU FURTHER AGREE WITH THAT?
•	

A CORRECT.

` 5

Q NOW, THE TESTIMONY THAT MR. KLEIN WAS KIND ENOUGH TO READ TO YOU HAPPENED TO BE FROM MY WIFE, WHO IS THE M.F.C.C. AND ALSO PERSON WHO IS LICENSED BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO USE HYPNOSIS IN HER PRACTICE. WHAT SHE WAS DESCRIBING IN THE PARAGRAPH PRECEDING WHAT MR. KLEIN READ WAS A SHOCK INDUCTION. LET ME READ TO YOU FROM YOUR SOURCE BOOK WITH REGARD TO SHOCK INDUCTIONS.

BUT BEFORE I DO THAT, WOULD YOU SUGGEST OR
WOULD YOU AGREE THAT IN STAGE HYPNOSIS, THERE IS SOMEWHAT OF
A SHOCK INDUCTION THAT TAKES PLACE IN THE SENSE THAT A
PERSON IN FRONT OF A LARGE GROUP OF PEOPLE IS EITHER
EMBARRASSED, MAYBE MADE UNCOMFORTABLE, MAYBE MADE SLIGHTLY
FRIGHTENED IN ORDER TO BECOME A PARTICIPANT BEFORE A LARGE
GROUP OF PEOPLE?

A I WOULDN'T REFER TO THAT -- THAT WOULD BE AN INCORRECT USE OF THE WORD SHOCK INDUCTION. SHOCK INDUCTION REALLY IS A RAPID INDUCTION PROCEDURE WHICH THE INDIVIDUAL IS VERY QUICKLY DISASSOCIATED FROM THE CONSCIOUS PART OF THEMSELVES BY SOME KIND OF RAPID PROCESS.

NOW, WHEN YOU ARE DEALING WITH STAGE HYPNOTIST,
YOU ARE DEALING, YES, WITH A SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL ASPECTS
THAT ARE INVOLVED THAT GO BEYOND, LET'S SAY, WHAT WE
CONSIDER INDUCTION PROCEDURES.

IN FACT, ONE OF THE TEXT I UTILIZE, ONE OF MY FORMER STUDENTS. MICHAEL YAPKO, REFERRED TO AS TRANCE WORK MAKES A DEFINITE DISTINCTION BETWEEN STAGE HYPNOSIS AND HYPNOSIS AS PRACTICED BY, SAY, A HYPNOTHERAPIST OR IN THE

USUAL WAY BECAUSE YOU ARE DEALING WITH MORE THAN JUST THE HYPNOTIC PROCEDURE AS SUCH.

YOU ARE DEALING WITH RIGHT GROUP INFLUENCE, YOU ARE DEALING WITH THE WHOLE QUESTION OF PERFORMING, EXPECTATIONS OF PERFORMING BEFORE A GROUP AND THE EMBARRASSMENT OF NOT FULFILLING THE EXPECTATIONS OF THAT GROUP, WHICH BRINGS IN ANOTHER VARIABLE INTO THE SITUATION.

Q LET ME READ TO YOU FROM DR. CHEEK AND LESLIE LECRON.

A SURE.

Q (READING.)

"MOST STAGE TECHNIQUES ARE
BASED ON THE STARTLE EFFECT. THE SUBJECT
LITERALLY IS FRIGHTENED SO THAT HE ENTERS
HYPNOSIS."

SINCE YOU HELD OUT DR. CHEEK AND DR. LE CRON, WOULD YOU AGREE WITH THAT STATEMENT?

A ACTUALLY, I RESPECT, OKAY, DR. LE CRON AND DOCTOR -- THAT BOOK, BY THE WAY, WAS WRITTEN QUITE AWHILE AGO. WHETHER HE WOULD AGREE WITH SOME -- I HAPPEN TO KNOW DR. CHEEK PERSONALLY. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE COPYRIGHT DATE OF THAT, IT IS AN OLD TEXT, IN MANY WAYS OBSOLETE TEXT, ALTHOUGH A VALUABLE ONE.

I HAVE USED IT 10, 15 YEARS AGO AS PART OF MY
TEACHING. I NO LONGER USE IT BECAUSE IT IS OBSOLETE. I
FRANKLY WOULD NOT AGREE THAT ALL STAGE HYPNOSIS IS A RESULT
OF STARTLE EFFECT.

I HAVE HAD MY STUDENTS -- I GO TO MICHAEL DEAN,

WHO IS A STAGE HYPNOTIST, AND I HAVE GONE TO HIS SHOW OVER 12 TIMES. AND I HAVE HAD STUDENTS WHO HAVE GONE UP THERE AND PARTICIPATED IN THE PROGRAM AS WELL AS I KNOW SOME 3 PEOPLE PERSONALLY WHO HAVE. ` 5 AND THEY WILL GO INTO A TRANCE STATE MAINLY BECAUSE NOT SO MUCH THEY ARE STARTLED INTO IT AS MUCH AS 6 THEY ARE COOPERATIVE. THEY WANT TO. IT GIVES THEM A CHANCE 7 8 TO BE EXHIBITIONIST OR SHOW OFF THAT. I DO THINK THAT SOME STAGE HYPNOTIST AND AGAIN WE HAVE TO -- I DON'T THINK PAT 9 COLLINS DOES THAT, USE STARTLE PROCESSES. 10 I APPRECIATE ALL THIS INFORMATION. AS THAT WAS 11 12 YOUR ORIGINAL TEXT THAT --THAT IS NOT MY ORIGINAL TEXT. THAT IS A TEXT I 13 HAVE USED. THE TEXT I WAS REFERRING TO WAS QUITE A 14 DIFFERENT ONE. 15 WE HAVE IT HERE? Q 16 17 RIGHT. LIKE MAYBE SINCE YOU MENTIONED YOU HAVE A 18 FAVORITE, THIS WAS MY TEXT WHEN I WENT TO U.S.C. MEDICAL 19 SCHOOL AND STUDIED HYPNOSIS THERE. I SHOULD TELL YOU THAT 20 DR. WEITZENHOFFER, WHO WAS THE STANDARD TEXT, IS FROM 21 STANFORD UNIVERSITY? 22 I AM FAMILIAR WITH DR. WEITZENHOFFER. 23 HE AGREES WITH DR. LE CRON AND ALSO WITH DR. 24 CHEEK WITH REGARD TO THE FRIGHTENING EFFECT. 25 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH WILLIAM KROEGER? 26 YES, I AM. 27 WOULD YOU SAY HE IS ALSO ONE OF THE RENOWNED 28 0

1	EXPERTS IN THE FIELD OF HYPNOSIS?
2	A DEFINITELY.
3	Q WOULD YOU AGREE WITH HIS CONCLUSIONS WITH
<u>.</u> 4	REGARD TO MASS HYPNOSIS?
∖ 5	A I MIGHT OR I MIGHT NOT. I'D HAVE TO LISTEN TO
6	IT.
7	Q LET ME JUST POINT OUT TO YOU SOME OF BRIEFLY
8	WHAT HE HAS TO SAY. ON PAGE 95 OF HIS TEXT: (READING.)
9	"MASS SUGGESTION OR MASS
10	HYPNOSIS IS RELATIVELY EASY TO ESTABLISH IN
11	A GROUP. THE REASONS FOR THIS ARE, ONE,
12	THERE IS AN EMOTIONAL CONTAGION THAT TAKES
13	PLACE WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF THE GROUP; TWO,
14	PERSONS IDENTIFY WITH WHAT THEY SEE; THREE,
15	THE INHERENT COMPETITIVENESS IS MOBILIZED;
16	AND, FOUR, THERE IS USUALLY AN INTENSE
17	DESIRE TO PLEASE THE LEADER, FATHER OR
18	MOTHER FIGURE OF THE GROUP."
19	WOULD YOU AGREE WITH DR. KROEGER?
20	A I WOULD AGREE THAT IT IS SOMETHING THAT CAN BE
21	INDUCED IF ALL THOSE FACTORS ARE THERE. WHETHER IT IS AS
22	EASILY INDUCED AS HE INDICATES, I HAVE SOME QUESTION ABOUT,
23	BUT BASICALLY I WOULD AGREE WITH THAT STATEMENT.
24	Q NOW, YOU DO AGREE THAT THERE IS SUCH A THING AS
25	A SHOCK INDUCTION?
26	A CORRECT.
27	Q AND YOU DO AGREE THAT THE STARTLE EFFECT OR THE
28	FRIGHTENING EFFECT GIVES TO THE PERSON WHO IS UTILIZING THE

TECHNIQUE AT LEAST A MODICUM OF CONTROL OVER THE INDIVIDUAL WHO IS BEING SHOCKED INTO HYPNOSIS?

A I WOULDN'T -- FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD NOT AGREE
THAT THE STARTLE EFFECT IS NECESSARILY EQUATED WITH A
FEARFUL EFFECT. MANY TIMES PEOPLE ARE STARTLED AND THEY
DON'T GET INTO AN EMOTIONAL STATE SO QUICK THAT YOU BYPASS
THEIR EMOTIONS. A STARTLE EFFECT CAN RESULT IN A CHILDREN
GOING --

Q DIDN'T BOTHER YOU AT ALL, DID IT, DOCTOR?

THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A STARTLE EFFECT AND

IT HAS NO EFFECT ON THE INDIVIDUAL? I AM WONDERING WHY YOUR

FACE --

A WAIT A MINUTE. I DIDN'T SAY IT DIDN'T HAVE AN EFFECT. I WASN'T RENDERED FEARLESS OR FEARFUL AND I CERTAINLY DON'T FEEL THAT I AM UNDER YOUR CONTROL.

Q WELL, I DIDN'T SUGGEST THAT YOU WERE. I DIDN'T SUGGEST THAT YOU WERE, DOCTOR, AND I AM LOOKING AT YOU NOW AND I SEE ALL THE RED AROUND YOUR FACE. WHAT I AM SUGGESTING IS THIS: IF YOUR SEMANTIC INTERPRETATION OF STARTLE VERSUS FRIGHTENED IS EXACTLY THAT, A SEMANTIC ARGUMENT.

WOULD YOU AGREE THAT IF SOMEONE IS STARTLED, AT LEAST TO SOME DEGREE, THEY ARE FRIGHTENED? THERE IS A FEAR ELEMENT BUILT INTO THE WORD "STARTLING," IS THERE NOT?

A I DON'T WANT TO GET -- I WOULD SAY IN THE

STARTLE EFFECT, OKAY, THAT I AM IN A STATE WHERE THERE IS AN

EMOTIONAL REACTION. WHETHER I WOULD DEFINE IT AS FEAR, I AM

NOT NECESSARILY SO.

I THINK THAT HURT ME WORSE THAN YOU. MY HANDS Q 1 2 ARE ALL RED. 3 I DIDN'T FEEL ANY FEAR WHEN YOU DID THAT. I 4 FELT STARTLED. **\5** Q I FELT FEAR. I DIDN'T KNOW IF THE JUDGE WOULD LOOK AT ME KIND OF ASIDE OR NOT. 6 7 THE COURT: I AM STILL THINKING IT OVER. BY MR. LEVY: DOCTOR, LET ME ASK YOU THIS. IN 8 9 THE COURSE OF AN INDUCTION, IN THE NORMAL SETTING, WHAT WOULD YOU SAY -- YOU TELL ME WHAT YOU WOULD DO WITH REGARD 10 TO THE NORMAL INDUCTION. DO YOU HAVE A PREHYPNOTIC 11 12 CONFERENCE WITH AN INDIVIDUAL THAT YOU ARE GOING TO PUT IN 13 HYPNOSIS? YES, SIR, I USUALLY DO. MANY PEOPLE USUALLY 14 HAVE MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT HYPNOSIS, A LOT OF FEARS ABOUT IT, 15 A LOT OF CONCERNS ABOUT LOSING CONTROL AND I USUALLY HAVE A 16 PREINDUCTION DISCUSSION WITH AN INDIVIDUAL TO INDICATE THAT 17 THEY ARE NOT GOING TO LOSE CONTROL, THAT THEY ARE GOING TO 18 BE ABLE TO BE IN A STATE WHEREBY THEY ARE IN CONTROL. 19 20 Q WHEN YOU UTILIZE THE HYPNOTIC TECHNIQUE TO PUT 21 SOMEONE IN HYPNOSIS, DO YOU GENERALLY MAKE THEM COMFORTABLE IN A CHAIR? 22 23 Α CORRECT. DO YOU GENERALLY TELL THEM TO PUT THEIR FEET ON 24 Q 25 THE FLOOR AND UNCROSS THEIR LEGS AND THEIR ARMS? CORRECT. 26 Α DO YOU SOMETIMES USE AN EYE FASCINATION 27 TECHNIQUE? 28

1	A SOMETIMES I DO.
2	Q DO YOU TELL THEM TO BREATHE DEEPLY AND
3	REGULARLY?
4	A AS A RULE.
<b>\</b> 5	Q LET ME READ TO YOU FROM A BOOK THAT IS WRITTEN
6	BY ELIZABETH PROPHET, THE LEADER OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND
7	TRIUMPHANT, BUT FIRST THE NAME OF THE BOOK IS "THE SCIENCE
8	OF THE SPOKEN WORD" BY MARK AND ELIZABETH PROPHET.
9	FROM WHERE YOU ARE SITTING, CAN YOU SEE THIS
10	DIAGRAM?
11	A YES, I CAN.
12	Q WOULD THIS POSSIBLY SATISFY THE USE FOR AN EYE
13	FASCINATION OBJECT?
14	A IT COULD.
15	Q (READING.)
16	"NOW, BEFORE ACTUALLY SPEAKING
17	THE WORDS OF THE DECREE, SIT IN A
18	COMFORTABLE STRAIGHT CHAIR, VISUALIZE THE
19	VISUALIZATION OBJECT, HOLD YOUR SPINE AND
20	YOUR HEAD ERECT, YOUR LEGS AND HANDS
21	UNCROSSED AND YOUR FEET FLAT ON THE FLOOR.
22	. HOLD THE BOOK AT EYE LEVEL, " THAT WOULD PUT
23	IT OUT HERE, "SO YOU WOULD HAVE ANOTHER
24	MESSAGE UNIT TECHNIQUE, THE WEIGHT OF THE
25	ARM HOLDING THE BOOK, AND THEN BREATHE
26	DEEPLY AND REGULARLY."
27	ARE THOSE STANDARD PROCEDURES WHEN YOU ARE
28	DOING AN INDUCTION?

A YEAH, THOSE ARE PROCEDURES THAT COULD BE UTILIZED FOR INDUCTION, YES.

MR. LEVY: AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD LIKE TO MARK THIS BOOK, WHICH IS THE WORK OF MARK AND ELIZABETH PROPHET, FOR IDENTIFICATION AND OFFER THAT INTO EVIDENCE AT THE SAME TIME, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: DID YOU GIVE IT A NUMBER?

MR. LEVY: SINCE I WASN'T SURE WHAT THE NUMBER WAS, I WAS LOATHE TO GUESS.

THE COURT: 120.

MR. LEVY: 120. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED.

(MARKED AND REC'D IN EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 120, BOOK)

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

Q THERE ARE -- IN MOST OF THE TEXT THAT I HAVE LOOKED THROUGH, THERE IS A THING CALLED DEEPENING TECHNIQUES. WOULD YOU EXPLAIN TO US WHAT "DEEPENING TECHNIQUES" ARE?

A A DEEPENING PROCESS IN ONE IN WHICH YOU ASSIST
THE INDIVIDUAL MOVING FROM ONE LEVEL OF TRANCE TO A DEEPER
LEVEL. CONSEQUENTLY, THE INDIVIDUAL'S ABLE TO FURTHER
DISASSOCIATE HIS CORTICAL ACTIVITIES FROM THE SUBCORTICAL OR
HIS CONSCIOUS MIND FROM HIS SUBCONSCIOUS MIND.

Q WOULD IT BE FAIR TO STATE THAT IF A PERSON WAS EXPOSED TO REPETITIONS OF THE INDUCTION TECHNIQUE AND THE MASS HYPNOSIS SUGGESTIONS, THAT OVER A PERIOD OF TIME HE OR SHE WOULD BECOME MORE SUGGESTIBLE TO THEM?

A WELL, WE DO UTILIZE REPETITION AS A PROCESS.

AND IT IS POSSIBLE USING A REPETITION PROCESS THAT WE COULD BE, IN THE CONTEXT OF INDUCTION, THAT THE PERSON COULD MOVE TO A MORE DEEPER HYPNOTIC STATE. THAT IS THE BEST WAY I CAN PUT IT.

Q NOW, IN HETEROHYPNOSIS, AS OPPOSED TO

SELF-HYPNOSIS, IF I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY, HETEROHYPNOSIS IS

WHERE ONE PERSON WHO IS THE DOCTOR, OR THE

MARRIAGE/FAMILY/CHILD COUNSELOR OR THE ANCILLARY THERAPIST

IS ACTUALLY DIRECTING THE OTHER PERSON INTO GOING INTO A

HYPNOTIC STATE?

A CORRECT.

Q WOULD I BE CORRECT IN ASSUMING THAT THE PERSON IN CONTROL GENERALLY HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO DIRECT WHERE THE SUBJECT GOES?

A HAS THE OPPORTUNITY, YES.

Q OKAY. I'D LIKE TO READ -- YOU TOLD US, AND AS A MATTER OF FACT YOU POINTED OUT A PARTICULAR PLACE IN THIS VOLUME, PLACES ON PAGE 15, AND IT IS THE HANDBOOK OF HYPNOSIS BY ROY UDOLF?

A RIGHT.

Q WHAT YOU READ TO US WAS ABOUT A MISCONCEPTION.
YOU READ THAT: (READING.)

"... WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE

SEEMS TO SUPPORT THE NOTION THAT IF A

SUBJECT IS DIRECTLY REQUESTED TO DO

SOMETHING THAT IS OBJECTIONABLE TO HIM, HE

WILL SIMPLY REFUSE TO DO IT OR IN SOME CASES

AWAKEN FROM THE TRANCE."

1	A CORRECT.
2	Q LET ME READ THE NEXT LINE FOR YOU, DOCTOR.
3	(READING.)
<b>4</b>	"ON THE OTHER HAND, IT MAY BE
∖.5	POSSIBLE TO GET A SUBJECT TO PÉRFORM AN ACT
6	HE WOULD NOT NORMALLY DO BY DECEIVING HIM
7	INTO BELIEVING A SITUATION WAS DIFFERENT
8	THAN IT ACTUALLY IS. FOR EXAMPLE, THE
9	PERSON THAT HE IS BEING ASKED TO ATTACK IS
10	SAID TO BE ABOUT TO HARM HIM."
11	DOCTOR
12	A YES.
13	Q IF A PERSON WERE DECEIVED OVER A LONG PERIOD
14	OF TIME, IS IT POSSIBLE THAT THAT INDIVIDUAL MIGHT DO THINGS
15	TO HIS OWN DETRIMENT?
16	A IT'S POSSIBLE.
17	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, DOCTOR.
18	NOTHING FURTHER.
19	MR. KLEIN: MAY I JUST HAVE ONE MOMENT PLEASE, YOUR
20	HONOR?
21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
22	
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION +
24	BY MR. KLEIN:
25	Q DOCTOR, YOU WERE ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT
26	SITTING IN A COMFORTABLE CHAIR AND HAVING YOUR FEET NOT
27	CROSSED AND THINGS LIKE THAT. IS THAT ONLY A HYPNOTIC
28	TECHNIQUE OR IS THERE SOME OTHER REASON THAT LET ME
•	

1.

` 5 

WITHDRAW THAT.

DO YOU KNOW WHY SITTING IN A COMFORTABLE CHAIR

AND KEEPING YOUR FEET CROSSED -- KEEPING YOUR FEET UNCROSSED

IS RECOMMENDED BEFORE YOU PUT SOMEBODY INTO HYPNOSIS?

A YES. IN OTHER WORDS, IF AN INDIVIDUAL IS
RESISTANT, WHETHER IT BE TO HYPNOSIS OR ANY KIND OF
COMMUNICATION FOR THAT MATTER, IF THE PERSON IS HOLDING
HIMSELF LIKE THIS WITH FEET CROSSED, IT IS WHAT WE CALL A
DEFENSIVE POSITION.

WHAT WE WANT TO DO, AND I SUGGEST TO MY
STUDENTS IN MY CLASS IF THEY WANT TO GET THE MAXIMUM EFFECTS
OF MY LECTURES OR TEACHINGS, IT WOULD BE BEST TO THEM TO
REALLY BE IN A SITUATION WHERE THEY ARE BREATHING AT A
CERTAIN LEVEL, WHERE THEIR FEET IS UNCROSSED AND WHERE THEY
ARE ATTENTIVE TO WHAT IS GOING ON.

Q IS THAT A RELAXATION TECHNIQUE?

A YOU CAN USE IT AS RELAXING. YOU CAN USE IT IN ANY WAY IN WHICH YOU WANT THE PERSON TO BE MORE RECEPTIVE TO WHAT IS GOING ON.

Q WITH RESPECT TO THE BOOK THAT COUNSEL QUOTED FROM, ANDRE WEITZENHOFFER, W-E-I-T-Z-E-N-H-O-F-F-E-R, I NOTICE, AS YOU ALLUDED, THAT IT WAS PUBLISHED IN 1957.

OVER THE LAST 30 YEARS, HAVE THERE BEEN
CHANGES, UPDATES IN THE FIELD OF HYPNOSIS THAT MIGHT MAKE
SOME OF THE THINGS IN THIS BOOK OUT-OF-DATE?

A YEAH. I THINK THE LAST 30 YEARS HAVE BEEN A
TREMENDOUS AREA OF KNOWLEDGE AND RESEARCH AND EVIDENCE THAT
HAS MADE SOME OF THE OLD TEXTBOOKS SOMEWHAT OBSOLETE. AND

THAT IS ONE OF THE REASONS WE USE THEM -- DON'T USE THEM 1 2 EXCEPT FOR HISTORICAL VALUE. YOU ALSO ANSWERED TO SOME OF COUNSEL'S 3 QUESTIONS -- HE WAS TALKING ABOUT A SITUATION WHERE YOU 4 `5 COULD HAVE MASS HYPNOSIS AND HE TALKED ABOUT ADULATION AND MOTIVATION, THE TWO FACTORS YOU SAID WOULD HAVE TO BE 6 7 PRESENT. IN YOUR EXPERIENCE, ARE THERE MANY SITUATIONS 8 9 IN OUR SOCIETY, RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS, WHATEVER, WHERE 10 THOSE TWO BASIC CRITERIA, ADULATION FOR THE LEADER AND MOTIVATION TO WANT TO GET SOMETHING FROM THE SPEAKER, WHERE 11 12 THOSE ARE PRESENT? 13 Α REPEAT THE QUESTION AGAIN. MY QUESTION IS IS IT UNUSUAL IN OUR SOCIETY TO 14 HAVE SITUATIONS WHERE YOU HAVE A SPEAKER AND INDIVIDUAL IS 15 LISTENING WHO HAVE ADULATION AND MOTIVATION SUCH AS YOU --16 OH, YES. I THINK WE LIVE IN A SOCIETY OF MANY 17 WAYS WHERE THERE IS A TENDENCY TO ADULATE AND TO EMPOWER 18 PEOPLE IN POSITIONS OF AUTHORITY WITH CHARISMATIC ASPECTS. 19 MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. 20 I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. 21 MR. LEVY: YOU ARE GOING TO RETURN ALL THE BOOKS, 22 23 AREN'T YOU? THE COURT: ANYTHING MORE? 24 MR. LEVY: JUST ONE OR TWO QUESTIONS. 25 111 26 111 27 111 28

1	RECROSS-EXAMINATION +
2	BY MR. LEVY:
3	Q IN DEFENSE OF MY OLD TEACHER HERE, THE BASIC
.a. 4	TECHNIQUES, THE BASIC INDUCTIONS, THE BASIC EYE
<b>\ 5</b>	FASCINATIONS, ISN'T IT A FACT THAT SINCE THE TIME OF ANTON
6	MESMER, WHEN HYPNOTISM WAS FIRST GETTING ITS START, THAT
7	THERE ARE SOME TRUISMS THAT EVEN FROM THE 1700'S WITH
8	REGARDS TO HYPNOTISM ARE STILL ACCURATE AND EFFECTIVE TODAY?
9	A YES. LOT OF ANCIENT WISDOM IS STILL TRUE,
10	RIGHT.
11	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, DOCTOR.
12	NOTHING FURTHER.
13	THE WITNESS: I HAVE A CAN I QUOTE ONE MORE
14	ASPECT?
15	THE COURT: ONLY IF THERE IS A QUESTION THAT CALLS
16	FOR IT.
17	MR. LEVY: WE WILL SEE ABOUT COMING TO YOUR LECTURE
18	MAYBE.
19	THE WITNESS: OKAY.
20	THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE?
21	MR. KLEIN: JUST ONE QUESTION.
22	
23	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION +
24	BY MR. KLEIN:
25	Q IS THERE SOME QUOTE THAT YOU HAVE, DOCTOR, THAT
26	RELATES TO THE QUESTIONS THAT WE HAVE BEEN ASKING YOU WITH
27_	RESPECT TO HYPNOTISM AND ITS EFFECT UPON PEOPLE?
28	A YEAH. I JUST WANT TO MAKE ONE MORE QUOTE

REFERENCE TO THE BOOK REFERRED TO BY ROY UDOLF. AND AGAIN 1 2 ON PAGE 288, HE CONSIDERS: (READING.) 3 "ALL SUBJECTS RETAIN A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF OBSERVING EGO AND IS DIFFICULT, IF NOT IMPOSSIBLE, TO TOTALLY DECÉIVE A SUBJECT **\** 5 AS TO THE EXISTENCE OF CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH б WOULD RENDER THE PROPOSED CRIMINAL ACTIONS 7 JUSTIFIABLE OR APPROPRIATE TO THEM." 8 SO I WOULD SAY THIS, JUST TO QUALIFY WHAT WAS 9 JUST SAID, IT IS POSSIBLE TO DECEIVE SOMEONE. 10 AND AT THE SAME TIME AS FAR AS THE HYPNOTIC 11 STATE IS CONCERNED, IT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT TO DECEIVE 12 SOMEONE WHILE IN A STATE OF HYPNOSIS IN REGARDS TO MAKING A 13 STATEMENT THAT THEY WOULD DO THAT WOULD RESULT IN EITHER 14 15 ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR OR REPUGNANT. AND I JUST WANT TO 16 CLARIFY THAT POINT. 17 MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. 18 MR. LEVY: JUST ONE MORE LITTLE --19 MR. MIDDLETON: WAIT A MINUTE. YOU GOT THAT FROM 20 21 HERE? THE WITNESS: YES. IT IS ON PAGE 288. 22 23 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION + 24 BY MR. LEVY: 25 DOCTOR, WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT IS THE 26 DIFFICULTY IN DECEIVING SOMEONE? 27 CORRECT. 28

28

IF SOMEONE WERE ONE OF YOUR PATIENTS, AND YOU Q HAD BEEN UTILIZING HYPNOSIS ON THEM AS AN ONGOING COURSE OF THERAPY, JUST ONE OF THE MODALITIES THAT YOU MIGHT USE, SAY FOR OVER A PERIOD OF THREE MONTHS, AND THEY HAD COME TO RELY UPON YOU AS THE AUTHORITY FIGURE AND CERTAINLY THE ONE THAT WOULD NEVER JEOPARDIZE THEM BECAUSE YOU WOULD ONLY POSE FOR THEM POSITIVE ALTERNATIVES, IF ONE OF THE ALTERNATIVES THAT YOU POSED WAS A COURSE OF CONDUCT THAT MIGHT BE BENEFICIAL TO A THIRD PARTY, SAY LIKE A WIFE -- WE ALL KNOW MARRIAGE/FAMILY COUNSELORS OFTTIMES SUGGEST IN MARITAL RELATION SHIPS THAT SOMEONE DO SOMETHING OR NOT DO SOMETHING TO BENEFIT THE RELATIONSHIP -- IF YOU WERE TO RECOMMEND A COURSE OF CONDUCT THAT MIGHT NOT BE TO THEIR INDIVIDUAL BEST INTEREST BUT WOULD BE IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE MARITAL RELATIONSHIP, WOULD THE POSSIBILITY BE MORE SO THAN NOT THAT THAT INDIVIDUAL WOULD TAKE YOUR ADVICE AND DO SOMETHING NOT TOTALLY IN THE IR OWN BEST INTEREST?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. THAT IS COMPOUND AND VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.

