## COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

CHURCH UNIVERSAL & TRIUMPHANT, INC., A MONTANA CORPORATION,

PLAINTIFF, CROSS-DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT;

ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET,

CROSS-DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT,)

VS.

GREGORY MULL,

DEFENDANT, CROSS-COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT.

SUPERIOR COURT NO. C 358191

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

HONORABLE ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE PRESIDING

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

## APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF, CROSS-DEFENDANTS AND APPELLANTS:

FOR THE DEFENDANT, CROSS-COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT:



VOLUME 3 OF 12 VOLUMES PAGES 458 TO 632, INCL.

RIORDAN & MC KINZIE 300 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 2900 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071 TELEPHONE: (213) 629-4824

LAWRENCE LEVY, ESQ.
14724 VENTURA BOULEVARD, SUITE 704
SHERMAN OAKS, CALIFORNIA 91403
(818) 905-5971
-AND-

LYLE FRANCIS MIDDLETON, ESQ. 2500 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 810 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90057 (213) 381-2277

KATHLEEN H. ADAMS, CSR #2853 BRIDGET F. GEORGE, CSR #6148 CELESTE HALE, CSR #1310 ERMA DE MAR, CSR #2117 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1986.
2	9:40 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 50 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE
4	(APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)
5	
6	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY.
7	
8	GREGORY MULL,
9	THE WITNESS ON THE STAND AT THE TIME OF ADJOURNMENT, RESUMED
10	THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:
11	THE CLERK: MR. MULL, YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN SWORN
12	AND ARE STILL UNDER OATH. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR
13	THE RECORD.
14	THE WITNESS: GREGORY MULL.
15	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
16	THE COURT: PROCEED.
17	MR. LEVY: I BELIEVE I WAS PROCEEDING, YOUR HONOR, ON
18	REDIRECT. AS THE COURT IS AWARE, THERE WAS SOME
19	DISAGREEMENT AS TO WHETHER THE PLANS WERE PRIMARILY MR.
20	MULL'S. COUNSEL FOR THE CHURCH AND I HAVE ENTERED INTO A
21	STIPULATION THAT I'D LIKE TO REPEAT TO THE COURT SO THAT WE
22	CAN SAVE SEVERAL HOURS BY GOING OVER EACH OF THE PLANS.
23	THE STIPULATION IS THIS: THE CHURCH WILL AGREE
24	THAT THIS IS THE WORK PRODUCT AND THAT MR. MULL EITHER DID
25	THE BULK OF THE WORK OR IT WAS DONE BY MEMBERS OF THE
26	DEPARTMENT OF ARCHITECTURE UNDER MR. MULL'S SUPERVISION.
27	THE COURT: IS THAT AGREEABLE?
28	MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

1	THERE WAS A LETTER READ AND IT WAS A LETTER YOU
2	WROTE TO MR. RANDALL KING. DO YOU RECALL THE LETTER?
3	A YES.
4	Q I AM GOING TO QUOTE TO YOU ONE SENTENCE IN THAT
5	LETTER. IT SAYS, "I AM NOT THAT MASOCHISTIC THAT I WOULD
6	LET YOU MAKE ME A SLAVE AND UNDER YOUR DOMINATION AND
7	CONTROL." DO YOU RECALL WRITING THAT?
8	A IT SOUNDS FAMILIAR, YES.
9	Q AFTER YOU WROTE THAT, DID YOU DISCONTINUE YOUR
10	AFFILIATION WITH THE CHURCH?
11	A NO, I DID NOT.
12	Q WERE YOU ANGRY WHEN YOU WROTE THAT LETTER?
13	A I WAS ANGRY WHEN I WROTE THE LETTER BECAUSE I
14	WAS FIGHTING FOR MY INDIVIDUALITY.
15	Q AFTER YOU WROTE THAT LETTER, DID YOU GET A
16	FOLLOW UP LETTER FROM MISS ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET?
17	A YES. THE NEXT CONFERENCE I RECEIVED A LETTER
18	AT THE CONFERENCE FROM HER.
19	Q MR. MULL, I SHOW YOU WHAT IS MARKED IT'S
20	ALREADY BEEN ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE AND IT IS EXHIBIT NUMBER
21	16. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT LETTER?
22	A YES, I DO. THIS IS THE LETTER I WAS REFERRING
23	TO.
24	Q MR. KLEIN READ YOU THE LAST LINE IN THE LETTER.
25	AT THIS TIME I AM GOING TO READ YOU THE FIRST PAGE OF THE
26	LETTER. IT IS RELATIVELY BRIEF. I'D APPRECIATE IT IF YOU
27	WOULD LISTEN, MR. MULL.
28	A ALL RIGHT.

MR. LEVY: IT IS DATED SEPTEMBER THE 30TH, 1975. IT

"BELOVED GREGORY,

1

3

4

5

б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"IN REPLY TO YOUR LETTER OF
SEPTEMBER 22, MAY I SAY THAT RANDALL IS A
CHELA OF THE MASTERS AS YOU ARE. ALL OF US
WHO ARE PRIVILEGED TO BE A PART OF THE
ORGANIZATION, ESPECIALLY IN THE CAPACITY OF
THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, REALIZE THAT WE
SERVE FROM THE FOUNDATION OF THE CHRIST
CONSCIOUSNESS AS WE ALLOW IT TO EXPRESS,
WHETHER PERFECTLY OR IMPERFECTLY.

"AS YOU MAY READ IN THE BOCK OF JUDE, 'MICHAEL THE ARCHANGEL WHEN, CONTENDING WITH THE DEVIL. . . DURST NOT BRING AGAINST HIM A RAILING ACCUSATION, BUT SAID, THE LORD REBUKE THEE. WHENEVER DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CHELAS BECOME A MATTER OF WHO IS RIGHT AND WHO IS WRONG, I FEEL IT IS -- I FEEL IT MY DUTY TO DIRECT ALL TO THE FLAME OF THE CHRIST AND THE DIVINE MOTHER -- TO SUBMIT ANY AND ALL HUMAN CONSCIOUSNESS INTO THE FLAME. FORGIVENESS IS CERTAINLY THE FIRST STEP ON THE PATH OF INITIATION. MAY WE THEREFORE JOIN HANDS AND CALL ON THE LAW OF FORGIVENESS FOR ALL DEVIATIONS FROM THE FLAME OF THE CHRIST AND ALL MISUNDERSTANDINGS IN THE MATTERS WHICH

YOU HAVE RAISED.

"IN THIS HOUR OF JUDGMENT WE MUST ADHERE TO THE FIAT OF OUR LORD, 'JUDGE NOT, LEST YE BE JUDGED.' MAY WE CLING STEADFASTLY TO THE GARMENTS OF THE GODDESS OF TRUTH AND DEFEND THE NAME OF THE ASCENDED MASTERS IN ALL OUR ACTIONS, AND MAY WE STRIVE TO BUILD TOGETHER AN ORGANIZATION THAT COMMANDS THE RESPECT AS WELL AS THE LOVE OF MANKIND.

"WE WELCOME CONSTRUCTIVE

COMMENT BUT CRITICISM — EXCUSE ME. I

WILL RECOMMENCE THAT. "WE WELCOME

CONSTRUCTIVE COMMENT BUT CRITICISM IS A

BARB THAT INCITES THE BASER ENERGIES OF

UNTRANSMUTED SUBSTANCE. BECAUSE WE ARE

IMPERFECT IN OUR MANIFESTATION, WE KEEP

STRIVING FOR THE PERFECTION WHICH JESUS

TAUGHT US IS ATTAINABLE. HOW HEAVY THE

HUMAN SENSE OF INJUSTICE HAS WEIGHED DURING

THE PAST MONTH UNDER THE HIERARCHY OF VIRGO.

\*MAY WE NOT SEE, THEN, COSMIC

JUSTICE EVEN IN THE SEEMINGLY IMPERFECT

ACTIONS OF ONE ANOTHER. FOR IT IS — FOR

IS IT NOT COSMIC JUSTICE THAT WE BE TESTED,

AND WE — AND SHALL WE CLOSE THE DOOR TO

THE ONE WHO KNOCKS. THOUGH HE BRING OUT

OUR WORST OR OUR BEST, IF HE REVEAL A

WEAKNESS IN OUR HUMAN NATURE WE MUST 1 2 EMBRACE HIM AS THE INSTRUMENT OF JUSTICE. "I DEFEND -- THIS IS WHAT MR. KLEIN READ 3 TO YOU. "I DEFEND NO MAN, NO WOMAN, BUT ONLY THE GOD IN ALL. YOU ARE WELCOME TO PARTAKE OF 5 THE MASTERS! TEACHINGS AT THE LEVEL OF YOUR 6 OWN COMMITMENT. 7 DO YOU RECALL THE LETTER? 8 YES. 9 WHAT DID THE LETTER MEAN TO YOU WHEN YOU 10 RECEIVED IT? 11 IT MEANT THAT ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET WANTED TO 12 13 HAVE ME ACCEPT THE TEACHINGS REGARDLESS OF WHAT HAPPENED. NOW, I AM LOOKING AT A LETTER DATED MAY THE 14 8th, 1979. It is a LETTER THAT YOU WROTE. IT IS NOT IN 15 16 FRONT OF YOU, MR. MULL. 17 YES. Α IT IS EXHIBIT NUMBER 51. IT IS A LETTER THAT 18 19 MR. KLEIN READ TO YOU AND ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE BEFORE. IT 20 IS THE LETTER ABOUT TELEPHONE BILLS PRIMARILY. BUT IN THE FOURTH PARAGRAPH, I WANT TO READ YOU A LINE, THE LINE THAT 21 22 HE ALREADY READ. 23 MR. KLEIN: COULD YOU JUST WAIT A MOMENT. WHAT 24 NUMBER WAS THAT? 25 MR. LEVY: 51. MR. KLEIN IS LOOKING FOR THE LETTER. 26 I WILL PROCEED AS SOON AS HE HAS IT. 27 MR. KLEIN: I HAVE IT. 28 Q BY MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO READ FROM THE

FOURTH PARAGRAPH. THERE IS ONE LINE WHICH SAYS -- AND BY 1 2 THE WAY, MR. KLEIN READ THE LETTER TO YOU IN ITS ENTIRETY. 3 IT SAYS, "I THANK EL MORYA OFTEN FOR THE DISPENSATION THAT I 4 DO NOT HAVE TO CONTINUE THE MARRIAGE." 5 DOES EL MORYA TALK TO YOU DIRECTLY? 6 NO. ONLY THROUGH ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET. SO THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE PREVIOUSLY ABOUT 7 8 MISS PROPHET GIVING YOU INSTRUCTIONS WITH REGARD TO YOUR 9 MARRIAGE AND THE MESSAGE FROM EL MORYA, THAT CAME DIRECTLY 10 FROM MISS PROPHET? 11 MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION. LEADING, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 13 BY MR. LEVY: YOU'VE TOLD ME EL MORYA DOES NOT 14 TALK TO YOU? 15 Α YES. 16 HOW DO YOU GET MESSAGES FROM EL MORYA? 17 WELL, IT WAS DISPENSATION GIVEN TO ELIZABETH 18 CLARE PROPHET WHILE I WAS IN THE SAME ROOM WITH HER. 19 DID SHE THEN PASS IT ON TO YOU? Q 20 YES. 21 OKAY. FROM THERE I'D LIKE TO GO TO THE 22 MEETINGS, THE MAJOR MEETINGS THAT YOU HAD AT THE CHURCH. IN 23 SEPTEMBER OF 1979, YOU SIGNED A PROMISSORY NOTE; IS THAT 24 CORRECT? 25 Α CORRECT. 26 WAS THERE A MEETING HELD WITH YOURSELF AND 27 OTHER PEOPLE BEFORE YOU SIGNED THAT NOTE? 28 YES. Α

1	Q WHO DID YOU MEET WITH?
2	A MONROE SHEARER AND ED FRANCIS.
3	Q WAS THAT A FRIENDLY MEETING?
4	A I WOULD SAY NOT.
5 .	Q WHAT HAPPENED AT THE MEETING?
6	A WELL, IT WAS A MEETING WHERE INTIMIDATION WAS
7	PUT UPON ME AND AND YOU WANT ME TO TALK ABOUT THE
8	MEETING?
9	Q I JUST WANT YOU TO TELL US WHAT YOU REMEMBER,
10	MR. MULL.
11	A I REMEMBER THAT
12	Q EXCUSE ME, MR. MULL. IF YOU ARE HAVING A
13	PROBLEM WITH YOUR RECOLLECTION AND IT IS STRESSING YOU
14	UNDULY, TAKE YOUR TIME.
15	A I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH MY RECOLLECTION. I
16	DON'T KNOW WHETHER THIS WAS A MEETING IN THE OFFICE WHERE
17	MONROE KICKED ME OUT OF THE CULT OR WHETHER THIS WAS THE
18	MEETING BETWEEN ED FRANCIS AND MONROE SHEARER AND MYSELF.
19	CAN YOU HELP ME?
20	Q I WILL TRY TO. WHAT I AM TALKING ABOUT IS THE
21	MEETING WHERE YOU SIGNED THE PROMISSORY NOTE. THAT WOULD BE
22	IN THE FALL OF 1979. DO YOU RECALL THAT MEETING?
23	A I REMEMBER MEETING WITH THE NEW ATTORNEY FOR
24	C.U.T.
25	Q DID YOU MEET WITH EDWARD FRANCIS AND MONROE
26	SHEARER PRIOR TO SIGNING THE PROMISSORY NOTE?
27	A I PROBABLY DID.
28	Q OKAY. IF YOU DON'T RECALL THAT, LET'S MOVE TO

1	THE NEXT MEETING. BEFORE YOU LEFT THE CAMPUS OF CAMELOT IN
2	MAY OF 1980, DID YOU HAVE A MEETING WITH MONROE SHEARER?
3	A YES, I DID.
4	Q CAN YOU TELL US WHAT HAPPENED DURING THAT
5	MEETING?
6	A I MET WITH MONROE SHEARER AND ED FRANCIS AND
7	ANOTHER BOARD MEMBER. I WAS TOLD UNLESS I GAVE ALL MY MONEY
8	THAT MONROE HAD TALKED TO ELIZABETH ABOUT, UNLESS I GAVE ALL
9	MY MONEY, I WOULD NOT MAKE MY ASCENSION TO HEAVEN.
LO	Q WERE YOU ASKED TO LEAVE?
11	A I WAS ASKED TO LEAVE IMMEDIATELY UNLESS I GAVE
12	THEM MY MONEY. I OFFERED TO LEAVE ON MONDAY. AND MONROE
13	- SAID I WAS KICKED OUT AND THAT I HAD TO LEAVE BEFORE SUNDOWN
14	ON SATURDAY NIGHT, BEFORE THE SAINT GERMAIN SERVICE.
15	Q NOW, I WANT TO GO TO ONE LAST MEETING. WERE
16	YOU SUMMONED TO A MEETING IN JUNE OF 1980? DID YOU GO TO A
17	TWO-AND-A-HALF HOUR MEETING IN 1980?
18	A WHERE A TAPE WAS PLAYED WITH ELIZABETH CLARE
19	PROPHET AND ED FRANCIS AND MONROE SHEARER.
20	Q LET ME SHOW YOU THOSE TAPES. ARE THESE THE
21	TAPES THAT YOU DELIVERED TO ME?
22	A YES. THEY LOOK VERY FAMILIAR, OF THE
23	TWO-AND-A-HALF HOUR TAPE.
24	Q DID YOU GET THESE TAPES FROM MONROE SHEARER?
25	A EVENTUALLY, YES.
26	Q THE CHURCH MEMBER, MONROE SHEARER, HAD
27	DELIVERED THESE TAPES INTO YOUR HAND?
28	MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. THE

1	QUESTIONS ARE LEADING.
2	THE COURT: IT IS LEADING.
3	Q BY MR. LEVY: WHO WAS IT WHO HANDED YOU THESE
4	TAPES?
5	A SOMEBODY AT
6	Q EXCUSE ME, MR. MULL. JUST THIS QUESTION: WHO
7	GAVE YOU THESE TAPES?
8	A I DON'T REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE MAN. BUT IT
9	WAS NOT MONROE SHEARER.
10	THE COURT: EXHIBIT NUMBERS?
11	MR. LEVY: THE EXHIBIT NUMBER
12	THE COURT: FOR THE RECORD, WHEN YOU SAY, "THESE
13	TAPES, WHAT ARE YOU REFERRING TO?
14	MR. LEVY: 1 AM REFERRING TO EXHIBIT NUMBERS 8-A AND
15	8-B.
16	THE WITNESS: WHICH IS A TWO-AND-A-HALF HOUR TAPE
17	RECORDED.
18	Q BY MR. LEVY: MY LAST QUESTION TO YOU, MR.
19	MULL, IS WHO GAVE YOU THESE TAPES?
20	A THEY WERE GIVEN TO ME BY MONROE SHEARER.
21	Q WE'D LIKE TO ENTER THESE INTO EVIDENCE AT THIS
22	TIME, YOUR HONOR.
23	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE AN OBJECTION.
24	THE COURT: JUST WHAT GROUNDS?
25	MR. KLEIN: FIRST HE SAID IT WASN'T GIVEN TO HIM BY
26	MONROE SHEARER. JUST NOW HE SAID IT WAS. MY OBJECTION IS
27	THE AUTHENTICATION. ALTHOUGH IF WE HAVE A SIDE BAR, 1 THINK
28	WE CAN TAKE CARE OF THAT OBJECTION.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 1 MR. LEVY: TO SAVE TIME, WILL YOU STIPULATE THAT 2 THESE ARE COPIES OF THE ORIGINAL TAPE THAT THE CHURCH 3 4 PROVIDED MR. MULL? MR. KLEIN: I CAN'T STIPULATE THAT BECAUSE I DON'T 5 6 KNOW WHAT THEY ARE. BUT, AS I SAY, IF WE HAVE A SIDE BAR, I 7 THINK WE CAN TAKE CARE OF IT. THE COURT: 1 DON'T WANT TO HAVE A COLLOQUY BETWEEN 8 9 COUNSEL. (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 10 AT THE BENCH:) 11 12 THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR PROBLEM? MR. KLEIN: MY PROBLEM IS I HAVE NOT -- -- MY PROBLEM 13 IS IF THOSE -- WHAT WERE GIVEN TO HIM ARE A COPY -- I HAVE 14 15 NOT HEARD THEM. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY ARE AND I DON'T KNOW IF THOSE WERE WHAT WERE GIVEN TO HIM. HOWEVER, THERE IS A 16 TRANSCRIPT WHICH I HAVE GIVEN TO MR. LEVY OF THOSE TAPES. 17 18 IF HE WILL STIPULATE THAT THE TRANSCRIPT IS ACCURATE AND IF WE PUT IN THE TRANSCRIPT AT THE SAME TIME HE 19 20 PLAYS THE TAPE, THEN I DON'T CARE BECAUSE I KNOW WHAT THE 21 TRANSCRIPT SAYS. MR. LEVY: WE WILL DO THAT. 22 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU ADD THE TRANSCRIPT FOR 8-C. 23 MR. KLEIN: I HAVE COPIES FOR THE JURY IF HE IS GOING 24 TO PLAY THE TAPE. 25 THE COURT: JUST HANG ON TO THEM. 26 27 (THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN 28 COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.)

1	MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD OFFER THIS
2	STIPULATION TO THE COURT. THAT ALONG WITH EXHIBIT 8-A AND
3	8-B, WE WOULD NOW ADD ANOTHER EXHIBIT 8-C, WHICH IS A
4	TRANSCRIPT THAT WAS PREPARED BY CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND
5	TRIUMPHANT OF THE CONTENTS OF THE TAPE.
6	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO OFFER 8-A, B AND C THEN?
7	MR. LEVY: INTO EVIDENCE AT THIS TIME IF MR. KLEIN
8	WILL ACCEPT THAT STIPULATION.
9	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ACCEPT IT WITH THE
10	ADDITIONAL STIPULATION COUNSEL HAS HEARD THE TAPE AND THE
11	TRANSCRIPT
12	THE COURT: WHAT?
13	MR. KLEIN: I WOULD ACCEPT IT WITH THE ADDITIONAL
14	STIPULATION THAT THE TRANSCRIPT IS AN ACCURATE REFLECTION OF
15	THE TAPE.
16	MR. LEVY: WE WILL SO STIPULATE, YOUR HONOR.
17	THE COURT: 8-A, B AND C ARE RECEIVED.
18	MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. WE HAVE NOTHING
19	FURTHER FROM MR. MULL AT THIS TIME.
20	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?
21	MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
22	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
23	
24	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. KLEIN:
26	Q GOOD MORNING, MR. MULL.
27	A GOOD MORNING.
28	Q MR. MULL, IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT IN LATE

1	1978, MONROE SHEARER TOLD YOU THAT THE CHURCH WOULD GIVE YOU
2	MONEY TO MEET YOUR FINANCIAL NEEDS WHEN YOU CAME TO CAMELOT?
3	A WOULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION, PLEASE.
4	Q YES. IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT IN LATE 1978,
5	MONROE SHEARER TOLD YOU THAT THE CHURCH WOULD GIVE YOU MONEY
б	TO MEET YOUR FINANCIAL NEEDS WHEN YOU CAME TO CAMELOT?
7	A CORRECT.
3	Q AND ON THE DAY YOU CAME TO CAMELOT, WHICH WOULD
9	BE JANUARY 11TH, 1979, IF SOMEONE WOULD HAVE ASKED YOU, "HOW
10	ARE YOU GOING TO MEET YOUR FINANCIAL NEEDS WHILE YOU ARE
11	HERE, YOUR RESPONSE WOULD HAVE BEEN THAT THE CHURCH HAS
12	AGREED TO PAY THAT MONEY; IS THAT RIGHT?
13	A YES. CORRECT.
14	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I WOULD ASK THAT
15	THIS DOCUMENT BE MARKED NUMBER 101 FOR IDENTIFICATION. THE
16	DATE OF THE DOCUMENT IS JANUARY 11TH, 1979, APPLICATION FOR
17	EMPLOYMENT, CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT.
18	THE COURT: SO MARKED FOR INDICATION.
19	MR. KLEIN: I AM SHOWING A COPY OF WHAT HAS BEEN
20	MARKED 101 FOR IDENTIFICATION TO THE CHURCH TO THE
21	WITNESS.
22	Q MR. MULL, LOOKING AT WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED
23	NUMBER 101 FOR IDENTIFICATION IN FRONT OF YOU, HAVE YOU EVER
24	SEEN THAT BEFORE?
25	A I PROBABLY FILLED IT OUT. IT LOOKS LIKE MY
26	HANDWRITING SO I PROBABLY FILLED IT OUT.
27	Q IF YOU LOOK TO THE LAST PAGE, IT SAYS,
28	"SIGNATURE." IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE, THE LAST PAGE?

1 A YES, IT IS. 2 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK THAT THE APPLICATION FOR EMPLOYMENT, NUMBER 101 FOR IDENTIFICATION, 3 4 BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE. 5 MR. LEVY: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: IT IS RECEIVED. BY MR. KLEIN: MR. MULL, I'D LIKE YOU TO LOOK 7 8 AT THAT, THAT PAGE SIX. THAT LAST PAGE WHERE THE SIGNATURE 9 IS. 10 YES. Α 11 DO YOU SEE THAT? AND YOU SEE ON THE TOP IT SAYS, "FINANCIAL DATA"? 12 13 Α YES. 14 AND YOU SEE IT SAYS, "HOW DO YOU PLAN TO MEET 15 YOUR FINANCIAL NEEDS?" 16 Α YES. 17 AND THIS APPLICATION, YOU FILLED THIS OUT ON 18 THE DAY YOU CAME TO CAMELOT, JANUARY 11TH, 1979; IS THAT 19 RIGHT? 20 Α IT APPEARS THAT WAY, YES. 21 WHERE IT SAYS, "HOW DO YOU PLAN TO MEET YOUR FINANCIAL NEEDS, " YOU WROTE A QUESTION MARK, DIDN'T YOU? 22 23 YES. I SHOULD HAVE PUT, "CHURCH WILL PAY MY 24 EXPENSES AS PER AGREEMENT.\* 25 WHY DIDN'T YOU PUT --Q 26 Α I DON'T KNOW. 27 MY QUESTION IS WHY DIDN'T YOU PUT, "CHURCH WILL 28 PAY MY EXPENSES AS PER AGREEMENT" INSTEAD OF WRITING A

1	QUESTION MARK?
2	A I SHOULD HAVE.
3	Q YOU SHOULD HAVE?
4	A YES.
5	Q MR. MULL, IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT BEFORE YOU
6	CAME TO CAMELOT, YOU HAD RECEIVED WITHDRAWN.
7	IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT BEFORE YOU CAME TO
8	CAMELOT I WILL WITHDRAW THAT.
9	I AM SHOWING THE WITNESS WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED
10	NUMBER 24 FOR IDENTIFICATION.
11	MR. MULL, BEFORE YOU CAME TO CAMELOT, YOU MADE
12	THIS AGREEMENT WITH MONROE SHEARER THAT YOU TOLD US ABOUT?
13	A YES.
14	Q AND ONCE YOU CAME TO CAMELOT, DID YOU EXPECT TO
15	HAVE TO USE YOUR OWN MONEY TO PAY FOR YOUR DIFFERENT
16	EXPENSES?
17	A IF I RECEIVED MONEY FROM ARCHITECTURE JOBS, I
18	DID PARTIALLY INTEND TO USE IT.
19	Q YOU SAID IF YOU RECEIVE MONEY FROM WHAT?
20	A FROM ARCHITECTURE JOBS THAT I DID.
21	Q I SEE.
22	A THAT I HAD JUST COMPLETED.
23	Q SO IF YOUR CLIENTS WOULD HAVE PAID YOU MONEY,
24	YOU WOULD HAVE USED THAT FOR YOUR EXPENSES AND NOT TAKEN
25	MONEY FROM THE CHURCH?
26	A CORRECT.
27	MR. KLEIN: COUNSEL HAVE STIPULATED THAT THE LETTER
28	OF JANUARY 23RD, 1979, WHICH IS NUMBER 24 FOR

1	IDENTIFICATION, WAS WRITTEN BY MR. MULL.
2	MR. LEVY: WE HAVE ALREADY STIPULATED TO THAT.
3	MR. KLEIN: I DON'T KNOW THAT WE HAVE.
4	MR. LEVY: OH, WE WILL STIPULATE TO IT.
5	MR. KLEIN: I WOULD ASK THAT THAT LETTER BE RECEIVED
6	IN EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.
7	THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED.
8	Q BY MR. KLEIN: MR. MULL, WHEN YOU CAME TO
9	CAMELOT, WERE YOU AWARE THAT THE PEOPLE WHO WERE ON STAFF
10	WHO WORKED AT CAMELOT DID NOT GET SALARIES?
11	A NO, I WAS NOT AWARE.
12	Q DID YOUR WIFE HAD SHE BEEN WORKING AT
13	CAMELOT WHEN YOU CAME THERE?
14	A YES.
15	Q HAD SHE RECEIVED ANY SALARY?
16	A NO. NOT THAT I KNEW OF.
17	Q WERE YOU AWARE OF THE FACT WHEN YOU CAME TO
18	CAMELOT THAT SHE HAD BEEN WORKING THERE FOR A NUMBER OF
19	YEARS AND HADN'T RECEIVED ANY SALARY?
20	A SHE WORKED THERE FOR ABOUT THREE-AND-A-HALF
21	YEARS.
22	Q SHE WORKED THERE FOR THREE-AND-A-HALF YEARS?
23	A AND RECEIVED NOTHING.
24	Q SHE WORKED FOR THREE-AND-A-HALF YEARS PRIOR TO
25	WHEN YOU CAME TO CAMELOT?
26	- A YES.
27	Q AND WERE YOU AWARE WHEN YOU CAME TO CAMELOT
28	THAT FOR THAT THREE-AND-A-HALF YEARS, SHE HADN'T RECEIVED

1	ANY SALARY?
2	A CORRECT.
3	Q WHILE YOU WERE AT CAMELOT, DID YOU RECEIVE ANY
4	SALARY?
5	A NONE.
6	Q MR. LEVY TALKED ABOUT OTHER INCOME THAT YOU
7	RECEIVED OTHER THAN WHAT WAS ON THAT SCHEDULE C OF THAT
8	INCOME TAX SHEET I SHOWED YOU. AM I CORRECT THAT ANY OTHER
9	INCOME YOU RECEIVED IN 1978 WAS FROM RENTAL OF PROPERTIES OF
10	YOURS?
11	A IT COULD HAVE BEEN OF RENTAL OF PROPERTIES,
12	ABOUT TEN THOUSAND, OR FROM RETAINER FEES FROM ARCHITECTURAL
13	CLIENTS.
14	Q WELL, AS FAR AS THE MONEY YOU RECEIVED FROM
15	YOUR ARCHITECTURAL CLIENTS, THAT WAS REFLECTED IN YOUR
16	SCHEDULE C OF YOUR RETURN TO THE 1.R.S.; IS THAT RIGHT?
17	A I WOULD SAY SO.
18	Q IN MARCH OF 1975, DID YOU KNOW THAT YOU HAD
19	SURRENDERED YOUR WILL TO THE CHURCH AT THAT TIME?
20	MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THAT, YOUR HONOR.
21	CALLS FOR A CONCLUSION.
22	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
23	Q BY MR. KLEIN: HAD YOU BEEN TO CAMELOT PRIOR TO
24	JANUARY 11TH, 1979?
25	A MANY TIMES. MANY TIMES.
26	Q HAD YOU EVER STAYED OVERNIGHT?
27	A I MAY HAVE AT A MOTEL.
28	Q YOU TALKED AT SOME POINT ABOUT GOING TO MONTANA

475 TO SPEAK ABOUT THE CHURCH. WAS THAT IN 1981? 1 IT SOUNDS ABOUT CORRECT. 2 Α AND YOUR FIRST STROKE WAS IN 1984; IS THAT 3 CORRECT? 1984 OR 1985. I DON'T KNOW WHICH. BUT IT WAS 5 MAY 6TH. AND THE SECOND -- I WILL CALL IT A STROKE-LIKE 7 INCIDENT SINCE IT LATER TURNED OUT TO BE M.S. -- HOW LONG 8 AFTER THAT FIRST INCIDENT WAS 17? 9 WELL, AS I REMEMBER, THE FIRST INCIDENT WAS 10 JUNE 6TH AND THE SECOND ONE WAS MAY 5TH, ABOUT A YEAR LATER. 11 A YEAR LATER. SO IF THE --12 Q 13 ABOUT A YEAR LATER. IF THE FIRST STROKE WAS IN JUNE OF '84, THE 14 SECOND ONE WAS IN MAY OF '85; IS THAT ABOUT RIGHT? 15 OR '85 AND '86. YOU ARE PROBABLY CORRECT. 16 AT THAT MEETING BETWEEN YOU AND MONROE SHEARER, 17 ED FRANCIS AND ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET ON JUNE 6, 1980, YOU 18 SAID YOU FELT INTIMIDATED AT THAT MEETING? 19 Α VERY MUCH SO. 20 DID ANYONE YELL AT YOU? 21 I WOULD SAY THEY ALL DID RAISED THEIR VOICES, 22 YES. RAISED THEIR VOICES, YES. 23 YOU SAID THEY ALL YELLED AT YOU? 0 24 YES. 25 Α DID THEY YELL AT YOU ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS 26 OR JUST ONCE DURING THAT MEETING? 27

28

1 WOULD SAY A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS THEY RAISED

1	THEIR VOICE.
2	Q AT THE MEETING DID ANYONE THREATEN YOU?
3	A AS I REMEMBER, I THINK I WAS THREATENED WITH
4	NOT GETTING MY ASCENSION.
5	Q YOU WERE THREATENED WITH
6	A I THINK, BUT I DON'T KNOW, BUT I THINK I WAS
7	THREATENED WITH NOT MAKING MY ASCENSION.
8	Q YOU THINK YOU WERE THREATENED WITH NOT MAKING
9	YOUR ASCENSION?
10	A YES.
11	Q BY THE WAY, THE MEETING THAT I AM TALKING
12	ABOUT, THIS JUNE 6, 1980, MEETING THAT I HAVE
13	A IT WAS TAPED FOR TWO-AND-A-HALF HOURS.
14	Q THIS JUNE 6TH, 1980, MEETING THAT I HAVE ASKED
15	THESE LAST FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT, THAT IS THE ONE THAT WAS
16	TAPED, RIGHT?
17	A YES.
18	Q DID ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET YELL AT YOU DURING
19	THE MEETING?
20	A SHE RAISED HER VOICE I AM QUITE SURE.
21	Q DID EDWARD FRANCIS YELL AT YOU?
22	A YES.
23	Q DID MONROE SHEARER YELL AT YOU?
24	A YES.
25	Q IN THAT MEETING OF 1980, MAY, 1980, WHEN YOU
26	SAID THAT MONROE SHEARER ASKED YOU FOR YOUR MONEY, DO YOU
27	REMEMBER THE MEETING I AM TALKING ABOUT?
28	A YES.