THE COURT: IT IS TOO LONG.

- Q BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU UNDERSTAND?
- A I THINK IT WOULD HELP ME IF YOU BROKE IT DOWN.
- Q LET ME SEE IF I CAN BREAK IT DOWN.

CAN YOU THINK OF ANY CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE

SOMEBODY MIGHT DO SOMETHING THAT MIGHT NOT BE IN THEIR OWN

BEST INTEREST IF THEY WERE PRECONDITIONED FOR A THREE-MONTH

PERIOD?

A YEAH. I CAN THINK OF CIRCUMSTANCES -- I

2 CAN THINK OF A SITUATION WHERE INDIVIDUALS MAY BE INFLUENCED BY SOMEONE TO DO THINGS THAT WOULD NOT BE IN THEIR BEST 3 INTERESTS. YES, I THINK THAT IS POSSIBLE. MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, DOCTOR. / **√** 5 I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 6 MR. KLEIN: NOTHING FURTHER. 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. YOU ARE EXCUSED. 8 9 THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT. THE COURT: WE WILL TAKE OUR RECESS NOW. WE WILL 10 11 TAKE OUR MORNING RECESS AT THIS TIME. (RECESS.) 12 THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED. 13 MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE WOULD CALL ELIZABETH 14 15 CLARE PROPHET, YOUR HONOR. 16 ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET FRANCIS, + 17 A CROSS-DEFENDANT HEREIN, CALLED AS A WITNESS ON HER OWN 18 19 BEHALF, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 20 THE CLERK: MA'AM, YOU PREVIOUSLY HAVE BEEN SWORN AND 21 ARE STILL UNDER OATH. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR THE 22 RECORD. THE WITNESS: ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET FRANCIS. 23 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 24 25 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 111 26 111 27 28 111

WOULDN'T BLAME IT, OF COURSE, ON HYPNOSIS NECESSARILY, BUT I

1

1	
2	
3	
<b>4</b>	
` 5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	ĺ
25	
26	
<b>27</b> .	
28	

## DIRECT EXAMINATION +

BY MR. KLEIN:

Q MISS PROPHET, WE HAVE JUST HAD THIS BOOK ENTITLED "THE SCIENCE OF THE SPOKEN WORD" ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE AS EXHIBIT NUMBER 120. MR. LEVY SHOWED A PICTURE TO THE LAST WITNESS AND ASKED HIM SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT IT. I AM GOING TO SHOW THAT PICTURE TO YOU.

IT SAYS "THE DIVINE SELF." IT IS OPPOSITE PAGE
17 OF THE BOOK. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THAT PICTURE?

A YES, I AM.

Q WHAT DOES THAT PICTURE SIGNIFY?

A IT IS THE CHART OF YOUR DIVINE REALITY. THE UPPER SPHERE SYMBOLIZES THE THE PRESENCE OF GOD, THE GOD PRESENCE ON WHOM YOU MEDITATE WHEN YOU PRAY OR DECREE.

THE CENTRAL FIGURE REPRESENTS THE LORD CHRIST,
THE PRESENCE OF JESUS AS WELL AS THE HOLY CHRIST SELF. THE
LOWER FIGURE WHICH YOU SEE SURROUNDED BY VIOLET FLAME IS
YOURSELF HERE IN EMBODIMENT ON EARTH SURROUNDED BY THE
SACRED FIRE OF THE HOLY SPIRIT.

Q THERE IS ANOTHER PICTURE ON THE COVER. CAN YOU SEE THAT FROM WHERE YOU ARE?

A YES.

Q WHAT DOES THAT SIGNIFY?

A WHAT THAT IS A DRAWING OF IS CHAKRA OR

SPIRITUAL CENTER. AS YOU CAN SEE, THE BOOK IS CALLED "THE

SCIENCE OF THE SPOKEN WORD." AND THAT CENTER SIGNIFIES THE

THROAT CENTER OR THE POWER OF SPEECH.

Q NOW, THE CHART, THE FIRST ONE THAT YOU WERE

TALKING ABOUT OPPOSITE PAGE 17, WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF 1 PEOPLE LOOKING AT THIS PICTURE WHILE THEY DECREE? 2 3 THE PURPOSE IS TO ADDRESS THE FATHER, THE SON AND THE HOLY SPIRIT IN PRAYER SO THAT ONE WILL BE UNDER THE 4 RADIANCE OF THE LIGHT OF THE TRINITY AT ALL TIMES IN PRAYER \ 5 б AND DECREES. AND MR. LEVY READ SOME SUGGESTIONS AS TO WHAT 7 YOUR POSTURE SHOULD BE WHEN YOU ARE DECREEING, SITTING DOWN 8 AND HAVING YOUR FEET UNCROSSED. 9 WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF TELLING PEOPLE TO USE 10 11 THAT POSTURE WHEN THEY DECREE? THAT POSTURE IS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE EASTERN 12 FORM OF YOGA. FOR INSTANCE, THE LOTUS POSTURE THAT IS 13 COMMONLY USED IN MEDITATION WHERE THE SPINE IS ERECT, MOST 14 15 PEOPLE IN THE WEST ARE NOT ABLE TO DO THAT AND SO THEY SIT IN A REGULAR CHAIR. AND THE POINT IS TO SEE THAT ONE IS IN 16 17 CONTROL OF ONESELF THROUGH CORRECT POSTURE. AND THE NONCROSSING OF THE FEET IS FOR THE FLOW 18 OF THE LIGHT UNIMPEDED THROUGH THE BODY WHICH YOU ARE 19 INVOKING THROUGH YOUR DECREE FROM THE GOD PRESENCE. 20 HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY TRAINING IN HYPNOSIS? 21 Q NONE WHATSOEVER. 22 EVER HAD ANY TRAINING IN PSYCHOLOGY? 23 Q NO. 24 DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU LEARNED THAT 25 GREGORY MULL HAD BEEN A HOMOSEXUAL? 26 YES, THERE DID. 27 WHEN WAS THAT? 28 Q

IN LATE 1974 I RECEIVED A PHONE CALL FROM A 1 2 STUDENT IN SAN FRANCISCO WHO SAID THEY WOULD LIKE TO BRING A FRIEND DOWN TO A SERVICE. 3 MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR, TO ANY . 4 `5 FURTHER COMMENT WITH REGARD TO THE CONVERSATION AS HEARSAY. THE COURT: IT IS TIME FOR ANOTHER QUESTION. 6 7 BY MR. KLEIN: DURING THE COURSE OF THAT 8 CONVERSATION, DID YOU LEARN THAT GREGORY MULL HAD BEEN A 9 HOMOSEXUAL? 10 MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. HEARSAY. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 11 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, MAY I BE HEARD ON THAT? 12 13 THE COURT: NO. PROCEED. MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK TO MAKE A RECORD 14 ON THAT, YOUR HONOR. I THINK IT IS SOMETHING I HAVE ANOTHER 15 QUESTION ON AND I JUST ASK TO MAKE A RECORD. 16 THE COURT: THE RECORD IS MADE. 17 MR. KLEIN: I'D LIKE TO EXPLAIN WHAT MY POSITION IS 18 ON THAT RULING. IT WILL JUST TAKE A MOMENT. 19 THE COURT: DO YOU REALLY FEEL WE SHOULD TAKE TIME? 20 MR. KLEIN: JUST TAKE A MOMENT. 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 22 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 23 AT THE BENCH:) 24 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I SIMPLY WANTED TO ESTABLISH 25 26 THAT THAT IS WHEN SHE FIRST LEARNED THAT HE WAS A 27 HOMOSEXUAL, NOT --28 THE COURT: I SIMPLY WANT TO ESTABLISH THAT IT IS

HEARSAY. 1 2 MATTER, YOUR HONOR. 3 **\ 5** 6 7 OF THE FACT. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 WHETHER IT WAS TRUE OR NOT --16 17 18 YOU USED THE WORD SHE KNEW. 19 20 SAID WAS TRUE. 21 22 23 24 Q 25 BEFORE I MET HIM. Α 26 27 MULL WROTE HIS CLEARANCE LETTER? 28

MR. KLEIN: BUT IT IS NOT FOR THE TRUTH OF THE THE COURT: THEN WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE? MR. KLEIN: THAT IS HOW SHE LEARNED IT, THAT IS HOW SHE LEARNED THE FACT. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE TRUTH MR. LEVY: ANYTHING SHE HEARD IN THAT CONVERSATION IS HEARSAY AND, AS SUCH, IS NOT ADMISSIBLE. THE COURT: IF IT IS NOT INTRODUCED AS EVIDENCE OF THE TRUTH OF THE STATEMENT, THEN WHAT IS ITS RELEVANCY? MR. KLEIN: THAT SHE KNEW FROM THAT POINT THAT HE WAS HOMOSEXUAL AND IT IS NOT BEING PUT IN TO SAY WHETHER WHAT THE PERSON SAID WAS TRUE OR NOT. SHE WAS TOLD THAT. THE COURT: IF IT IS NOT BEING INTRODUCED AS EVIDENCE OF THE TRUTH OF THE STATEMENT, THEN HOW COULD SHE KNOW? AND MR. KLEIN: BECAUSE I AM NOT SAYING WHAT THE PERSON THE COURT: PROCEED. WE ARE GOING TO PROCEED. (THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) BY MR. KLEIN: DID THE CONVERSATION THAT YOU JUST REFERRED TO OCCUR BEFORE OR AFTER YOU MET MR. MULL? DID THAT CONVERSATION OCCUR BEFORE OR AFTER MR.

1	A WELL BEFORE.
2	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT MR. MULL WROTE IN HIS
3	CLEARANCE LETTER?
4	A NO.
<b>\ 5</b>	Q HOW WAS IT THAT YOU DON'T RECALL THAT?
6	A BECAUSE I READ HUNDREDS AND THOUSANDS OF
7	LETTERS. AND MY PRACTICE IS WHEN I COMMIT THEIR CONTENT TO
8	PRAYER, THE PHYSICAL FIRE THAT BURNS THEM SYMBOLIZES THE
9	SACRED FIRE, AND I SURRENDER THE CONTENT TO THE SACRED FIRE
10	AND I ALSO ASK THAT I NOT RETAIN THE MEMORY.
11	Q DO YOU RECALL EVER HAVING A DISCUSSION WITH
12	ANYONE ELSE ABOUT MR. MULL BEING A HOMOSEXUAL PRIOR TO THE
13	TIME MR. MULL WROTE HIS CLEARANCE LETTER?
14	A WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "ANYONE ELSE"?
15	Q DID YOU HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH ANYONE ABOUT
16	MR. MULL HAVING BEEN A HOMOSEXUAL BEFORE THE DATE MR. MULL
17	WROTE HIS CLEARANCE LETTER?
18	A YES, I HAD A CONVERSATION WITH SOMEONE ELSE.
19	Q WHO DID YOU HAVE IT WITH?
20	A MONROE SHEARER.
21	MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT.
22	THE COURT: JUST A SECOND.
23	MR. LEVY: I AM PREMATURE, YOUR HONOR. I WILL
24	WITHDRAW IT.
25	THE COURT: YES, YOU ARE.
26	WITH WHOM DID YOU HAVE THE CONVERSATION?
27	THE WITNESS: MONROE SHEARER.
28	Q BY MR. KLEIN: WHEN DID YOU HAVE IT?

1	A I HAD IT THE FIRST WEEK OF SUMMIT UNIVERSITY IN
2	1975, JANUARY.
3	Q WHEN MR. MULL WAS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY IN
s 4	JANUARY OF 1975, WAS HE ASSIGNED A ROOMMATE?
`5	A YES, HE WAS.
6	Q DID MR. MULL GET ALONG WITH HIS ROOMMATE?
7	MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. THAT
8	DOES CALL FOR SPECULATION.
9	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
10	Q BY MR. KLEIN: DO YOU HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE AS TO
11	WHETHER MR. MULL GOT ALONG WITH HIS ROOMMATE?
12	MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. CALLS FOR HEARSAY.
13	THE COURT: IT CALLS FOR A CONCLUSION, SPECULATION,
14	HEARSAY. MOVE ON. SUSTAINED.
15	Q BY MR. KLEIN: AS A RESULT OF YOUR CONVERSATION
16	WITH MR. SHEARER, DID YOU COUNSEL MR. MULL?
17	A YES, I DID.
18	Q AS A RESULT OF YOUR CONVERSATION WITH MR.
19	SHEARER, DID YOU COUNSEL MR. MULL'S ROOMMATE?
20	A YES, I COUNSELED BOTH OF THEM.
21	Q AND DID ALL THAT COUNSELING OCCUR PRIOR TO THE
22	TIME THAT MR. MULL WROTE HIS CLEARANCE LETTER?
23	A YES, IT DID.
24	Q HAVE YOU EVER REVEALED THE CONTENTS OF MR.
25	MULL'S CLEARANCE LETTER TO ANYONE?
26	A NO.
27.	Q DID YOU EVER TELL ANYONE THAT MR. MULL WAS OR
28	HAD BEEN HOMOSEXUAL?
	·

1	A NO.
2	Q AFTER MR. MULL WROTE HIS CLEARANCE LETTER, DID
3	ANYONE SPEAK TO YOU ABOUT THE FACT THAT MR. MULL HAD BEEN A
a 4	HOMOSEXUAL PRIOR TO THE FILING OF HIS LAWSUIT?
`5	MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. COUNSEL
6	IS LEADING HIS WITNESS.
7	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
8	Q BY MR. KLEIN: DID YOU PRESSURE MR. MULL TO BE
9	A PERMANENT STAFF MEMBER?
10	A NO, I DIDN'T.
11	Q DID YOU EVER DISCUSS BEING A PERMANENT STAFF
12	MEMBER WITH MR. MULL?
13	A YES, I DID.
14	Q WHY DID YOU DISCUSS IT WITH HIM?
15	A I WAS UNDER THE ASSUMPTION THAT HE WANTED TO
16	BECOME A PERMANENT STAFF MEMBER.
17	Q WHAT DID YOU BASE THAT ASSUMPTION ON?
18	A ON HIS OWN DEVOTION AND COMMITMENT, HIS
19	STATEMENTS TO ME AND A WIFE A LETTER THAT HIS WIFE WROTE
20	TO ME STATING THAT HE DID WANT TO BECOME A PERMANENT STAFF
21	MEMBER.
22	Q AT THE CONVERSATION THAT YOU HAD WITH MR. MULL
23	BACK IN THE BEGINNING OF HIS QUARTER AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY,
24	THE ONE WHERE YOU FIRST HAD A CONVERSATION WITH MONROE
25	SHEARER AND THEN YOU TALKED TO MR. MULL AND YOU TALKED TO
26	HIS ROOMMATE, TELL US WHAT THE CONVERSATION WAS BETWEEN
27	YOURSELF AND MR. MULL?
28	A IT WAS CONCERNING THE FACT THAT HE AND HIS

ROOMMATE WERE HAVING BITTER FIGHTS ON A DAILY BASIS. AND 1 2 THEY BOTH SOUGHT COUNSELING ON THE MATTER. AND DID -- WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION WITH HIM AS 3 TO WHAT THOSE FIGHTS WERE ABOUT? 4 `.5 MR. LEVY: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. VAGUE AS TO WHO "HIM" IS. 6 7 BY MR. KLEIN: WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION WITH Q 8 MR. MULL AS TO WHAT THE FIGHTS WERE ABOUT AND WHAT YOUR 9 OPINION OF WHY THEY WERE BEING CAUSED WAS? 10 MR. LEVY: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THAT IS NOW A COMPOUND QUESTION. 11 12 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. KLEIN: DID YOU TELL MR. MULL WHY YOU 13 BELIEVED THE FIGHTS WERE GOING ON BETWEEN HIM AND HIS 14 15 ROOMMATE DURING THAT CONVERSATION? MR. LEVY: NOW I AM GOING TO HAVE TO OBJECT BECAUSE 16 17 HE IS LEADING HIS WITNESS AGAIN. THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER YES OR NO. 18 THE WITNESS: WHAT WAS THE QUESTION? 19 BY MR. KLEIN: DID YOU TELL MR. MULL DURING THE 20 21 COURSE OF THAT COUNSELING SESSION WITH HIM WHY YOU BELIEVED 22 THE FIGHTS WERE GOING ON BETWEEN HIM AND THE ROOMMATE? YES. 23 Α WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM? 24 I DISCOVERED THAT HIS ROOMMATE HAD BEEN 25 PREVIOUSLY A HOMOSEXUAL. THEIR FIGHTS WERE DOMESTIC IN 26 27 NATURE. AND I EXPLAINED TO THEM THAT LOVE CASTS OUT ALL 28

FEAR AND ALL ARGUMENTATION, AND THAT THEY WERE HERE AT 1 2 SUMMIT UNIVERSITY TO MOVE FORWARD IN THE LIGHT OF GOD AND I 3 EXPECTED THEM TO CEASE THEIR ARGUMENTS AND BECOME DEVOTEES OF CHRIST. ` 5 MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. 6 I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR. 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 8 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION + 10 BY MR. LEVY: 11 YOU TOLD US THAT WHEN SOMEONE WRITES A 12 CLEARANCE LETTER, AFTER YOU READ IT, YOU ASK SOMEONE TO 13 CLEAR YOUR MIND; IS THAT CORRECT? 14 I PRAY TO THE HOLY SPIRIT FOR THE TAKING OF THE 15 CONTENT FROM ME AS IT IS BURNED. AND JUST LIKE THAT, WHEN YOU ASK, EVERYTHING 16 17 THAT IS IN YOUR MIND IS JUST WIPED OUT? 18 Α THAT IS MY BELIEF IN THE POWER OF THE HOLY GHOST. 19 20 YOU HAVE NO TRAINING IN HYPNOSIS; IS THAT Q CORRECT? 21 22 Α NO, I DO NOT HAVE ANY, THAT IS CORRECT. 23 WHEN YOU GO TO YOUR DENTIST OR WHEN YOU WERE 24 GOING TO YOUR DENTIST, ISN'T IT A FACT THAT YOUR DENTIST 25 USED HYPNOSIS WITH YOU AS OPPOSED TO ANY OTHER FORM OF 26 ANESTHES IA? 27. ON ONE OCCASION IN SANTA BARBARA FOR A ROOT 28 CANAL, A DENTIST GAVE ME SUGGESTIONS WHICH HE ASSURED ME

WERE NOT HYPNOSIS.

Q YOU DIDN'T TAKE ANY OTHER ANESTHESIA AT THAT

TIME WHEN THEY WERE GRINDING AND DRILLING AND GOING IN YOUR

TOOTH RIGHT DOWN THERE TO ALL THOSE NERVES, DID YOU?

A NO, I DID NOT.

Q SO THE SUGGESTIBILITY AND THE PROCESS THAT THE DENTIST USED, SINCE YOU WEREN'T UNDER ANY OTHER FORM OF ANESTHESIA, WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE IN YOUR RECOLLECTION; IT WOULDN'T BE SOMETHING THAT WHEN YOU PRAYED WAS WIPED OUT WITH THE CLEARANCE LETTERS, WAS IT?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. THAT IS A COMPOUND QUESTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: I HAD PRACTICED AS A TEENAGER CALLING
UPON JESUS CHRIST IN THE DENTIST CHAIR AND NOT USING
NOVOCAINE. I HAD DONE THAT MANY, MANY TIMES.

Q BY MR. LEVY: SELF-HYPNOSIS?

A NOT HYPNOSIS AT ALL. I CALLED UPON THE LORD TO ENVELOPE ME IN THE LIGHT. MY MOTHER COULDN'T AFFORD THE NOVOCAINE, AND I WAS VERY HAPPY TO DO IT IN THAT MANNER AND I BELIEVED IN THE POWER OF CHRIST WITH ME.

AND WHEN THE DENTIST IN SANTA BARBARA SUGGESTED I NOT TAKE THE ANESTHESIA, I SAID I WAS EXPERIENCED IN DOING THIS. WHEN HE GAVE THE SUGGESTION, I IN MY HEART CALLED TO JESUS BECAUSE I DID NOT WANT TO TIE INTO ANY TYPE OF HYPNOSIS AND I HAD THE SAME EXPERIENCE THAT I HAD AS A TEENAGER.

Q YOUR CHURCH ACTUALLY TEACHES THAT HYPNOSIS IS

1	NOT A GOOD THING, DON'T THEY?
2	A THAT'S RIGHT.
3	Q THAT WAY IF THE FOLLOWERS ARE NOT KNOWLEDGEABLE
.a. <b>4</b>	IN HYPNOSIS, THEY WON'T HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT IS GOING ON WHEN
`5	IT IS USED, WILL THEY?
6	A IT IS NOT USED IN MY CHURCH.
7	Q NO SUGGESTIBILITY IS USED IN YOUR CHURCH?
8	A THE POWER OF THE HOLY SPIRIT IS THE ONLY
9	SUGGESTION ANY MEMBER IN OUR CHURCH ACCEPTS.
10	Q DO THEY ACCEPT YOUR SUGGESTIONS, ELIZABETH?
11	A NO, THEY DO NOT ACCEPT MY SUGGESTIONS. THEY
12	LISTEN, THEY DELIBERATE AND THEY ACT ACCORDING TO THEIR FREE
13	WILL.
14	Q DOES YOUR CHURCH HAVE AN AVOWED VIEW ON
15	HOMOSEXUALITY?
15 16	HOMOSEXUALITY?  A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.
16	A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.
16 17	A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.  Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT WHEN SOMEONE GOES THROUGH
16 17 18	A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.  Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT WHEN SOMEONE GOES THROUGH  THEIR QUARTER, THEY LEARN THAT YOUR CHURCH IS OPPOSED
16 17 18 19	A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.  Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT WHEN SOMEONE GOES THROUGH  THEIR QUARTER, THEY LEARN THAT YOUR CHURCH IS OPPOSED  VEHEMENTLY TO HOMOSEXUALITY; AND THEY ALSO LEARN THAT IF
16 17 18 19 20	A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.  Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT WHEN SOMEONE GOES THROUGH  THEIR QUARTER, THEY LEARN THAT YOUR CHURCH IS OPPOSED  VEHEMENTLY TO HOMOSEXUALITY; AND THEY ALSO LEARN THAT IF  THEY ARE GOING TO STAY IN YOUR CHURCH, THEY ARE NOT ONLY NOT
16 17 18 19 20 21	A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.  Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT WHEN SOMEONE GOES THROUGH  THEIR QUARTER, THEY LEARN THAT YOUR CHURCH IS OPPOSED  VEHEMENTLY TO HOMOSEXUALITY; AND THEY ALSO LEARN THAT IF  THEY ARE GOING TO STAY IN YOUR CHURCH, THEY ARE NOT ONLY NOT  GOING TO PRACTICE IT, BUT THEY ARE NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT
16 17 18 19 20 21	A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.  Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT WHEN SOMEONE GOES THROUGH  THEIR QUARTER, THEY LEARN THAT YOUR CHURCH IS OPPOSED  VEHEMENTLY TO HOMOSEXUALITY; AND THEY ALSO LEARN THAT IF  THEY ARE GOING TO STAY IN YOUR CHURCH, THEY ARE NOT ONLY NOT  GOING TO PRACTICE IT, BUT THEY ARE NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT  IT, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.  Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT WHEN SOMEONE GOES THROUGH THEIR QUARTER, THEY LEARN THAT YOUR CHURCH IS OPPOSED VEHEMENTLY TO HOMOSEXUALITY; AND THEY ALSO LEARN THAT IF THEY ARE GOING TO STAY IN YOUR CHURCH, THEY ARE NOT ONLY NOT GOING TO PRACTICE IT, BUT THEY ARE NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT IT, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT AND THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH ANYONE WHO
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.  Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT WHEN SOMEONE GOES THROUGH THEIR QUARTER, THEY LEARN THAT YOUR CHURCH IS OPPOSED VEHEMENTLY TO HOMOSEXUALITY; AND THEY ALSO LEARN THAT IF THEY ARE GOING TO STAY IN YOUR CHURCH, THEY ARE NOT ONLY NOT GOING TO PRACTICE IT, BUT THEY ARE NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT IT, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT AND THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH ANYONE WHO DOES?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A YES, WE HAVE A TEACHING ON HOMOSEXUALITY.  Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT WHEN SOMEONE GOES THROUGH THEIR QUARTER, THEY LEARN THAT YOUR CHURCH IS OPPOSED VEHEMENTLY TO HOMOSEXUALITY; AND THEY ALSO LEARN THAT IF THEY ARE GOING TO STAY IN YOUR CHURCH, THEY ARE NOT ONLY NOT GOING TO PRACTICE IT, BUT THEY ARE NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT IT, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT AND THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH ANYONE WHO DOES?  A I THINK YOU ARE MISCHARACTERIZING OUR TEACHING

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

28

FIRST OF ALL, THERE IS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A HOMOSEXUAL AND THE PRACTICE OF HOMOSEXUALITY. WE DO NOT CONDEMN ANY INDIVIDUALS, INCLUDING HOMOSEXUALS. THE TEACHING SPIRITUAL ON HOMOSEXUALITY COMES FROM BOTH THE EASTERN AND WESTERN RELIGIOUS TRADITIONS.

WHAT I AM SPEAKING ABOUT AS FAR AS SEX IS CONCERNED IS THAT WE HAVE SPIRITUAL CENTERS, SEVEN IN NUMBER. THE BASE CHAKRA IS THE CHAKRA OF THE SACRED FIRE.

EXCUSE ME, MA'AM, I DON'T MEAN TO BE RUDE ABOUT THE CHAKRAS AND THE SACRED FIRE. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT SIMPLY ONE THING, THE POLICY OF THE CHURCH WITH REGARD TO HOMOSEXUALITY.

> I CAN GET TO MY POINT IN TWO SENTENCES. THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: THE BASE CHAKRA IS FOR PROCREATION AND THE SEXUAL EXPERIENCE. IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS LIGHT OR ENERGY BE RAISED. IT IS TAUGHT IN THE EASTERN/WESTERN TRADITION THAT HOMOSEXUALITY IS A MISUSE OF THAT LIGHT AND SACRED FIRE.

AND WE RECOMMEND AND TEACH THAT IT NOT BE PRACTICED BECAUSE IT IS A HINDRANCE TO ONE'S SPIRITUAL DEVELOPMENT, BUT WE CHAMPION THE RIGHT AND FREE WILL OF AN INDIVIDUAL IF HE SO CHOOSE TO PRACTICE IT.

BUT WE SAY OUR PATH IS DEDICATED TO THE SPIRITUAL LIFE AND THERE IS NO POINT YOUR BEING ON THIS PATH IF YOU DECIDE TO BE A PRACTICING HOMOSEXUAL.