1	Q AT THAT MEETING, WAS THE MONEY HE ASKED YOU FOR
2	THE \$38,000 THAT THE CHURCH HAD GIVEN YOU?
3	A PROBABLY SO.
4	MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I HAVE NO FURTHER
5	QUESTIONS.
6	THANK YOU, MR. MULL.
7	MR. LEVY: JUST ONE OR TWO QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR,
8	WITH REGARD TO 101.
9	
10	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. LEVY:
12	Q MR. MULL, DO YOU HAVE THE APPLICATION STILL IN
13	FRONT OF YOU?
14	A YES.
15	Q GOING BACK TO THAT SECTION THAT IS LABELED,
16	"FINANCIAL DATA."
17	A YES.
18	Q THAT IS A THREE-PART SECTION, IS IT NOT?
19	A YES.
20	Q FIRST QUESTION IS, "ARE YOU PRESENTLY ABLE TO
21	MAKE A MONTHLY CONTRIBUTION?" YOU PUT A QUESTION MARK
22	THERE; IS THAT CORRECT?
23	A YES. THE ANSWER WAS NO.
24	Q THE SECOND PART WAS, "HOW DO YOU PLAN TO MEET
25	YOUR FINANCIAL NEEDS?" YOU PUT A QUESTION MARK THERE, DID
26	YOU NOT?
27	A YES.
28	Q THE THIRD PART SAID, "DO YOU HAVE ANY
J	

	470
1	OUTSTANDING DEBTS?" YOU PUT A QUESTION MARK THERE TOO,
2	DIDN'T YOU?
3	A YES.
4	Q THAT STRIP IS ABOUT THREE INCHES LONG, THE ROOM
5	THAT IS LEFT TO ANSWER THAT?
6	A YES.
7	Q COULD YOU HAVE FILLED ALL YOUR DEBTS IN AND TO
8	WHO YOU GWED THEM IN THOSE THREE INCHES?
9	A NO, I COULD NOT.
10	MR. LEVY: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
11	MR. KLEIN: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU CAN STEP DOWN. THANK
13	YOU.
14	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME WE WILL CALL MISS 1 ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET UNDER 776 OF THE EVIDENCE CODE. 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 3 5 ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET FRANCIS. + 6 A CROSS-DEFENDANT HEREIN, CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE 7 DEFENDANT UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 776 OF THE 8 EVIDENCE CODE, HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, TESTIFIES AS 9 FOLLOWS: 10 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD AND PLEASE SPELL YOUR 11 12 FIRST AND LAST NAME. 13 THE WITNESS: ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET FRANCIS. 14 THE CLERK: PLEASE SPELL YOUR NAME. 15 THE WITNESS: ELIZABETH, E-L-I-Z-A-B-E-T-H; CLARE, C-L-A-R-E; PROPHET, P-R-O-P-H-E-T; FRANCIS, F-R-A-N-C-I-S. 16 17 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION + 20 BY MR. LEVY: 21 MISS FRANCIS, WHAT NAMES ARE YOU KNOWN BY IN Q 22 YOUR CHURCH? 23 I AM CALLED MOTHER, ALSO GURU MA, WHICH MEANS 24 THE TEACHER OF THE WAY OF THE MOTHER --25 Q EXCUSE ME, MA'AM. 26 I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR, AND ASK THAT 27 THE LATTER PORTION OF THAT BE STRICKEN.

JUST WANT YOUR NAMES.

THE COURT: THE MOTION IS GRANTED. THE LAST PART OF 1 2 THE WITNESS' ANSWER IS STRICKEN. THE JURY IS DIRECTED TO 3 DISREGARD IT. LET'S START OVER NOW. BY MR. LEVY: THE NAMES THAT YOU ARE KNOWN BY 5 IN YOUR CHURCH. 6 I AM CALLED MOTHER, GURU MA, MESSENGER, OR 7 MESSENGER FOR THE ASCENDED MASTERS. 8 Q ANY OTHER NAMES? 9 VICAR OF CHRIST. 10 Q ANY OTHER NAMES? 11 MOTHER OF THE FLAME. 12 THE COURT: CAN YOU MOVE A LITTLE CLOSER TO THE 13 MI CROPHONE? 14 THE WITNESS: YES. 15 THE COURT: I THINK THAT WOULD HELP. 16 BY MR. LEVY: ARE THERE ANY OTHER NAMES? 17 MRS. PROPHET, ELIZABETH. I CAN'T THINK OF ANY OTHERS AT THE MOMENT. 18 10 NOW WITH REGARD TO THE NAME MESSENGER AND 20 MOTHER AND GURU MA AND THE VICAR OF CHRIST, WHO CONFERRED THOSE TITLES UPON YOU? 21 MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR, AS TO 22 23 RELEVANCE. 24 THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: MAY I EXPLAIN EACH ONE THEN? 25 26 BY MR. LEVY: YOU CAN ASK THE COURT THAT. I 27 JUST ASKED WHO CONFERRED THOSE TITLES ON YOU. 28 A I BELIEVE IT IS DIFFERENT IN SOME CASES.

THE COURT: PLEASE KEEP YOUR VOICE UP SO WE CAN ALL 1 HEAR YOU. 2 THE WITNESS: I BELIEVE THAT IT IS DIFFERENT IN THESE 3 CASES. 4 BY MR. LEVY: WHY DON'T YOU FIRST TELL US WHO 5 CONFERRED THOSE TITLES ON YOU AND THEN IF THE COURT 6 7 CHOOSES -THE COURT: WHY DON'T WE GO ONE TITLE AT A TIME. 3 9 MR. LEVY: OKAY. THE COURT: MAYBE THAT WOULD BE EASIER TO ANSWER. 10 THE WITNESS: IN TERMS OF MOTHER, MY STUDENTS BEGAN 11 CALLING ME MOTHER. AS MESSENGER, THIS WAS CONFERRED UPON ME 12 BY SAINT GERMAIN. AS VICAR OF CHRIST, BY BELOVED JESUS. AS 13 GURU MA, BY PADMA SAMBHAVA. I BELIEVE THAT'S ALL I SAID. 14 IS THAT CORRECT? 15 BY MR. LEVY: I BELIEVE SO, YES, MA'AM. BEFORE 16 JESUS CONFERRED THE TITLE OF VICAR OF CHRIST ON YOU, WAS 17 THERE ANY COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE TWO OF YOU? 31 19 Α YES. WAS THAT COMMUNICATION A MESSAGE TO YOU FROM 20 21 HIM? YES. 22 Α AND THE TERM MESSENGER, THAT CAME THE SAME WAY 23 FROM SAINT GERMAIN? 24 THAT CAME THROUGH MARK PROPHET FROM SAINT 25 26 GERMAIN. MARK PROPHET IS YOUR FORMER HUSBAND? 27 Q 28 YES.

HE TALKED TO SAINT GERMAIN ALSO? Q 1 Α YES. 2 IS THERE A BOARD OR A COUNSEL WITHIN YOUR 3 CHURCH THAT CONFERS TITLES OF THIS SORT ON PEOPLE WITHIN THE Ą 5 CHURCH? 6 NO. DID YOUR HUSBAND, MARK PROPHET, HAVE ANY 7 AUTHORITY TO CONVEY TITLES? WHEN I SAY "AUTHORITY," I MEAN 3 AUTHORITY BY ANY STATE OR GOVERNMENTAL BODY OR ANY RELIGIOUS 9 10 BODY. NO AUTHORITY APART FROM THE HOLY SPIRIT. 11 WAS THERE A TIME YOU TOLD YOUR FOLLOWERS THAT 12 13 YOU RECEIVED A DICTATION FROM THE DECEASED POPE JOHN XXIII, AND HE HAD INFORMED YOU THAT YOU HAD TAKEN OVER THE MANTLE 14 OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH AND HE ENTITLED YOU THE VICAR OF 15 16 CHRIST? MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS TO 17 THE RELEVANCY OF THAT AND ALSO AS IT IS GETTING TO THE 18 TEACHINGS OF THIS CHURCH, WHICH I JUST DON'T SEE ANY PURPOSE 19 20 IN GETTING INTO. THE COURT: THERE WILL BE SOME PARAMETERS, BUT THIS 21 22 QUESTION CAN BE ANSWERED. THE WITNESS: I RECEIVED A DICTATION FROM THE 23 ASCENDED POPE JOHN XXIII CONVEYING THE AUTHORITY FOR THE 24 CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT AND MY LEADERSHIP IN IT. I 25 DON'T KNOW HIS EXACT WORDS AT THIS MOMENT. 26 BY MR. LEVY: HAVE YOU HAD ANY FORMAL RELIGIOUS 27 Q 28 TRAINING?

YES. DID YOU RECEIVE ANY DEGREES FROM ANY RECOGNIZED 2 STATE OR FEDERAL BODIES WITH REGARD TO YOUR TRAINING? 3 NO. DID YOU GET A DEGREE FROM ANY SCHOOL OF 5 THEOLOGY THAT IS ACCREDITED BY ANY STATE OR GOVERNMENTAL 6 7 BODY? 3 NO. 9 BY THE WAY, YOU SAID YOUR TITLE WAS MESSENGER. 10 ARE THERE ANY OTHER MESSENGERS ON THE FACE OF THE EARTH 11 TODAY? 12 Α THERE ARE MANY KINDS OF MESSENGERS. YOUR KIND OF MESSENGER? 13 I DON'T KNOW OF ANOTHER THAT DOES THIS SPECIFIC 14 Α 15 WORK I DO. DO YOU KNOW OF ANY OTHER MESSENGERS THAT GET 16 Q THESE MESSAGES FROM HEAVEN? 17 18 I BELIEVE THERE ARE MANY WHO RECEIVE MESSAGES 19 FROM HEAVEN. 20 BUT THERE ARE NO OTHER ONES THAT DO THE KIND OF Q 21 WORK YOU DO? 22 A YES. I BELIEVE I HAVE A SPECIFIC CALLING. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH GREGORY MULL? 23 Ç YES. 24 25 Q WHERE DID YOU FIRST MEET HIM? A MY EARLIEST RECOLLECTION IS THAT I MET HIM WHEN 26 HE ATTENDED A SUNDAY SERVICE IN SANTA BARBARA IN THE FALL, 27 28 LATE FALL OF 1974.

- 1		
1	Q	AT THE TIME YOU MET HIM AT THAT SUNDAY SERVICE,
2	DID YOU KNOW	THAT GREGORY MULL WAS A BUILDING DESIGNER?
3	Α	I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
4	Q	DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO MEET MR. MULL IN SAN
5	FRANCISCO IN	1974?
6	Α	I DON'T REMEMBER MEETING HIM THERE.
7	Q	IS IT POSSIBLE THAT YOU MAY HAVE MET HIM THERE
8	AT A DINNER?	
٥	Α	IT IS POSSIBLE.
LO	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT MR. MULL ATTENDED A
11	QUARTER AT SL	MMIT UNIVERSITY IN 1975?
12	Α	YES.
L3	Q	WHERE WAS THAT QUARTER HELD?
4	A	IT WAS HELD IN SANTA BARBARA.
15	Q	DURING THE COURSE OF THAT QUARTER, DID YOU AND
re	MR. MULL HAVE	COCCASION TO GO OUT AND GO SHOPPING?
17	A	I DON'T HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF GOING SHOPPING
Lε	WITH HIM DUR	ING THAT QUARTER.
2	Q	IS IT A POSSIBILITY YOU MIGHT HAVE?
20	А	I DON'T REMEMBER.
21	Q	DO YOU DETERMINE WHAT THE CODE OF CONDUCT WOULD
22	BE AT SUMMIT	UNI VERS ITY?
23	A	BASICALLY, YES.
24	Q	ANYONE ASSIST YOU IN MAKING UP THE CODE OF
25	CONDUCT?	
26	A	WELL, SOME OF IT IS FEEDBACK FROM PEOPLE
27	ATTENDING SU	MIT UNIVERSITY.
28	Q	DO YOU GET DIRECTIONS FROM ON HIGH ABOUT THE

1	CODE OF CONDUCT?	
2	A I BELIEVE I AM INSPIRED BY GOD.	
3	Q WHAT ABOUT EL MORYA AND SERAPIS BEY? DO THEY	
4	HELP YOU ALSO?	
5	A YES. THESE ARE ASCENDED MASTERS WHO ARE MY	
6	TEACHERS.	
7	Q I SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED EXHIBIT NUMBER	
8	99. IT SAYS, "A WAY OF LIFE, A CODE OF CONDUCT FOR STUDENTS	
9	AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY."	
10	IS THAT THE CODE OF CONDUCT THAT IS PUBLISHED	
11	AND DISSEMINATED TO THE STUDENTS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?	
12	A THIS HAS A COPYRIGHT DATE OF 1975, SO IT WOULD	
13	NOT BE THE CURRENT CODE OF CONDUCT.	
14	Q WELL, LET'S CONFINE OURSELVES TO THE TIME WHEN	
15	MR. MULL WENT TO SUMMIT UNIVERSITY. WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN	
16	THE CODE OF CONDUCT HE RECEIVED?	
17	A I BELIEVE SO.	
18	Q DO YOU TALK TO THE STUDENTS ABOUT CHANGING FROM	
19	THE OLD MAN THEY WERE TO THE NEW MAN THEY WILL BECOME, SORT	
20	OF LIKE A REBIRTH?	
21	A YES. I TEACH THAT TEACHING OF PAUL.	
22	Q DO YOU CAUTION THE STUDENTS NOT TO INDOCTRINATE	
23	ANYONE IN ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE TEACHINGS AT SUMMIT	
24	UNI VERSITY?	
25	A I REQUEST THAT OTHER TEACHINGS NOT BE TAUGHT	
26	DURING THE QUARTER OF 12 WEEKS.	
27	Q WOULD YOU LOOK AT PAGE 21, PLEASE. I AM GOING	
28	TO READ TO YOU THE FIRST SENTENCE IN THAT SECTION CALLED	

COMMUNION WITH THE GOD PRESENCE. IT SAYS: (READING.)

\*STUDENTS ARE NOT TO ATTEMPT TO

INDOCTRINATE FELLOW STUDENTS WITH THE
TEACHINGS OTHER THAN THOSE APPROVED BY THE
ASCENDED MASTERS\*; IS THAT CORRECT?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q NOW, THE TEACHINGS BY THE ASCENDED MASTERS, ARE THOSE THE TEACHINGS THAT YOU DETERMINE WILL BE TAUGHT TO THE STUDENTS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?

A YES. THEY ARE THE TEACHERS -- THEY ARE THE TEACHINGS OF THE SCRIPTURES OF EAST AND WEST.

Q NOW, IN THE VERY LAST PAGE OF THE COPY THAT MR.

KLEIN GAVE ME, THERE IS AN AGREEMENT AND A PLEDGE TO UPHOLD

THE CONDUCT, THE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR STUDENTS OF SUMMIT

UNIVERSITY. THE CONCLUDING PORTION OF THAT SAYS THAT:

(READING.)

INFRACTION OF ANY RULES SET FORTH WITHIN
THIS CODE, SAID INFRACTION BEING DEEMED BY
THE HIERARCHY TO PLACE A BURDEN UPON THE
SPIRITUAL PROGRESS OF THE STUDENT BODY
INDIVIDUALLY OR COLLECTIVELY OR UPON THE
IDEALS AND PRINCIPLES OF SUMMIT UNIVERSITY,
MAY LEAD TO MY SUMMARY DISMISSAL FROM THE
UNIVERSITY WITHOUT REFUND OF TUITION OR ROOM
AND BOARD."

IS THAT ONE OF THE RULES AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?

IS WHAT ONE OF THE RULES?

Q THAT IF YOU VIOLATE THIS CODE OF CONDUCT, YOU CAN BE KICKED OUT WITHOUT YOUR MONEY OR ANYTHING ELSE, ASKED TO LEAVE THE SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?

A IT SAYS IT MAY LEAD TO SUMMARY DISMISSAL. NOT NECESSARILY SHALL, BUT IT MAY.

Q NOW, ON PAGE 24, THERE IS A PORTION ENTITLED HARMONY, THE BYWORD OF AN INITIATE. THAT IS ALSO A PART OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT?

A YES, IT IS.

Q LET ME READ THAT TO YOU. IT SAYS, "HARMONY WITH THE CHRIST AND THE LAW IS THE BYWORD" --MR. KLEIN: AND WITH THE LAW.

MR. LEVY: PARDON ME, MR. KLEIN. (READING.)

\*HARMONY WITH THE CHRIST AND
WITH THE LAW IS THE BYWORD OF INITIATES OF
THE SACRED FIRE. DISCIPLES SHOULD HARMONIZE
THEIR BUILDING IN CONSCIOUSNESS WITH THE
HOLY SPIRIT AND GUARD THEIR FOUR LOWER
BODIES AGAINST THE INTRUSION OF PSYCHIC
PROJECTIONS, MALICIOUS, IGNORANT,
SYMPATHETIC AND DELICIOUS ANIMAL MAGNETISM
IN ALL FORMS OF DISCORD AND MANIFESTATIONS
AND THOUGHT, FEELING, WORD AND DEED SINCE
THE HIGHEST RANK AND THE HIGHEST GOOD IS
HARMONY. STUDENTS SHOULD REFRAIN FROM
ARGUMENTS, UNNECESSARY DISCUSSION, GOSSIP OR
UNSEEMLY CONVERSATION OR INTERFERE WITH ONE

ANOTHER'S EVOLUTION."

IF ONE OF THE STUDENTS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY 1 Q 2 DISCUSSED HIS DELICIOUS ANIMAL MAGNETISM AND THEREBY INTERFERED WITH ANOTHER'S EVOLUTION, WOULD THAT STUDENT BE 3 4 SUBJECT TO BEING EXPELLED WITHOUT A REFUND OF HIS TUITION? 5 Α NO. 6 WHAT DOES THAT MEAN, DELICIOUS ANIMAL Q 7 MAGNET ISM? 8 THERE ARE FOUR TYPES OF ANIMAL MAGNETISM LISTED WHICH CORRESPOND TO THE FOUR LOWER BODIES. THE FIRST ONE --9 10 WELL, IF YOU WISH ME TO STATE THE DELICIOUS ANIMAL 11 MAGNETISM, IT HAS TO DO WITH THE PHYSICAL BODY AND ITS PASSIONS, ITS LUSTS AND ITS DESIRES, APPETITES. 12 DURING THE YEARS 1975, '76 AND '77, DID YOU AND 13 14 GREGORY MULL BECOME FRIENDS? 15 I FELT THAT WE WERE FRIENDS, YES. 16 DURING THOSE YEARS, DID YOU AND HE HAVE 17 OCCASION TO GO ON SHOPPING TRIPS? 31 Α YES. 19 TO GO OUT TO DINNER? Q 20 YES. 21 DID GREGORY WORK FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE CHURCH 22 DURING THOSE YEARS?-23 WHICH YEARS? 24 '75, 'G AND '7. 25 MR. KLEIN: I WILL OBJECT AS TO VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS, 26 YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WHAT? 27 28 MR. KLEIN: I SAID OBJECTION AS TO VAGUE AND

AMBIGUOUS. 1 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 2 THE WITNESS: I DON'T BELIEVE HE DID WORK FOR THE 3 CHURCH DURING THOSE YEARS. BY MR. LEVY: DID HE CONTRIBUTE MONEY SO THAT 5 OTHER PEOPLE COULD GO TO QUARTERS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY? б HE EITHER CONTRIBUTED THE MONEY OR GAVE IT TO OTHER PEOPLE TO ATTEND, YES. 8 DID HE GO TO CONFERENCES DURING THOSE YEARS? 9 YES, HE DID. 10 DID HE PAY FOR THOSE CONFERENCES? 11 TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. 12 DID HE EVEN SET UP A TEACHING CENTER IN HIS OWN 13 HOME TO FURTHER THE TEACHINGS OF THE CHURCH? 14 NO. 15 DID HE HAVE STUDENTS OR MEMBERS OR ASSOCIATES 16 OR AFFILIATES OF THE CHURCH RESIDING IN HIS HOME FOR A TIME? 17 I BELIEVE HE DID. 18 THAT'S WHAT I MEAN BY DID HE WORK FOR THE 19 BENEFIT OF THE CHURCH DURING THOSE YEARS. 20 21 I SEE. NOW THAT YOU UNDERSTAND IT, IN YOUR OPINION DID 22 HE WORK FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE CHURCH DURING THOSE YEARS? 23 YES, HE DID. 24 DID YOU CORRESPOND WITH HIM DURING THOSE YEARS? Q 25 I RECEIVED MANY LETTERS FROM HIM AND I WROTE A 26 Α FEW TO HIM. 27 Q DID HE WRITE YOU LETTERS ABOUT DECORATING WORK 28

1	THAT HE WAS ASSISTING YOU WITH AT ANY OF YOUR FACILITIES?		
2	A	YES, HE DID.	
3	Q	DID HE GO AND FIND OUT INFORMATION ABOUT	
4	STAINED GLASS	S AND ARCHITECTURAL WORK FOR YOU?	
5	A	YES, HE DID.	
6	Q	DID HE GIVE YOU THE PLANS FOR A STAR SHAPED	
7	FIVE POINTED	STAR-SHAPED HOME?	
8	A	HE GAVE ME PLANS THAT HE HAD FOR A STAR-SHAPED	
9	HOME THAT HE	HAD DESIGNED IN THE PAST.	
10	Q	WOULD YOU SAY YOU KNEW HIM FAIRLY WELL DURING	
11	THOSE YEARS?	·	
12	A	FAIRLY WELL.	
13	Q	ON ANY OF THOSE SHOPPING TRIPS, DID YOU EVER	
14	VISIT MR. MU	LL'S HOME?	
15	Α	YES, I DID.	
16	Q	DO YOU RECALL WHEN YOU DID VISIT HIS HOME?	
17	A	NO.	
18	Q	DID YOU AND MR. MULL EVER GO SHOPPING IN SAN	
19	FRANCISCO FO	R AN ARMOIRE?	
20	A	I BELIEVE HE WAS PRESENT WHEN I WAS SHOPPING	
21	FOR AN ARMOI	RE.	
22	Q	DID YOU AND HE HAVE ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT THE	
23	ARMO IRE?		
24	A	I DON'T RECALL THE DISCUSSIONS.	
25	Q	WERE THERE DISCUSSIONS?	
2€	A	WELL, WE SPOKE AS WE SHOPPED. I DON'T RECALL A	
27	SPECIFIC DIS	CUSSION ON THE ARMOIRE.	
28	Q	DID HE TELL YOU THAT THE ARMOIRE THAT YOU SAW	
	•		

1	AND LIKED AT PHILLEPELLO'S WAS TOO LARGE FOR THE ASHRAM?
2	A IT'S POSSIBLE.
3	Q DID HE TELL YOU HE HAD AN ARMOIRE AT HOME THAT
4	WAS IN THE RIGHT DIMENSION FOR THE ASHRAM?
5	A I DON'T RECALL HIM TELLING ME THAT.
6	Q DID YOU GO TO HIS HOME TO LOOK AT HIS ARMOIRE
7	AT HIS HOME?
8	A I DON'T REMEMBER THAT THAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF
9	THE VISIT.
10	Q WELL, WHATEVER THE PURPOSE WAS, DID YOU GO TO
11	HIS HOME?
12	A YES, I DID.
13	Q DID YOU LOOK AT AN ARMOIRE?
14	A I SAW AN ARMOIRE IN HIS HOME.
15	Q CAN YOU TELL US THE DISCUSSION, IF ANY, THAT
16	YOU AND HE HAD WITH REGARD TO THE ARMOIRE?
17	A I DON'T BELIEVE WE HAD A DISCUSSION. I BELIEVE
18	THAT HE AT SOME POINT IN MY VISIT ANNOUNCED TO ME THAT HE
19	WAS GOING TO GIVE ME HIS ARMOIRE.
20	Q DO I UNDERSTAND YOU CORRECTLY, YOU WALKED INTO
21	HIS HOUSE, YOU LOOKED AROUND, YOU LOOKED AT HIS ARMOIRE AND
22	HE SAID, "I WANT YOU TO HAVE MY ARMOIRE"?
23	A I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG IT WAS AFTER I ARRIVED
24	THERE. BUT ON AN OCCASION WHEN I VISITED HIM, HE DID GIVE
25	TO ME HIS ARMOIRE.
26	Q DO YOU RECALL IF ANYONE ELSE WAS ALONG WITH YOU
27	ON THAT VISIT TO MR. MULL'S HOME?
28	A RANDALL KING WAS ALONG.

DID YOU AND RANDALL KING HAVE ANY DISCUSSION 1 Q ABOUT THE ARMOIRE OUT OF THE HEARING OF MR. MULL? 2 3 Α NO. DID YOU AND RANDALL KING DISCUSS THE 5 POSSIBILITY OF OBTAINING THE ARMOIRE FROM MR. MULL? 6 Α NO. WAS THERE EVER A TIME DURING THE YEARS 1975, 7 8 '76, '77 AND MAYBE EVEN '78 WHEN YOU, ALONG WITH OTHERS FROM 9 THE CHURCH, MADE UP STATIONERY WITH MR. MULL'S BUSINESS 10 HEADING ON IT WITHOUT HIS KNOWLEDGE THAT YOU USED FOR 11 YOURSELF? 12 I DON'T BELIEVE SO. 13 DID YOU HAVE CARDS MADE UP WITH YOUR NAME ON 14 THEM AND RANDALL KING'S NAME MADE UP ON THEM THAT BORE THE 15 HEADING OF MR. MULL'S DESIGN BUSINESS, THOSE CARDS BEING 16 MADE UP WITHOUT THE KNOWLEDGE OF MR. MULL, SO YOU COULD USE 17 THEM FOR YOUR OWN AND THE CHURCH'S BENEFIT? 31 I DON'T RECALL THAT THIS HAPPENED. 10 WHAT ARE CLEARANCE LETTERS? 20 CLEARANCE LETTERS ARE LETTERS THAT SUMMIT 21 UNIVERSITY STUDENTS WRITE. THEY ADDRESS THEM TO JESUS, TO 22 GOD AND TO MYSELF. 23 AND THEY CONTAIN A LIST OF EXPERIENCES IN THEIR LIVES WHICH THEY DEEM TO BE MOST TRAUMATIC AND BURDENSOME 24 25 CONCERNING WHICH THEY WOULD LIKE ME TO SAY PRAYERS FOR THEM 26 DURING THE QUARTER OF SUMMIT UNIVERSITY FOR THE CLEARING OF 27 THOSE RECORDS IN THE SUBCONSCIOUS BY THE HOLY SPIRIT FOR

THEIR FREEDOM FROM THE BURDENS OF THE RECORDS OF THIS LIFE.

DO YOU CONSIDER THOSE CLEARANCE LETTERS LIKE 1 2 CONFESSIONS TO A PRIEST? I THINK THAT THERE IS A DISTINCTION BETWEEN A 3 CONFESSION AND A CLEARANCE LETTER. WHAT DO YOU SEE AS THE DISTINCTION? 5 I SEE THAT A CONFESSION IS A CONFESSION OF A 6 7 SIN IN THE CATHOLIC SENSE OF THE WORD. ITEMS CONTAINED IN A 8 CLEARANCE LETTER MAY NOT NECESSARILY BE A SIN. THEY MAY SIMPLY BE OF TROUBLESOME EXPERIENCES WHICH AN INDIVIDUAL'S 9 TRYING TO WORK THROUGH. THAT IS WHY THEY ARE NOT CALLED 10 CONFESSION LETTERS. 11 12 MR. LEVY: AT THIS POINT, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO 13 READ FROM MISS PROPHET'S DEPOSITION VOLUME ONE, PAGE 121, 14 LINE 6 THROUGH LINE 13. QUESTION --15 MR. KLEIN: JUST -- CAN I READ IT? 16 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 17 MR. LEVY: (READING.) 18 "Q ONE MORE TIME THEN. THE 19 THINGS THAT YOU SUGGESTED BE IN THEIR 20 CLEARANCE LETTERS, WHAT WERE THE ITEMS THAT 21 YOU RECOMMENDED TO THE STUDENTS THAT THEY 22 INCLUDE IN THEIR CLEARANCE LETTER? 23 \*A - ANYTHING THEY MIGHT CONFESS TO GOD OR THE SAINTS OR THEIR PRIEST 24 25 THAT WOULD HAVE TO DO WITH BURDENSOME 26 SITUATIONS OF THEIR LIVES THAT REQUIRED A 27 RESOLUTION, A PSYCHOLOGICAL BLOCK THAT THEY 28 COULD NOT GET PAST."

HAVING READ THAT, DOES THAT ASSIST YOU IN 1 2 RECALLING AS TO WHETHER OR NOT CLEARANCE LETTERS ARE IN FACT 3 CONFESSIONS AS TO A PRIEST? I DO RECALL HAVING SAID THAT IN MY DEPOSITION. 4 5 SINCE YOU DEPOSED ME, I HAVE SERIOUSLY CONSIDERED THE 6 DEFINITION OF TERMS AND I HAVE REALIZED THAT THE WORD CLEARANCE IS A BROADER TERM THAN CONFESSION INASMUCH AS IT 7 8 IS NOT CONCERNING ITSELF PREDOMINANTLY WITH SO-CALLED SINS. 9 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU. 10 YOUR HONOR, I WILL OBJECT TO THE RESPONSE AND 11 MOVE THAT IT BE STRICKEN AS SELF-SERVING AND NONRESPONSIVE 12 TO ANY QUESTION. THE COURT: MOTION IS GRANTED. THE LAST ANSWER OF 13 14 THE WITNESS IS STRICKEN. THE JURY IS DIRECTED TO DISREGARD 15 IT. 16 BY MR. LEVY: WHAT DO YOU TELL THE STUDENTS AT Q 17 SUMMIT UNIVERSITY WILL BE DONE WITH THEIR CLEARANCE LETTERS? 31 IS THIS PRESENT OR IN MR. MULL'S QUARTER? 19 EVERYTHING IS WITH REGARD TO MR. MULL. Q 20 HIS QUARTERS WERE WINTER 1975 AND FALL 1976. 21 I THINK IT WAS THE FIRST QUARTER STARTED IN JANUARY OF 1975. 22 23 CORRECT. THAT IS WINTER. 24 OKAY. WHAT DID YOU TELL THE STUDENTS AT THAT 25 TIME WOULD BE DONE WITH THEIR CLEARANCE LETTERS? I WOULD READ THEM, OFFER PRAYERS FOR THEM AT 26 27 THE ALTER AND THEN THEY WOULD BE BURNED.

DID YOU BURN THEM?

28

Ç

l	
1	A NO. MY TEACHING ASSISTANT DID.
2	Q DID ANYONE ELSE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT
3	THOSE CONFESSIONS BEFORE THEY WERE BURNED?
4	A NO.
5	Q MRS. FRANCIS, DID SOME OF THOSE CLEARANCE
6	LETTERS FIND THEIR WAY INTO THE PERMANENT FILES OF STUDENTS?
7	A NO.
8	Q NEVER?
9	A NOT FROM THOSE TWO QUARTERS.
10	Q FROM ANY OTHER QUARTERS?
11	A YES.
12	Q THEN THE LETTERS THAT YOU TOLD THE STUDENTS
13	WOULD BE BURNED AS SOON AS YOU READ THEM SO THEY WOULD BE
14	PRIVATE BETWEEN YOURSELF AND GOD WERE IN FACT NOT BURNED,
15	BUT PUT IN THEIR PERMANENT FILE; IS THAT CORRECT?
16	A YES. BUT THERE WAS A REASON FOR THAT.
17	Q WHAT WAS THE REASON, MRS. FRANCIS?
18	A MAY I BEGIN WITH QUARTER '73 IN THE FALL?
١9	Q EXPLAIN IN ANY WAY YOU LIKE.
20	A THAT WAS THE FIRST QUARTER I TAUGHT AT SUMMIT
21	UNIVERSITY AND I REALLY WASN'T SURE HOW I SHOULD BE HANDLING
22	THOSE CLEARANCE LETTERS. WHEN I READ THEM, I REALIZED THAT
23	STUDENTS HAD SERIOUS PROBLEMS AND I WOULD LIKE TO COUNSEL
24	THEM ON THOSE PROBLEMS.
25	AND SO I DESIRED TO MAKE THE CALLS WHICH I DID
26	AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY FOR EACH ONE OF THEM AND THOSE WHOM I
27	FELT HAD PROBLEMS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS WITH THEM, I

HAD THE LETTER SEALED AND PLACED IN THEIR FILES UNTIL I

2.8

COULD HAVE A COUNSELING SESSION WITH THEM. THESE LETTERS 1 2 WERE LATER BURNED. Q DID YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS EVER READ ANY OF 3 4 THOSE LETTERS? 5 NO. 6 Q DID YOU --NOW THIS IS '73 I HAVE JUST TOLD YOU ABOUT. 7 8 I SEE. IT CHANGES AS THE TIME GOES ON; IS THAT 9 CORRECT? WELL, LATER ON THERE WERE A COUPLE OF LETTERS 10 11 THAT I TOOK TO MY BOARD OF DIRECTORS BECAUSE OF SERIOUS 12 PROBLEMS IN THEM. 13 Q DID YOU TELL THEM WHAT THE SERIOUS PROBLEM WAS 14 OR DID THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS READ THOSE CONFESSIONS? 15 I TOLD THEM WHAT THE PROBLEMS WERE. 16 ISN'T IT A FACT, MRS. FRANCIS, THAT THEY SAT 17 AROUND AND READ THE LETTERS AND LAUGHED ABOUT THE JUICY 18 PARTS? 19 THAT IS NOT A FACT. 20 DID YOU EVER RECOMMEND TO THESE STUDENTS WITH 21 PROBLEMS THAT THEY CONTACT A PSYCHIATRIST OR PSYCHOANALYST 22 THAT WAS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CHURCH BY THE NAME OF DR. 23 YANEY? 24 MY COUNSELING ON THEIR LETTERS WAS STRICTLY 25 SPIRITUAL COUNSELING. 26 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU. 27 I AM GOING TO GBJECT, YOUR HONOR, AND MOVE TO 28 STRIKE THE ANSWER AS NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: MOTION IS GRANTED. THE LAST ANSWER OF
THE WITNESS IS STRICKEN. THE JURY IS DIRECTED TO DISREGARD
IT.