BY MR. LEVY: DOES THAT MEAN THE SAME THING AS IF YOU ARE PRACTICING HOMOSEXUAL, YOU CANNOT BE IN OUR

1	CHURCH?
2	A IT DOES NOT SAY "CANNOT." I DON'T KNOW THE
3	SEXUAL PREFERENCES OF ALL PEOPLE THAT ARE AFFILIATED WITH
. 4	OUR MOVEMENT. BUT THE TEACHING IS THERE.
`5	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY BOOKS IN YOUR PERSONAL LIBRARY
6	ON BLACK MAGIC AND THE OCCULT AND HYPNOSIS?
7	A YES NO, NOT ON HYPNOSIS, BUT ON THE OTHER
8	THINGS YOU MENTIONED.
9	Q DID YOU EVER HAVE ANY BOOKS IN YOUR LIBRARY ON
10	HYPNOSIS?
11	A I HAVE A VAST LIBRARY OF BOOKS TO WHICH MANY
12	PEOPLE HAVE CONTRIBUTED AND MY LIBRARY AND THE SUMMIT
13	UNIVERSITY LIBRARY MERGED. I CAN'T SAY THERE NEVER HAS BEEN
14	A BOOK ON THAT SUBJECT BECAUSE WE TRY TO HAVE A REFERENCE
15	LIBRARY TO WORLD THOUGHT, PHILOSOPHY AND SO FORTH.
16	Q AND YOU JUST DON'T HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION AS TO
17	WHETHER YOU'VE EVER HAD A BOOK ON HYPNOSIS OR NOT?
18	A I HAVEN'T HAD ONE IN MY HANDS AND STUDIED IT,
19	BUT THAT IS NOT SAYING IN THE ENCYCLOPEDIAS AND REFERENCE
20	MATERIALS THERE IS NOT MENTION OF IT.
21	Q WHAT ABOUT JUST A BOOK ABOUT HYPNOSIS? NOT ANY
22	ENCYCLOPEDIAS, NOT IN THE DICTIONARY BUT BOOKS ON HYPNOSIS?
23	A THE LIBRARY IS NOT CENSORED.
24	Q LET ME TRY TO HELP YOU REMEMBER. YOU REMEMBER
25	THE BOOKS THAT ARE COVERED WITH TIN FOIL?
26	A I HAVE BOOKS COVERED WITH TIN FOIL.
<b>27</b> .	Q WHAT ABOUT THE BOOKS ABOUT HYPNOSIS THAT WERE
28	COVERED WITH TIN FOIL?

1	A I DON'T RECALL ANY SUCH BOOKS. I DON'T DO ALL
2	THE COVERING OF THEM WITH TINFOIL.
3	Q WHY WERE THEY COVERED WITH TIN FOIL?
4	A BOOKS CONSIDERED TO BE ON DESTRUCTIVE SUBJECTS,
<b>∑</b> 5	LIKE BLACK MAGIC AND WITCHCRAFT, WERE SO CLASSIFIED AND SO
6	PLACED BECAUSE THEY ARE DESTRUCTIVE BOOKS.
7	Q AND WHATEVER IS IN THEM CAN JUST KIND OF LEAK
8	OUT UNLESS THEY HAVE TIN FOIL AROUND THEM?
9	A I WOULDN'T SAY 50.
10	Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT THEY WERE COVERED WITH TIN
11	FOIL SO YOUR FOLLOWERS AND THE PEOPLE WHO WORK FOR YOU WHO
12	CLEAN UP WOULDN'T SEE THAT YOU HAD VOLUMES
13	A NOT AT ALL.
14	Q NO3
15	A NO, THAT IS NOT A FACT. IT IS A COMMON
16	PRACTICE IN OUR ORGANIZATION IF YOU HAVE TO KEEP FILES AND
17	MATERIALS ON THE SUBJECTS OF SATANISM OR SO FORTH FOR YOUR
18	REFERENCE POINT, YOU WILL WRAP THEM IN TIN FOIL.
19	. ANYONE WHO HAD ACCESS TO THE LIBRARY SIMPLY
20	NEEDED TO UNWRAP THEM AND LOOK AT THEM IF THEY WANTED TO
21	RESEARCH THAT SUBJECT FOR A PURPOSE SUCH AS AN EXPOSE ON
22	SATANISM AND THE CHURCH OF SATAN.
23	Q WHAT OTHER BOOKS IN YOUR LIBRARY ARE WRAPPED IN
24	TIN FOIL?
25	A I DON'T KNOW. I HAVEN'T I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT
26	SUCH BOOKS IN YEARS. ANYONE WHO IS A LIBRARIAN WORKING WITH
27	MATERIALS WOULD BE FREE TO DO THIS. MAYBE BOOKS ON THE
28	PSYCHIC, MAYBE BOOKS ON SPIRITUALISM, PRACTICES WHICH WE
<del>-</del>	1

CONSIDER DETRIMENTAL.

Q ON FEBRUARY THE 22ND, 1979, MR. MULL WROTE A LETTER TO YOU AND THE CHURCH AND HE SAID IN THE LETTER: (READING.)

"IF I WERE A PERMANENT STAFF
MEMBER, I WOULD CONSIDER GIVING YOU ALL MY
MONEY. BUT I HAVE NOT ARRIVED AT THE POINT
OF COMMITMENT AND HAVE A WIFE AND A DAUGHTER
TO BE PARTIALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR."

YOU WERE AWARE THAT MR. MULL WAS NOT CONSIDERING BECOMING PERMANENT STAFF, WERE YOU NOT?

A I WAS AWARE OF THAT LETTER AND THAT HE SAID

THAT. AND I WAS ALSO AWARE THAT EVEN LATER THAN THAT, HE

FLAPPED BACK TO THE OPPOSITE VIEW. HE -- HE SAID MANY

THINGS CONCERNING PERMANENT STAFF. HE EVENTUALLY MADE

HIMSELF OUITE CLEAR THAT HIS DECISION WAS FIRM.

Q EVEN AS LATE AS THE TWO AND A HALF HOUR MEETING BETWEEN THE TWO OF YOU, HE REMINDED YOU IN THAT MEETING THAT HE HAD NO INTENTION OF BEING PERMANENT STAFF. HE TOLD YOU THAT HE WAS IN THE VICINITY OF 60 YEARS OF AGE. AND IF THINGS DIDN'T WORK OUT, HE WOULDN'T HAVE ANY MONEY, HE WOULDN'T HAVE A HOME, HE WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO START HIS BUSINESS AGAIN.

ISN'T THAT IN FACT WHAT HE TOLD YOU WITH REGARD TO HIM BEING PERMANENT STAFF?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. THE TRANSCRIPT IS IN EVIDENCE, SPEAKS FOR ITSELF.

THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: HE TOLD ME ABOUT HIS APPROACH TO 1 2 PERMANENT STAFF AND HE ALSO REMINDED ME THAT HE TOLD ME THAT 3 BEFORE. AND I SAID I KNEW THAT HE HAD SAID THAT BEFORE, AND I HAD COME TO UNDERSTAND THAT, AND I FULLY ACCEPTED IT AND I 4 `5 WAS NOT SEEKING HIS MONEY OR HIS CONDOMINIUM OR TO TAKE AWAY 6 FROM HIM THE RIGHT TO EDUCATE HIS DAUGHTER. I CONFIRMED HIS FREE WILL TO NOT BE A PERMANENT STAFF MEMBER. 7 8 MR. LEVY: THAT WAS GOOD OF YOU. 9 THE WITNESS: IN THAT MEETING I DID. 10 MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, SINCE WE HAVE MADE A DECISION THAT WE WOULD WAIT UNTIL MR. KLEIN CONCLUDED HIS CASE IN 11 12 CHIEF BEFORE WE STARTED OUR REBUTTAL, AT THIS POINT I WOULD CONCLUDE WITH THIS WITNESS. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 14 MR. LEVY: SUBJECT TO THE RIGHT TO RECALL HER AND MR. 15 16 FRANCIS ON REBUTTAL. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 17 18 MR. KLEIN: JUST ONE MOMENT, PLEASE, YOUR HONOR. 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION + 20 BY MR. KLEIN: 21 22 Q HAVE YOU EVER READ A BOOK ABOUT HYPNOSIS? 23 Α NO. THE SUMMIT UNIVERSITY LIBRARY THAT YOU REFERRED 24 0 TO, IS THAT OPEN TO EVERYBODY AT CAMELOT? 25 26 YES. 27 MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR. 28

MR. LEVY: NOTHING FURTHER AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: YOU CAN STEP DOWN. THANK YOU. THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: IS THAT IT FOR THE MOMENT? MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. I HAVE ONE OTHER **\**5 WITNESS FOR THIS AFTERNOON. б THE COURT: WE ARE GOING TO STOP JUST A LITTLE BIT EARLY. WE ARE GOING TO RESUME AT 1:30. REMEMBER THE COURT'S ADMONITIONS. EVERYBODY BE BACK HERE READY TO PROCEED AT 1:30. (AT 11:34 A.M., A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.) 

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, MARCH 17, 1986 *
2	1:35 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT 50 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE
.a. 4	(APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
<b>∖</b> 5	, <i>i</i>
6	GREGORY MULL, +
7	THE DEFENDANT HEREIN, CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PLAINTIFF
8	UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 776 OF THE EVIDENCE CODE,
9	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
10	THE CLERK: MR. MULL, YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN SWORN
11	AND ARE STILL UNDER OATH. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR
12	THE RECORD.
13	THE WITNESS: GREGORY MULL. G-R-E-G-O-R-Y,
14	M-U-DOUBLE L.
15	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
16	THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED.
17	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION +
19	BY MR. KLEIN:
20	Q GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. MULL.
21	A GOOD AFTERNOON.
22	Q MR. MULL, IN DECEMBER OF 1980, BEFORE THE
23	CHURCH FILED THEIR LAWSUIT AGAINST YOU, DID YOU WRITE A
24	LETTER ENTITLED "TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN" IN WHICH YOU
25	DISCUSSED BUILDING CODE VIOLATIONS BY THE CHURCH?
26	A I PROBABLY DID.
27	Q AND DID YOU SEND THAT TO VARIOUS LOS ANGELES
28	COUNTY BUILDING OFFICIALS?

1	A I REMEMBER GOING TO THE CALABASAS BOARD ONLY.
2	Q AND DID YOU SEND IT TO A NUMBER OF NEWSPAPERS?
3	A NO, I DON'T RECALL THAT.
4	Q DO YOU RECALL SENDING IT TO THE NEWS CHRONICLE,
` 5	THAT LETTER?
6	A I WENT AND TALKED TO BOB POOL AND GAVE IT TO
7	HIM.
8	Q I AM NOT SURE IF I HEARD YOU. DID YOU
9	A I SAID I WENT TO THE NEWS CHRONICLE AND GAVE IT
10	TO BOB POOL AND GAVE IT TO HIM PERSONALLY.
11	Q SO THE LETTER THAT YOU WROTE IN DECEMBER OF
12	1980 YOU PERSONALLY GAVE TO MR. POOL AT THE NEWS CHRONICLE?
13	A I DON'T RECALL DECEMBER OF 1980, BUT IT COULD
14	HAVE BEEN BECAUSE I WROTE THE CODE VIOLATION AND WENT TO THE
15	CALABASAS BUILDING DEPARTMENT AND THEY REFUSED TO DO
16	ANYTHING SINCE
17	Q PLEASE TRY TO JUST CONFINE YOURSELF TO
18	ANSWERING MY QUESTIONS, OKAY?
19	A RIGHT.
20	Q THAT LETTER, THE ONE THAT TALKED ABOUT THE
21	BUILDING VIOLATIONS, DID YOU ALSO TAKE IT TO THE VALLEY
22	NEWS?
23	A I DON'T THINK I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.
24	Q DO YOU KNOW IF THE VALLEY NEWS WROTE A STORY
25	WHERE THEY MENTIONED YOU AND THE ALLEGATIONS YOU HAD MADE?
26	MR. LEVY: EXCUSE ME. I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR
27.	HONOR. CALLS FOR A CONCLUSION ON THE PART OF THIS WITNESS
28	AND SPECULATION AS TO WHAT THEY MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE DONE,

THE NEWSPAPER. 1 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 2 BY MR. KLEIN: DID YOU SEND A COPY TO THE LAS 3 VIRGENES ENTERPRISE NEWSPAPER? MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. THIS \5 HAS BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED WITH REGARD DID HE SEND IT TO 6 NEWSPAPERS. MR. MULL TESTIFIED HE DOESN'T REMEMBER. 7 THE WITNESS: I STILL DON'T REMEMBER. 8 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 9 THE WITNESS: I STILL DON'T REMEMBER. 10 BY MR. KLEIN: DO YOU REMEMBER ANYONE ELSE 11 THAT YOU SENT THAT LETTER TO? 12 ONLY THE NEWS CHRONICLE. 13 IN THE LETTER, DID YOU REQUEST THAT NO PERMIT 14 SHOULD BE ISSUED FOR THE CHURCH'S NEW YEAR'S CONFERENCE OR 15 FOR FUTURE CHURCH CONFERENCES? 16 MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. IF MR. 17 KLEIN HAS THE LETTER, THAT WOULD BE THE BEST EVIDENCE. 18 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 19 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AM ASKING HIM WHAT HE 20 WROTE. 21 THE COURT: I HEARD THE QUESTION. PLEASE PROCEED. 22 MR. KLEIN: IS THE COURT'S POSITION I CAN'T ASK ANY 23 OTHER QUESTIONS ABOUT THE LETTER WITHOUT SHOWING IT TO HIM? 24 I AM NOT SURE WHAT THE RULE IS AT THIS POINT. 25 THE COURT: DID YOU HEAR THE BASIS FOR THE OBJECTION? 26 MR. KLEIN: YES, I DID. 27 THE COURT: THAT SHOULD BE HELPFUL. AND I INTEND 28

1 THAT CONSTRUCTIVELY. 2 MR. KLEIN: AT THIS TIME I WOULD ASK THAT THIS LETTER 3 DATED DECEMBER 29TH, 1980, "TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN," BE MARKED NUMBER 121 FOR IDENTIFICATION. 4 **√** 5 THE COURT: SO MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION. PROCEED. (MARKED FOR ID: ^ EXHIBIT 121, LETTER 6 ---- DATED 12-29-80) 7 8 BY MR. KLEIN: MR. MULL, LOOKING AT WHAT'S BEEN MARKED NUMBER 121 FOR IDENTIFICATION, IS THAT THE LETTER 9 THAT YOU WROTE TO THE -- AND GAVE TO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT 10 AND ALSO TO THE NEWS CHRONICLE? 11 12 IT COULD VERY WELL BE BECAUSE THIS IS MY 13 LETTER. 14 LOOK AT THE LAST PAGE, PAGE FOUR OF THAT LETTER, PLEASE. 15 16 YES. Α 17 AND YOU SEE ON PAGE FOUR WHERE IT SAYS "VERY TRULY YOURS, " AND THERE IS A SIGNATURE THERE? 18 19 YES. Α Q IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE? 20 A I WOULD SAY SO. 21 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I WOULD ASK THAT 22 23 EXHIBIT 121 FOR IDENTIFICATION BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE. THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED. 24 (RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 121) 25 MR. LEVY: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 26 27 BY MR. KLEIN: NOW, MR. MULL, AFTER LOOKING AT EXHIBIT 121, HAVE YOU HAD A CHANCE TO READ IT? 28

	1	A NOT ALL OF IT, NO.
)	2	Q DO YOU WANT TO READ IT?
	3	A WELL, GO AHEAD AND ASK A QUESTION. IF IT
	. 4	BECOMES PERTINENT, I WILL READ IT.
	<b>≥</b> 5	Q OKAY. DO YOU RECALL REQUESTING IN DECEMBER OF
	6	1980 THAT THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT SHOULD ISSUE NOT ISSUE
	7	A PERMIT FOR THE CHURCH'S NEW YEAR'S CONFERENCE OR FOR ANY
	8	NEW CHURCH CONFERENCES?
	9	MR. LEVY: MR. KLEIN YOUR HONOR, MIGHT I SUGGEST
	10	THAT MR. KLEIN MIGHT HELP MR. MULL, WHO HAS M.S., IF HE
	11	WOULD POINT OUT IN THE LETTER WHERE IT SAYS THAT IF IT SAYS
	12	THAT.
	13	THE COURT: IT WOULD BE HELPFUL.
	14	THE WITNESS: IT WOULD BE HELPFUL. THANK YOU.
,	15	Q BY MR. KLEIN: SURE. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO DO
	16	THAT, MR. MULL.
	17	I DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION
	18	A SOMETIMES THERE ARE WORDS IN THERE THAT ARE
	19	DOUBLE. IT IS VERY CONFUSING.
	20	MR. KLEIN: I WILL DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO A
	21	PARTICULAR PARAGRAPH. JUST ONE MOMENT.
	22	WITH THE COURT'S PERMISSION, I WILL POINT OUT
	23	TO MR. MULL PRECISELY WHERE I AM TALKING ABOUT.
	24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
	25	MR. LEVY: WHILE YOU ARE DOING THAT, WOULD YOU BE
	26	KIND ENOUGH TO TELL ME ALSO?
)	27	MR. KLEIN: FIRST PARAGRAPH, FIRST PAGE.
•	28	MR. LEVY: GOOD.

1

Q BY MR. KLEIN: NOW, HAVING HAD AN OPPORTUNITY

TO READ THE FIRST PARAGRAPH OF THAT LETTER, DOES THAT

REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT YOU REQUESTED OF THE BUILDING

DEPARTMENT THAT THEY DON'T ISSUE A PERMIT FOR THE CHURCH'S

NEW YEAR'S CONFERENCE OR FOR FUTURE CONFERENCES?

A I HAVE NOT READ IT, BUT IT COULD BE WHAT YOU SAY.

MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT MAYBE MR.
KLEIN COULD READ THAT FIRST PARAGRAPH TO THE ENTIRE COURT SO
THEY COULD ALL HEAR WHAT MR. MULL HAD TO SAY.

THE COURT: PLEASE DO.

MR. KLEIN: OKAY. (READING.)

"THIS ORGANIZATION HAS HAD CONFERENCES EVERY THREE MONTHS WITH THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE IN ATTENDANCE, AND FOR ALMOST THE LAST TWO YEARS HAS NOT HAD A PERMIT FROM THE REGIONAL PLANNING DIRECTOR TO ERECT A TENT. A PERMIT CAN BE GRANTED FOR A SEVEN DAY PERIOD TWICE A YEAR, BUT NOT FOUR TIMES A YEAR. BECAUSE OF PAST VIOLATIONS, NO PERMIT SHOULD BE ISSUED AT THIS TIME FOR THE NEW YEAR'S CONFERENCE OR FOR FUTURE TIMES TO MAKE UP FOR THIS GROSS ILLEGALITY. THEY TRIED TO HIDE THE TENTS AMONG THE TREES AND HOPED THIS WOULD NOT BE SEEN. A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO, WHEN I WAS ON STAFF, THE FIRE MARSHALL (SIC) CAME BY AND TOLD THEM TO TAKE OUT THE BLOWER HEATERS AS

THEY WERE A FIRE HAZARD. THEY TOOK THEM 1 2 OUT, THEN AFTER HIS INSPECTION THEY WERE PUT 3 RIGHT BACK IN. THIS ORGANIZATION HAS BECOME A LAW UNTO ITSELF, DISREGARDING CODES AND RULES OF PUBLIC SAFETY." **\** 5 NOW, DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION? 6 YES, IT DOES. THANK YOU FOR READING IT. 7 Α 8 THANK YOU. 9 NOW THAT REFRESHES YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT YOU 10 ASKED THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT TO NOT PERMIT THE CHURCH TO 11 HAVE THEIR NEW YEAR'S CONFERENCE OR ANY FUTURE CONFERENCES; 12 IS THAT RIGHT? AT LEAST DO IT LEGALLY BECAUSE THEY SEEMED TO 13 DO EVERYTHING ILLEGALLY. 14 15 MY QUESTION IS DID YOU ASK THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT TO NOT PERMIT THEM TO HAVE ANYMORE CONFERENCES? 16 17 MR. LEVY: WE WILL STIPULATE, YOUR HONOR, THAT IF IT 18 IS IN THE LETTER --THE WITNESS: IT'S TRUE WHAT I WROTE. 19 BY MR. KLEIN: NOW, WHAT I WILL ALSO DO IS READ 20 TO YOU THE SECOND PARAGRAPH OF THE LETTER ON PAGE ONE. 21 22 RIGHT. Α THE COURT: IT IS IN EVIDENCE, COUNSEL. 23 MR. KLEIN: WELL, I JUST WANT TO --24 THE WITNESS: I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR IT THOUGH BECAUSE 25 26 I CAN'T READ IT. BY MR. KLEIN: I WON'T READ IT. I WILL JUST 27 Q 28 ASK YOU A QUESTION.

DID YOU ALSO ASK THEM TO CLOSE DOWN SUMMIT
UNIVERSITY? DO YOU REMEMBER DOING THAT?
A I COULD HAVE VERY WELL BECAUSE THEY CHANGED ALL
THE RULES HENCEFORTH.
MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, THE LETTER IS IN EVIDENCE.
THAT IS A MISCHARACTERIZATION OF WHAT THE LETTER ACTUALLY
SAYS.
THE COURT: JUST A SECOND. GENTLEMEN, WE ARE NOT
GOING TO HAVE A SERIES OF SOLILOQUIES.
MR. KLEIN, THE LETTER IS IN EVIDENCE.
MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
THE COURT: WHAT HE SAID AT THE TIME IN THE LETTER IS
IN EVIDENCE.
MR. KLEIN: I APPRECIATE THAT.
THE COURT: AND MERELY TO GO THROUGH IT UNDULY
CONSUMES TIME.
MR. KLEIN: I APPRECIATE THAT, YOUR HONOR.
Q MR. MULL, DID YOU RECEIVE A RESPONSE BACK FROM
THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING TO YOUR COMPLAINTS TO
THEM?
A I STARTED TO TELL YOU FORMERLY WHAT MR.
GRIFFITH TOLD ME. HE WAS A BUILDING INSPECTOR. DO YOU WANT
TO HEAR THAT?
Q NO. I WOULD LIKE WHAT I WOULD LIKE YOU TO
DO IS ANSWER MY QUESTION.
HAVE YOU RECEIVED A LETTER BACK
A I DON'T RECALL.
Q FROM A NORMAN MURDOCH, PLANNING DIRECTOR OF

1	THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING, WHERE HE DISCUSSED YOUR
2	COMPLAINTS?
3	A I DON'T RECALL AT THIS POINT.
<b>4</b>	MR. KLEIN: AT THIS TIME I WOULD ASK THAT THIS
<b>\</b> 5	DOCUMENT BE MARKED NUMBER 122 FOR IDENTIFICATION.
6	THE COURT: SO MARKED.
7	(MARKED FOR ID: ^ EXHIBIT 122, LETTER
8	^ DATED 1-20-81)
9	Q BY MR. KLEIN: DID YOU RECEIVE THE LETTER THAT
10	WE HAVE MARKED NUMBER 122 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
11	A I COULD HAVE, YES.
12	Q IT IS ADDRESSED TO YOU, IS IT NOT?
13	A YES, IT IS.
14	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I WOULD ASK THAT
15	NUMBER 122 FOR IDENTIFICATION BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.
16	MR. LEVY: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
17	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED,
18	(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 122)
19	MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH
20	MOMENTARILY?
21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
22	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
23	AT THE BENCH:)
24	MR. LEVY: THERE IS A YOUNG LADY WHO WITNESSED A
25	WITNESS THAT WE KNOW NOTHING ABOUT AT THE TIME. SHE IS A
26	FORMER CHURCH MEMBER. SHE SHOWED UP HERE IN COURT. WE JUST
27	FOUND OUT THAT SHE IS WILLING TO TESTIFY. SHE HAS TO GO
28	BACK TO NORTHERN CALIFORNIA.

IF IT WOULD -- BECAUSE OF THE SCHEDULING, IF WE COULD STOP MR. MULL'S EXAMINATION NOW, PUT HER ON FOR SOME BRIEF PERIOD OF TIME BECAUSE WE HAVE SOME TIME CONSTRAINTS WITH HER.

THE COURT: WAS SHE UNDER SUBPOENA?

MR. LEVY: NO, SHE WAS NOT. WE DIDN'T EVEN KNOW

ABOUT HER. THE REASON WE GOT HER NAME IS SHE WAS CALLED BY

THE CHURCH. AFTER SHE WAS CALLED BY THE CHURCH TO COME IN

AND TESTIFY AND SHE DECLINED TO DO IT FOR THEM, SHE LET SOME

OF OUR PEOPLE KNOW ABOUT IT AND SHE'S JUST MADE THE OFFER

DURING THE LUNCH HOUR.

MR. -- MY ASSOCIATE, MR. MIDDLETON, JUST CAME
IN AND TOLD ME AFTER CONFERRING WITH HER FAMILY, SHE HAS
AGREED TO TESTIFY.

MR. KLEIN: MIGHT I BE HEARD FOR TWO THINGS? ONE IS
I WOULD OBJECT TO INTERRUPTING MY CASE TO PUT ON COUNSEL'S
CASE. WE ARE ON MY CASE RIGHT NOW. BUT SECONDLY, UP TO NOW
I HAVE ASKED MR. LEVY AS RECENTLY AS LUNCH WHO HIS REBUTTAL
WITNESSES WERE. THIS WITNESS WAS NOT MENTIONED.

THE COURT: APPARENTLY HE DIDN'T KNOW AT THE TIME.

MR. KLEIN: I AM NOT SUGGESTING HE DID. WHAT I AM SUGGESTING I THINK IT WOULD BE UNFAIR TO HAVE THIS WITNESS TESTIFY VERY QUICKLY AND LEAVE WITHOUT GIVING ME ANY OPPORTUNITY TO PREPARE OR TO HAVE KNOWN SHE WAS --

THE COURT: HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU NEED WITH MULL?

MR. KLEIN: I WILL BE WITH MULL FOR --

THE COURT: REALISTICALLY.

MR. KLEIN: A HALF HOUR, 40 MINUTES.

MR. LEVY: YOU TOLD ME 20 MINUTES. 1 2 THE COURT: HOW LONG? 3 MR. KLEIN: A HALF HOUR, 40 MINUTES, DEPENDING ON HOW LONG HE ANSWERS. THE COURT: HOW LONG DO YOU NEED WITH THIS WITNESS **\** 5 YOU WISH TO CALL? 6 7 MR. LEVY: HALF HOUR. 8 THE COURT: I TELL YOU WHAT I WILL DO. I WILL LET YOU GO FOR 30 MINUTES. AT THAT POINT WE WILL PUT HER ON. 9 10 MR. KLEIN: WHAT ABOUT MY CONCERN THAT I HAVE NEVER 11 HEARD OF THIS WITNESS, I STILL DON'T EVEN KNOW WHO SHE IS, 12 AND I AM GOING TO GET FIVE MINUTES TO KNOW WHO SHE IS AND 13 THEN I AM SUPPOSED TO QUESTION HER? 14 MR. LEVY: I AM SURE --15 MR. KLEIN: LET ME FINISH. 16 WHEN MR. LEVY COMPLAINED I MIGHT BE PUTTING 17 SOMEBODY ON THAT WASN'T ON MY LIST, HE GOT TWO DAYS TO 18 PREPARE FOR THE PERSON. TO TELL ME I HAVE TO --THE COURT: YOU ALL DISCUSS IT AMONG YOURSELVES. I 19 20 AM GOING TO GIVE YOU -- THE 30 MINUTES IS STARTING TO RUN 21 NOW. YOU ARE USING PART OF YOUR TIME WITH RHETORIC. 22 NOW, SO THAT YOU UNDERSTAND, I AM GOING TO GIVE 23 YOU 28 MORE MINUTES WITH MR. MULL, AND THEN WE ARE GOING TO 24 TAKE A RECESS AND THEN WE ARE GOING TO PUT HER ON. DURING 25 THE RECESS, YOU CAN DISCUSS WHAT THE SUBSTANCE OF HER TESTIMONY WILL BE. 26 27 MR. LEVY: OKAY. MR. MIDDLETON WILL DO THAT WITH YOU. 28

MR. KLEIN: IT IS OVER MY OBJECTION, BUT THAT IS THE 1 2 RULING. MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: I DOUBT THAT IT IS ANYTHING THAT IS REALLY NEW OR DIFFERENT. **\5** 6 MR. KLEIN: I AM JUST BUILDING UP MR. MULL TO TELL 7 ME ---8 THE COURT: I DOUBT THAT IT IS REALLY NEW AND DIFFERENT. TWENTY-SEVEN MINUTES. 9 10 (THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN 11 COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 12 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU. YOUR HONOR. 13 MR. KLEIN: COULD -- YOUR HONOR, COULD THE 14 STENOGRAPHER READ BACK TO ME THE LAST QUESTION AND ANSWER? 15 THE LAST QUESTION, I AM SORRY. THE COURT: THE LAST THING THAT HAPPENED WAS THAT 122 16 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE. 17 18 MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 19 THE COURT: YOU ARE WELCOME. 20 BY MR. KLEIN: MR. MULL, DID YOU MAKE 21 ALLEGATIONS TO THE NEWSPAPERS OR TO THE CITY BUILDING DEPARTMENT PRIOR TO THE LAWSUIT THAT THE CHURCH FILED 22 AGAINST YOU THAT THERE WERE VARIOUS VIOLATIONS AT THE WILL 23 24 OF GOD FOCUS IN DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES? 25 MR. LEVY: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, WE WILL OBJECT. BEST EVIDENCE, THE DOCUMENTS SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES. 26 27 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 28 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, CAN I BE HEARD ON THE RECORD

ON THAT?

THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED.

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT OBJECTION OR THE RULING AT ALL. I -- I'D JUST LIKE --

THE COURT: ARE YOU ASKING REGARDING DOCUMENTS OR -- WHY DON'T YOU CLARIFY YOUR QUESTION.

MR. KLEIN: THERE IS NO DOCUMENT IN EVIDENCE ON THIS,
YOUR HONOR. I DON'T UNDERSTAND --

THE COURT: THERE ARE LOTS OF DOCUMENTS.

MR. KLEIN: NOT ON THIS SUBJECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU CLARIFY YOUR QUESTION.

MR. KLEIN: IT SEEMED CLEAR, YOUR HONOR.

Q I AM ASKING, MR. MULL, DID YOU EVER WRITE A
LETTER TO A NEWSPAPER OR A CITY BUILDING DEPARTMENT PRIOR TO
THE LAWSUIT FILED BY THE CHURCH AGAINST YOU WHERE YOU TOLD
THEM THERE WERE VARIOUS VIOLATIONS AT THE WILL OF GOD FOCUS?

A I CANNOT REMEMBER. I MAY HAVE PUT A PHONE CALL
TO THEM OR A VISIT TO THEM. I DON'T REMEMBER LETTERS AT
THIS POINT.

Q AND DID YOU RECALL A TIME WHEN THE CITY
BUILDING INSPECTOR INFORMED YOU THAT YOUR ALLEGATIONS HAD
BEEN CHECKED OUT AND THERE WERE NO VIOLATIONS? DID THEY
EVER TELL YOU THAT?

A I WAS TOLD THIS BY -- BY THE BUILDING

DEPARTMENT AT CAMELOT, THAT THAT WAS THE CASE. BUT NOT

BY -- DIRECTLY BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY.

Q DURING HER TESTIMONY, ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET
TESTIFIED THAT DURING THE FIRST QUARTER AT SUMMIT

UNIVERSITY, WHEN YOU WERE IN YOUR FIRST QUARTER IN 1975, 1 THAT SHE HAD COUNSELED YOU BECAUSE OF ARGUMENTS YOU WERE 2 HAVING WITH YOUR ROOMMATE; IS THAT CORRECT? 3 I DO NOT KNOW WHAT SHE WAS REFERRING TO. `.5 DO YOU REMEMBER HAVING ARGUMENTS WITH YOUR ROOMMATE DURING THAT FIRST SESSION AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY? 6 I THOUGHT ALEXANDER BENNETT WAS MY ROOMMATE. 7 8 WE NEVER HAD A SINGLE ARGUMENT. 9 ARE YOU CERTAIN DURING THE FIRST QUARTER AT 10 SUMMIT UNIVERSITY IN 1975, YOU DIDN'T HAVE ARGUMENTS WITH 11 YOUR ROOMMATE? IT WAS NOT MY ROOMMATE THAT I RECALL. 12 DURING THE FIRST QUARTER AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, 13 IN JANUARY, 1975, DID YOU GET ALONG VERY WELL WITH YOUR 14 ROOMMATE? 15 I THOUGHT ALEXANDER BENNETT WAS MY ROOMMATE AND 16 WE GOT ALONG VERY WELL. IF I KNEW THE NAME THAT YOU WERE 17 REFERRING TO, IT MIGHT REFRESH MY MEMORY MORE. 18 19 DID YOU EVER WRITE A LETTER A COUPLE OF YEARS Q 20 LATER SAYING THAT WHEN YOU WOULD GO BACK TO SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, YOU DON'T WANT TO HAVE A ROOMMATE AT ALL BECAUSE 21 22 OF ALL THE PROBLEMS YOU HAD DURING THE PREVIOUS QUARTERS WITH YOUR ROOMMATES? 23 24 I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. MR. KLEIN: AT THIS TIME I WOULD ASK THAT THIS 25 DOCUMENT BE MARKED NUMBER 123 FOR IDENTIFICATION. 26 THE COURT: SO MARKED. 27 (MARKED FOR ID: ^ EXHIBIT 123, LETTER 28

1	^ DATED 3-4-78)
2	Q BY MR. KLEIN: LOOKING AT THE LETTER DATED
3	MARCH 4TH, 1978, NUMBER 123 FOR IDENTIFICATION, COULD YOU
<b>4</b>	LOOK AT THE SECOND PAGE?
<b>\ 5</b>	A YES.
6	Q IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE THERE?
7	A YES, IT IS.
. 8	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I WOULD ASK THAT
9	THIS LETTER BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.
10	MR. LEVY: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED.
12	(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 123)
13	Q BY MR. KLEIN: MR. MULL, TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR
14	YOU, IF YOU LIKE, I WILL READ THE WHOLE LETTER AND THEN I
15	WILL ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS, OKAY?
16	A RIGHT.
17	Q (READING.)
18	"MARCH 4, 1978.
19	"BELOVED MONROE:
20	"I AM LOOKING FORWARD TO SEEING
21	YOU AT THE NEXT CONFERENCE, AT THE NATIONAL
22	ADVISORY COUNSEL MEETING.
23	"PETER MIDDENDORF (SIC)
24	RETURNED RECENTLY FROM BALTIMORE AND TOLD ME
25	THAT HE AUTHORIZED \$2,000 FOR THE THIRD TIME
26	FOR OUR MONTESSORI SCHOOL. I THANKED HIM ON
27	BEHALF OF THE CHILDREN OF THE MONTESSORI
28	SCHOOL AND HE SAID HE DID IT ONLY FOR ME,

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THAT HE DOES NOT APPROVE OF ORGANIZED RELIGION. I THEN THANKED HIM FOR DOING IT FOR ME. HIS GAME IS NOT TO LOOK INTO OUR RELIGION FOR FEAR OF A DESIRE FOR MAKING A LARGER COMMITMENT. ANYWAY, YOUR TWO DINNERS WITH HIM HELPED NET \$6,000 FOR THE SCHOOL, AND, ALSO OUR SUPPLY DECREES. WOULD YOU WRITE AND THANK HIM AS HE SAID IT IS AUTOMATIC THAT YOU WOULD RECEIVE IT THIS YEAR.

"I AM SORRY I DID NOT FIND TIME TO WRITE BEFORE THIS AND TO MAIL THE PLAN ON HOUSING FOR SINGLE STUDENTS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY. WE JUST FINISHED COMPLETING THIS AS ANOTHER GIFT FOR THE SCHOOL. I DISCUSSED WITH MOTHER SINGLE ROOM HOUSING VERSUS DOUBLE OCCUPANCY AND SHE SAID THAT SHE WAS AWARE IN MONASTIC LIFE THEY LIVED SINGLY. THE ROOMS ARE AS SMALL AS POSSIBLE BUT FIT THE CODE OF 100 SQUARE FEET PER ROOM CAPACITY. I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS WITH YOU IN PERSON IF YOU WISH MY REASONING FOR SINGLE OCCUPANCY. AT MY THIRD QUARTER I WILL PAY EXTRA TO LIVE ALONE BECAUSE THE CROSS I BORE WITH ROOM MATES (SIC) FIRST AND SECOND QUARTERS.

"IT WAS A HINDERANCE TO MY SPIRITUAL GROWTH MORE THAN A LEARNING

27

28

1 EXPERIENCE. 2 "ALL BEST WISHES AND THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR DEDICATION TO THE ASCENDED 3 MASTERS AND US STUDENTS. \ 5 "MOST SINCERELY, " SIGNED "GREGORY MULL." 6 7 MY QUESTION IS IN THE BOTTOM OF THAT FIRST PAGE WHERE IT SAYS: 8 9 "AT MY THIRD QUARTER I WILL PAY 10 EXTRA TO LIVE ALONE BECAUSE THE CROSS I BORE WITH ROOM MATES (SIC) FIRST AND SECOND 11 12 QUARTERS, " 13 DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT YOU 14 HAD ARGUMENTS WITH YOUR ROOMMATES IN THE FIRST QUARTER? FIRST QUARTER, I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU ARE 15 TALKING ABOUT. THE SECOND QUARTER, YES. 16 17 DO YOU KNOW WHY YOU WROTE THIS IN THE LETTER ABOUT FIRST AND SECOND QUARTERS? 18 19 NO, I DON'T. IT IS TOO FAR BACK. I WANT TO READ YOU SOME TESTIMONY FROM A 20 21 TRANSCRIPT OF THIS TRIAL, TESTIMONY OF KATHLEEN LEVY. I AM 22 GOING TO READ FROM PAGE 647, LINE 19, THROUGH 648, LINE 4. 23 MR. LEVY: WHAT WAS THE PAGE AGAIN? MR. KLEIN: 647, LINE 19 -- I AM SORRY. I WANT TO 24 25 READ FIRST 644, LINE 27, TO 645, LINE 18. 644 --26 THE COURT: LET'S START OVER. WHERE DO YOU WANT TO START? 27 MR. KLEIN: 644, LINE 27, YOUR HONOR, AND I AM GOING 28

TO GO TO 647, LINE 18. 1 2 MR. LEVY: YOU KEEP SAYING 644. MY PAGES ARE 3 NUMBERED 1 THROUGH 100. MR. KLEIN: THE SECOND DAY OF KATHLEEN LEVY. THERE IT IS. **\ 5** MR. LEVY: LINE 18 TO WHERE? 6 7 MR. KLEIN: BEGINNING ON LINE 27 ON 644 TO 645, LINE 18. 8 9 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU LET THE REST OF US IN ON 10 WHAT YOU ARE DISCUSSING. 11 MR. KLEIN: I JUST SAID THE SAME THING. 644, LINE 12 27, THROUGH 645, LINE 18. 13 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. MR. KLEIN: (READING.) 14 15 "Q ALL RIGHT. AND THEN FOR 16 THE LATTER PART OF '83, THIS CONDITION 17 IMPROVED AND HE SEEMED TO START TO COPE BETTER? 18 19 "A THAT'S RIGHT. 20 " Q DID YOU CONTINUE TO SEE 21 HIM? 22 **"**A NO. THERE WAS ABOUT A SIX- OR SEVEN-MONTH PERIOD OF TIME WHEN 23 GREGORY DIDN'T COME IN TO SEE ME. IT WAS 24 25 FROM SEPTEMBER OR OCTOBER OF '83 UNTIL APRIL OF '84. 26 27 "WHEN HE CAME IN TO SEE 28 YOU AGAIN IN APRIL OF '84 --

1	"THAT'S RIGHT.
2	" WAS THERE A REASON HE
3	CAME TO SEE YOU IN APRIL OF '84?
4 4	"ANSWER"
<b>⋋</b> 5	THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. WHY DON'T YOU IDENTIFY
6	QUESTION, ANSWER, QUESTION, ANSWER.
7	MR. KLEIN: YES. (READING.)
8	"Q WHEN HE CAME IN TO SEE
9	YOU AGAIN IN APRIL OF '84
10	"A THAT'S RIGHT.
11	"Q WAS THERE A REASON HE
12	CAME TO SEE YOU IN APRIL OF '84?
13	"A HE CALLED UP AND HE WAS
14	IN A PANIC. I SAID, 'GREGORY, COME ON OVER
15	RIGHT AWAY.'
16	"HE CAME IN. HE SAID, 'I
17	AM REALLY TERRIFIED. I AM FRIGHTENED TO
18	DEATH.'
19	"I SAID, 'WHAT'S THE
20	MATTER, GREGORY?'
21	"HE SAID, 'ELIZABETH HAS
22	IDENTIFIED ME TO HER MEMBERSHIP AS THE BEAST
23	OF BLASPHEMY.'"
24	Q NOW, KATHLEEN LEVY THEN WENT ON TO TESTIFY THAT
25	TWO MONTHS AFTER THAT, YOU HAD YOUR STROKE. YOU HAVE
26	THOSE
27	A I THOUGHT IT WAS TWO DAYS AFTER THAT.
28	Q AND YOU HAD YOUR STROKE IN ABOUT JUNE OF 1984;
-	

 *	13 IIM; RIGHT?
2	A YES.
3	Q AND YOU THOUGHT IT WAS TWO DAYS AFTER
a <b>4</b>	ELIZABETH
`5	A AFTER SHE CALLED ME THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY.
6	BUT I DON'T KNOW FOR SURE.
7	Q IT WAS A SHORT TIME AFTER ELIZABETH CLARE
8	PROPHET CALLED YOU THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY
9	A AND THE SERPENT.
10	Q LET ME FINISH MY QUESTION, PLEASE.
11	IT WAS A SHORT TIME TWO DAYS, TWO WEEKS, TWO
12	MONTHS AFTER ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET CALLED YOU THE BEAST
13	OF BLASPHEMY THAT YOU HAD YOUR STROKE?
14	A YES.
15	Q YOU ARE SURE OF THAT?
16	A I THOUGHT IT WAS.
17	Q HAD SHE EVER CALLED YOU THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY
18	BEFORE?
19	A NEVER. NOR ANYBODY.
20	Q YOU ARE POSITIVE OF THAT, RIGHT?
21	A SHE NEVER CALLED ME THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY
22	BEFORE THAT I CAN RECALL.
23	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I WOULD ASK THAT
24	THIS DOCUMENT BE MARKED NUMBER 124 FOR IDENTIFICATION.
25	THE COURT: SO MARKED.
26	(MARKED FOR ID: ^ EXHIBIT 124, LETTER
27	DATED 4-16-82)
28	Q BY MR. KLEIN: MR. MULL, PERHAPS YOU CAN LOOK

1	AT THE LAST PAGE OF THE LETTER?
2	A YES.
3	Q ON THE LAST PAGE, THERE IS A P.S. BEFORE THE
. 4	P.S., IT SAYS, "MOST SINCERELY, GREGORY." DO YOU SEE THAT?
> 5	A YES.
6	Q IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE?
7	A YES.
8	Q DID YOU WRITE THIS LETTER?
9	A IT LOOKS VERY FAMILIAR, MY WRITING, YES.
10	Q IT IS DATED AUGUST 16TH, 1982?
11	A YES.
12	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK THAT THIS LETTER
13	BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.
14	MR. LEVY: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
15	THE COURT: RECEIVED.
16	(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 124)
17	Q BY MR. KLEIN: MR. MULL, I'D LIKE TO DIRECT
18	YOUR ATTENTION TO THE VERY LAST PAGE OF THE LETTER. OKAY?
19	AND AT THE BOTTOM IT SAYS: (READING.)
20	"MOST SINCERELY, GREGORY."
21	AND THEN IT SAYS: "P.S. E.C.P. IS
22	NOT THE WITNESS MENTIONED IN REVELATION NOR
23	I THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY."
24	IS THAT WHAT IT SAYS?
25	A CORRECT. YES, CORRECT.
26	Q NOW, IF SHE NEVER CALLED YOU THE BEAST OF
27	BLASPHEMY UNTIL 1984, WHY WERE YOU WRITING ABOUT IT IN THIS
28	LETTER DATED AUGUST 16TH, 1982?
-	

A WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHEN IN REFERENCE TO THIS

LETTER THAT SHE CALLED ME THAT. BUT I MUST HAVE BEEN CALLED

THAT BY HER IN ORDER TO PUT THIS P.S. IN THERE.

MR. LEVY: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THAT. WE ALL KNOW MR. MULL HAS M.S. THOSE WORDS ARE USED IN HERE AS PART OF THE TEACHING OF THE CHURCH. SHE MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE SAID IT. BUT TO TEST THIS WITNESS! MEMORY WHEN WE KNOW HOW ILL HE IS IS A LITTLE BIT EXCESSIVE.

THE COURT: THAT IS UP TO THE JURY TO EVALUATE ALL OF THE EVIDENCE AT TRIAL.

THE WITNESS: IT IS ALSO HARD FOR ME TO READ BECAUSE AFTER MY FIRST M.S. ATTACK, I WAS BLINDED IN MY RIGHT EYE FOR A FEW MONTHS.

- Q BY MR. KLEIN: MR. MULL --
- A AND NOW I SEE DOUBLE.
- Q MR. MULL, IN PREPARATION FOR THIS TRIAL, DID SOMEBODY SUGGEST TO YOU THAT IF YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU WERE FIRST CALLED THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY SOMETIME IN 1984, CLOSE TO WHEN YOU HAD YOUR STROKE, THEN YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO BLAME THE WHOLE STROKE ON THE CHURCH? DID SOMEONE SUGGEST THAT TO YOU, MR. MULL?

A NOT THAT I RECALL, NO. BUT I WAS IN TREMENDOUS STRESS WHEN I HEARD THAT STATEMENT BECAUSE THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY AND THE FALSE PROPHET ARE TO BE THROWN IN THE LAKE OF FIRE AT THE SAME TIME IN THE BOOK OF REVELATION.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.

THE WITNESS: AND SO IT IS NOT GOOD TO BE CALLED THIS.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU. 1 I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. MR. LEVY: JUST ONE QUESTION AT THIS TIME AND THEN WE 3 WILL TAKE THAT RECESS FOR THIS OTHER WITNESS. **\** 5 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION + 7 BY MR. LEVY: 8 GREGORY, DID I EVER TELL YOU ANYTHING OTHER Q 9 THAN TO TELL THE TRUTH BEFORE THIS TRIAL? 10 YOU HAVE CONSTANTLY TOLD ME TO TELL THE TRUTH 11 AND ONLY THE TRUTH AND NEVER TO FABRICATE. THIS HAS BEEN 12 LIKE A BROKEN RECORD, ALWAYS TELL THE TRUTH. YOU EVEN TOLD 13 ME BEFORE I WENT ON THE STAND NOW YOU SAID, "JUST TELL THE 14 TRUTH. " 15 MR. LEVY: AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD RESERVE THE RIGHT TO CALL MR. MULL BACK TO THE STAND ON OUR PART OF 16 17 REBUTTAL AND --18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 19 MR. LEVY: AND IF I MAY, I WILL HAVE A MOMENT TO GO OUTSIDE AND GET THE OTHER WITNESS. 20 21 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU ALL HAVE JUST A SHORT TALK AND MAYBE YOU WON'T HAVE TO TAKE A RECESS. LET'S STAND UP 22 23 AND STRETCH FOR A MOMENT. 24 YOU CAN STEP DOWN, MR. MULL. THANK YOU. 25 LET'S TAKE A SHORT RECESS. 26 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN 27 CHAMBERS:) 28 MR. MIDDLETON: BASICALLY WHAT WE HAVE HERE --

MR. LEVY: THE JUDGE HAS ALREADY SUGGESTED HE IS GOING TO ALLOW THIS WITNESS TO TESTIFY. MR. KLEIN IS THE ONE WITH THE OBJECTIONS. WHY DON'T YOU LET HIM START.

MR. KLEIN: I HAVE TWO OBJECTIONS. FIRST OBJECTION IS THAT THIS WITNESS SAT IN THIS COURTROOM THIS MORNING AND SHE ALSO SAT IN HERE THE BEGINNING OF THIS AFTERNOON. AND WE HAVE A DIRECT RULE AS -- ABOUT WITNESSES SITTING IN ON THE OTHER SIDE'S CASE. AND THAT'S BEEN VIOLATED. SHE SAT HERE.

SECONDLY, TO SUGGEST THAT SHE JUST CAME DOWN HERE OUT OF THE BLUE AND COUNSEL DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT IT, I HAVE TROUBLE BELIEVING SHE JUST WANDERED INTO THIS COURTROOM.

WHAT'S HAPPENED HERE IS I HAVE ASKED THEM NUMEROUS TIMES WHO HE IS GOING TO BE PUTTING ON ON HIS REBUTTAL CASE. HE ALWAYS TOLD ME THE SAME WITNESSES -- ELIZABETH, RANDALL AND MR. MULL. NOW ALL OF A SUDDEN THIS WOMAN WANDERS IN, AND I AM SUPPOSED TO BE READY TO CROSS-EXAMINE HER AND I THINK THAT IS UNFAIR.

I HAVE GIVEN HIM PRIOR NOTICE. I GAVE HIM TWO

THE COURT: I HAVE HEARD YOU SAY THAT BEFORE.

MR. LEVY: MAY I RESPOND?

THE COURT: ONE OF YOU.

MR. LEVY: THIS IS -- THIS IS A LIE THAT YOU SAID AND I WANT THAT ON THE RECORD.

MR. KLEIN: WHY DON'T YOU LET HIM SAY IT IS A BLATANT

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: I ASSUME YOU WERE PRESENT AT ALL PERTINENT TIMES.

MR. MIDDLETON: BETWEEN THEM, I DON'T KNOW.

FIRST OF ALL, THE WITNESS IS A WITNESS THAT WAS CONTACTED BY THEIR REPRESENTATIVE, TIMOTHY CONNOR, THAT WORKS FOR THE CHURCH AND HE ASKED HER TO TESTIFY. THEY SPENT AN HOUR AND A HALF PHONE CONVERSATION WHEREIN SHE TOLD HIM WHAT IT WAS SHE WOULD TESTIFY TO AND THEN HE DECIDED HE DID NOT WANT TO USE HER AT THAT POINT.

THERE WAS A PHONE CONVERSATION BETWEEN HER AND RANDALL KING. RANDALL KING CONTACTED US AND SAID THAT THERE IS THIS WITNESS THAT THEY HAVE CONTACTED. SHE DOES NOT KNOW WHETHER SHE WILL TESTIFY FOR US OR NOT. SHE HAS NOT MADE THAT DECISION.

SHE CAME DOWN HERE TODAY. I DID NOT KNOW SHE WAS IN THE COURTROOM. I WENT OUT AFTER LUNCH, MET WITH HER OUT THERE. SHE DID COME IN SLIGHTLY AT TWO O'CLOCK WHEN SHE DECIDED SHE WAS GOING TO TESTIFY. SHE WAS IN NO MORE THAN ONE MINUTE. I WALKED IN AND TOLD HER SHE HAD TO BE EXCLUDED.

I TOOK THIS PERSON TO LUNCH. I HEARD WHAT SHE HAD TO SAY. I ASKED HER TO CONSIDER HER DECISION AND SEE IF SHE WOULD TESTIFY. AT THAT POINT SHE HAD NOT MADE UP HER MIND. SHE SAID SHE WOULD LIKE TO CALL UP HER HUSBAND AND TALK TO HIM. THIS IS AT 1:30 TODAY.

SHE CALLED HER HUSBAND, COULDN'T GET AHOLD OF
HIM BECAUSE HE IS OUT OF TOWN, CAME BACK AND RELAYED THE
MESSAGE TO ME THAT SHE HAD DECIDED SHE WOULD TESTIFY AT THAT

POINT.

\_1

**\ 5** 

BEFORE THAT TIME, I HAD NO KNOWLEDGE CONCERNING WHAT IT IS THAT HER DECISION WAS. AT LUNCH TODAY I FOUND OUT WHAT HER STORY WAS.

MR. KLEIN: BUT YOU KNEW SHE WAS COMING.

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE.

MR. MIDDLETON: I DID NOT KNOW.

THE COURT: I AM GOING TO TELL YOU SOMETHING. YOU KEEP BARGING IN AND INTERRUPTING. LET SOMEBODY ELSE SAY SOMETHING. THE MORE YOU DO THAT, THE MORE DIFFICULT YOU MAKE IT FOR ME TO RULE IN YOUR FAVOR. FOR YOUR BENEFIT, LET ME TELL YOU. THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF INSTANCES IN WHICH BECAUSE OF YOUR BARGING IN LIKE THAT, YOU'VE MADE IT DIFFICULT FOR ME TO RULE IN YOUR FAVOR.

MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. I AM SORRY.

THE COURT: NOW I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. WHY DON'T YOU LET ME ASK A QUESTION OR TWO THAT CONCERNS ME.

MR. KLEIN: I AM SORRY.

THE COURT: AND GIVE ME A CHANCE POSSIBLY TO RULE IN YOUR FAVOR.

MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YOU'VE NEVER BEEN CUT OFF. YOU'VE ALWAYS
HAD A CHANCE, YOU'VE HAD MORE THAN FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO
SPEAK. DON'T ABUSE IT.

MR. KLEIN: I AM NOT COMPLAINING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, MY QUESTIONS, I HAVE A COUPLE, SO THAT I UNDERSTAND WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

NUMBER ONE, HAVE YOU THOUGHT SERIOUSLY ABOUT

WHETHER HER TESTIMONY, IF SHE IS PERMITTED TO TESTIFY, WOULD BE CUMULATIVE OR WOULD IT ACTUALLY ADD ANYTHING TO THE TRIAL? BECAUSE WE HAVE HAD A LOT OF WITNESSES AND SOME OF THEM HAVE TESTIFIED ABOUT THE SAME THINGS. AND UNDERSTANDABLY SO BECAUSE THERE ARE FACTUAL ISSUES. SO SOME REDUNDANCY IS NOT OUT OF LINE.

REDUNDANCY IS UNDESTRABLE AND INAPPROPRIATE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THIS PERSON PLANS TO TESTIFY ABOUT AND I AM NOT ASKING YOU TO GO DOWN YOUR LAUNDRY LIST RIGHT NOW.

MR. MIDDLETON: THIS IS THE EXTENT OF THE LIST.

THE COURT: I WILL ASK YOU AS A PROFESSIONAL, WOULD HER TESTIMONY ADD ANYTHING TO THE TRIAL IF SHE IS PERMITTED TO TESTIFY?

MR. MIDDLETON: IN MY ESTIMATION, YES, BECAUSE SHE WAS A TEACHER THERE AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY FROM THE YEAR '73
TO '78, DURING THE TIME THAT GREGORY MULL WAS THERE. SHE IS WELL-AWARE OF THE TEACHINGS.

THERE HAS BEEN TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF TESTIMONY
FROM THE OTHER SIDE CONCERNING NO BLACKMAIL, SPIRITUAL
SUICIDE OR THAT TYPE OF THING EVER GOING ON WITHIN THE
CHURCH. SHE PERSONALLY HAD THAT DONE TO HER AND THE MAN
THAT SHE WAS WITH.

THE COURT: WAS SHE THERE WHEN GREGORY MULL WAS THERE?

MR. MIDDLETON: SHE WAS THERE '73 TO '78. SHE WAS THERE IN 1975 AND TAUGHT HIM AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY.