Q BY MR. LEVY: AS A RESULT OF THE PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEMS THAT WERE EVIDENCED TO YOU IN THEIR CONFESSION, DID YOU EVER SUGGEST TO ANY OF THESE STUDENTS THAT THEY SEEK COUNSEL WITH A PSYCHOANALYST WHO WAS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CHURCH BY THE NAME OF DR. RALPH YANEY?

A I RECOMMENDED STUDENTS SEEK PSYCHOLOGICAL
COUNSELING AND I MADE KNOWN TO THEM THAT DR. YANEY WAS
AVAILABLE. HOWEVER, I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT MY RECOMMENDATION
WAS BASED SOLELY ON THE KNOWLEDGE I OBTAINED FROM THEIR
CLEARANCE LETTER, ALTHOUGH IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN.

Q BUT THE BOTTOM LINE IS YOU SENT THEM TO DR.

RALPH YANEY, YOU RECOMMENDED THAT THEY GO SEE HIM IF YOU

THOUGHT THEY HAD A PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEM; IS THAT CORRECT?

A MY WORDS WERE THEY SHOULD SEEK COUNSELING OF WHOMEVER THEY WOULD LIKE TO AND THAT DR. YANEY WAS ALSO AVAILABLE.

Q DID MOST OF THEM, BY YOUR DIRECTION, GO TO RALPH YANEY?

A I THINK SOME DID AND SOME WENT TO OTHER PSYCHIATRISTS OR COUNSELORS.

Q AND THEREAFTER, DID THOSE THAT WENT TO DR. YANEY, DID YOU AND DR. YANEY DISCUSS WHATEVER DR. YANEY LEARNED ABOUT THOSE STUDENTS?

MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT AS TO THE RELEVANCE OF THIS LINE OF QUESTIONING.

THE COURT: OVERRULED. 1 2 YOU CAN ANSWER. THE WITNESS: WOULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION, PLEASE. 3 MR. LEVY: WOULD THE COURT PLEASE HAVE THE REPORTER READ THE QUESTION BACK? 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 6 7 (THE QUESTION WAS READ.) 8 THE WITNESS: NOT AS A RULE. Ò BY MR. LEVY: BUT YOU DID DISCUSS IT SOMETIMES? Q 10 AS A GREAT EXCEPTION. 11 BUT YOU DID DISCUSS IT SOMETIMES? 12 SOMETIMES. DID YOUR SECRETARY TYPE UP THE NOTES OF DR. 13 14 YANEY ABOUT THOSE PRIVATE SESSIONS AND TURN THOSE NOTES OVER TO YOU? 15 16 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AGAIN WOULD OBJECT AS TO 17 THE RELEVANCE OF THIS. 31 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 19 THE WITNESS: IT IS POSSIBLE. 20 Q BY MR. LEVY: DID SHE DO THAT UNDER YOUR 21 DIRECTION? 22 MY SECRETARY RECEIVED PHONE CALLS FROM MANY 23 PEOPLE, AND WOULD TYPE THEM AND GIVE THEM TO ME. SHE MIGHT 24 HAVE DONE SO OF DR. YANEY OR ANYONE ELSE. 25 DIDN'T YOU THINK IT JUST A LITTLE BIT ODD THAT 26 A PSYCHOANALYST WOULD HAVE HIS PRIVATE NOTES OF A SESSION WITH ONE OF YOUR STUDENTS TYPED BY YOUR SECRETARY AND GIVEN 27 28 TO YOU?

1	Q HOW LONG DOES A STUDENT AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY ON
2	A DAILY BASIS GENERALLY DECREE?
3	A PERHAPS THREE HOURS.
4	Q AND HOW IS THE DECREEING DIVIDED UP DURING THE
5	COURSE OF THE DAY?
6	A MORNING BEFORE CLASSES, AFTER LUNCH, AND LATER
7	IN THE AFTERNOON OR AFTER DINNER.
8	Q DO STUDENTS DECREE BEFORE THEY HAVE CLASS
9	SESSIONS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
10	A BEFORE OR DURING.
11	Q WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF DECREEING IMMEDIATELY
12	BEFORE RECEIVING YOUR INSTRUCTION?
13	A DECREEING AND THE SCIENCE OF THE SPOKEN WORD IS
14	A TEACHING AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY. THE FIRST PURPOSE OF
15	DECREEING IS TO LEARN HOW TO DECREE.
16	Q THE FIRST PURPOSE OF DECREEING IS TO LEARN HOW
17	TO DECREE?
18	A YES. IT IS ONE OF THE MAIN SUBJECTS WE TEACH.
19	Q THANK YOU.
20	NOW, WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF HAVING A STUDENT
21	DECREE FOR A PERIOD OF TIME IMMEDIATELY BEFORE A SESSION
22	WHERE YOU GIVE INSTRUCTION?
23	A DECREES HAVE A NUMBER OF PURPOSES.
24	Q I AM SURE THEY DO.
25	A ANY ONE OF THOSE PURPOSES MIGHT BE THE PURPOSE
26	OF A PARTICULAR DAY.
27	Q DO STUDENTS DECREE EVERY DAY?
28	A YES.

1	Q DO THEY DECREE AT SPECIAL CHURCH MEETINGS?
2	A YES.
3	Q DO THEY DECREE AT EVENING SESSIONS, PRAYER
4	MEETINGS AND HEALING SERVICES?
5	A IF THEY ARE IN ATTENDANCE AT THOSE SERVICES.
6	Q ARE STUDENTS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY GENERALLY
7	FREE TO DECIDE FOR THEMSELVES WHETHER OR NOT THEY WANT TO GO
3	TO SERVICES?
9	A THERE IS AN ELEMENT OF CHOICE.
10	Q ISN'T IT TRUE THAT THE STUDENTS AT SUMMIT
11	UNIVERSITY ARE TOLD TO BE AT ALL THE DIFFERENT MEETINGS IN
12	THE PRAYER SESSIONS AND EVERYTHING ELSE?
13	A THEY DO NOT ATTEND ALL OF THEM.
14	Q THAT WASN'T MY QUESTION.
15	A EXCUSE ME.
16	Q MY QUESTION WAS AREN'T THE STUDENTS TOLD TO
17	ATTEND ALL OF THE SESSIONS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY?
18	A THE SESSIONS AT SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, YES.
19	Q AND THAT INCLUDES ALL OF THE DECREE SESSIONS?
20	A THE DECREES DONE BY SUMMIT UNIVERSITY STUDENTS.
21	THERE IS AN EXCEPTION HOWEVER.
22	Q WHAT IS THAT EXCEPTION?
23	A FOR ELDERLY PEOPLE OR PEOPLE WHO ARE PERHAPS
24	INFIRM IN SOME WAY. IF THEY CANNOT PUT IN A FULL DAY, THEY
25	ARE GIVEN A PLACE TO REST AND THEY ARE NOT REQUIRED TO GIVE
26	FULL ATTENDANCE.
27	Q NOW, ON PAGE 11 OF YOUR CODE OF CONDUCT, I'D
8	LIKE TO READ THE FIRST PARAGRAPH ON THAT PAGE TO YOU. IT

1	SAYS: (READING.)
2	*ATTENDANCE AT SERVICES AND
3	CLASSES. STUDENTS ARE REQUESTED TO ATTEND
4	THE FOLLOWING GROUP DECREES AS SCHEDULED
5	EACH QUARTER.
6	THE MORNING ROSARY, MOTHER
7	MARY SCRIPTURAL ROSARY FOR THE NEW AGE,
8	MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY.
Ģ	"INVOCATIONS AND DECREES FOR A
10	PERSONAL AND PLANETARY CYCLES OF THE COSMIC
11	CLOCK, MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY.
12	"INVOCATIONS AND DECREES FOR
13	WORLD ACTION, MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY.
14	"EVENING SERVICES ON WEDNESDAY,
15	FRIDAY AND SATURDAY.
16	"SUNDAY MORNING SERVICE AT
17	10:30 A.M., INCLUDING THE RITUAL LECTURE AND
18	DICTATION.
19	"ALL OTHER SERVICES HELD IN THE
20	SANCTUARY BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE ARE
21	OPTIONAL EXCEPT THOSE SPECIALLY CONVENED BY
22	THE MOTHER OF THE FLAME."
23	YOU ARE THE MOTHER OF THE FLAME?
24	A YES.
25	Q AND YOU CONVENE ALL OF THOSE SESSIONS AND
26	REQUEST THAT ALL OF THE STUDENTS ATTEND ALL OF THOSE
27	SESSIONS?
28	A IN PRACTICE. I DON'T BELIEVE THEY WERE

REQUIRED TO ATTEND ALL OF THE WEEK NIGHT SERVICES. 1 THEN LET ME READ THE NEXT PARAGRAPH TO YOU. 2 3 (READING.) \*STUDENTS ARE REQUIRED TO 4 ATTEND SEVEN CLASSES DAILY AS OUTLINED ON 5 THE DAILY SCHEDULE PLUS ANY OTHER SCHEDULED 6 7 COURSES." THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPH STARTS: 8 9 "ABSENCE FROM THESE SERVICES AND CLASSES WILL NOT BE EXCUSED WITHOUT 10 PRIOR PERMISSION FROM THE MOTHER OF THE 11 12 FLAME OR FROM THE INSTRUCTORS. STUDENTS SHOULD STRIVE TO BE PUNCTUAL, ORDERLY AND 13 RESPECTFUL AS THEY WOULD IN A RETREAT OF THE 14 15 GREAT WHITE BROTHERHOOD. THE HIERARCHY RECOMMEND THAT THEIR CHELAS BE PRESENT FIVE 16 MINUTES BEFORE EACH SERVICE FOR THE PURPOSE 17 OF MEDITATION AND THE PREPARATION OF THE 18 19 CONSCIOUSNESS FOR THE FLOW OF GIVING AND 20 RECEIVING AS ABOVE SO BELOW THAT IS OUR 21 GREAT OPPORTUNITY AS WE WORSHIP TOGETHER AS 22 THE BODY OF GOD UPON EARTH." 23 WHAT IS THE PURPOSE FOR ALL OF THOSE DECREES? IT IS PART OF THE COURSE OF INSTRUCTION AT 24 25 SUMMIT UNIVERSITY, WHICH IS AN INTENSIVE RETREAT. 26 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU FOR THAT. 27 I OBJECT AND MOVE THAT IT BE STRICKEN. IT IS 28 NOT RESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: EXCUSE ME. 1 MR. LEVY: I RECOGNIZE WHAT GOES ON AT SUMMIT 2 3 UNIVERSITY. WOULD THE COURT RULE ON MY OBJECTION FIRST? THE COURT: NO. YOU HADN'T STOPPED SO THAT I MIGHT 5 DO THAT. б 7 MR. LEVY: I REALIZED THAT AFTER I KEPT GOING. THE COURT: THE ANSWER CAN STAND, BUT GO AHEAD AND 3 9 ASK A FOLLOW-UP ON IT. AND THEN WE WILL TAKE A RECESS SHORTLY. WHY DON'T YOU FIND A CONVENIENT TIME. 10 11 MR. LEVY: OKAY. Q BY MR. LEVY: WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF DECREEING? 12 THE PURPOSE OF DECREEING? 13 YES, MA'AM. 14 Q IS TO INVOKE THE WILL OF GOD, HIS ILLUMINATION, 15 HIS LOVE, HIS PURITY, HIS TEACHING ON MINISTRATION AND 16 SERVICE AND THE VIOLET FLAME FOR FREEDOM AND TRANSMUTATION. 17 THE PURPOSE OF DECREES IS TO BALANCE KARMA, ENHANCE YOUR 18 19 FACULTIES TO REALIZE GOD. 20 THESE ARE AFFIRMATIONS AND PRAYERS THAT ARE TAKEN FROM ALL THE WORLD'S RELIGIONS AND THEY SERVE THE 21 BASIC PURPOSE OF PRAYER OR AFFIRMATIONS. 22 CAN DECREES EVER BE DANGEROUS? 23 MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR. CALLS 24 FOR A CONCLUSION ON THE PART OF THIS WITNESS. 25 26 THE COURT: WHAT? MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. IT CALLS FOR A 27 28 CONCLUSION ON THE PART OF THIS WITNESS.

THE COURT: PLEASE REPHRASE IT. 1 BY MR. LEVY: IS IT PART OF THE RULES OF YOUR 2 CHURCH -- DO YOU INFORM THE PEOPLE BY WAY OF THESE RULES 3 THAT DECREEING MAY BE DANGEROUS? 4 I EXPLAIN TO THEM THAT DECREES CAN BE DANGEROUS 5 WHEN THEIR VIBRATIONS ARE NOT GOOD WHEN THEY PRAY OR WHEN 6 THEY GIVE DECREES. 7 8 Q I AM GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT IS ENTITLED EXHIBIT 5. IT IS CALLED "THE ADDENDUM TO THE HOUSE RULES." IN THE 9 10 MIDDLE OF THE PAGE, YOU WILL SEE THAT THERE IS A PORTION 11 THAT IS UNDERLINED. 12 LET ME READ THE DOCUMENT TO THE COURT. IT IS CALLED "ADDENDUM TO HOUSE RULES, RE POSSESSED OR DERANGED 13 PERSONS" --14 15 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE WOULD OBJECT. IT IS NOT IN EVIDENCE. AND ONCE HE READS IT, HE IS TREATING IT AS 16 IF IT WAS IN EVIDENCE. THERE HAS BEEN NO FOUNDATION TO PUT 17 18 THIS IN EVIDENCE AT THIS POINT. THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU ASK A COUPLE OF 19 20 FOUNDATIONAL QUESTIONS. 21 MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR. 22 Q MISS FRANCIS, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE DOCUMENT? NO. AND IT IS PAGE FIVE OF A DOCUMENT. 23 24 NO. THE PAGE NUMBER ON THERE IS THE EXHIBIT 25 NUMBER, EXHIBIT 5. 26 I SEE. 27 THAT IS A DOCUMENT THAT WAS GIVEN TO ME BY YOUR

ATTORNEY AS BEING PART OF THE INFORMATION THAT IS

28

DISSEMINATED BY YOUR CHURCH. NOW DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE 1 2 DOCUMENT? MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT TO THAT 3 STATEMENT AS IT BEING GIVEN TO HIM BY ME. I HAVE NEVER 4 5 SEEN --THE COURT: WHAT? 6 7 MR. KLEIN: I WOULD OBJECT TO COUNSEL'S STATEMENT THAT I GAVE HIM THIS DOCUMENT. I HAVE NEVER SEEN THAT 8 9 DOCUMENT. THE COURT: YOU HAVEN'T SEEN IT BEFORE? 10 MR. KLEIN: I HAVE NEVER SEEN IT AND I KNOW I DIDN'T 11 GIVE IT TO HIM. I HAVE NEVER SEEN THE DOCUMENT UNTIL HE 12 PRESENTED IT TO THE COURT. 13 MR. LEVY: I'D LIKE TO REMIND MR. KLEIN THAT WE 14 EXCHANGED EXHIBITS AND HE ACKNOWLEDGED THE DOCUMENT WAS ONE 15 16 OF THE DOCUMENTS I RECEIVED WHEN I SUBPOENAED MATERIAL FROM THE CHURCH. 17 MR. KLEIN: I --18 THE COURT: JUST A SECOND. YOU CAN SIT DOWN. 19 WE WILL TAKE OUR RECESS AT THIS TIME, AND YOU 20 ALL CAN STRAIGHTEN THIS OUT AND PERHAPS YOUR RECOLLECTION 21 22 MIGHT BE REFRESHED. WE WILL RESUME IN 15 MINUTES. 23 (RECESS.) 24 25 THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED. MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 26 Q MRS. FRANCIS, THAT DOCUMENT THAT IS IN FRONT OF 27