THE COURT: OKAY.

**\**5

MR. MIDDLETON: SHE HAS PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF CERTAIN THINGS THAT WE HAVE HAD NO INDEPENDENT WITNESSES TO BE ABLE TO CONTRADICT. THEIR WHOLE CHURCH -- SHE ALSO HAS THE TEACHING CONCERNING THE FORCES OF LIGHT AND DARKNESS, THAT THEY HAVE BEEN ADAMANT TO AVOID SAYING THEY WOULD NEVER COME IN HERE AND LIE. SHE HAS THE UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THEY ARE TAUGHT EN MASSE THERE.

THE COURT: NOW LET ME ASK YOU THIS QUESTION.

MR. MIDDLETON: AND THERE IS ALSO CONTRADICTORY
EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE MOVIES, THE TV, THE NEWSPAPER. I
GRANT YOU SOME OF THAT MAY BE CUMULATIVE, BUT SHE WAS A
PERSON THERE AT THE TIME.

THEY BROUGHT SO MANY PEOPLE IN THAT WERE THERE SUPPOSEDLY THAT NONE OF THIS EVER HAPPENED WITH. SHE IS INDEPENDENT. SHE HAS SOME THINGS TO SAY FOR THEIR SIDE AND SHE IS FRANK TO SAY THAT.

SHE IS NOT IN LOVE WITH GREGORY MULL. BUT SHE HAS BEEN -- IT HAS BEEN MISREPRESENTED TO HER WHAT THIS CASE IS ABOUT. SHE'S FOUND OUT ABOUT IT TODAY. SIMPLE REPRESENTATION SUCH AS SHE NEVER KNEW ELIZABETH SUED GREGORY. SHE'S ALWAYS BEEN TOLD THAT IT WAS GREGORY THAT SUED THE CHURCH.

SHE HAS BEEN PRIMED BY THEM. AND THE MAN THAT CALLED HER TOLD HER THAT THE CHURCH WAS IN TROUBLE AND COULD POSSIBLY GO OUT OF EXISTENCE IF A \$30,000,000 JUDGMENT WAS ENTERED AGAINST THEM AND THEY NEEDED HER TESTIMONY TO SAVE THE CHURCH. THAT IS WHAT HE CALLED HER ON.

THE COURT: LET ME ASK YOU THIS QUESTION. SHE WAS IN

THE COURTROOM THIS AFTERNOON FOR ABOUT A FEW MINUTES. 1 MR. MIDDLETON: A MINUTE AT MOST. SHE WALKED IN. I 2 WALKED IN ALMOST IN BACK OF HER --THE COURT: I SAW YOU DO THAT. I JUST HAPPENED TO NOTICE THAT YOU DID. **\5** MY NEXT QUESTION, HOW LONG WAS SHE INSIDE THE 6 7 COURTROOM THIS MORNING? 8 MR. MIDDLETON: I HAVE NO IDEA. I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW SHE WAS THERE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT --9 THE COURT: THIS MORNING SHE COULD HAVE HEARD AN 10 INTERESTING DISCUSSION ABOUT HYPNOSIS AND HYPNOTIC THERAPY. 11 MR. KLEIN: ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET TESTIFIED THIS 12 13 MORNING. I AM TOLD SHE WAS THERE THE SECOND HALF OF THE MORNING SESSION. 14 THE COURT: ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET TESTIFIED --15 MR. LEVY: TO HER LIBRARY. 16 THE COURT: -- BRIEFLY THIS MORNING. 17 MR. MIDDLETON: TO HER LIBRARY, TO GREGORY MULL'S 18 HOMOSEXUALITY. THIS WOMAN IS NOT HERE TO TESTIFY ABOUT 19 20 THAT. THE COURT: SHE TALKED ABOUT THE LIBRARY, SHE TALKED 21 ABOUT THE BOOKS THAT WERE BOUND IN FOIL, SHE TALKED ABOUT 22 HOMOSEXUALITY. 23 MR. KLEIN: WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, THOUGH, WE DIDN'T 24 MAKE THE RULE AND SAY IT WILL ONLY BE IF THE TESTIMONY IS OF 25 A CERTAIN KIND. WE SAID NO ONE WAS GOING TO BE IN THERE 26 DURING THE OTHER PERSON'S CASE IF THEY WERE GOING TO 27 28 TESTIFY.

1 MR. LEVY: MAY I INTERJECT FOR JUST ONE THING? MR. 2 MIDDLETON AND I DID NOT KNOW WHO THE LADY --3 THE COURT: I DIDN'T HEAR YOU. MR. LEVY: I SAID MR. MIDDLETON AND I HAD NO IDEA WHO **\**5 THIS PERSON WAS THIS MORNING AND WHAT SHE DID HEAR IS NOT 6 WHAT SHE WILL TESTIFY WITH REGARD TO. THAT LITTLE BIT, IF 7 SHE WAS HERE THIS MORNING -- I -- TO THIS POINT I DON'T KNOW WHO SHE IS. I HAVE NOT MET HER. I HAVE NOT SPOKEN TO HER. 8 9 IF SHE WAS HERE THIS MORNING, SHE HEARD, AS YOU 10 SAY, ABOUT HYPNOSIS, SHE HEARD A LITTLE BIT ABOUT BOOKS IN 11 THE LIBRARY AND THAT IS ALL SHE COULD HAVE HEARD. AND SHE 12 IS NOT GOING TO TESTIFY ABOUT HYPNOSIS OR BOOKS IN THE 13 LIBRARY. 14 THE COURT: CAN SHE BE HERE TOMORROW? 15 MR. LEVY: APPARENTLY NO. 16 MR. MIDDLETON: SHE HAS A PROBLEM WITH THAT. 17 LIVES IN MOUNT SHASTA. THAT IS ONE OF HER QUESTIONS THAT 18 SHE ASKED ME IS, YOU KNOW, "IF I TESTIFY, AM I GOING TO HAVE 19 TO BE HERE TOMORROW? \* SHE IS PLANNING ON DRIVING BACK. 20 THE COURT: WHERE IS THAT? MR. MIDDLETON: TEN HOURS. 21 22 THE COURT: UP IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA NEAR SHASTA? 23 MR. MIDDLETON: YES. 24 THE COURT: I KNOW WHERE THAT IS. THAT IS VERY FAR FROM HERE. THAT SHASTA? 25 MR. MIDDLETON: THAT SHASTA, RIGHT. THE ONLY THING 26 THAT CONCERNS ME ABOUT THIS IS THEY CONTACTED HER FIRST. 27 THEY ARE OUT HUNTING FOR WITNESSES. AND IF THEY DON'T GET A 28

THE COURT: BY TELEPHONE?

MR. MIDDLETON: YES. IT IS A TELEPHONE CONVERSATION,
AN HOUR AND A HALF PHONE CONVERSATION.

THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU WANT TO SAY?

MR. KLEIN: MY POINT IS THIS: ONE OF THE WAYS THAT
WE PREPARE TO CROSS-EXAMINE PEOPLE, I GO BACK AND TALK TO
THE PEOPLE WHO KNEW THAT PERSON. I LOOK TO SEE IF THERE ARE
ANY DOCUMENTS OR LETTERS RELATING TO THAT PERSON. I AM
TOTALLY DEPRIVED OF DOING THAT IF THIS PERSON TESTIFIES
TODAY.

THE COURT: YOU KNOW SOMETHING --

MR. MIDDLETON: YOUR HONOR --

THE COURT: YEARS AGO, WE DIDN'T HAVE THE DISCOVERY RULES. LIFE WAS SIMPLER THEN. AND IN SOME RESPECTS, LITIGATION WAS MORE SATISFACTORY WITHOUT ALL OF THESE ELABORATE DISCOVERY RULES, WHICH ARE OVERDONE ANYWAY IN MY NOT VERY HUMBLE OPINION. BUT WE DO HAVE THEM.

MR. MIDDLETON: THERE IS AN ADDED FACTOR, IS THOSE
PEOPLE SITTING RIGHT AT THE COUNSEL TABLE HAVE KNOWN HER FOR
FIVE YEARS. I HAVE KNOWN HER EXACTLY ABOUT TWO HOURS AT
THIS POINT. I SPENT AN HOUR --

THE COURT: I AM READY TO RULE.

MR. KLEIN: CAN I MAKE ONE POINT?

THE COURT: YES, SIR.

MR. KLEIN: MY POINT IS SIMPLY THIS: THIS LADY DID NOT JUST WALK INTO THIS COURTHOUSE. SOMEBODY HAD TO TELL HER TO COME HERE. AT SOME POINT BEFORE TODAY, SOMEBODY SAID, "COME DOWN HERE AND WE WILL TALK TO YOU."

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

MY ARGUMENT IS THAT AT THAT POINT, THEY SHOULD HAVE SAID TO ME, "WE MIGHT BE PUTTING ON THIS WOMAN," SO THAT I HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO PREPARE. BUT NOBODY SAID IT AND THAT IS WHAT MY PROBLEM IS. THEY COULD HAVE TOLD ME. THEY HAD TO KNOW SHE WAS GOING TO BE HERE.

MR. LEVY: WHERE DOES IT SAY WE HAVE TO KNOW --MR. KLEIN: AT SOME POINT, SOMEBODY HAD TO TELL HER, "COME TO THE FIFTH FLOOR OF THIS BUILDING." IT HAD TO BE BEFORE TODAY.

MR. MIDDLETON: THAT IS NOT TRUE.

THE COURT: THIS ISN'T A DIFFICULT PLACE TO FIND.

MR. MIDDLETON: THAT IS NOT TRUE AND NO ONE TOLD HER TO COME. WE WERE TOLD THAT SHE MIGHT SHOW UP AND BE HERE OF HER OWN VOLITION. AND THAT WAS YESTERDAY AFTERNOON.

WE DID NOT DID NOT -- AND I WAS SUPPOSED TO MEET HER FOR LUNCH, AND I SAT HERE READING LETTERS AND DIDN'T EVEN KNOW. I SAT HERE FOR A HALF HOUR WITH KATHLEEN AND I SAT HERE READING LETTERS. WHEN I STEPPED OUT IN THE HALL, I WAS INTRODUCED TO HER. I HAD COMPLETELY FORGOTTEN ABOUT HER.

THE COURT: YOU REST AT THIS POINT?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO PUT IN ONE THING. I WANT TO ASK THE COURT TO TAKE JUDICIAL --

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU DO IT NOW.

MR. KLEIN: I WOULD ASK THE COURT TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE AND RECEIVE AS EVIDENCE A COPY OF THE HOLY BIBLE.

THE COURT: WHICH VERSION?

MR. KLEIN: THE KING JAMES VERSION. I WILL BRING THE

1 COPY IN. 2 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE REVISED KING 3 JAMES VERSIONS, TOO. 4 MR. KLEIN: CAN I GET THE COPY THAT I WANT TO BRING ` 5 IN? I DON'T THINK THAT THERE IS ANYTHING UNUSUAL ABOUT IT. BUT I WOULD LIKE IT TO BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE. 6 7 THE COURT: IF YOU WILL BE SPECIFIC ABOUT IT, I DON'T 8 THINK YOU WILL HAVE A PROBLEM. 9 MR. KLEIN: I WILL GET IT RIGHT NOW. 10 THE COURT: LISTEN, LET ME TELL YOU -- ALL RIGHT. 11 WHY DON'T YOU GO GET IT AND BRING IT IN. I REALLY WANT TO 12 PROCEED. 13 IS THIS THE VOLUME THAT YOU WANT? THERE ARE VARIOUS -- HERE RED LETTER DICTIONARY, THERE IS DEUTER ONOMY, 14 15 ALL RIGHT. MARK, LUKE, ALL RIGHT. WE ARE IN THE NEW TESTAMENT. WE ARE IN THE NEW TESTAMENT. COPYRIGHT 1972. 16 17 DO YOU WANT TO HAVE THIS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE? 18 MR. KLEIN: YES. THE COURT: AS -- WHAT IS THE NEXT NUMBER? NUMBER 19 20 125. 21 MR. LEVY: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED. 23 (MARKED AND REC'D IN EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 125, BIBLE) THE COURT: YOU DO IT RIGHT, SEE HOW EASY IT IS? 24 MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. 25 THE COURT: IF YOU MAKE IT DIFFICULT, THEN YOU MAKE 26 27. IT DIFFICULT. IF YOU DO IT RIGHT, YOU ARE SELDOM 28 DISAPPOINTED.

MR. KLEIN: I APPRECIATE THAT. 1 MR. LEVY: OFF THE RECORD, KATHLEEN. 2 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.) 3 THE COURT: LET'S GO BACK ON THE RECORD. I AM READY TO RULE. **\ 5** I THINK UNDER ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES, AND 6 PARTICULARLY GIVEN THE FACT THAT APPARENTLY THE PROPOSED 7 WITNESS WAS NOT IN THE COURTROOM WHEN THINGS WERE GOING ON 8 THAT WOULD PERTAIN TO HER TESTIMONY -- AND I ACCEPT 9 COUNSELS' REPRESENTATIONS THAT THEY DID NOT KNOW THAT SHE 10 WOULD BE HERE OR THAT SHE WOULD BE WILLING TO TESTIFY -- AND 11 FURTHER THAT MRS. FRANCIS AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE 12 LEADERSHIP OF THE CHURCH HAVE KNOWN THIS PERSON FOR A LONG 13 TIME, I THINK IT WOULD BE -- IN ALL OF THESE CIRCUMSTANCES, 14 I THINK IT WOULD BE AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION TO PROHIBIT HER 15 16 FROM TESTIFYING. SO I ASSUME NOW THAT YOU REST, MR. KLEIN, NOW 17 THAT YOUR BIBLE IS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE? 18 MR. KLEIN: I REST, YOUR HONOR. 19 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO WE WILL RESUME IN A 20 FEW MOMENTS. TAKE A QUICK RECESS. 21 22 (RECESS.) (THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN 23 COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 24 THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED. 25 MR. MIDDLETON: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD CALL TO THE 26 STAND LAURA-LEA CANNON AS OUR FIRST REBUTTAL WITNESS. 27 28 111

1	* <u>R_B_U_T_TA_L</u> *
2	
3	LAURA-LEA CANNON, +
<u>.</u> 4	A DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN,
`5	TESTIFIES AS FOLLOWS:
6	THE WITNESS: I DO.
7	THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME
8	FOR THE RECORD AND PLEASE SPELL YOUR NAME.
9	THE WITNESS: LAURA-LEA CANNON. L-A-U-R-A, HYPHEN
10	L-E-A, C-A-N-N-O-N.
11	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
12	
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION +
14	BY MR. MIDDLETON:
15	Q IS IT MRS. CANNON?
16	A MS.
17	Q MS.
18	MS. CANNON, HOW DID YOU FIND OUT ABOUT THE
19	TRIAL HERE TODAY?
20	A ACTUALLY, THE FIRST I HAD KNOWN THAT THERE WAS
21	SUITS IN PROCESS, BUT I DIDN'T KNOW THAT IT WAS ACTUALLY IN
22	COURT UNTIL I WAS CALLED BY TIMOTHY CONNOR.
23	Q AND WHO IS TIMOTHY CONNOR?
24	A HE IS INVOLVED WITH THE CHURCH NOW. I DON'T
25	KNOW HIS POSITION.
26	Q AND DID HE ASK YOU TO BE A WITNESS ON THEIR
27	BEHALF?
28	A YES, HE DID.

1	Q AND WHEN DID YOU FIRST HAVE CONTACT WITH THE
2	CHURCH?
3	A I BELIEVE IT WAS IN 1973.
4	Q AND WOULD YOU TELL US BRIEFLY THAT CONTACT AND
<b>∖</b> 5	WHAT HAPPENED?
6	A I WAS IN ATTENDANCE AT ONE OF THE CONFERENCES
7	IN COLORADO SPRINGS AND THE NATURE OF MY EXPERIENCE THERE
8	LED ME TO PURSUE FURTHER CONNECTION WITH THE CHURCH.
9	Q DID YOU PURSUE FURTHER CONNECTIONS WITH THE
10	CHURCH?
11	A YES, I DID. I GOT INVOLVED WITH THE UNIVERSITY
12	AND WENT ON TO BECOME STAFF MEMBER.
13	Q THE UNIVERSITY, IS THAT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
14	A CORRECT.
15	Q WHEN YOU BECAME A STAFF MEMBER, WHAT ROLE DID
16	YOU HAVE AS A STAFF MEMBER?
17	A SEVERAL. I STARTED OUT AS A TEACHING ASSISTANT
18	AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY. I HAD SOME RESPONSIBILITIES WITH
19	ELIZABETH'S HOUSEHOLD AND CHILDREN. AND THEN WENT ON TO
20	BECOME AN INSTRUCTOR AT THE UNIVERSITY AND PRODUCED
21	MULTIMEDIA SHOWS.
22	Q YOU WERE AN INSTRUCTOR AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
23	A CORRECT.
24	Q YOU ALSO PRODUCED WHAT YOU CALLED MULTIMEDIA
25	SHOWS?
26	A UH-HUH.
27.	Q YOU ACTUALLY HAD TWO POSITIONS THAT YOU FILLED
28	THERE?

	A KIGHI.
2	Q AS AN INSTRUCTOR, DID YOU BECOME FAMILIAR WITH
3	THE TEACHINGS OF THE CHURCH?
.a. <b>4</b>	A YES.
`5	Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TEACHINGS CONCERNING
6	THE GREAT WHORE?
7	A YES.
8	Q IS THAT A TEACHING WITHIN THE CHURCH?
9	A I I HAVE TO SAY I AM A LITTLE FOGGY ON MY
10	RECOLLECTION OF IT. MY RECOLLECTION OF THAT TEACHING IS
11	THAT IT WAS BASICALLY A PERVERSION OF THE ENERGY OF THE
12	DIVINE MOTHER.
13	Q OKAY. AND THEN THERE WAS A TEACHING CONCERNING
14	THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY?
15	A AGAIN, A TERM THAT I DIDN'T HAVE THAT MUCH
16	DIRECT EXPERIENCE WITH.
17	Q THERE IT WAS A TEACHING WITHIN THE CHURCH?
18	A I BELIEVE SO.
19	Q AND ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE CLOCK OF
20	BETRAYAL?
21	A AGAIN, I HAVE NEVER QUITE HEARD IT CALLED THAT,
22	BUT I CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND THE TEACHINGS OF THE CLOCK AND
23	Q WHAT HAVE YOU HEARD IT CALLED?
24	A WELL, THE COSMIC CLOCK IS WHAT THE TEACHING
25	WAS. AND THERE WERE POSITIONS ON THE CLOCK THAT WERE
26	ASSIGNED IN VARIOUS INSTANCES TO VARIOUS SITUATIONS.
27.	AND THE CLOCK OF BETRAYAL IS SOMETHING I THINK
28	I PROBABLY HEARD MORE AFTER THE FACT. I HONESTLY DON'T
-	

1	RECALL IT AS A CONCEPT WHEN I WAS A STAFF MEMBER.
2	Q WAS THAT AFTER YOU HAD LEFT?
3	A YES. I MEAN WE DID CERTAIN DECREES INVOLVING
a <b>4</b>	THE CLOCK, BUT THAT TERM IS NOT ONE I AM FAMILIAR WITH.
> 5	Q AND YOU KNOW THE VARIOUS AXES ON THE CLOCK?
6	A YES, I DO.
7	Q YOU KNOW WHAT THE TEN-FOUR AXIS IS?
8	A UH-HUH.
9	Q YOU KNOW WHAT IT REPRESENTS?
10	MR. KLEIN: I WOULD OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. IF THE
11	WITNESS LEARNED THIS AFTER SHE LEFT THE CHURCH, I THINK
12	THERE IS NO FOUNDATION FOR THESE QUESTIONS.
13	THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.
14	THE WITNESS: THE TEN-FOUR AXIS WAS BASICALLY THE
15	SCORPIO ENERGY AND IT WAS A PERVERSION OF THE VISION,
16	TEN-FOUR AXIS BEING RELATED TO THE THIRD EYE AXIS.
17	Q BY MR. MIDDLETON: WHAT CONNECTION DID YOU HAVE
18	WITH MULTIMEDIA PRODUCTIONS?
19	A WELL, WE CREATED PROGRAMS THAT WERE USED TO
20	SUPPORT THE TEACHING OF THE CHURCH, THAT TRAVELED WITH THE
21	CARAVANS, THE STUMP TOURS THAT WOULD GO AROUND THE COUNTRY
22	AND ALSO THAT WERE USED IN CONFERENCES TO SUPPORT ELIZABETH
23	AND THE DICTATIONS AND MEDITATIONS, THAT KIND OF THING. WE
24	ALSO DID A PROGRAM ABOUT THE CHURCH.
25	Q ALL RIGHT. AND WHO DID YOU WORK IN MULTIMEDIA
26	PRODUCTIONS WITH?
27	A PRIMARILY WITH RANDALL AND A MAN NAMED ALAN
28	KOZLOWSKI.

1	Q ALAN KOZLOWSKI?
. 2	A UH-HUH.
3	Q WAS THERE A TIME THAT YOU WANTED TO MARRY ONE
4	OF THE PARTIES?
<b>\ 5</b>	A YES.
6	Q AND WHO WAS THAT?
7	A ALAN.
8	Q AND WHAT DID YOU HAVE TO DO TO MARRY ALAN?
9	A WELL, WHATEVER IT WAS, I NEVER FOUND OUT. I
10	I HAD REQUESTED WE BOTH HAD REQUESTED PERMISSION TO BE
11	MARRIED.
12	Q AND YOU REQUESTED PERMISSION FROM WHO?
13	A FROM ELIZABETH.
14	Q AND WAS IT NECESSARY TO REQUEST PERMISSION FROM
15	ELIZABETH?
16	A IN OUR CASE IT WAS, YES.
17	Q YOU SAY IN YOUR CASE, IT WAS. WHY IS THAT? IS
18	THAT BECAUSE YOU WERE ON PERMANENT STAFF?
19	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR.
20	LEADING THE WITNESS.
20 21	
	LEADING THE WITNESS.
21	LEADING THE WITNESS.  THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
21 22	LEADING THE WITNESS.  THE COURT: SUSTAINED.  Q BY MR. MIDDLETON: WHY IS IT THAT IT WAS
21 22 23	LEADING THE WITNESS.  THE COURT: SUSTAINED.  Q BY MR. MIDDLETON: WHY IS IT THAT IT WAS  NECESSARY FOR YOU TO REQUEST PERMISSION TO GET MARRIED?
21 22 23 24	LEADING THE WITNESS.  THE COURT: SUSTAINED.  Q BY MR. MIDDLETON: WHY IS IT THAT IT WAS  NECESSARY FOR YOU TO REQUEST PERMISSION TO GET MARRIED?  A WELL, I BELIEVE IT WAS BECAUSE OF OUR POSITION
21 22 23 24 25	LEADING THE WITNESS.  THE COURT: SUSTAINED.  Q BY MR. MIDDLETON: WHY IS IT THAT IT WAS  NECESSARY FOR YOU TO REQUEST PERMISSION TO GET MARRIED?  A WELL, I BELIEVE IT WAS BECAUSE OF OUR POSITION  ON THE STAFF, AND OUR ASSOCIATION WITH WITH THE CHURCH

1	A NONE.
2	Q IT WAS ABSOLUTELY CERTAIN TO YOU. DID YOU ASK
3	FOR PERMISSION?
4	A YES, SEVERAL TIMES.
> 5	Q SEVERAL TIMES. WERE YOU ALLOWED TO GET
6	MARRIED?
7	A NO, I WAS NOT.
8	Q WAS THIS CREATING CONFLICT WITH YOU AT THE
9	TIME?
10	A TREMENDOUS.
11	Q WHAT KIND OF CONFLICT WAS IT CREATING WITH YOU?
12	A WELL, I WAS WORKING WITH A MAN WHO I WAS AT THE
13	TIME VERY MUCH IN LOVE WITH AND VERY DRAWN TO. AND BECAUSE
14	OF ALSO THE CODE THAT I HAD AGREED TO LIVE BY, I FOUND
15	MYSELF IN VIOLATION OF THAT CODE BECAUSE I WAS VERY MUCH
16	ATTRACTED TO HIM AND WANTED TO SORT OF MAKE IT ALL OKAY BY
17	BEING MARRIED RATHER THAN FEELING A PHYSICAL ATTRACTION THAT
18	COULDN'T BE EXPERIENCED BY US.
19	Q DID YOU DISCUSS THIS CONFLICT THAT YOU HAD WITH
20	ELIZABETH?
21	A YES, I DID.
22	Q AND ON MORE THAN ONE OCCASION?
23	A I'M SURE, YES.
24	Q WERE YOU EXPERIENCING ANY TYPE OF FEELINGS
25	WHILE THIS CONFLICT WAS GOING ON?
26	A I WAS EXTREMELY TORN.
27	Q THERE CAME A TIME THAT I AM SORRY.
28	THE RESULT OF NOT BEING ALLOWED TO MARRY, WHAT

WAS THE RESULT OF THAT WITH REGARD TO YOUR POSITION WITH THE 1 2 CHURCH? 3 WELL, I'D SAY EVENTUALLY IT PRECIPITATED MY LEAVING. AND SO YOU LEFT THE CHURCH? **\** 5 YES, I DID. 6 Α AND HOW ABOUT MR. KOZLOWSKI? 7 Q 8 HE HAD LEFT ABOUT SIX MONTHS EARLIER. 9 ALL RIGHT. AND WHAT WERE YOUR EXPERIENCES UPON 10 LEAVING THE CHURCH? SPECIFICALLY, DID YOU HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET AT THE POINT OF LEAVING THE 11 12 CHURCH? 13 I -- I DID LET HER KNOW THAT I WAS LEAVING AND 14 NEEDED TO LEAVE AND INITIALLY WAS GIVEN HER BLESSING IN 15 LEAVING. AND IT WAS FOLLOWED BY SEVERAL WRITTEN 16 COMMUNICATIONS AND APPARENTLY BY A DICTATION THAT WAS ALSO 17 MAILED TO US ANONYMOUSLY IN WHICH SHE INDICATED THAT MYSELF AND ALAN HAD FAILED THE MAJOR INITIATION. 18 19 Q WHAT WAS THAT MAJOR INITIATION? 20 FOR ME IT WAS THAT MY -- BASICALLY MY WHOLE 21 LIFE WAS BUILDING UP TO MAKING A CHOICE BETWEEN MY COMMITMENT TO SPIRIT AND MY -- IN A SENSE MY CARNAL DESIRES 22 IN WANTING TO BE MARRIED TO THIS MAN. AND THAT HE WAS A 23 SYMBOL OF SOMETHING WHICH HE WAS CALLED THE IMPOSTOR, THE 24 25 IMPOSTOR OF MY TWIN FLAME AND THAT IS WHY I WAS SO ATTRACTED AND DRAWN INTO MY RELATIONSHIP WITH HIM. 26 AND BY CHOOSING TO LEAVE THE CHURCH, I HAD 27 BASICALLY FAILED THE INITIATION AND CHOSEN NOT SPIRIT AND 28

	1	THE MOTHER FLAME, BUT RATHER TO PURSUE MARRIAGE.
	2	Q WERE SOME SPECIFIC WORDS USED CONCERNING WHAT
	3	THAT IS SPIRITUALLY?
	. 4	A THERE IS ONE LETTER THAT I HAD RECEIVED THAT
	` 5	HAD SAID I HAD COMMITTED SPIRITUAL SUICIDE.
	6	Q WERE YOU BEING ASKED TO RETURN TO THE CHURCH?
	7	A NO, I DON'T BELIEVE I WAS EVER ASKED TO RETURN.
	8	Q THESE WERE COMMUNICATIONS SENT TO YOU THAT JUST
	9	SAID YOU COMMITTED SPIRITUAL SUICIDE WAS THE END EFFECT OF
	10	THOSE?
	11	A WELL, IT WAS A LENGTHY EXPLANATION OF HOW THE
	12	BROTHERHOOD WORKS AND HOW I, AS PART OF THE BROTHERHOOD, HAD
	13	BEEN REMOVED FROM THE LINK, MY LINK IN THE CHAIN OF THE
	14	BROTHERHOOD HAD BEEN REMOVED.
,	15	Q AND A DICTATION FROM EL MORYA CAME TO YOU?
	16	A THAT'S CORRECT.
	17	Q CONCERNING YOUR TWIN FLAME?
	18	A CORRECT.
	19	Q AND THAT YOU HAD FAILED YOUR TWIN FLAME?
	20	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, IT IS VERY LEADING AT THIS
	21	POINT. I WOULD OBJECT.
	22	THE COURT: WHAT?
	23	MR. KLEIN: THE QUESTIONS ARE LEADING. I WOULD
	24	OBJECT.
	25	THE WITNESS: WELL, I CAN EXPLAIN
	26	THE COURT: JUST STATE THE GROUNDS FOR THE OBJECTION
)	27	THAT IS ALL
7	28	MR KLEIN LEADING

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q BY MR. MIDDLETON: WOULD YOU EXPLAIN THE DICTATION FROM EL MORYA?