YOU THAT IS MARKED EXHIBIT 5 FOR IDENTIFICATION, ARE YOU

28

1	FAMILIAR WITH THAT DOCUMENT?
2	A NO, I AM NOT.
3	Q DOES ANYONE ELSE IN THE CHURCH BESIDE YOURSELF
4	HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO SET THE RULES FOR THE TEACHING
5	CENTERS?
6	A YES.
7	Q AND WHO ARE THEY?
8	A THE TEACHING CENTERS.
9	Q EACH INDIVIDUAL TEACHING CENTER IS AUTONOMOUS
10	UNTO ITSELF?
11	A IN ESTABLISHING ITS HOUSE RULES AND EVERYTHING
12	IT DOES.
13	Q DO THEY DO THAT WITH YOUR ADVICE AND CONSENT?
14	A NOT SO MUCH MY ADVICE. THEY SIMPLY HAVE THE
15	AUTHORITY TO MAKE THEIR OWN RULES, PLAN THEIR OWN LECTURES
16	AND CONDUCT THEIR AFFAIRS.
17	Q AND THEY HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO DO THAT WITHOUT
18	THE CONFIRMATION AND APPROVAL OF YOURSELF AND THE BOARD OF
19	DIRECTORS?
20	A THAT'S CORRECT.
21	Q SO IF ONE OF YOUR TEACHING CENTERS WANTED TO
22	TEACH BASEBALL INSTEAD OF ABOUT THE ASCENDED MASTERS, THAT
23	WOULD BE OKAY WITH YOU?
24	A BASEBALL IS FINE WITH ME.
25	Q CAN ANY TEACHING CENTER TEACH WHATEVER THEY
26	CHOOSE WITHOUT YOUR APPROVAL?
27	A YOU NOW HAVE SHIFTED FROM THEIR HOUSE RULES AND
28	ACTIVITIES

Q DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION? 1 -- TO TEACHINGS. 2 THE COURT: PLEASE ANSWER THE QUESTION. 3 THE WITNESS: THE TEACHINGS THEY TEACH COME FROM THE MOTHER CHURCH. 5 6 BY MR. LEVY: ARE THE HOUSE RULES FOR EACH 7 TEACHING CENTER APPROVED EITHER BY YOURSELF, THE BOARD OF 8 DIRECTORS OR THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE CHURCH? 9 NO. 10 THEN I WOULD BE RIGHT IN ASSUMING THAT EACH TEACHING CENTER IS INDEPENDENT AND CAN DO WHATEVER THEY WANT 11 12 TO WITH REGARD TO THEIR OWN HOUSE RULES? 13 YES. 14 ON THAT DOCUMENT THAT IS MARKED FOR 15 IDENTIFICATION, IF YOU READ THE FIRST PARAGRAPH, YOU WILL 16 SEE THAT IF THERE IS A PROBLEM, PEOPLE IN THE TEACHING 17 CENTER ARE REQUIRED TO CALL DIFFERENT PARTIES. 18 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT AGAIN. 19 IN EFFECT HE IS READING THE DOCUMENT THAT IS NOT EVIDENCE. 20 THE COURT: IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE. HE CAN ASK 21 QUESTIONS CONCERNING IT. 22 MR. KLEIN: HE IS SAYING WHAT THE FIRST PARAGRAPH 23 SAYS. THAT IS PUTTING IN A DOCUMENT THAT IS NOT IN 24 EVIDENCE. 25 THE COURT: LET'S WAIT FOR THE QUESTION. 26 BY MR. LEVY: IN THE DOCUMENT, THERE ARE A 27 NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO ARE LISTED TO BE CONTACTED IF THERE IS

28

A PROBLEM.

ARE THOSE PEOPLE LOCATED IN THE TEACHING CENTER 1 OR ARE THEY LOCATED IN THE MAIN BODY OF THE CHURCH? 2 ARE YOU SPEAKING OF JOANNE, MARY OR NORMA? 3 4 WELL, YES, MA'AM, NOW THAT YOU HAVE NAMED THEM. I WOULD GUESS THAT THOSE PEOPLE WERE PROBABLY 5 AT THE SAN FRANCISCO TEACHING CENTER. б 7 WHO WAS IN CHARGE OF THE TEACHING CENTER IN 8 1975 WHEN MR. MULL WAS PRESENT THERE? MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. THAT IS ASSUMING 9 10 FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. 11 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 12 THE WITNESS: MAY I ASK WHAT YOU MEAN BY WHEN MR. MULL WAS PRESENT THERE? 13 BY MR. LEVY: WELL, LET ME WITHDRAW THAT, 14 Q MA 'AM . 15 DID MR. MULL, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, ATTEND DECREE 16 SESSIONS AND CHURCH SERVICES IN THE SAN FRANCISCO TEACHING 17 CENTER IN 1974 AND '5 AND '6 AND '7 AND '8? 18 I BELIEVE HE DID. 19 AND WHO WAS IN CHARGE OF THAT TEACHING CENTER 20 21 IN 1974 AND '75? I AM NOT SURE. 22 WASN'T RORY INGALLS HEAD OF THE TEACHING CENTER 23 24 DURING THOSE YEARS. I AM NOT CERTAIN THAT THE TEACHING CENTER WAS 25 ESTABLISHED IN THOSE YEARS. I KNOW THAT RORY INGALLS HAD A LEADERSHIP ROLE IN SAN FRANCISCO. 27 WAS HE NOT IN FACT HEAD OF THE TEACHING CENTER 2ε Q

1	IN SAN FRANCISCO?
2	A I DON'T REMEMBER.
3	Q WASN'T HE ALSO KICKED OUT OF THE CHURCH?
4	A NO. HE RESIGNED FROM THE CHURCH.
5	Q BACK TO DECREES, DID YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE
6	OF THE DICTATES OF THIS RULE WHICH SUGGESTED YOU SHOULD NOT
7	DECREE AROUND CERTAIN PEOPLE BECAUSE IT COULD BE VERY
8	DANGEROUS?
9	A I BELIEVE THIS DIRECTIVE IS REGARDING PEOPLE
10	WHO COME TO THE DOOR.
11	Q IF YOU LOOK IN THE FIRST PARAGRAPH, IT
12	ADDRESSES ITSELF TO PEOPLE WHO ARE COMING TO THE DOOR AND IT
13	MAKES REFERENCE IF THEY ARE ALREADY IN THE TEACHING CENTER.
14	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I ONCE AGAIN OBJECT TO HIM
15	TELLING THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT. IT IS NOT IN
16	EVI DENCE.
17	THE COURT: APPROACH THE BENCH, PLEASE.
18	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
19	AT THE BENCH:)
20	THE COURT: MR. KLEIN, ARE YOU REPRESENTING TO THIS
21	COURT THAT YOU KNOW NOTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 5 FOR
22	IDENTIFICATION?
23	MR. KLEIN: I AM REPRESENTING TO THIS COURT I DID NOT
24	GIVE THIS DOCUMENT TO COUNSEL EVER. THE FIRST TIME I SAW
25	THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHAT THIS DOCUMENT IS?
26	MR. KLEIN: I CAN GUESS, BUT I HAVE NO IDEA
27	THE COURT: I AM NOT ASKING YOU TO GUESS. DO YOU
20	PRIOR OF YORD OUR PROBEEDOES OF YOU HAVE THEODMATION OF YOUR

OWN KNOWLEDGE BEARING ON THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE ADDENDUM, 1 2 WHICH IS EXHIBIT 5 FOR IDENTIFICATION? 3 MR. KLEIN: WHAT I CAN SIMPLY SAY, YOUR HONOR, IS I HAVE SEEN IT AND I KNOW THAT THEIR -4 THE COURT: YOU HAVE SEEN IT BEFORE TODAY, HAVEN'T 5 YOU? 6 MR. KLEIN: I SAW IT WHEN HE GAVE IT TO ME. THAT IS 7 8 THE FIRST DAY I SAW IT. 9 MR. LEVY: THAT WAS TWO WEEKS AGO. 10 MR. KLEIN: RIGHT. 11 MR. LEVY: AND YOUR CLIENTS HAVE NEVER SEEN IT 12 BEFORE. 13 MR. KLEIN: I DIDN'T SAY MY CLIENTS HAVE NEVER SEEN 14 IT. 15 THE COURT: WHAT ARE YOU SAYING? 16 MR. KLEIN: I AM SAYING I HAVE NEVER SEEN THIS 17 DOCUMENT. IT IS INDICATED 1980. AND MY OBJECTION IS THAT 18 HE IN EFFECT IS READING IT INTO EVIDENCE WHEN HE HASN'T LAID 19 A PROPER FOUNDATION FOR THE DOCUMENT BEING IN EVIDENCE. 20 THE COURT: ARE YOU SAYING THAT EXHIBIT 5 FOR 21 IDENTIFICATION IS NOT WHAT IT PURPORTS TO BE? 22 MR. KLEIN: NO, I AM NOT SAYING THAT. 23 THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT ITS AUTHENTICITY? 24 MR. KLEIN: I DON'T KNOW. 25 THE COURT: YOU DON'T KNOW? 26 MR. LEVY: YOU HAVE HAD IT FOR TWO WEEKS. 27 THE COURT: I GAVE YOU A RECESS A FEW MINUTES AGO SO 28 THAT YOU COULD STRAIGHTEN THIS OUT, AVOID A PROBLEM AND

REFRESH YOUR OWN RECOLLECTION IF INDEED THAT WOULD BE 1 HELPFUL. 2 MR. KLEIN: IN OTHER WORDS, WHAT I AM SAYING IS WHAT 3 MY CLIENT'S TELLING ME IT IS VERY POSSIBLE THAT IN 1980 THAT THIS TEACHING CENTER HAD THE RULES AND I AM SURE THEY HAD 5 THESE RULES. 6 THE COURT: WHAT? MR. KLEIN: IT IS VERY POSSIBLE IN 1980 THE TEACHING 8 CENTER IN SAN FRANCISCO ISSUED THOSE RULES AND THESE ARE THE 9 RULES, BUT THIS ISN'T SOMETHING WE GAVE HIM AND I AM NOT 10 11 SURE THESE ARE HIS RULES OR NOT. ALL I AM SAYING IS I DON'T SEE THE FOUNDATION. 12 SHE SAID THIS TEACHING CENTER MADE THEIR OWN RULES, AND 13 COMES IN WITH SOME 1980 DOCUMENT, WHICH IS AFTER MR. MULL 14 WAS OUT OF THE CHURCH, AND SAYS -- AND STARTS TO READ IT 15 16 INTO EVIDENCE, IN EFFECT TELL -17 THE COURT: IF YOU WOULD KEEP YOUR HAND STILL, I CAN CONCENTRATE ON WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO SAY. 18 19 MR. KLEIN: I AM SORRY, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU DROP THIS FOR THE TIME 21 BEING. I WOULD EXPECT THAT THERE WILL BE WITNESSES WHO CAN 22 AUTHENTICATE THIS AND THEN THE JURORS CAN DRAW WHAT 23 INFERENCES THEY WILL. 24 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR. 25 MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 26 (THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN 27 COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 28 Q BY MR. LEVY: ONE LAST QUESTION ON DECREEING.

1 MRS. FRANCIS. 2 ISN'T IT TRUE THAT THE PURPOSE OF DECREEING IS 3 TO PLACE THE PERSON DECREEING IN A RECEPTIVE STATE SO AS TO 4 RECEIVE THE LESSON GIVEN TO THEM BY YOU FROM THE ASCENDED 5 MASTERS? A THE PURPOSE OF THE DECREE IS TO PUT THE 6 7 INDIVIDUAL IN A RECEPTIVE STATE TO GOD, TO THE LORD CHRIST 3 AND THE ANGELIC HOSTS. 9 AND YOU ARE THE INTERMEDIARY BECAUSE YOU GET 10 THEIR MESSAGES; IS THAT RIGHT? 11 I AM NOT SAYING THAT I GET THEIR MESSAGES. I 12 AM SAYING THIS IS THE PURPOSE OF DECREEING. 13 Q LET'S MOVE ON. DO YOU KNOW MISS KATHLEEN MUELLER? 14 15 YES. 16 IS MISS MUELLER THE FORMER MISS KATHLEEN MULL? 17 YES. 18 AND PRIOR TO THAT, SHE WAS KATHLEEN HAMMOND; IS 19 THAT CORRECT? 20 YES. 21 DID YOU YOURSELF HAVE ANY INVOLVEMENT 22 WHATSOEVER IN THE MARRIAGE BETWEEN MR. MULL AND KATHLEEN 23 HAMMOND? 24 I PERFORMED THE CEREMONY. 25 DID YOU GIVE EITHER ONE OF THEM ADVICE PRIOR TO 26 THEIR MARRIAGE? 27 IF I DID SO, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE USUAL

ADVICE THAT I GAVE TO ALL OF THE COUPLES WHO WERE MARRIED IN

28

THAT WEDDING. 1 IN YOUR CHURCH, IS IT NECESSARY FOR PEOPLE TO 3 GET YOUR PERMISSION PRIOR TO MARRYING? NO. 5 DID GREGORY MULL AND KATHLEEN HAMMOND ASK YOUR 6 PERMISSION BEFORE THEY COULD GET MARRIED? 7 I BELIEVE GREGORY MULL TOLD ME HE WAS GOING TO 3 MARRY KATHLEEN HAMMOND. DID HE ASK YOU YOUR PERMISSION? 9 0 10 I DON'T BELIEVE HE ASKED MY PERMISSION. 11 ISN'T IT A FACT THAT MR. MULL AND KATHLEEN 12 HAMMOND ASKED YOUR PERMISSION AND AT THE OUTSET YOU DENIED THEM YOUR PERMISSION TO GET MARRIED? 13 I THOUGHT THEY SOUGHT MY COUNSEL AND MY 14 15 TEACHING AND THAT I MADE A RECOMMENDATION. WHAT KIND OF RECOMMENDATION DID YOU MAKE? 16 17 IT MAY HAVE BEEN CONCERNING HER ATTENDING 18 SUMMIT UNIVERSITY. IT WASN'T A STATEMENT THAT THEY SHOULD 19 OR SHOULD NOT GET MARRIED. 20 THEN ARE YOU SUGGESTING THAT THERE WAS A 21 REQUIREMENT THAT SHE ATTEND SUMMIT UNIVERSITY BEFORE THEY 22 COULD GET MARRIED? 23 IT WAS NOT A REQUIREMENT. IT WAS A SUGGESTION. Α 24 YOU ARE THE SPIRITUAL LEADER OF YOUR CHURCH? Q 25 YES, I AM. 26 WOULD YOU GUESSTIMATE THAT MOST OF THE PEOPLE 27 AFFILIATED WITH YOUR CHURCH WOULD PLACE GREAT WEIGHT ON YOUR

28

SUGGESTIONS?

I MAKE IT VERY CLEAR TO THEM WHEN I MAKE A 1 2 SUGGESTION THAT IT IS THEIR FREE WILL TO TAKE IT UP OR NOT 3 TO. DO YOU ALSO TELL PEOPLE IN YOUR CHURCH WHEN IT 4 Q 5 IS THE PROPER TIME FOR THEM TO HAVE CHILDREN AND WHEN NOT? I TELL THEM THAT THE BLESSING OF MARRIAGE IS 6 7 THE BLESSING TO HAVE CHILDREN. AND IF THEY SEEK MY ADVICE 8 ON HAVING CHILDREN, I WOULD GIVE MY ADVICE ON THAT. 9 DON'T YOU EVEN IN YOUR CHURCH GIVE YOUR 10 FOLLOWERS RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH REGARD TO THE WAY THEY 11 MAY PARTICIPATE SEXUALLY DURING THEIR MARRIAGE? 12 THESE ARE NOT RULES AND REGULATIONS. THEY ARE TEACHINGS IN ANSWER TO THEIR QUESTIONS REGARDING MARRIAGE. 13 AND IF THEY DON'T FOLLOW THOSE RULES AND 14 REGULATIONS, DO THEY GET BAD KARMA? 15 16 Α NO. 17 IS THEIR SOLE IN JEOPARDY IF THEY DON'T FOLLOW YOUR SUGGESTION? 18 19 NOT AT ALL. WAS THERE A TIME THAT MR. MULL MADE A PERSONAL 20 DONATION TO YOU BEFORE HE AND KATHLEEN HAMMOND GOT MARRIED? 21 22 IT'S POSSIBLE. DIDN'T HE GIVE YOU \$1,000 ALONG WITH SOME OTHER 23 24 MONEY BEFORE HE AND KATHLEEN HAMMOND GOT MARRIED? I THOUGHT HE GAVE THAT MONEY AROUND HIS 25 ATTENDANCE AT FIRST QUARTER, WINTER, 1975. 26 IS THAT THE ONLY MONEY YOU REMEMBER THAT MR. 27 28 MULL GAVE TO THE CHURCH, 1975?