A THE EXPLANATION WAS THAT I HAD A TWIN FLAME WHO WAS AN ASCENDED BEING, THAT HE WAS NEWLY ASCENDED AND THAT THEREFORE DID NOT HAVE A GREAT DEAL OF ATTAINMENT. AND THAT THE BALANCE OF HIS ATTAINMENT WAS PLACED BEFORE THE LORDS OF KARMA IN ORDER TO ALLOW OR ENCOURAGE ME TO STAY IN SERVICE TO THE CHURCH.

AND WHEN I MADE THE DECISION TO LEAVE THE CHURCH, IT WAS SAID THAT HE BASICALLY HAD BEEN RETURNED TO THE CENTRAL SUN OR CONSUMED IN FLAME. AND THAT IT WAS BECAUSE OF MY BEHAVIOR AND THAT I HAD BASICALLY BETRAYED THE BROTHERHOOD.

Q WE HAVE HAD A GOOD DEAL OF TESTIMONY HERE
CONCERNING MOVIES, TV, NEWSPAPERS AND THE FACT THAT THEY ARE
ALLOWED FREELY AT THE CAMPUS AT CAMELOT OR AT SUMMIT
UNIVERSITY OR FOR ANYONE THAT WAS A PART OF THE CHURCH.

AND I AM GOING TO ASK YOU WHAT WAS THE LAW OR THE RULE AT THE TIME THAT YOU WERE THERE CONCERNING MOVIES, TV AND NEWSPAPERS?

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, IT IS VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AS
TO WHERE "THERE" IS AS OPPOSED TO CAMELOT, SUMMIT
UNIVERSITY, WHAT SITE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU REPHRASE THE QUESTION.

Q BY MR. MIDDLETON: WHILE YOU WERE AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY AS A TEACHER, WHAT WAS THE RULES CONCERNING MOVIES, TV'S AND NEWSPAPERS?

1	A I RECALL ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS I SNUCK OUT TO
2	SEE MOVIES AND I BELIEVE ALSO ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS I WENT
3	WITH OTHER STAFF AND STUDENTS TO SEE MOVIES. IT WASN'T
<b>4</b>	DEFINITELY WASN'T OKAY ALL THE TIME TO SEE CERTAIN KINDS OF
<b>√</b> .5	MOVIES.
6	Q WHY DID YOU SNEAK OUT?
7	A EITHER DIDN'T HAVE THE PERMISSION NECESSARY TO
8	GO OR, YOU KNOW, JUST WANTED TO GO ON MY OWN, WHATEVER THE
9	CASE MAY BE.
10	Q YOU SAID SOMETHING ABOUT CERTAIN KINDS OF
11	MOVIES WEREN'T OKAY. WAS THERE A BLACKLIST ON CERTAIN
12	MOVIES?
13	A I DON'T THINK SO MUCH A BLACKLIST AS JUST THE
14	ENCOURAGEMENT TO SEE MOVIES THAT WERE UPLIFTING AND NOT THAT
15	WOULD BE MORE NEGATIVE IN THEIR INFLUENCE.
16	Q AND YOU HAD TO HAVE PERMISSION TO GO TO MOVIES?
17	MR. KLEIN: 1 AM GOING TO OBJECT. LEADING, YOUR
18	HONOR.
19	THE COURT: GVERRULED.
20	Q BY MR. MIDDLETON: YOU HAVE TO ANSWER AUDIBLY,
21	I AM SORRY.
22	A THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION.
23	Q WERE NEWSPAPERS READILY AVAILABLE?
24	A NO.
25	Q NOW DURING THE TIME YOU WERE PERMANENT STAFF,
26	WERE NEWSPAPERS READILY AVAILABLE?
27	A NOT TO ME. THEY MAY HAVE BEEN TO SOME PEOPLE.
28	BUT THEY WEREN'T PART OF WHAT I DID.
•	

	1	Q THEY WEREN'T WITHIN YOUR SPHERE ANYWAY?
•	2	A RIGHT.
	3	Q AND YOU WERE THERE ON PERMANENT STAFF?
	4	A YES.
	` 5	Q HOW MANY HOURS A DAY DID YOU SPEND DEVOTING TO
	6	THE CHURCH?
	7	A I WOULD SAY IT RANGED BETWEEN 12 TO 20.
	8	Q TWELVE TO TWENTY HOURS A DAY. SOMETIMES YOU
	9	WORKED AS MANY AS TWENTY HOURS A DAY?
	10	A UH-HUH.
	11	Q AND GOT ONLY FOUR HOURS SLEEP?
	12	A CORRECT.
	13	Q WOULD YOU EXPLAIN THE TEACHING ABOUT THE GITA
	14	THAT YOU WERE TAUGHT WHILE A MEMBER OF THE CHURCH AND ON
,	15	PERMANENT STAFF CONCERNING THE FORCES OF LIGHT AND DARKNESS?
	16	A THE TEACHINGS FROM THE BHAGAVAD GITA?
	17	Q MAY NOT BE EXPRESSING THAT RIGHT.
	18	WAS THERE A TEACHING OF THE CHURCH CONCERNING
	19	FORCES OF LIGHT AND DARKNESS?
	20	A WELL, I THINK THAT IS ONE OF THE MAJOR PREMISES
	21	OF THE CHURCH.
	22	Q WOULD YOU EXPLAIN THAT MAJOR PREMISE TO US?
	23	A MAYBE YOU COULD HELP ME NARROW IT DOWN A LITTLE
	24	BIT. THAT IS A LOT OF GROUND TO COVER,
	25	Q I'D LIKE YOU TO EXPLAIN IT IN GENERAL TERMS, IF
	26	YOU CAN, AND AT THIS MOMENT I DON'T WANT TO PUT IT TO A
)	27	SPECIFIC INSTANCE.
	28	A WELL, MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT BASICALLY WE

WERE HOLDING A FOCUS OF LIGHT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE CHURCH AND OF THE WORK THAT THE CHURCH DID AS AN INFLUENCE IN PLANETARY STABILITY, AND THAT THERE WERE RAGING FORCES DEPICTED BY A LOT THAT GOES ON IN THIS WORLD IN TERMS OF WAR AND HUNGER AND STARVATION AND DIFFERENT ELEMENTS SEEM TO FALL INTO DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF BLACK AND WHITE.

AND THAT PART OF THE NATURE OF THE CHURCH WAS
IN DEFENSE OF THE FORCES OF LIGHT TOWARDS THE UPLIFTMENT OF
MANKIND AND ULTIMATELY TO CREATE A FOCUS OF GREATER
DIVINITY. AND THAT WAS THE SENSE OF EVERYBODY'S
PARTICIPATION AND THAT WAS HELPING TO FURTHER THAT CAUSE.

- Q AND YOUR CAUSE WAS IT WOULD FURTHER THE CAUSE OF LIGHT?
  - A RIGHT.
- Q WERE YOU TAUGHT TO DEFEND THE CHURCH FOR THE CAUSE OF LIGHT?
- A I WOULD HAVE TO SAY YES. I WOULD SAY THAT

  DEFENSE OF THE CHURCH AND THE MOTHER FLAME WAS PART OF THAT

  CAUSE.
  - Q WAS PART OF THE CAUSE OF LIGHT?
  - A UH-HUH.
- Q NOW, I AM GOING TO GIVE YOU A HYPOTHETICAL

  QUESTION AT THE MOMENT THAT REALLY HAS TO DO IN TERMS OF

  YOUR OPINION AS TO HOW THE MEMBERS OF THE CHURCH, SINCE YOU

  WERE ON STAFF AND YOU WERE FAMILIAR WITH THIS TEACHING,

  WOULD LOOK AT THOSE OF US HERE THAT ARE PARTICIPANTS AT THIS

  TRIAL, AND HOW THEY WOULD LOOK AT GREGORY MULL IN TERMS OF

  THE FORCES OF LIGHT AND DARKNESS AND HOW THEY WOULD LOOK AT

ELIZABETH HERE IN TERMS OF THE FORCES OF LIGHT AND DARKNESS. 1 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, BEFORE COUNSEL GIVES HIS 2 3 HYPOTHETICAL, I WOULD OBJECT. FIRST, IT OBVIOUSLY IS GOING TO CALL FOR SPECULATION AND, SECONDLY, THERE IS A LACK OF 4 FOUNDATION. SHE HASN'T BEEN WITH THIS CHURCH FOR FIVE **\ 5** YEARS. 6 7 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 8 THE WITNESS: I WOULD HAVE TO SAY THERE IS A 9 CERTAIN --10 THE COURT: JUST WAIT A MINUTE, PLEASE. THE WITNESS: I AM SORRY. 11 12 BY MR. MIDDLETON: FROM THE TIME THAT YOU WERE 13 AT THE CHURCH FROM 1973 THROUGH 1978, IF THIS SITUATION AROSE, WOULD YOU LOOK AT MR. MULL AS THE FORCE OF DARKNESS? 14 15 YES. Α 16 WOULD YOU LOOK AT ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET AS A 17 FORCE OF LIGHT? 18 Α YES. 19 WOULD YOU DEFEND ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET? Q 20 YES. 21 TO THE POINT OF LYING? Q A ME PERSONALLY, NO. 22 WOULD OTHERS? 23 Q 24 MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THAT, YOUR HONOR. THAT CALLS FOR SPECULATION. 25 26 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. MIDDLETON: CONCERNING THE ROLES OF 27 28 PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT HERE, HAVE YOU EVER BEEN EXPLAINED

1 TO BY ANY MEMBER OF THE CHURCH THAT ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET SUED GREGORY MULL? MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS TO THE RELEVANCY, 3 YOUR HONOR. 4 `5 THE COURT: OVERRULED. YOU CAN ANSWER. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I -- I HAD THAT IMPRESSION AND I -- I 8 DON'T KNOW THAT I WAS TOLD THAT BY ANYONE. BY MR. MIDDLETON: THAT ELIZABETH SUED GREGORY? 9 NO, NO. I AM SORRY. THAT GREGORY WAS SUING 10 11 ELIZABETH. THAT GREGORY WAS SUING ELIZABETH, AND THAT IS 1.2 13 THE WAY YOU LOOKED AT IT AND THAT IS THE WAY IT WAS 14 EXPLAINED TO YOU, HOWEVER YOU GOT THAT IMPRESSION? CORRECT. 15 DURING THE TIME THAT YOU WERE THERE, THE 16 GENERAL TEACHING CONCERNING THE FORCES OF LIGHT AND 17 18 DARKNESS, DID THOSE TEACHINGS MAKE IT ALL RIGHT TO LIE TO OUTSIDERS CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE CHURCH? 19 MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR, AS TO 20 SPECULATION OTHER THAN WHAT SHE THOUGHT. 21 22 THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER. THE WITNESS: WOULD YOU REPHRASE THE QUESTION? 23 BY MR. MIDDLETON: ALL RIGHT. WAS IT ALL RIGHT 24 TO LIE FOR THE GREATER GOOD OF THE CHURCH? 25 IT IS ACTUALLY DIFFICULT FOR ME TO ANSWER THAT. 26 27 I THINK I PROBABLY PERSONALLY THOUGHT AND EXPLAINED THINGS ACCORDING TO HOW I FELT, WHICH NOW MY PERSPECTIVE WOULD BE 28

1	A NO.
2	Q OKAY. ONE LAST QUESTION.
3	WHEN YOU LEFT THE CHURCH, DID YOU GET A LETTER
.a. 4	FROM ELIZABETH THAT SAID THAT YOU HAD COMMITTED SPIRITUAL
> 5	SUICIDE?
6	A YES.
7	MR. MIDDLETON: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.
8	THANK YOU.
9	
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION +
11	BY MR. KLEIN:
12	Q THIS LETTER THAT YOU RECEIVED FROM ELIZABETH
13	CLARE PROPHET, DID YOU BRING IT WITH YOU?
14	A NO. IT IS IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA. I HAD NO
15	IDEA THAT I WAS COMING.
16	Q WHEN YOU CAME FROM NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, DID YOU
17	KNOW YOU WERE GOING TO TESTIFY AT THIS TRIAL?
18	A NO, I DID NOT.
19	Q YOU JUST WANDERED IN?
20	A I DIDN'T KNOW THERE WAS A TRIAL UNTIL TIMOTHY
21	CALLED ME.
22	Q WHEN YOU CAME DOWN FROM NORTHERN CALIFORNIA,
23	DID YOU THINK YOU MIGHT BE COMING HERE TO TESTIFY?
24	A NO, 1 DID NOT.
25	Q SO WE DON'T GET TO SEE THE LETTER; IS THAT
26	CORRECT?
27	A I WOULD BE HAPPY TO FORWARD IT.
28	MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR.
1	

MR. MIDDLETON: NO, NO. MY WITNESS. 1 2 MR. LEVY: IT JUST APPEARS COUNSEL IS ARGUING WITH 3 THE WITNESS. 4 THE COURT: ONE OF YOU. ` 5 MR. MIDDLETON: THANK YOU. 6 THE COURT: AT THIS TIME I ASSUME IT WILL BE MR. 7 MIDDLETON. 8 MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR, IT WILL BE. 9 THE COURT: ALTHOUGH THE OBJECTION IS A GOOD 10 OBJECTION. I WANTED MR. KLEIN TO TAKE THAT INTO ACCOUNT. 11 MR. KLEIN: I AM TAKING IT INTO ACCOUNT, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. GO AHEAD. BY MR. KLEIN: WOULD I BE CORRECT THAT YOU 13 14 NEVER LIVED AT CAMELOT? 15 Α CORRECT. 16 SO WHEN YOU TALKED ABOUT THE RULES ABOUT MOVIES 17 AND TV'S, NEWSPAPERS, YOU WOULDN'T KNOW WHAT THE RULES WERE AT CAMELOT; IS THAT RIGHT? 18 I GUESS IF CAMELOT ONLY MEANS THE PROPERTY IN 19 20 MALIBU, THAT'S CORRECT. 21 Q NOW, WITH RESPECT TO SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, YOU 22 SAID YOU HAD PROBLEMS GETTING NEWSPAPERS? 23 NO. I JUST SAID THAT I NEVER GOT NEWSPAPERS. WELL, IF YOU WANTED TO WALK OUT AND BUY A 24 25 NEWSPAPER, WAS THERE ANYTHING TO PREVENT YOU FROM DOING 26 THAT? 27. I THINK I PROBABLY WOULD NOT HAVE BY SOME 28 OVERLAY OF RESTRICTION THAT THEY WERE NEGATIVE INFLUENCES

1	AND EMOTIONAL INFLUENCES THAT I DIDN'T NEED.
2	Q DID ANYBODY EVER PREVENT YOU FROM WALKING DOWN
3	THE BLOCK, PUTTING A QUARTER IN A MACHINE AND BUYING A
<b>4</b>	NEWSPAPER?
<b>\ 5</b>	A THE TERM PREVENTION
6	Q ANYBODY EVER TELL YOU YOU COULDN'T DO THAT?
7	A IT IS VERY POSSIBLE.
8	Q IT IS POSSIBLE SOMEONE TOLD YOU YOU COULDN'T
9	A IF YOU LET ME EXPLAIN, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO
10	EXPLAIN.
11	Q I JUST WANT TO GET THE ANSWER.
12	DO YOU HAVE A RECOLLECTION AS YOU SIT HERE NOW
13	OF SOMEBODY TELLING YOU YOU COULDN'T WALK DOWN THE BLOCK,
14	PUT A QUARTER IN THE BOX AND TAKE OUT A NEWSPAPER?
15	A NOT IN THOSE TERMS, NO.
16	THE COURT: WHAT IS THE EXPLANATION?
17	THE WITNESS: IT JUST WASN'T IT WAS IN NO WAY
18	ENCOURAGED OR JUST DIDN'T FIT, DIDN'T FIT THE PHILOSOPHY.
19	Q BY MR. KLEIN: WERE YOU AT ONE TIME A BUSINESS
20	PARTNER OF RANDALL KING AFTER YOU LEFT THE CHURCH?
21	A YES.
22	Q DO YOU CONSIDER YOURSELF A PERSONAL FRIEND OF
23	RANDALL KING?
24	A YES.
25	Q DID YOU DISCUSS THIS CASE WITH RANDALL KING
26	BEFORE YOU CAME DOWN HERE TO TESTIFY?
27	A NOT IN DETAIL. WE TALKED ABOUT IT.
28	Q DID YOU TALK TO HIM FOR OVER AN HOUR ABOUT THE

1	CASE BEFORE YOU CAME TO TESTIFY?
2	A AT LUNCH TODAY, YES.
3	Q DID YOU TALK TO HIM ON THE PHONE BEFORE TODAY
. 4	ABOUT TESTIFYING?
` 5	A FOR ABOUT MAYBE A MINUTE.
6	MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.
7	I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
8	
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION +
10	BY MR. MIDDLETON:
11	Q WHEN YOU SAY GOING OUT TO GET A NEWSPAPER WAS
12	NOT DIDN'T FIT THE TEACHINGS, WAS IT EVEN FROWNED UPON IF
13	SOMEONE DID THAT?
14	A IN MY MEMORY IT IS. IT IS A LITTLE HAZY.
15	Q ARE YOU A PERSONAL FRIEND OF GREGORY MULL'S?
16	A NO, I AM NOT.
17	Q YOU KNOW HIM AT ALL?
18	A I HAVEN'T EVEN REALLY SEEN GREGORY FOR EIGHT
19	YEARS.
20	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY FEELINGS CONCERNING HIM?
21	A COMPASSION.
22	Q JUST FOR THE EXPERIENCES THAT YOU'VE HEARD
23	CONCERNING HIM; IS THAT IT?
24	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. IT IS
25	IMPROPER REDIRECT.
26	THE COURT: LET ME HEAR THE QUESTION AGAIN.
27.	(THE QUESTION WAS READ.)
28	THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: CORRECT. 1 2 BY MR. MIDDLETON: YOU FEEL COMPASSION FOR HIM 3 THEN? YES, I DO. MR. MIDDLETON: THANK YOU. **\** 5 MR. KLEIN: JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 6 7 THANK YOU. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. 8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU ARE EXCUSED. THANK YOU. 9 MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME WE WOULD CALL MR. EDWARD FRANCIS BACK TO THE STAND AS OUR SECOND REBUTTAL 10 11 WITNESS. 12 13 EDWARD L. FRANCIS, + 14 A CROSS-DEFENDANT HEREIN, CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE 15 DEFENDANT UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 776 OF THE EVIDENCE CODE, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS 16 17 FOLLOWS: 18 THE CLERK: SIR, YOU PREVIOUSLY HAVE BEEN SWORN AND 19 ARE STILL UNDER OATH. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR THE 20 RECORD. 21 THE WITNESS: EDWARD L. FRANCIS. 22 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 23 MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, WE'D LIKE TO MARK THE NEXT 24 EXHIBIT AS 126. 25 THE COURT: SO MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION. 26 (MARKED FOR ID: ^ EXHIBIT 126, LETTER) 27 --- ^ DATED 4-8-81) 28 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

2	BY MR. LEVY:
3	Q MR. FRANCIS, YOU TESTIFIED THE OTHER DAY THAT
4	MR. MULL SENT A VERY NASTY LETTER TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
<b>\</b> 5	BUILDING AND SAFETY; IS THAT CORRECT?
6	A TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY AND TO
7	THE ZONING DEPARTMENT ALSO, RIGHT.
. 8	Q IF I REMEMBER YOUR TESTIMONY CORRECTLY, YOU
9	ALSO SAID NOTHING HAPPENED AS A RESULT OF HIS COMPLAINT; IS
10	THAT ALSO CORRECT?
11	A I DON'T RECALL SAYING THAT.
12	Q DID SOMETHING HAPPEN AS A RESULT OF HIS
13	COMPLAINT?
14	A YES.
15	Q WHAT WAS THAT?
16	A OKAY. A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT THINGS.
17	FIRSTLY, IN REGARDS TO THE COMPLAINTS ABOUT
18	ZONING VIOLATIONS. THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
19	INVESTIGATED, VISITED THE PROPERTY, AND INSPECTED AND ISSUED
20	A LETTER SAYING THAT NO ZONING VIOLATIONS WERE FOUND
21	SPECIFICALLY IN REGARD TO HIS ALLEGATIONS.
22	IN REGARD TO THE BUILDING PERMIT OR THE
23	BUILDING ALLEGED BUILDING VIOLATIONS, SOME OF THEM WERE
24	CONFIRMED AS VIOLATIONS AND SOME WERE NOT.
25	Q I COULD HAVE SWORE THE OTHER DAY, MR. FRANCIS,
26	YOU TOLD US NOTHING REALLY HAPPENED. I WANT TO SEE IF I CAN
27	HELP YOU REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION.
28	THE CHURCH GET A LETTER FROM THE COUNTY OF LOS
'	

DIRECT EXAMINATION +

1

ANGELES, DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY ENGINEER-FACILITIES; DOES THAT 1 2 RING A BELL WITH YOU? 3 YES, I AM SURE WE GOT A LETTER FROM THEM. ON PAGE TWO OF THAT, IT REFERS TO AN ATTACHMENT 4 **\** 5 NUMBER 2. IT SAYS: (READING.) 6 "ENCLOSURE OF COURT AT 7 NOVITIATE BUILDING - FOR REASONS LISTED, THIS AREA CANNOT BE APPROVED AND IS 8 9 CONSIDERED HAZARDOUS. REMOVAL IS REQUESTED 10 WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS LETTER." 11 IS THAT WHAT THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT TOLD YOU? 12 MR. KLEIN: I WOULD MAKE THE SAME OBJECTION THAT 13 COUNSEL MADE. THAT THE WITNESS SHOULD BE ABLE TO SEE THE 14 LETTER, WHICH IS THE BEST EVIDENCE. 15 MR. LEVY: IT IS ONLY MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION, YOUR HONOR. 16 17 THE COURT: IF YOU ARE GOING TO BE REFERRING TO IT. WHY DON'T YOU LET HIM SEE A COPY. 18 19 MR. LEVY: BY ALL MEANS, YOUR HONOR. 20 MR. LEVY: PAGE TWO OF THE LETTER, ATTACHMENT NUMBER 21 2. 22 THE COURT: DO YOU WANT IT RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE? 23 MR. LEVY: BY ALL MEANS, YOUR HONOR. 24 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? 25 MR. KLEIN: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 26 THE COURT: RECEIVED. 27 (RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 126) MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 28

1 THE COURT: SOLVE THAT PROBLEM. 2 BY MR. LEVY: WITH REGARD TO ATTACHMENT 2 THAT 3 YOU TOLD US THAT THERE WAS NO PROBLEM WITH, DID THEY TELL 4 YOU THE BUILDING WAS HAZARDOUS AND COULD NOT BE OCCUPIED? ` 5 MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. IT MISCHARACTERIZES THE TESTIMONY AND IT IS A COMPOUND б 7 QUESTION. 8 THE WITNESS: I WOULD JUST LIKE TO LOOK AT IT BRIEFLY 9 IF I COULD. 10 MR. LEVY: BY ALL MEANS. PLEASE DO. 11 THE WITNESS: THERE DOESN'T SEEM TO BE A DATE ON THIS 12 LETTER, BUT -- HERE IT IS. APRIL 8, 1981. OKAY. 13 WHAT WAS THE QUESTION? BY MR. LEVY: LOOKING AT PAGE TWO, WHERE IT 14 15 REFERS TO ATTACHMENT NUMBER 2, DOES IT TELL YOU THAT THE BUILDING IS CONSIDERED HAZARDOUS AND REMOVAL IS REQUESTED 16 17 WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS LETTER? 18 IT IS NOT A BUILDING. THIS REFERS TO AN AWNING THAT WAS ATTACHED TO THE BACK OF THE BUILDING THAT COVERED 19 20 A -- OH, ABOUT A PROBABLY 40 FOOT SQUARE COURTYARD THAT WAS IN THE BACK OF THE BUILDING. 21 22 IT IS CERTAINLY TRUE THAT WE WERE NOTIFIED THAT 23 THAT WAS NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH CODE. THE MAIN PROBLEM 24 BEING THAT IT WAS ATTACHED TO THE BUILDING. 25 I CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO IT -- IT SAYS, 26 "ENCLOSURE OF COURT AT NOVITIATE BUILDING"? 27 THAT'S RIGHT. THE COURT IS IN THE BACK OF THE 28 BUILDING AND IS SIMPLY A PATIO. IT IS NOT A PART OF THE

4 > 5

BUILDING.

Q NOW, GOING BACK TO YOUR FORMER TESTIMONY WHERE YOU TOLD US THAT NOTHING OCCURRED AS A RESULT OF MR. MULL'S CONTACT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY AND THE BUILDING ENGINEERS, NOW WITH THAT DOCUMENT IN FRONT OF YOU, WOULD YOU NOW ACTUALLY SAY SOMETHING DID HAPPEN?

A I AM QUITE SURE THAT I DID NOT TELL YOU THAT NOTHING OCCURRED. WHAT I TOLD YOU WAS THAT HIS LETTER TO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT, THE ZONING DEPARTMENT AND THE NEWSPAPERS INCLUDED QUITE A NUMBER OF FALSE ALLEGATIONS IN IT. AND I NAMED TO YOU WHAT THOSE FALSE ALLEGATIONS WERE.

I DID NOT NAME THESE BECAUSE IT IS
UNQUESTIONABLE THAT A CERTAIN NUMBER OF CODE VIOLATIONS WERE
TURNED UP.

Q YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT THOSE CODE VIOLATIONS
THAT MR. MULL COMPLAINED ABOUT FOR THE SAFETY OF THE PEOPLE
OUT THERE.

IF YOU LOOK AT ATTACHMENT NUMBER 4, DO WE HAVE ANOTHER PROBLEM WITH THAT BUILDING? UNREINFORCED MASONRY, NO PERMITS, BUILDING IS HAZARDOUS, BUILDING MUST COME DOWN, CANNOT BE OCCUPIED?

A DOES NOT SAY BUILDING MUST COME DOWN. SAYS THE USE MAY BE APPROVED UPON COMPLIANCE WITH THE LIST OF REQUIREMENTS. THAT BUILDING WAS BUILT IN 1929. THAT WAS ONE OF THE ORIGINAL BUILDINGS ON THE PROPERTY.

IN THE COURSE OF THE INVESTIGATION THAT WAS
BROUGHT INTO BEING BY MR. MULL'S COMPLAINT, THE BUILDING
DEPARTMENT TOOK THE OPPORTUNITY TO INSPECT ALL THE BUILDINGS

ON CAMPUS, AND FOUND A NUMBER OF THINGS THAT THEY REQUESTED BE BROUGHT UP TO CODE AND WENT ALL THE WAY BACK TO 1920'S WHEN A LOT OF THE BUILDINGS WERE ORIGINALLY BUILT.

WHAT THEY WERE SAYING WAS THAT THE CARRIAGE
HOUSE AS BUILT IN 1929 DID NOT MEET THE CURRENT SEISMIC CODE
AND THEY WANTED IT TO BE REINFORCED TO MEET THE CODE, WHICH
WAS DONE.

- Q THE CARRIAGE HOUSE, THAT IS WHAT YOU WERE USING FOR THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, WASN'T IT?
  - A AT THAT TIME, YES.
- Q IT WAS DANGEROUS FOR THE KIDS ACCORDING TO THE LETTER FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT, WAS IT NOT?
  - A YES, THAT IS WHAT THEY SAID.
- Q WHEN MR. MULL PUT THEM ON NOTICE THAT THE SCHOOLROOM FOR THE CHILDREN WAS NOT SAFE, YOU STILL CHARACTERIZED HIS PUTTING THEM ON THAT NOTICE AS WARFARE AND ANGER, OR WOULD YOU CHANGE YOUR CHARACTERIZATION NOW AND MAKE IT CONCERN FOR PEOPLE?
- A NO. I DID NOT -- FIRST OF ALL, I DID NOT

  MENTION THIS. I WAS REFERRING TO A HALF DOZEN OTHER THINGS

  THAT WERE MENTIONED IN HIS LETTER THAT DID NOT -- THAT WERE

  NOT TRUE ALLEGATIONS.
- Q IF I RECALL, YOU TESTIFIED TO US THE OTHER DAY THAT THERE IS NO PERMIT OF ANY KIND NEEDED FOR THE TENTS WHEN YOU HAVE CONFERENCES; IS THAT CORRECT?
- A THERE IS NO ZONING PERMIT, NO CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT REQUIRED FOR THE TENTS AT CONFERENCES, THAT IS CORRECT.

1	Q THERE ARE PERMITS REQUIRED THOUGH, ARE THERE
2	NOT?
3	A THERE IS A BUILDING PERMIT REQUIRED AND THAT
<b>4</b>	PERMIT HAS BEEN OBTAINED AT EVERY TIME THAT WE HAD A
<b>∖</b> 5	CONFERENCE THERE.
6	Q I REFER YOU TO THIS PAGE HERE WHERE IT TALKS
7	ABOUT GETTING A PERMIT. WITH REGARD IT TELLS YOU YOU
8	NEED A PERMIT FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, DOES IT NOT? THERE
9	IS A LINE UNDER IT.
10	A RIGHT. THAT IS TRUE. IT IS THE SAME AS IT
11	IS DONE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT PERMIT.
12	Q MR. FRANCIS, HAVE YOU EVER HEARD THE EXPRESSION
13	YOU CAN'T CORNER ME IN A ROUND HOUSE? YOUR TESTIMONY TODAY
14	IS SOMEWHAT LIKE IT WAS THE OTHER DAY. YOU HAVE A VERY
15	THE COURT: COUNSEL.
16	Q BY MR. LEVY: ONE LAST QUESTION, MR. FRANCIS.
17	A YES, SIR.
18	Q THERE WAS A LETTER PUBLISHED IN APRIL OF 1979
19	AND THE LETTER BEARS THE SIGNATURE OF MONROE FRANCIS.
20	A MONROE FRANCIS?
21	Q PARDON ME. I GOT CONFUSED. HOW ABOUT MONROE
22	SHEARER? DO YOU REMEMBER MONROE SHEARER?
23	A YES.
24	Q HE SAYS IN THE LETTER: (READING.)
25	"IN THE HOLY CITY CAMPAIGN,
26	WE'VE RAISED \$3,784,050 IN CASH."
27.	DOES THAT FIGURE SOUND ACCURATE?
28	A WHAT WAS THE DATE OF THE LETTER AGAIN, SIR?

1 APRIL 2ND, 1979. 2 MR. KLEIN: ONCE AGAIN YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK THAT THE LETTER BE SHOWN TO THE WITNESS AS COUNSEL ASKED THAT I 3 4 DO. `5 THE COURT: THIS HE CAN ANSWER. 6 THE WITNESS: APRIL 2ND, 1979, AND IT SAYS WE HAVE 7 RAISED HOW MUCH? 8 Q BY MR. LEVY: \$3,784,050. 9 IT IS POSSIBLE. I AM NOT FAMILIAR OFFHAND WITH 10 THE LETTER YOU ARE REFERRING TO. 11 AT THE TIME YOU RAISED \$3.784,000 IN CASH, IS THAT THE SAME YEAR THAT ELIZABETH TOLD GREGORY THAT IF HE 12 13 DIDN'T PAY WHAT YOU NOW CHOSE TO CALL LOAMS, THE LITTLE 14 CHILDREN WOULD HAVE TO PAY IT? 15 NO, IT IS NOT THE SAME YEAR. SHE SAID THAT TO HIM IN 1980. 16 17 OH, THAT WAS SIX MONTHS LATER, WAS IT NOT? Q NO. IT WAS A YEAR AND SOME MONTHS LATER. 18 19 WASN'T THAT IN --20 FORGIVE ME. Q 21 -- IN JUNE OF 1980, MY RECOLLECTION. 22 ALL RIGHT. THE YEAR BEFORE YOU RAISED ALMOST 23 FOUR MILLION DOLLARS, AND IT WAS JUNE OF 1980 WHERE ELIZABETH TOLD GREGORY IF HE DIDN'T DONATE HIS HOME AND HIS 24 25 MONEY AND PAY THE CHURCH SOMETHING. THE LITTLE CHILDREN 26 WOULD HAVE TO PAY IT? 27 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT. IT IS 28 ARGUMENTATIVE AND IT ALSO MISCHARACTERIZES THE STATEMENT.

1 THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER. THE WITNESS: RAISED THE MONEY AND PAID IT OUT FOR 2 3 THE PURCHASE OF THE PROPERTY. WE DID NOT HAVE THAT MONEY. WE HAD TO PAY IT FOR THE PURCHASE OF THE PROPERTY. 4 **\** 5 BY MR. LEVY: THAT IS NOT MY QUESTION, MR. 6 FRANCIS. 7 IT WAS A YEAR AFTER YOU RAISED ALMOST FOUR 8 MILLION DOLLARS WHEN WHAT YOU TOLD MR. MULL IN THE LAST TWO 9 AND A HALF HOUR MEETING WAS THAT, "IF YOU DON'T PAY WHAT WE NOW CALL A LOAN, THE LITTLE CHILDREN WILL HAVE TO PAY IT, 10 WON'T THEY"? 11 12 YES, I THINK SHE DID TELL HIM THAT THE 13 SCHOLARSHIP FUND WAS OUT OF MONEY, THAT'S CORRECT. 14 MR. LEVY: NOTHING FURTHER, MR. FRANCIS. 15 MR. KLEIN: JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 16 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION + 18 BY MR. KLEIN: 19 MR. FRANCIS, AS A RESULT OF THE LETTER FROM THE 20 COUNTY ENGINEER-FACILITIES THAT COUNSEL HAS SHOWN YOU, 21 NUMBER 126, WAS THE CHURCH EVER FINED ANY MONEY? 22 Α NO. WE WERE NEVER FINED BY THE BUILDING 23 DEPARTMENT. 24 WAS THE CHURCH EVER PENALIZED IN ANY WAY BY THE Q BUILDING DEPARTMENT? 25 26 NO. WE WERE NEVER PENALIZED, PROSECUTED OR FINED. 27 28 DURING THAT TIME THAT MR. MULL WAS AT CAMELOT, Q

1	DID HE EVER BRING ANY OF THESE CONCERNS TO YOUR ATTENTION?
2	A NO, NEVER.
3	Q TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID HE EVER BRING IT TO
<sub>a</sub> 4	ANYBODY ELSE'S ATTENTION WHILE HE WAS AT, CAMELOT?
<b>`</b> 5	A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
6	Q TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID HE BRING THESE TO THE
7	ATTENTION OF ANYBODY AT CAMELOT PRIOR TO WRITING HIS LETTERS
8	TO THE MALIBU REGIONAL OFFICE AND WHEREVER ELSE HE WROTE
9	THEM?
10	A HE MAY HAVE MENTIONED IN ONE OF HIS LETTERS,
11	LIKE POSSIBLY EVEN THE NOVEMBER, 1980, LETTER, IN AN
12	INDIRECT SORT OF A WAY, BUT NOT PRECISELY AS HIS COMPLAINT
13	TO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT. I WAS NOT AWARE OF WHAT HIS
14	SPECIFIC CLAIMS WERE WITH REGARD TO WHAT HE FELT WERE UNSAFE
15	CONDITIONS.
16	Q ONCE THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT MADE KNOWN TO YOU
17	THEIR FINDINGS, WERE THERE SPECIFIC CORRECTIONS MADE?
18	A DEFINITELY. THEY WERE ALL MADE AND PERMITS
19	WERE ISSUED FOR THEM BY THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT.
20	MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
21	I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.
22	MR. LEVY: NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS, YOUR
23	HONOR.
24	THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP DOWN.
25	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
26	MR, LEVY: AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR, WE WILL CALL
<b>27</b> .	ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET BACK.
28	///

1 ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET FRANCIS, + A CROSS-DEFENDANT HEREIN, CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE 2 DEFENDANT UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 776 OF THE 3 EVIDENCE CODE, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS . 4 ` 5 FOLLOWS: 6 THE CLERK: MA 'AM, YOU PREVIOUSLY HAVE BEEN SWORN AND 7 ARE STILL UNDER OATH. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR THE RECORD. 8 9 THE WITNESS: ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET FRANCIS. 10 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. MR. LEVY: IT WOULD APPEAR, YOUR HONOR, I HAVE 11 12 MISLAID A SHEET OF PAPER, BUT I WILL GO FORWARD WITHOUT THAT 13 PARTICULAR ONE. 14 BY GEORGE, I DIDN'T. HERE IT IS. 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION + 17 BY MR. LEVY: 18 Q MISS FRANCIS, YOU WERE PRESENT WHEN A MR. JAMES 19 MC CAFFREY TESTIFIED, WERE YOU NOT? 20 Α YES, I WAS. 21 DO YOU RECALL HIS TESTIMONY WITH REGARD TO HIS 22 POSITION IN THE CHURCH? 23 Α I BELIEVE SO. 24 AND WOULD YOU TELL US WHAT HIS POSITION IN THE 25 CHURCH WAS? 26 HE WAS ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ON THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE. 27 28 ASIDE FROM THAT, DID HE FUNCTION IN ANY

PERSONAL CAPACITY FOR YOU YOURSELF? 1 2 HE SUPERVISED THE ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT AND HE 3 ALSO SUPERVISED MY OWN ACCOUNTING. WHEN YOU SAY YOUR OWN ACCOUNTING, YOU MEAN HE 4 WAS YOUR PERSONAL BUSINESS MANAGER? ` 5 I DON'T KNOW IF I'D CALL HIM THAT, HE -- HE 6 HELPED DO THE BOOKKEEPING ON MY CHECKS, MY MONTHLY SALARY 7 8 AND MY EXPENSES. 9 DID HE ALSO HAVE YOUR POWER OF ATTORNEY? Q 10 YES, HE DID. BECAUSE SOMETIMES HE HAD TO SIGN CHECKS IF I WAS OUT OF TOWN, PERSONAL CHECKS FOR ME. 11 NOW, HIS TESTIMONY WAS THAT THE SALARY OF BOTH 12 13 YOURSELF AND MR. KING DURING THE YEARS WHEN YOU WERE MARRIED TO MR. KING WOULD HAVE AVERAGED, FOR NOT ONLY SALARY, BUT 14 15 FOR YOUR EXPENSES AS THE SPIRITUAL LEADER OF THE CHURCH, SOMEWHERE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF 30- TO \$50,000; IS THAT 16 17 CORRECT? 18 Α 1 THOUGHT HE SAID 30. 19 ABOUT \$30,000? Q 20 I THOUGHT THAT IS WHAT HE SAID. Α IS THAT THE CORRECT FIGURE? 21 Q I HAVE NEVER ADDED UP BEYOND MY SALARY WHAT THE 22 23 BENEFITS I RECEIVE WOULD VALUE. 24 Q THERE ARE TEACHINGS IN THE CHURCH ABOUT HONESTY? 25 26 YES. Α 27 AND YOU YOURSELF FOLLOW THOSE TEACHINGS WITH REGARD TO HONESTY? 28

1	A YES.
2	Q LET'S TAKE THE YEAR 1979, MA'AM. WHERE DID YOU
3	RESIDE IN 1979?
.s. <b>4</b>	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS TO THE RELEVANCY,
` 5	YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.
7	THE WITNESS: I THINK I RESIDED IN WESTLAKE.
8	Q BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU HAVE MORE THAN ONE
9	RESIDENCE THAT YEAR?
10	A I THINK THAT I ABOUT THE MIDDLE OF THE YEAR,
11	I RENTED A AN OFFICE TYPE OF HOUSE ARRANGEMENT AT THE
12	BEACH.
13	Q AND WHO DID YOU RENT IT FROM?
14	A I RENTED IT FROM A RALPH AND LUCILLE YANEY.
15	Q THAT IS THE PSYCHIATRIST?
16	A THAT'S RIGHT.
17	Q DO YOU RECALL HOW MUCH IT COST TO RENT THE
18	BEACH HOUSE?
19	A IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN ABOUT 1500.
20	Q A MONTH?
21	A YES. I AM NOT CERTAIN OF THE AMOUNT.
22	Q FIFTEEN HUNDRED A MONTH WOULD BE ROUGHLY
23	\$18,000 A YEAR.
24	DO YOU RECALL WHAT THE EXPENSE FOR THE WESTLAKE
25	PROPERTY WAS DURING THAT YEAR?
26	A NO, I DON'T RECALL WHAT THAT RENT WAS.
27	Q IF I WERE TO TELL YOU THAT THE LEASE SAYS THE
28	RENT ON THAT PROPERTY WAS \$16,000 FOR THE YEAR, WOULD THAT

	1
1	REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION?
2	A IF THAT IS WHAT IT SAYS, IT MUST BE CORRECT.
3	Q AND IF THE LEASE ON THE BEACH PROPERTY WERE FOR
4	\$2,500 A MONTH AS OPPOSED TO \$1,500 A MONTH, WOULD THAT
`5	REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION?
6	A IF THAT IS WHAT IT WAS, IF YOU KNOW THAT IS
7	WHAT IT WAS, I CERTAINLY WOULDN'T ARGUE WITH YOU.
8	Q NOW, DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION AS TO WHAT
9	THE UTILITIES, THE HOUSEKEEPING AND WHAT THE INCIDENTAL
10	EXPENSES WERE ON THOSE TWO PROPERTIES?
11	A NO, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY WOULD BE.
12	Q ASIDE FROM THOSE TWO PROPERTIES, DID YOU NOT
13	ALSO MAINTAIN PREMISES AT CAMELOT?
14	A WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "PREMISES"?
15	Q ACCOMMODATIONS FOR YOURSELF AND YOUR FAMILY,
16	MR. KING, YOURSELF, THE CHILDREN.
17	A WE DID NOT RESIDE AT CAMELOT. WE THAT IS
18	WHY WE HAD A HOME IN WESTLAKE. I DID NOT HAVE ADEQUATE
19	OFFICE SPACE FOR MYSELF OR SECRETARY. THAT IS WHY I NEEDED
20	AN OFFICE.
21	Q YOU ARE SAYING THAT THERE WERE NO FACILITIES
22	MADE AVAILABLE TO YOU AT THE TIME AT CAMELOT AND YOU HAD TO
23	GO OUTSIDE CAMELOT?
24	A THE ONLY SPACE I HAD WAS A SMALL ROOM OFF OF
25	THE CHAPEL, WHICH IS CALLED THE SACRISTY. AND I HAD NOT
26	ESTABLISHED OFFICES THERE BECAUSE IN FACT THERE WAS NOT
27.	ENOUGH SPACE.
28	Q DURING THE YEAR 1979, DID YOU HAVE ANY SERVANTS

7	That were Provided to too at both the BEACH Hope AND the
2	WESTLAKE HOME AND FOR YOUR PREMISES AT CAMELOT?
3	A I WOULDN'T CALL ANY OF MY STAFF SERVANTS, BUT I
4	CERTAINLY HAD PART OF MY STAFF ASSISTING ME WITH MY
<b>∖</b> .5	CHILDREN, WITH MY HOUSEHOLD AND WITH MY OFFICE WORK.
6	Q HOW ABOUT YOUR FULL-TIME COOK? DID YOU HAVE A
7	FULL-TIME COOK DURING THE YEAR 1979?
8	A I DON'T THINK ANY OF THE COOKS THAT COOKED FOR
9	ME WERE FULL-TIME FOR ME. THEY WORKED IN THE CAFETERIA AS
10	WELL AS IN MY HOUSEHOLD.
11	Q DID YOU HAVE A PART-TIME CHAUFFEUR?
12	A I HAD SOMEONE TO DRIVE ME BECAUSE I DIDN'T
13	DRIVE.
14	Q HAVE A SEAMSTRESS WORK ON YOUR GOWNS?
15	A IF I NEEDED ALTERATIONS ON MY CLOTHES, THERE
16	WERE GIRLS WHO COULD DO THEM FOR ME.
17	Q YOU HAD FOUR CHILDREN. DID YOU HAVE PEOPLE
18	TAKING CARE OF YOUR CHILDREN?
19	A I TOOK CARE OF MY CHILDREN AND I CERTAINLY HAD
20	ASSISTANCE WITH THEM.
21	Q WHAT ABOUT SECURITY GUARDS? WAS THERE ANYONE
22	ASSIGNED SPECIFICALLY FOR YOU AND YOUR FAMILY?
23	A I USED SECURITY WHEN I THOUGHT PROTECTION WAS
24	NECESSARY FOR MY CHILDREN OR MYSELF BECAUSE WE HAD AN OPEN
25	CAMPUS.
26	Q ON THE PROPERTIES OTHER THAN AT CAMELOT, DID
27	YOU HAVE GARDENERS TAKE CARE OF THE GROUNDS?
28	A WELL, THE ASHRAM HAD GARDENERS.

THAT WERE PROVIDED TO YOU AT BOTH THE BEACH HOME AND THE

1	Q AND MR. MC CAFFREY, WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY
2	THAT HE WAS AT LEAST PART-TIME YOUR PERSONAL BUSINESS
3	MANAGER?
<b>4</b>	A I WOULDN'T SAY SO. I WOULD SAY HE HELPED KEEP
`5	MY CHECKING ACCOUNT AND DID SOME BOOKKEEPING FOR ME.
6	Q ALL THE LITTLE PEOPLE HELPED, DIDN'T THEY?
7	A WE ALL HELP EACH OTHER.
8	Q DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME, DID YOU HAVE
9	EXPENSES FOR THE THREE CARS THAT WERE AVAILABLE TO YOU AND
10	YOUR FAMILY?
11	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. IT
12	ASSUMES A FACT NOT IN EVIDENCE.
13	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
14	Q BY MR. LEVY: DURING THE YEAR 1979, DID YOU
15	HAVE AVAILABLE TO YOU A 98 OLDSMOBILE?
16	A YES, I HAD AN OLDSMOBILE FOR MYSELF AND MY
17	FAMILY.
18	Q A FORD L.T.D.?
19	A I DIDN'T HAVE THAT AT THE SAME TIME I HAD THE
20	OLDSMOBILE.
21	Q WHAT ABOUT A CITROEN?
22	A THAT WAS A PREVIOUS CAR. I DIDN'T HAVE ALL
23	THESE CARS AT ONCE.
24	Q IF I WERE TO ASSIGN A MINIMUM WAGE TO ALL THE
25	LITTLE PEOPLE WHO PARTICIPATED AND CHIPPED IN AND HELPED YOU
26	AND ADD UP ALL THE EXPENSES FOR THE YEAR 1979, WOULD IT
27.	SURPRISE YOU THAT IT CAME TO A TOTAL OF ROUGHLY A QUARTER OF
28	A MILLION DOLLARS AFTER TAXES?

-	1 WOLD SAT THAT IS A MISCHARACTERIZATION OF
2	THE SITUATION.
3	Q I IMAGINE YOU WOULD, MA'AM.
4	A MAY I EXPLAIN?
<b>\</b> 5	Q BY ALL MEANS, PLEASE DO. LET ME GIVE YOU A
6	COPY OF
7	A REGARDING STAFF, THEY RECEIVED ROOM AND BOARD.
8	SOMETIMES A SALARY BEYOND THAT. SOME RECEIVED MEDICAL CARE,
9	HAD THE USE OF THE FACILITIES, A CAR, GASOLINE AND OTHER
10	BENEFITS. THEY DID NOT WORK EXCLUSIVELY FOR ME.
11	NONE OF US EVER EXPECTED TO RECEIVE SALARIES
12	COMMENSURATE WITH OUR SKILLS OR SERVICE. WE SERVED AS A
13	COMMUNITY. AND AS THEY SERVED ME. I COULD SERVE THEM BY
14	DOING MY JOB, WHICH IS MORE THAN FULL-TIME.
15	WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO EXAMINE THIS NOW?
16	THE COURT: I WILL MARK THE SCHEDULE EXHIBIT NUMBER
17	127 FOR IDENTIFICATION.
18	(MARKED FOR ID: ^ EXHIBIT 127, SCHEDULE)
19	THE WITNESS: I THINK THAT THESE LISTS ARE GROSSLY
20	EXAGGERATED.
21	Q BY MR. LEVY: WHAT DOES IT COST TO SEND A CHILD
22	TO MONTESSORI SCHOOL FOR A YEAR?
23	A ABOUT \$1,200.
24	Q DID YOU HAVE FOUR CHILDREN WHO WENT TO
25	MONTESSORI SCHOOL?
26	A YES. IT IS A STAFF BENEFIT OF ALL STAFF
27	CHILDREN, NOT JUST MINE.
28	MR. LEVY: YOU NOTICE WE LIST THE FIGURE THERE OF

1	ONLY \$750 AS OPPOSED TO \$1,200 SO THAT WE DIDN'T EXAGGERATE
2	TOO MUCH.
3	YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME WE'D LIKE TO ENTER
4	THAT EXHIBIT INTO EVIDENCE.
<b>\ 5</b>	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT. THERE IS NO
6	FOUNDATION AS TO WHAT THIS EXHIBIT IS, WHERE IT CAME FROM.
7	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
8	MR. LEVY: WE WILL SAVE IT FOR ANOTHER REBUTTAL
9	WITNESS.
10	Q MA'AM, YOUR CHURCH IS A NONPROFIT CHURCH?
11	A YES.
12	Q DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN THE CHURCH HAD A
13	PROJECT CALLED THE LAND OF LANELLO IN COLORADO?
14	A THAT'S RIGHT.
15	Q DID THE CHURCH RAISE FUNDS FOR THAT PROJECT?
16	A YES.
17	Q DID THEY EVER BUILD?
18	A NO. MY HUSBAND PASSED ON IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT
19	PROJECT.
20	Q AFTER THAT PROJECT, DID THE CHURCH HAVE A
21	PROJECT FOR SURVIVAL LOCATIONS?
22	A YES
23	Q DID THEY RAISE FUNDS FOR THAT PROJECT?
24	A (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)
25	Q THAT WAS IN IDAHO AND MONTANA?
26	A YES. AT SOME LEVELS WE RAISED FUNDS FOR THAT.
27	YES.
28	Q DID YOU EVER BUILD THE SURVIVAL CAMPS?

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THE 1 RELEVANCY OF THAT. RELEVANCY. 2 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE RELEVANCY OF THIS? 3 4 MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, THERE IS A COURSE OF CONDUCT --**\ 5** MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR --6 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IF YOU WANT TO, APPROACH THE 8 BENCH. 9 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 10 AT THE BENCH:) MR. LEVY: IT SHOWS A COURSE OF CONDUCT, YOUR HONOR. 11 WHAT THEY DO IS THEY HAVE A PROJECT, THEY RAISE FUNDS, THEY 12 13 NEVER BUILD AND THEY MOVE ON. THEY HAVE A PROJECT, THEY RAISE FUNDS AND THEY NEVER BUILD. 14 15 WHAT WE WANT TO SHOW IS IN KEEPING WITH MR. MULL, THAT WITH HIM ALSO, THERE WAS A PROJECT THAT THEY 16 17 NEVER INTENDED TO DO AND HE WAS A VICTIM THAT GOT CAUGHT UP 18 IN THE MACHINERY --19 THE COURT: WHAT DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH THE SUR VI VAL? 20 21 MR. LEVY: THEY JUST CALLED IT A SURVIVAL CAMP. THAT 22 WAS JUST THE NAME OF THE PROJECT. 23 MR. KLEIN: CAN I BE HEARD, YOUR HONOR? COUNSEL THIS MORNING SAID HE WAS GOING TO SHOW THAT THERE WERE A LOT OF 24 25 SIMILAR PROJECTS. BUT NOW HE IS SIMPLY GOING TO TAKE ANYTHING THEY EVER DID AND PUT IT IN HERE AND SAY WELL, IF 26 THEY DIDN'T GO THROUGH WITH IT, THEN THAT IS PART OF THE 27 28 SCAM.