\$1,000, DID YOU TELL HIM AND KATHLEEN HAMMOND THAT EL MORYA

28

1	GAVE YOU THE AUTHORITY TO GIVE THEM PERMISSION TO GET
2	MARRIED?
3	A IF THE INCIDENT OF THE GIFT YOU ARE REFERRING
4	TO WAS THE ONE I REMEMBER
5	MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR
6	THE WITNESS: THE ANSWER IS NO.
7	Q BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU AT ANY TIME TELL KATHLEEN
8	HAMMOND AND GREGORY MULL THAT EL MORYA GAVE YOU INFORMATION
9	THAT AUTHORIZED THEIR MARRIAGE?
10	A MY ANSWER TO THEIR QUESTION REGARDING THEIR
11	MARRIAGE AND MY MESSAGE TO THEM FROM EL MORYA WAS NOT AN
12	AUTHORIZATION OR A PERMISSION. IT WAS SPIRITUAL ADVICE.
13	Q THE QUESTION IS DID EL MORYA TELL YOU TO TELL
14	THEM IT WAS OKAY TO GET MARRIED?
15	A THE STATEMENT WAS THERE WAS NO REASON WHY IF
16	THEY DESIRED TO GET MARRIED, THEY SHOULDN'T GET MARRIED.
17	THEY WERE NOT TOLD TO GET MARRIED.
18	Q AT THE TIME THEY GOT MARRIED, DID EL MORYA ALSO
19	TELL YOU IT WAS OKAY FOR SIX OTHER COUPLES TO GET MARRIED IN
20	THE CHURCH ALL IN ONE BIG, GRAND CEREMONY?
21	A I DON'T REMEMBER THE INDIVIDUAL COUNSEL TO EACH
22	COUPLE WHO WAS MARRIED.
23	Q DID SEVEN COUPLES GET MARRIED ALL AT THE SAME
24	TIME IN YOUR CHURCH?
25	A APPROXIMATELY A GROUP OF COUPLES GOT MARRIED ON
26	CHRISTMAS EVE WHEN THEY WERE MARRIED.
27	Q DO YOU RECALL HOW MANY WERE IN THE GROUP?
28	A NO, I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY HOW MANY COUPLES.

1	Q YOU AUTHORIZED AND INFORMED THEM THAT IT WAS
2	OKAY WITH EL MORYA FOR THEM TO GET MARRIED AT THAT TIME?
3	A I DON'T REMEMBER IF I SAID THAT TO EVERY
4	COUPLE.
5	Q DID YOU SAY IT TO YOUR WHOLE CONGREGATION?
6	A I DON'T RECALL MY WORDS.
7	Q DID YOU GIVE A DICTATION, ONE OF THOSE MESSAGES
8	FROM EL MORYA, IN FRONT OF YOUR CONGREGATION SAYING THAT IT
9	WAS OKAY FOR ALL SEVEN COUPLES TO GET MARRIED ON CHRISTMAS
10	EVE 1977?
11	A I DON'T RECALL.
12	Q DID YOU SET THE TERMS FOR THE MARRIAGE BETWEEN
13	MR. MULL AND MISS HAMMOND?
14	A WHAT KIND OF TERMS ARE YOU SPEAKING OF?
15	Q WELL, YOU'VE TOLD US SO FAR THAT SHE WAS AT
16	CAMELOT. WAS MR. MULL AT CAMELOT OR ON CHURCH PROPERTY IN
17	1977 WHEN THEY GOT MARRIED?
18	A HE WAS WORKING IN HIS HOME IN SAN FRANCISCO.
19	Q AND WOULD I BE CORRECT TO ASSUME THAT MISS
20	HAMMOND WAS WORKING FOR YOU AT WHEREVER YOUR LOCATION WAS?
21	A I BELIEVE SHE WAS WORKING FOR US AT THE TIME.
22	THE COURT: IS THAT MICROPHONE ON? OKAY. YES, PULL
23	IT A LITTLE CLOSER. THANK YOU.
24	Q BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU TELL KATHLEEN HAMMOND AND
25	GREGORY MULL WHEN THEY BECAME MR. AND MRS. MULL THAT THEY
26	COULD DO SO AS LONG AS KATHLEEN WORKED FOR YOU OR THE CHURCH
27	WHILE GREGORY WAS RESIDING IN SAN FRANCISCO?
28	A I BELIEVE THAT THAT WAS PART OF OUR AGREEMENT.

1	Q IT WAS PART OF YOUR AGREEMENT THAT KATHLEEN
2	COULD GO UP TO SAN FRANCISCO TO VISIT MR. MULL EVERY COUPLE
3	OF WEEKS?
4	A OR EVERY WEEKEND OR HE COULD COME DOWN. IT WAS
5	BASED ON THE FACT THAT SHE DESIRED TO CONTINUE WORKING FOR
6	ME AND HE DESIRED TO BE IN SAN FRANCISCO. IT WASN'T LIKE I
7	WAS MAKING THEM DO THIS.
8	Q WHAT POSITION DID KATHLEEN MULL HAVE WHEN SHE
9	WAS WORKING FOR YOU?
10	A AT THAT TIME SHE MAY HAVE BEEN WORKING IN
11	GRAPHICS OR SHE MAY HAVE BEEN DOING SECRETARIAL WORK.
12	Q WHAT POSITION DID SHE HAVE WITH YOU WHEN SHE
13	WAS AT CAMELOT?
14	A I BELIEVE IN LATE '78 OR '78 AND '79, SHE WAS
15	WORKING FOR ME AS A SECRETARY. AND PRIOR TO THAT, SHE HAD
16	DONE OTHER JOBS.
17	Q DID SHE EVER WORK IN THE FUND RAISING
8 1	DEPARTMENT TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE?
19	A I DON'T KNOW.
20	Q WHO WOULD KNOW IN THE CHURCH IF YOU DON'T KNOW?
21	A WELL, SHE WOULD KNOW. AND WHOEVER SHE WORKED
22	FOR WOULD KNOW.
23	Q AT THAT TIME, HOW LARGE WAS YOUR PERSONAL
24	STAFF?
25	A YOU MEAN THE STAFF OF OUR HEADQUARTERS?
26	Q NO. I MEAN YOUR PERSONAL STAFF.
27	A WHAT ARE YOU CALLING MY PERSONAL STAFF?
32	Q YOUR SECRETARIES, YOUR ATTENDANTS, YOUR

WHATE VER . 1 MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS VAGUE AND 2 3 AMBIGUOUS, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER. THE WITNESS: I HAD TWO OR THREE SECRETARIES HANDLING 5 THE LOAD OF WORK THAT CAME TO MY OFFICE. I HAD A COUPLE OF 6 7 PEOPLE HELPING ME WITH MY HOUSE, MEALS AND FOUR CHILDREN. AND I THINK THAT IS ALL I WOULD CALL MY PERSONAL STAFF. 8 BY MR. LEVY: HOW MANY HOURS A DAY AS A GENERAL 9 Q RULE WOULD KATHLEEN MULL WORK FOR YOU? 10 11 EIGHT OR NINE HOURS. WAS SHE ON-CALL DURING THE EVENING HOURS? 12 EVENING HOURS YOU WOULD MEAN AS WHAT? 13 I MEAN AFTER THE EIGHT OR NINE HOURS, WHATEVER 14 OTHER HOURS THERE ARE. 15 MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS VAGUE AND 16 17 AMBIGUOUS AS TO WHAT HE MEANS BY "ON-CALL." THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER. 18 19 THE WITNESS: SHE MIGHT HAVE BEEN ON-CALL AFTER DINNER IN THE EARLY EVENING. 20 21 BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU EVER CALL HER AT TWO OR 22 THREE OR FOUR O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING BECAUSE YOU NEEDED A 23 DOCUMENT OR FILE OR ANYTHING? 24 NO, NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE. 25 ISN'T IT GENERAL KNOWLEDGE WITHIN THE CHURCH 26 THAT YOU ARE SOMEWHAT OF AN INSOMNIAC AND YOU WORK VERY LATE

HOURS?

Α

NO.

2ε

1	Q AND YOU NEVER CALLED HER AT TWO OR THREE OR
2	FOUR O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING AFTER SHE HAD WORKED A FULL DAY?
3	A NO.
4	Q DID YOU EVER GIVE KATHLEEN MULL CONFLICTING
5	INSTRUCTIONS ABOUT SUCH PETTY LITTLE THINGS AS TRASH BUCKET
6	LINERS?
7	MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AS TO
8	WHAT HE MEANS BY "CONFLICTING INSTRUCTIONS," YOUR HONOR.
ō	THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.
10	THE WITNESS: I DON'T REMEMBER.
11	Q BY MR. LEVY: ISN'T IT A FACT THAT YOU FINED
12	SOMEONE IN HER PRESENCE BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T PUT A TRASH BAG
13	LINER IN A TRASH CAN?
14	A I DON'T HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF SUCH AN EVENT.
15	Q AND YOU FINED SOMEONE ELSE BECAUSE THEY DID PUT
16	A TRASH BAG LINER IN A TRASH CAN?
17	A I DON'T HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF THE INCIDENTS
18	YOU ARE SPEAKING OF.
19	Q SHE WORKED FOR YOU SEVEN DAYS A WEEK?
20	A NO.
21	Q WHEN SHE WAS IN RESIDENCE AT CAMELOT AND NOT
22	VISITING MR. MULL, WOULD SHE BE ON-CALL TO YOU TO ANSWER ANY
23	OF YOUR REQUESTS SEVEN DAYS A WEEK?
24	A I THINK SHE WOULD HAVE ANSWERED IF I HAD CALLED
25	HER, BUT I DID NOT MAKE IT A PRACTICE OF CALLING HER SEVEN
26	DAYS A WEEK.
27	Q EVEN THOUGH YOU DIDN'T MAKE IT A PRACTICE, WERE
28	THERE OCCASIONS WHEN YOU DID SUMMON HER OR REQUEST HER

1	ATTENDANCE ON OTHER THAN MONDAY TO FRIDAY?
2	A THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN.
3	Q YOU HAVE NO RECOLLECTION OF THAT?
4	A I THINK IT'S POSSIBLE.
5	Q DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU WERE MADE AWARE
6	BY MR. MONROE SHEARER THAT MR. MULL HAD SOUGHT ADVICE AND
7	COUNSEL FROM HIM BECAUSE OF KATHLEEN MULL'S DISAFFECTION
8	WITH YOU AND YOUR CHURCH?
9	A ARE YOU ASKING IF I BECAME AWARE FROM MONROE
0	AND GREGORY THAT HE SOUGHT COUNSELING FROM MONROE?
11	Q I WILL SETTLE FOR AN ANSWER TO THAT ONE, YES.
12	A I DON'T RECALL BEING MADE AWARE OF
.3	Q WAS THERE A TIME WHEN MR. MONROE SHEARER
4	BROUGHT GREGORY MULL AND KATHLEEN MULL INTO YOUR PRESENCE
L <b>5</b>	BECAUSE SHE HAD BECOME DISAFFECTED WITH CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND
16	TR JUMPHANT?
.7	A IT DIDN'T HAPPEN IN THAT WAY. I WILL EXPLAIN.
8.	Q WHY DON'T YOU TELL US WHAT HAPPENED, HOW IT
5	HAPPENED.
20	A MR. MULL CAME TO ME VERY BURDENED ONE DAY, AND
21	SAID THAT HE COULD NO LONGER WITHHOLD FROM ME THE
22	INFORMATION THAT HIS WIFE WAS CONSTANTLY CRITICIZING ME AND
23	THE CHURCH AND HER JOB AND SO FORTH. AND HE SAID IT HAD
24	BEEN GOING ON FOR MANY, MANY MONTHS AND HE FELT I SHOULD
25	KNOW ABOUT IT.
26	SO I ASKED HIM AND KATHLEEN AND MONROE TO MEET
27	WITH ME SO WE COULD DISCUSS THE PROBLEM.
3.5	O DID YOU MEET AND DISCUSS THE PROBLEM?

YES, WE DID. 1 WHAT WAS THE END RESULT OF THAT DISCUSSION? 2 Q THE END RESULT OF THAT DISCUSSION WAS THAT 3 KATHLEEN AND I, WHO SHARED A GREAT LOVE FOR EACH OTHER, 5 AGREED THAT SHE HAD A LOVE/HATE RELATIONSHIP WITH ME, WITH б THE CHURCH AND EVEN WITH HER HUSBAND. AND SHE SAID SHE HAD, 7 YES, DONE THESE THINGS: AND I REMINDED HER THAT WE HAD A VERY LOVING RELATIONSHIP. 8 AND IN MY CONCERN FOR THIS DICHOTOMY, I 9 10 RECOMMENDED THAT SHE TAKE A LEAVE OF ABSENCE, SEEK THERAPY, TAKE CARE OF HER CHILD WHO HAD BEEN REPORTED TO ME AS BEING 11 SUICIDAL, TAKE CARE OF HER MOTHER, AND ALSO GET A JOB, AND 12 13 FEEL GOOD ABOUT HER JOB AND FEEL IN COMMAND OF HER JOB AND OVER THE EMOTIONS THAT SHE WAS DEALING WITH. 14 15 DOES THAT MEAN YOU ASKED HER TO LEAVE THE CHURCH? 16 17 NO, I DIDN'T ASK HER TO LEAVE THE CHURCH. WAS SHE GIVEN 48 HOURS TO GET HER STUFF 18 19 TOGETHER AND GET OUT OF THE CHURCH? 20 I DON'T RECALL THE TIME FRAME. I EXPLAINED TO 21 HER THAT I THOUGHT THAT THIS WAS A LONGSTANDING PROBLEM AND 22 THE PRESSURE ON HER LIVING AT CAMELOT WAS NOT GOOD. 23 DIDN'T YOU SEE ANY WAY AS HER SPIRITUAL LEADER 24 TO WORK TO SAVE HER SOUL RIGHT THERE AT CAMELOT? 25 I FELT THAT IF OVER A YEAR'S PERIOD SHE HAD NOT 26 COME TO ME FOR COUNSELING, THAT THE MOST IMMEDIATE NEED SHE 27 HAD WAS TO COME OUT FROM UNDER THE PRESSURE OF THIS DIVISION 28 IN HER LIFE.