MISSED THE RELEVANCE. WHAT I THINK WHEN YOU START TALKING 2 ABOUT SURVIVAL CAMPS, YOU NOT ONLY HAVE EVIDENCE CODE 352 3 AND 787. HE IS TRYING TO GET OTHER THINGS IN HERE FOR THE 4 JURY TO THINK ABOUT. **\ 5** THE COURT: IF HE CAN POINT IT OUT A NUMBER OF 6 7 INSTANCES IN WHICH THIS HAPPENED, THE PATTERN BEGINS TO BE 8 ESTABLISHED, DOESN'T IT? 9 MR. KLEIN: MY CONCERN IS THAT WHEN YOU START GETTING 10 TO SURVIVAL CAMPS, I THINK THAT THAT, FOR WHATEVER PROBATIVE VALUE THEY HAVE, IS CERTAINLY MORE PREJUDICIAL --11 THE COURT: THAT IS A NAME. YOU CAN CALL IT ROME OR 12 13 PARIS OR BRUSSELS. IT IS A NAME. 14 MR. LEVY: THAT IS THE ONLY QUESTION WITH REGARD TO 15 IT. 16 THE COURT: HE CAN DO IT. 17 (THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN 18 COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) BY MR. LEVY: THE SURVIVAL PROJECTS, DID YOU 19 Q 20 RAISE FUNDS FOR THAT? 21 Α YES. 22 Q DID YOU BUILD THEM? 23 Α DID I BUILD WHAT? 24 Q THE SURVIVAL PROJECTS. 25 WELL, WE DIDN'T NECESSARILY HAVE A BUILDING 26 PROGRAM CONNECTED WITH SURVIVAL AT THAT TIME. JUST A FUND RAISING PROGRAM? 27 Q 28 NO. WE PURCHASED LAND OR WE ACTUALLY USED LAND

1

I THINK HE IS GOING FAR, FAR AFIELD. HE'S

1	FROM A MEMBER IN IDAHO. WE WENT FORWARD WITH OUR PROJECTS.
2	THERE WERE MANY FACETS TO THE SURVIVAL PROGRAM. WE WERE NOT
3	INTENDING TO BUILD AT THAT TIME. WE DIDN'T DO SO.
. <b>4</b>	Q THAT WAS IN IDAHO AND MONTANA?
<b>\5</b>	A THAT WAS IN IDAHO AT THE TIME YOU ARE SPEAKING
6	OF.
7	Q DID YOU HAVE A PROJECT CALLED COMMUNITY OF THE
8	HOLY SPIRIT?
9	A COMMUNITY OF THE HOLY SPIRIT, ARE YOU REFERRING
10	то
11	Q COEUR D'ALENE IDAHO.
12	A YES, THE COEUR D'ALENE PROJECTS.
13	Q DID YOU RAISE FUNDS FOR THAT PROJECT?
14	A YES, WE DID.
15	Q DID YOU BUILD THERE?
16	A WE DIDN'T INTEND TO BUILD THERE AT THAT TIME.
17	Q I SEE. FROM THERE DID THE CHURCH MOVE TO SANTA
18	BARBARA?
19	A THE CHURCH HAD ALREADY HAD A FACILITY IN SANTA
20	BARBARA AT THAT TIME.
21	Q DID THE CHURCH RAISE FUNDS TO ACQUIRE THE
22	FACILITY IN SANTA BARBARA?
23	A PRIOR TO THAT IN 1969. IDAHO WAS 1973.
24	Q WAS THE CHURCH ASKED TO LEAVE SANTA BARBARA?
25	A NO.
26	Q MISS PROPHET, DID THE CHURCH MOVE FROM SANTA
27.	BARBARA BACK TO COLORADO SPRINGS?
28	A WE WENT TO COLORADO SPRINGS FOR A YEAR.
1	

1	Q WAS THERE A PROJECT CALLED MOVE MOTHER TO LOS
2	ANGELES?
3	A YES.
4	Q DID THE CHURCH RAISE FUNDS FOR THAT PROJECT?
`.5	A THAT'S RIGHT.
6	Q AND YOU WERE HEADQUARTERED WHEN YOU MOVED BACK
7	TO LOS ANGELES IN PASADENA?
8	A THAT'S RIGHT.
9	Q WAS IT THE CHURCH'S INTENTION TO DEVELOP THE
10	PROPERTY THAT YOU WERE ON IN PASADENA MORE SO THAN YOU DID?
11	A NO. WE LEASED THAT PROPERTY. WE COULDN'T
12	DEVELOP IT.
13	Q DID YOU RAISE FUNDS TO EXTEND THE PASADENA
14	PROPERTY, TO ACQUIRE IT AND TO DEVELOP IT?
15	A NO, NOT TO DEVELOP IT. TO MOVE THERE.
16	Q TO ACQUIRE IT?
17	A NO. WE NEVER RAISED FUNDS TO ACQUIRE THE
18	PASADENA PROPERTY. WE RAISED FUNDS TO ACQUIRE CAMELOT.
19	Q NOW, WITH REGARD TO CAMELOT, DID THE CHURCH
20	MOVE FROM PASADENA TO CAMELOT?
21	A YES, IT DID.
22	Q DID YOU RAISE FUNDS FOR CAMELOT?
23	A YES, WE DID.
24	Q WAS MR. FRANCIS ACCURATE WHEN HE TESTIFIED THE
25	OTHER DAY THAT THERE WERE REALLY NO PLANS TO DEVELOP IT
26	OTHER THAN THE MONTESSORI SCHOOL?
27	A HE WAS SPEAKING
28	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. THAT

IS A MISCHARACTERIZATION OF THE TESTIMONY. 1 2 THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER. THE WITNESS: HE WAS SPEAKING OF THE STATED PLAN 3 BETWEEN 1978 AND 1980, WHICH YOU WILL FIND ON PAGE 33 OF THE 4 MASTER PLAN. **\** 5 BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU EVER TELL MR. MULL BEFORE 6 0 7 HE WAS ASKED TO COME TO CAMELOT THAT THERE WAS NO PLANS FOR THE REAL DEVELOPMENT OF CAMELOT? 8 HE KNEW WHAT WE WERE GOING TO DO IN '78 TO '80. 9 10 WHICH WAS NOT TO BUILD, BUT TO RENOVATE AND TO PAY FOR THE PREMISES, WHICH IS WHAT WE DID. 11 12 IS THE CHURCH NOW IN A POSITION OF HAVING 13 ACQUIRED YET ANOTHER PREMISES CALLED THE INNER RETREAT IN MONTANA? 14 15 THAT'S RIGHT. DID YOU RAISE FUNDS FOR THAT PROJECT? 16 17 YES, WE DID. 18 0 IS THAT ANOTHER ONE THAT'S NOT QUITE GOING TO BE DEVELOPED? 19 20 WE PLAN TO DEVELOP IT. Α ISN'T IT A FACT, MISS PROPHET, THAT NOT ONCE, 21 22 BUT SIX OR SEVEN DIFFERENT TIMES, THE CHURCH HAS RAISED 23 FUNDS; AND THEN IN THE COURSE OF RAISING THE FUNDS, THEY 24 TOLD THE FLOCK THAT, "WE ARE GOING TO ACQUIRE THE PROPERTY AND DEVELOP THE PROPERTY"; AND IN EACH OF THOSE OCCASIONS 25 AFTER THE MONEY WAS RAISED, THERE WAS ANOTHER MOVE, BUT NO 26 27 DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPERTY? I WOULD HAVE TO SAY NO TO THAT QUESTION. 28

1	Q DID YOU EVER ACQUIRE PROPERTY FROM A CHURCH
2	MEMBER BY THE NAME OF SORENSEN?
3	A NO, WE DIDN'T ACQUIRE HIS PROPERTY.
<u></u> 4	Q I DIDN'T ASK IF YOU ACQUIRED HIS PROPERTY.
` 5	WERE NOT YOU AND HE PARTNERS IN A VENTURE WHERE YOU ACQUIRED
6	PROPERTY TOGETHER?
7	MR. KLEIN: OBJECT AS TO THE RELEVANCE OF THIS, YOUR
8	HONOR.
9	THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO BE HEARD, APPROACH THE
10	BENCH.
11	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
12	AT THE BENCH:)
13	THE COURT: WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE OF THIS?
14	MR. LEVY: I JUST WANT TO SHOW A COURSE OF CONDUCT
15	THE SAME COURSE OF CONDUCT, YOUR HONOR. I AM NOT GOING TO
16	GO INTO THE CLAYTON BROKERAGE OR THE I.R.S. I JUST WANT TO
17	SHOW THAT THIS IS THE DOCUMENT THAT THEY HAD PEOPLE SIGN
18	WITHOUT EVEN BEING ABLE TO SEE WHAT THE HELL THEY WERE
19	SIGNING.
20	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR
21	THE COURT: HOW DOES THAT AFFECT MR. MULL'S CASE?
22	MR. LEVY: IT SHOWS A TOTAL COURSE OF CONDUCT THAT
23	WHAT THEY WERE OUT TO DO WAS RAISE MONEY IN ANY WAY, SHAPE
24	OR FORM THEY COULD WITHOUT ANY REGARD TO ANY INDIVIDUAL.
25	EVERYTHING THEY HAVE EVER DONE HAS BEEN TO RAISE MONEY.
26	THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU PROPOSE TO PROVE WITH THIS?
27	MR. MIDDLETON: FUND RAISING.
28	MR. KLEIN: JUST ONE PERSON.
3	

MR. LEVY: YOU ARE NOT RUNNING THE COURT. 1 MR. KLEIN: THAT IS WHAT THE JUDGE SAID IN THE 2 3 BEGINNING OF THE CASE. THE COURT: STOP. 4 MR, KLEIN: I AM SORRY, YOUR HONOR. I JUST FEEL **\** 5 GANGED UP, YOUR HONOR, EACH TIME IT IS TWO AGAINST ONE. 6 MR. LEVY: IT IS STILL ANOTHER FUND RAISING SCAM. 7 THE COURT: IF YOU DON'T CONTROL YOUR ENERGIES --8 MR. KLEIN: I AM SORRY, YOUR HONOR. 9 THE COURT: -- I WILL REALLY MAKE YOU FEEL GANGED UP 10 ON. I HAVEN'T DONE IT YET. 11 MR. KLEIN: I AM SORRY, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: YOU KEEP PUSHING ME AND I WILL. IT IS 13 NOT TOO LATE. AND I HOPE YOU DON'T DO IT. 14 WHILE WE ARE ON THE SUBJECT, MR. LEVY, SOME OF 15 YOUR COMMENTS ARE SIMILARLY DISAPPROVED. 16 MR. LEVY: I WILL TAKE THAT AS CONSTRUCTIVE CRITICISM 17 AND MIND MY MOUTH. 18 THE COURT: A COUPLE OF COMMENTS TODAY WOULD HAVE 19 BEEN A BETTER DAY WITHOUT SEVERAL OF THEM. 20 MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR. 21 22 THE COURT: NOW, WHAT DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH MR. 23 MULL'S CASE? MR. LEVY: IT SHOWS THE SAME COURSE OF CONDUCT THAT 24 ALL THIS CHURCH DOES IS HAS ONE SCAM AFTER ANOTHER TO RAISE 25 MONEY. IT SHOWS A WHOLE PATTERN. THIS IS THE DOCUMENT THAT 26 PEOPLE SIGNED WITHOUT GETTING TO SEE THE DOCUMENT. IT JUST 27 SHOWS THE QUALITY OF THE PEOPLE. 28

THE COURT: THE OTHER PROJECTS AT LEAST HAD TO DO WITH LAND AND CONSTRUCTION AND HAD TO DO WITH HIS BEING ABLE TO DO ARCHITECTURAL WORK. LET'S MOVE ON TO SOMETHING ELSE.

MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR --

THE COURT: LET'S MOVE ON TO SOMETHING ELSE.

(THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

Q BY MR. LEVY: YOU RECALL THE VENTURE WITH A CHURCH MEMBER BY THE NAME OF SORENSEN WHERE YOU AND HE WERE GOING TO ACQUIRE PROPERTY, AND IT WOULD THEN BE TRANSFERRED ON PAPER TO CHURCH MEMBERS, THAT AFTER FIVE YEARS WOULD REVERT WHERE YOU AND MR. SORENSEN. AND NOT THE CHURCH MEMBERS, WOULD OWN THE PROPERTY AND THE BUILDINGS THAT WERE BUILT ON THE PROPERTY?

A THE FACTS AS YOU HAVE RELATED THEM ARE NOT CORRECT.

Q WHY DON'T YOU CLARIFY THEM FOR ME.

A REYNOLD SORENSEN WAS A MEMBER WHO HAD A FARM IN I DAHO. HE APPROACHED ME AT THE SUMMER OF 1973 CONFERENCE AND SAID, "I KNOW THAT I HAVE BEEN PREPARING THIS PROPERTY ALL OF MY LIFE FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE CHURCH. I WANT YOU TO COME AND SEE IT AND LOOK AT IT FOR THE SURVIVAL RETREAT."

SO THIS EVENTUATED INTO OUR ACCEPTING HIS OFFER AND SOME TYPE OF A PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT WAS WORKED OUT. I AM NOT RECALLING EXACTLY WHAT KIND.

AND HE ALSO INDICATED THAT A PORTION OF THAT

PROPERTY COULD BE USED BY CHURCH MEMBERS FOR HOME SITES. I

HAVE NO RECOLLECTION WHATSOEVER THAT THESE HOME SITES WOULD

`5 

BE REVERTING TO THE CHURCH. I REALLY DON'T RECALL THE LEGAL ARRANGEMENTS THAT WERE MADE AT THE TIME.

THE REASON THE PROJECT DID NOT GO THROUGH IS
THAT AT A CERTAIN POINT, HE WITHDREW THE OFFER, WHICH
OBLIGED US TO SIMPLY PULL UP STAKES AND LEAVE. HE DECIDED
HE DIDN'T WANT TO GET INTO THE CHURCH PROJECT AND WE HAD NO
ALTERNATIVE BUT TO LEAVE HIS PROPERTY.

Q WASN'T THE REAL REASON THAT THE PROJECT DIDN'T GO THROUGH YOU AND MR. SORENSEN HAD A DISAGREEMENT IN THAT YOU WERE NOT HAPPY WITH ONLY 50 PERCENT OF CONTROL, YOU WANTED TO RUN THE SHOW AND HE SAID, "SINCE IT'S MY LAND, I WANT TO HAVE AN EQUAL VOICE IN WHAT GOES ON WITH THE PROPERTY"? ISN'T THAT THE ACTUALITY, MISS PROPHET?

A NO.

Q DID YOU HAVE A LITTLE INVESTMENT CLUB WITH RANDALL KING AND YOURSELF CALLED THE PROPHET KING INVESTMENT CLUB WHEREBY YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND, MR. KING, GOT CHURCH MEMBERS TO CONTRIBUTE MONEY TO YOU AND WHEREBY YOU TOOK CHURCH FUNDS TO START A VENTURE FOR YOUR OWN PERSONAL PROFIT?

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS TO RELEVANCY AND CITE 352 AND 787 OF THE EVIDENCE CODE. I THINK THIS IS A SUBJECT WE HAVE DISCUSSED ALREADY ON THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.

MR. LEVY: IT IS NOT THE SUBJECT WE DISCUSSED BEFORE,
YOUR HONOR. SOMETHING TOTALLY SEPARATE AND APART AND I JUST
HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS WITH REGARD TO PROPHET KING INVESTMENT

CLUB. 1 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 2 MR. LEVY: I HAVE TO APOLOGIZE TO THE COURT. IT IS 3 THE ONLY COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT THAT I HAVE. 4 I MAY HAVE MISSTATED. IN THE RUSH OF THINGS, **\** 5 WE MAY ACTUALLY HAVE MORE COPIES THAN THAT. BY GEORGE, I 6 DO . 7 I WOULD ASK THAT THIS BE MARKED FOR 8 IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT NUMBER 128, I BELIEVE WE ARE UP 9 10 TO. THE COURT: SO MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION. 11 (MARKED FOR ID: ^ EXHIBIT 128, INVESTMENT 12 \_ \_ \_ \_ - CLUB DOCUMENT) 13 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, BEFORE WE GO ANY FURTHER WITH 14 THIS DOCUMENT, I WOULD ASK THAT WE APPROACH THE BENCH. I 15 BELIEVE THIS IS A SUBJECT WE HAVE ALREADY DISCUSSED. 16 MR. LEVY: TO SAVE TIME, YOUR HONOR, I DO NOT INTEND 17 TO GO ANY FURTHER --18 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU APPROACH THE BENCH FOR A 19 MINUTE. 20 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 21 AT THE BENCH:) 22 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE RELEVANCY? 23 MR. LEVY: JUST ANOTHER OF WHAT THEY DID. IT IS JUST 24 ONE IN A SERIES OF WHAT THEY DID. 25 THE COURT: WERE CHURCH MEMBERS SOLICITED? 26 MR. LEVY: YES. 27 THE COURT: FOR MONEY FOR THIS PROJECT? 28

MR. LEVY: YES.

MR. MIDDLETON: IT IS WORSE THAN THAT. YOU WANT THE FULL DETAILS OF IT?

THE FULL DETAILS IS THIS WAS TO BE A 50-50

SPLIT OF A PROPERTY THAT THEY WOULD LEASE TO THE CHURCH FOR

A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD AND THAT THEY WOULD THEN HAVE A FUND

RAISING PROJECT TO BUILD ON THAT PROPERTY.

AT THE END OF FIVE YEARS, THE CHURCH WOULD HAVE PUT ALL THE BUILDINGS ON IT, AND THE PROPERTY WOULD REVERT TO ELIZABETH AND SORENSEN AND THEY WOULD GWN PROPERTY WITH ALL THE BUILDINGS ON IT FULLY DEVELOPED.

THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.

MR. LEVY: THANK YOU YOUR HONOR.

(THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

Q BY MR. LEVY: MISS PROPHET, DOES YOUR CHURCH HAVE A TEACHING WITH REGARD TO SPIRITUAL SUICIDE?

A SPIRITUAL SUICIDE. I -- I COULD GIVE A

TEACHING ON IT. I WOULDN'T SAY THAT IT IS SOMETHING THAT IS

CURRENTLY OR HAS EVER BEEN TAUGHT, BUT I COULD EXPLAIN WHAT

IT MEANS.

Q I AM SURE YOU COULD.

WITH REGARD TO THE PARTY WHO TESTIFIED A MOMENT AGO ABOUT A LETTER THAT WAS SENT FROM YOU TO HER SAYING THAT NOW THAT SHE WAS NO LONGER CONFORMING TO THE CHURCH, SHE HAD COMMITTED SPIRITUAL SUICIDE, IS THAT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT YOU AS THE SPIRITUAL LEADER OF THE CHURCH DOES WHEN SOMEONE HAS A DISAGREEMENT WITH YOU AND LEAVES THE CHURCH?

A NO. THIS WAS A SPECIFIC TEACHING IN HER CASE SINCE I HAD PREVIOUSLY COUNSELED HER EXTENSIVELY CONCERNING PHYSICAL SUICIDE IN HER FAMILY. AND I WAS EXPLAINING TO HER THAT THERE IS A PHYSICAL SUICIDE AND THERE IS A SPIRITUAL SUICIDE.

AND IN THE CASE OF THE COMPROMISE OF ONE'S SOUL, ONE HAS TO THINK IN LEVELS NOT OF A LOSS OF THE BODY. BUT OF THE COMPROMISE OF THE SOUL. AND IT WAS BY CONTRAST THAT I GAVE HER THE TERM SPIRITUAL SUICIDE.

I DIDN'T MEAN THAT SHE WAS GOING TO DIE. I WAS SPEAKING ABOUT THE FACT THAT EACH DAY, PEOPLE CAN COMMIT A PORTION OF THEMSELVES TO LIFE OR TO DEATH. IT IS A DAILY QUESTION WE FACE BOTH SPIRITUALLY AND PHYSICALLY.

Q SINCE YOU WERE COUNSELING HER AND YOU WROTE HER
A LETTER, WERE YOU CONCERNED THAT SHE MIGHT NOT UNDERSTAND
THE LETTER AND THAT SHE MIGHT SUFFER SOME ADVERSE
CONSEQUENCES FROM A STATEMENT LIKE COMMITTING SPIRITUAL
SUICIDE?

A I WEIGHED CONSIDERABLY MY ADVICE TO HER. AND I FELT THAT EVEN IF MY ADVICE WERE PAINFUL, THAT SHE WAS PLACING HERSELF IN A VERY DIFFICULT SITUATION. AND THAT I FELT I HAD A RESPONSIBILITY TO TELL HER MY TRUE FEELINGS IN THE MATTER. SHE HAD BEEN WITH ME MANY YEARS AND I BELIEVE SHE UNDERSTOOD THE TEACHING CORRECTLY.

Q MR. MULL HAD BEEN WITH YOU MANY YEARS. WAS THERE A PARTICULAR REASON WHY YOU LABELED HIM THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY AND THE SERPENT?

A FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT I DID

` 5

NOT LABEL HIM THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY. BUT I GAVE A TEACHING CONCERNING HIS ACTIVITIES WHERE I SAID IN LINE WITH WHAT HE WAS DOING, WAS PLAYING THE ROLE OF THE MOUTHPIECE OF THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY.

THAT TERM COMES FROM REVELATION AND I HAVE
TAUGHT, AS HE KNOWS, THAT BEAST DOES NOT MEAN A BIG BEAST
RUNNING AROUND. IT MEANS COMPOSITE ENTITY OF EVIL THAT IS
PLANETARY IN ITS SCOPE, THAT MAY INFLUENCE PEOPLE AND THEY
MAY BECOME THE UNWITNESSING TOOLS OF THAT TYPE OF A FORCE.

NOW, THE BIBLE DESCRIBES THAT THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY BLASPHEMES THE NAME OF GOD, THE CHURCH AND THE SAINTS IN HEAVEN. GREGORY MULL WAS SPEAKING AGAINST THE TEACHINGS, THE NAME OF GOD WE USE, THE SAINTS IN HEAVEN, THE ASCENDED MASTERS, HE WAS SPEAKING AGAINST THE CHURCH.

JESUS GAVE THE TEACHING TO PETER WHEN HE SAID, "GET THEE BEHIND ME, SATAN." HE CALLED HIS CHIEF APOSTLE SATAN ON THE OCCASION WHEN THE WORDS THAT CAME THROUGH HIM WOULD HAVE DENIED JESUS HIS CRUCIFIXION. BUT HE ALSO PRAISED PETER FOR PROFESSING THAT JESUS WAS THE CHRIST AND HE SAID, "UPON THIS ROCK I WILL BUILD MY CHURCH."

EVERYONE IN OUR CHURCH UNDERSTANDS THAT FROM MOMENT TO MOMENT, YOU OR I MAY BE THE INSTRUMENT OF CHRIST OR ANTICHRIST BY OUR WORDS AND OUR DEEDS. I HAVE NEVER EVER CONSIDERED THAT GREGORY MULL COULD POSSIBLY BE THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY, BUT ONLY THAT HE COULD AT TIMES REFLECT THAT FORCE. NOT ALL THE TIME, NOT PERPETUATE AND CERTAINLY NOT SOMEONE IN THE FLESH THAT WAS -- WOULD BE SOUGHT OUT AND

` 5 

---

DESTROYED.

AND GREGORY MULL WENT TO TWO LEVELS OF SUMMIT UNIVERSITY. HE HAD BEEN TO MANY CHURCH SERVICES. HE KNEW THE TENOR OF THE CHARACTERIZATION. AND IT WAS GIVEN IN THE LIGHT OF AN ADMONISHMENT TO ENCOURAGE HIM AND OTHERS NOT TO TAKE ON THESE CHARACTERISTICS, BUT TO SEEK RATHER TO BE THE INSTRUMENT OF CHRIST.

Q IT APPEARS YOU LIKEN YOURSELF TO BEING A TEACHER ON A PAR WITH JESUS CHRIST?

A NOT AT ALL. I DON'T EQUATE MYSELF WITH JESUS CHRIST. I SAY THAT CHRIST IS IN ALL OF US, IN YOU AND ME AND EVERYONE IN THIS COURTROOM. I TRY TO FOLLOW IN HIS FOOTSTEPS AND BE A GOOD MINISTER FOR HIM.

Q DURING THE COURSE OF THIS TRIAL, THERE WAS
TESTIMONY, AND THERE WAS AN EXHIBIT SHOWN, YOUR CHURCH
TAUGHT A DEPROGRAMING SEMINAR. AND IN THE DEPROGRAMING
SEMINAR, GREGORY MULL WAS LISTED AS THE BEAST OF BLASPHEMY.

IF THE STUDENT WHO WROTE THOSE NOTES

INTERPRETED IT THAT WAY, IS IT POSSIBLE THAT YOUR FOLLOWERS,

WHEN THEY OBSERVE THE CLOCK OF BETRAYAL AND GREGORY MULL ON

THAT CLOCK, MIGHT SIMILARLY MISINTERPRET YOUR INTENT?

A FIRST OF ALL, I WAS NOT AT THAT SEMINAR AND I DO NOT KNOW THAT THOSE WORDS WERE STATED THEN.

I DO KNOW THAT ANYONE WHO HAS COME INTO OUR
TEACHINGS UNDERSTANDS THAT I TEACH THE BOOK OF REVELATION
AND THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CHARACTERS IN IT AS
ARCHETYPES, NOT AS BEINGS WE GO OUT AND SEARCH AND DESTROY.
THEY ARE ARCHETYPES OF GREAT LIGHT AND GREAT DARKNESS IN THE

BOOK OF REVELATION OF PROFOUND STUDY.

Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHY 99 PERCENT OF YOUR FOLLOWERS AND PEOPLE EVEN NO LONGER WITH YOUR CHURCH ARE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT THE ONLY REASON YOU AND YOUR CHURCH IS HERE IS BECAUSE GREGORY MULL SUED YOU?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. THAT IS BASED ON FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q BY MR. LEVY: IS IT NOT A FACT THAT THE
INFORMATION DISSEMINATED BY YOU TO YOUR FOLLOWERS SAYS THAT
GREGORY MULL SUED YOU AND THAT'S WHY YOU ARE HERE TODAY?

A MY FOLLOWERS KNOW THAT I SUED MR. MULL, THAT THE CHURCH SUED MR. MULL.

Q DO YOU HAVE ANY EXPLANATION WHY THE YOUNG LADY JUST BEFORE YOU WHO TESTIFIED JUST A FEW MOMENTS AGO WAS LABORING UNDER THAT MISAPPREHENSION THEN?

SHE WAS EVEN CALLED BY TIMOTHY O'CONNOR (SIC)
TO COME HERE AND TESTIFY IN THIS LAWSUIT. THAT WAS HER
TESTIMONY.

A SHE HAS NOT BEEN WITH THE ORGANIZATION THE ENTIRE PERIOD OF THE PROBLEM WITH MR. MULL. SHE WAS NOT HERE WHEN HE LEFT. I DON'T KNOW WHY SHE WOULD BE AWARE OF THE SPECIFIC DETAILS OF THIS LAWSUIT.

Q ISN'T IT A FACT, MISS PROPHET, THAT YOU HAVE HAD PEOPLE ON THE TELEPHONE TREE SOLICITING PEOPLE ALL OVER THE COUNTRY, INCLUDING YOURSELF ON THAT TELEPHONE TREE, TRYING TO FIND SOMEONE WHO COULD THINK OF ANYTHING BAD THAT THEY MIGHT COME IN HERE AND TESTIFY ABOUT WITH REGARD TO MR.

1	MULL?
2	A INCLUDING MYSELF SOLICITING PEOPLE?
3	Q YES, MA'AM. DID YOU NOT PICK UP THE PHONE AND
<u>.</u> 4	USE IT AS PART OF THE TELEPHONE TREE TO TALK TO PEOPLE TO
` 5	SEE IF THEY WOULD COME INTO THIS COURT AND TESTIFY WITH
6	REGARD TO ANYTHING THEY POSSIBLY COULD TO DENIGRATE MR.
7	MULL?
8	A NO, I HAVE NOT DONE THAT.
9	Q DID YOU NOT TALK TO MR. JOHN PIETRANGELO TO SEE
10	IF HE ALSO WOULD COME TO THIS COURT?
11	A JOHN PIETRANGELO CALLED ME. I DID NOT CALL
12	HIM.
13	Q OUT OF THE CLEAR BLUE SKY, HE JUST PICKED UP
14	THE PHONE, AND KNEW RIGHT WHERE YOU ARE AND CALLED YOU?
15	A THAT IS TRUE. HE CALLED CAMELOT AND I TOOK HIS
16	PHONE CALL. HE SAID HE WANTED TO COME BACK TO THE CHURCH,
17	HE SAID HE WAS CONCERNED ABOUT THE TRIAL. I SAID, "JOHN,
18	YOU KNOW, IF YOU WANT TO HELP, YOU CAN TESTIFY."
19	Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT HE TOLD YOU THAT IF HE
20	CAME HERE, HE'D TELL THE TRUTH, AND ALL OF THE TRUTH AND HE
21	WAS TOLD HIS ASSISTANCE WAS NOT NEEDED?
22	A THAT IS NOT TRUE AT ALL. HE TOLD ME HE DID NOT
23	WANT TO TESTIFY. HE DID NOT WANT TO GET NEAR RANDALL KING.
24	HE DIDN'T WANT TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH HIM. AND HE
25	WISHED ME WELL AND ASKED ME IF HE COULD ATTEND THE
26	CONFERENCE.
27.	MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, SINCE IT IS AFTER FOUR
28	O'CLOCK, MAY WE RESERVE THE RIGHT TO RECALL THIS WITNESS

TOMORROW WHEN WE CONTINUE? THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE WILL RECESS AT THIS TIME. WE WILL RESUME AT 9:15 TOMORROW MORNING. HAVE A VERY PLEASANT EVENING. ` 5 (AT 4:05 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL TUESDAY, MARCH 18, 1986, AT 9:15 A.M.)