I TOLD HER SHE COULD COME BACK WHEN SHE HAD A 1 SUCCESSFUL PERIOD OF THERAPY AND A SUCCESSFUL JOB SITUATION 2 WHERE SHE DIDN'T REPEAT THIS SYNDROME OF A LOVE/HATE 3 RELATIONSHIP WITH AN AUTHORITY FIGURE. THERE WAS A CHILD 5 AND HER MOTHER ALSO TO BE CONSIDERED. AND I LOOKED FORWARD TO HER RETURN. I DIDN'T 6 7 THINK THAT THE CHURCH WAS THE BEST PLACE FOR HER AT THAT TIME TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM. 8 DIDN'T YOU INSTRUCT GREGORY MULL TO TAKE HER TO 9 THE BUS STATION, AND PUT HER ON THE BUS HOME TO HER MOTHER 10 11 AND NOT TO GIVE HER ANY MONEY? NO. HE IN FACT DID GIVE HER MONEY FROM THE 12 13 TIME SHE LEFT AND COMPLAINED BITTERLY ABOUT DOING SO. 14 SO THERE WAS A TIME WHEN SHE EVENTUALLY LEFT 15 THE CHURCH; IS THAT CORRECT? 16 SHE LEFT THE PREMISES OF CAMELOT. SHE WAS NOT 17 LEAVING THE CHURCH. 18 TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID SHE EVENTUALLY DIVORCE 19 MR. MULL OR WAS THERE A DIVORCE BETWEEN MR. MULL AND 20 HERSELF? 21 YES. Α 22 DID SHE MARRY A GENTLEMAN BY THE NAME OF ED 23 MUELLER? 24 YES, SHE DID. 25 WAS MR. MUELLER KICKED OUT OF THE CHURCH 26 BECAUSE HE WAS MARRIED TO THE FORMER KATHLEEN MULL? 27 NO. 28 MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION AS TO RELEVANCY - SHE'S

1 ANSWERED. BY MR. LEVY: WASN'T HE IN FACT TOLD IF HE 2 Q 3 DIVORCED THE FORMER KATHLEEN MULL, THAT HE WOULD NOT BE 4 EXCOMMUNICATED FROM THE CHURCH AND HE COULD STAY IN THE 5 CHUR CH? I NEVER EVEN HEARD SUCH A THING. б 7 WASN'T THERE EVEN A LAWSUIT BETWEEN HE AND SOME PEOPLE IN THE CHURCH ABOUT A DISPUTE ABOUT LAND WHERE THAT 3 9 VERY THING CAME INTO EVIDENCE IN THAT LAWSUIT? 10 WHAT VERY THING? 11 THE FACT THAT HE WAS EXCOMMUNICATED FROM THE 12 CHURCH BECAUSE HE WOULD NOT DIVORCE THE FORMER KATHLEEN 13 MULL? 14 I DID NOT READ THE PROCEEDINGS IN THAT LAWSUIT. 15 I DO NOT KNOW IF THAT WAS IN EVIDENCE. 16 LET'S MOVE NOW TO THE TIME JUST PRIOR TO WHEN 17 MR. MULL CAME TO CAMELOT. DID YOU PARTICIPATE IN ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH 18 19 YOUR BOARD, BOARD OF DIRECTORS OR EXECUTIVE BOARD, PRIOR TO 20 MR. MULL COMING TO CAMELOT? WHAT KIND OF DISCUSSIONS ARE YOU REFERRING TO? 21 22 THE TERMS UNDER WHICH HE WOULD COME TO CAMELOT. 0 23 I SPOKE WITH MONROE ABOUT MR. MULL'S COMING TO 24 CAMELOT. WOULD YOU TELL US WHAT YOU SAID AND WHAT HE 25 Q 26 SAID? FIRST OF ALL, HE CAME AND TOLD ME HE WOULD LIKE 27 TO HAVE MR. MULL COME AND DO ARCHITECTURAL WORK AT CAMELOT. 28

I TOLD HIM I DIDN'T THINK THAT WAS A GOOD IDEA, THAT I HAD WRITTEN TO MR. MULL THE PREVIOUS YEAR AND EXPLAINED THAT I WAS GOING TO USE ARCHITECTS IN THE LOCAL AREA. BUT I TOLD HIM HE COULD DO WHAT HE CHOSE, WHAT HE WANTED TO DO AND WHAT THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE WANTED TO DO IN THE MATTER.

HE INVITED MR. MULL TO COME, WHICH IS MY
UNDERSTANDING HE TELEPHONED HIM. AND MONROE TOLD ME THAT HE
OFFERED TO PAY HIS ROOM AND BOARD AND TRAVEL EXPENSES BACK
AND FORTH TO SAN FRANCISCO WHERE HE WOULD GO ON WEEKENDS TO
WORK IN HIS ARCHITECTURAL OFFICE.

AND THAT MR. MULL WOULD NEED MONEY FOR HIS EXPENSES, AND THAT THOSE TERMS WERE TO BE AGREED UPON AND WORKED OUT WHEN HE GOT THERE AND THAT THEY WERE TO BE ADVANCES OR LOANS TO HIM, WHICH HE SAID HE WOULD REPAY IN THE FUTURE.

Q DID YOU TALK TO ANYONE ELSE ABOUT MR. MULL COMING TO CAMELOT?

A I DON'T BELIEVE SO, NO.

Q BY THE WAY, WAS MR. MULL DOING ANY CONSULTING WORK OR ANY ARCHITECTURAL WORK FOR THE CHURCH PRIOR TO HIS COMING TO CAMELOT?

A I BELIEVE THAT HE WITH GIOVANNI DINI HAD TAKEN UPON THEMSELVES AS A GIFT TO DESIGN A MONTESSORI SCHOOL FROM THEIR OFFICES IN SAN FRANCISCO. AND I BELIEVE ON ONE OCCASION, MR. MULL HAD SPENT A FEW DAYS, PERHAPS FIVE DAYS OR MORE, ON THE PREMISES SOMETIME IN THE PREVIOUS YEARS CONSULTING WITH US.

I THINK THAT DURING THAT TIME, HE ALSO WROTE TO

ME ABOUT A CATHEDRAL THAT HE AND GIOVANNI WANTED TO DESIGN AND THEY ALSO GAVE A COMMENTARY ON THE DESIGN OF THE MASTER PLAN THAT HAD BEEN DONE BY THE ARCHITECTS WHOM THE BOARD HAD HIRED WHO WERE SMITH AND WILLIAMS.

Q LET ME SEE IF I UNDERSTAND YOU CORRECTLY. THEY WANTED TO DESIGN A CATHEDRAL AT CAMELOT?

BELIEVE THEY WROTE AND TOLD ME THEY'D LIKE TO TAKE A HAND AT DESIGNING A SCHOOL AND A CATHEDRAL. AND THAT I REMEMBER IN THE SITUATION OF THE SCHOOL, THAT THEY WROTE AND SAID THAT THIS WAS SOMETHING THEY WANTED TO DO AS A GIFT TO US.

Q WOULD THEY HAVE HAD THE AUTHORITY TO BUILD A SCHOOL OR A CATHEDRAL WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF THE CHURCH?

A THEY DIDN'T OFFER TO BUILD IT. THEY OFFERED TO DO SOME DESIGNING OF WHAT THEY THOUGHT WOULD BE THE PERFECT SCHOOL AND THE PERFECT CATHEDRAL.

Q DID THEY HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH YOU PRIOR TO MAKING THAT GRACIOUS OFFER SO THAT THEY KNEW THAT THERE WAS SOMEBODY AT THE CHURCH THAT MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN A CATHEDRAL AT CAMELOT?

A WELL, MR. MULL AND MR. DINI VISITED ME AT THE ASHRAM. BUT THEIR AWARENESS OF OUR NEEDS FOR DESIGN GOES BACK TO OUR — THE POSTURE OF THE WHOLE MOVEMENT AT THAT TIME IN DEVELOPING THE LAND OF CAMELOT AND COMING UP WITH A MASTER PLAN FOR ALL THE FACILITIES NEEDED.

THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT WE WERE VERY JOYOUS
ABOUT AND TALKED ABOUT FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS AND MR. MULL
WAS VERY INTERESTED IN THAT PROJECT.

DIDN'T YOU IN FACT HAVE DISCUSSIONS WITH MR. 1 MULL, YOU AND THE GOARD OF DIRECTORS, ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY 2 OF HIM DESIGNING A CATHEDRAL WHEN CAMELOT WAS DEVELOPED? 3 I DON'T RECALL ANY SUCH DISCUSSIONS. 4 DID MR. MULL CORRESPOND WITH YOU AND WRITE YOU 5 A LETTER WAY BACK IN JANUARY OF 1978 GIVING YOU ALL THE 6 PARTICULARS OF WHAT IT WOULD COST TO DESIGN A CATHEDRAL AT 7 8 CAMELOT? HE MAY HAVE DONE SO. HE WROTE ME MANY, MANY 9 10 LETTERS. I AM SHOWING YOU WHAT IS MARKED FOR 11 IDENTIFICATION EXHIBIT NUMBER 21. DID YOU AND THE BOARD OF 12 DIRECTORS RECEIVE THAT FROM MR. MULL? 13 THIS IS ADDRESSED TO ME AND THE BOARD AND IT 14 SHOULD HAVE BEEN RECEIVED BY US. 15 MR. LEVY: AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO READ 16 THAT LETTER TO THE COURT. IT IS DATED JANUARY THE 12TH, 17 1978. IT IS ADDRESSED: (READING.) 18 \*BELOVED MOTHER AND BOARD OF 19 DIRECTORS, REVEREND MONROE SHEARER, EDWARD 20 FRANCIS, JAMES MC CAFFREY, FLORENCE MILLER 21 AND TOM MILLER. 22 \*AGAIN, THE ESTIMATED PRICE OF 23 THE CATHEDRAL WITH THE SEATING CAPACITY OF 24 3,300 WITH THE PLANS YOU HAVE WOULD BE 25 65,000 SQUARE FEET. AT \$60 PER SQUARE FOOT, 26 WHICH EQUALS \$3,900,000. A STUDY WOULD HAVE 27 TO BE DONE ON THIS FOR AN ALTER TO 28

ACCOMMODATE PLACE AND YOUR AUDIO VISUAL EQUIPMENT. ALSO AN ENTRANCE FOR BOOK DISPLAYS AND SALES.

"I CALLED THE LOS ANGELES
BUILDING DEPARTMENT BEFORE COMING BACK UP TO
SAN FRANCISCO AND YOU ARE UNDER THE
JURISDICTION OF THE UNIFORM BUILDING CODE
LAWS.

"THE MONTHLY LIVING COST FOR ME
NOW IS \$1,965, WHICH EQUALS \$17.78 PER HOUR
WAGE BASED ON A 160 HOUR WORK MONTH.
GIOVANNI DINI AT \$7.50 PER HOUR, WHICH
EQUALS 1,200 PER MONTH.

"MOST ARCHITECTS ARE PAID A
MINIMUM OF \$40 PER HOUR. MY STRUCTURAL
ENGINEER CHARGES \$70 PER HOUR FOR
CONSULTATION. I CHARGE MY CLIENTS \$35 PER
HOUR FOR CONSULTATION. FOR US TO WORK ON
CAMELOT WOULD COST YOU A TOTAL OF \$3,165 PER
MONTH. THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEER WOULD CHARGE
ONE PERCENT OF THE BUILDING COST, WHICH IS
MORE REASONABLE THAN MOST ENGINEERS. WE CAN
DO A LOT OF WORK IN A MONTH'S TIME.

"IF YOU PLAN ON HIRING US, WE WOULD BE GRATEFUL TO KNOW AS SOON AS POSSIBLE WHEN WE MIGHT START ON THIS PROJECT AS WE WILL NEED TO NOT TAKE ON LOCAL JOBS HERE. WE COULD ALSO -- WE COULD START NOW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

ON THE MONTESSORI PLANS AND HOUSING FOR YOUR APPROVAL AND ALSO DO COMPLETE WORKING DRAWINGS. THERE SHOULD BE NO PROBLEMS TO GET PERMITS FOR THESE TWO FACILITIES AS YOU ALREADY ARE ZONED FOR SCHOOL.

\*ENCLOSED IS A LETTER TO CONTRACTORS FOR BIDDING ON THE TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY. TRY TO GET FIVE BIDS WITH A MINIMUM OF THREE BIDS. YOU CAN SECURE SURVEYORS FROM THE YELLOW PAGES OF THE LOS ANGELES TELEPHONE BOOK. IF YOU SHOULD WANT ME TO HANDLE THIS, I SHALL BE AVAILABLE WITHOUT CHARGE TO DO SO.

"I AM PLEASED TO HEAR YOU ARE OVERFLOWING WITH STUDENTS AT THE MOTHER MARY QUARTERS.

> "I REMAIN RESPECTFULLY YOURS, "GREGORY MULL."

MRS. FRANCIS, ISN'T IT A FACT THAT THERE WERE Q ONGOING DISCUSSIONS BETWEEN YOURSELF AND THE CHURCH AND MR. MULL ABOUT DOING THESE PROJECTS FOR YOU AT THAT TIME?

I DON'T REMEMBER ONGOING DISCUSSIONS SUCH AS YOU DESCRIBE WITH MR. MULL.

ARE YOU SUGGESTING THAT MR. MULL WENT TO THE Q TROUBLE TO CALL THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT HERE AND CHECK OUT ALL THESE THINGS JUST ON THE HOPE THAT YOU MIGHT ALLOW HIM TO SOME DAY BUILD A CATHEDRAL FOR YOU?

MR. MULL HAD HIS CONVERSATIONS WITH THE

27 28

25

COMMITTEE, NOT WITH ME. AND I SIMPLY DO NOT HAVE A 1 KNOWLEDGE OF THOSE DISCUSSIONS. HE WAS VERY EAGER TO WORK 2 FOR US. HE WROTE MANY LETTERS ON THE SUBJECT. AND I HAVE 3 NOTHING ELSE TO ADD ON MY ANSWER. WHO DECIDES WHAT WILL BE DONE AT CHURCH Q 5 UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT? 6 THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, COMMITTEE, DEPARTMENT 7 Α HEADS, MYSELF, ET CETERA. 8 WHO IS ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS? Q 9 SPEAKING ABOUT WHEN THIS LETTER WAS RECEIVED? 10 LET'S START THERE. 11 ALL RIGHT. AT THAT TIME THERE WAS MONROE 12 SHEARER, EDWARD FRANCIS, JAMES MC CAFFREY, FLORENCE MILLER, 13 TOM MILLER AND MYSELF. 14 SIX OF YOU? Q 15 YES. 16 YOU DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH THE 17 BOARD OF DIRECTORS AT THAT TIME? 18 MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS VAGUE AND 19 AMB IGUOUS . 20 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 21 THE WITNESS: I KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THE BOARD 22 OF DIRECTORS, BUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS HAS A COMMITTEE 23 THAT CONDUCTS BUSINESS THAT I DON'T KNOW ALL ABOUT. 24 BY MR. LEVY: AND WHAT IS THAT COMMITTEE? Q 25 THE COMMITTEE AT THIS TIME WAS COMPOSED OF 26 MONROE SHEARER, EDWARD FRANCIS AND JAMES MC CAFFREY. AND 27 THEY RAN THE DAY-TO-DAY AFFAIRS OF THE CAMPUS AND ESPECIALLY 28

WOULD OVERSEE THE BUSINESS, FINANCIAL AND LEGAL MATTERS. 1 IS IT LIKELY THAT THEY WOULD HAVE STARTED 2 DISCUSSIONS FOR AN ARCHITECT TO MOVE TO CAMELOT TO DO THIS 3 WORK WITHOUT EVEN CONFERRING WITH YOU ABOUT IT? THEY MIGHT HAVE DONE SO, BUT I KNOW THEY DIDN'T 5 IN JANUARY OF 1978. 6 DID THEY DO THAT AT ANY TIME? 7 Q THEY DID IT IN JANUARY OF 1979. 3 WHEN THERE IS GOING TO BE A MAJOR EXPENDITURE 9 OF FUNDS, \$50,000 OR MORE OR SO, THAT THE CHURCH IS GOING TO 10 EXPEND, DO THEY CONSULT WITH YOU BEFORE IT IS DONE? 11 YES. THAT IS A BOARD DECISION, NOT A COMMITTEE 12 DECISION. THE COMMITTEE DOES NOT HAVE AUTHORIZATION IN 13 APPROVING EXPENSES WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE BOARD. ONCE 14 THAT APPROVAL IS GIVEN, THEN THEY ACT IN THE CAPACITY OF 15 CARRYING OUT THE BOARD'S DECISIONS. 16 AND DO YOU PARTICIPATE GENERALLY WHEN THERE IS 17 Q GOING TO BE AN EXPENDITURE THAT LARGE BY THE CHURCH? 18 19 YES. DID YOU PARTICIPATE IN THE ACTUAL DISCUSSIONS 20 THAT WENT ON BY THE BOARD WITH REGARD TO GREGORY MULL COMING 21 22 TO CAMELOT? THE DISCUSSIONS TO MY KNOWLEDGE WERE HAD BY 23 MONROE WITH GREGORY MULL AND BY THE COMMITTEE WITH MONROE. 24 DIDN'T YOU AND EDWARD FRANCIS AND MONROE 25 SHEARER AND RANDALL KING AND JIM MC CAFFREY AND YOURSELF SIT 26 AROUND THE CAFETERIA SOMETIMES HAVING COFFEE AND TALKING 27 OVER THE POSSIBILITIES OF MR. MULL COMING TO CAMELOT? 28

1	A RANDALL KING WAS NOT INVOLVED IN BOARD
2	DISCUSSIONS.
3	Q WAS HE EVER PRESENT WHEN THE OFFICIAL BOARD
4	MEMBERS AND YOURSELF WERE DISCUSSING THAT SUBJECT?
5	A NO, NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
6	Q YOU WOULD KNOW IF HE WAS THERE, WOULD YOU NOT?
7	A YES, I WOULD. HE WAS NOT ON THE BOARD AT THAT
8	TIME.
9	Q BUT HE WAS STILL OFFICIALLY YOUR HUSBAND AT
10	THAT TIME?
11	A YES.
12	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH YOUR HUSBAND
13	AT THAT TIME ABOUT HAVING AN ARCHITECT COME TO CAMELOT?
14	A I DON'T REMEMBER.
15	Q DID YOU TALK ABOUT CHURCH BUSINESS WITH YOUR
16	HUSBAND?
17	A YES. I WOULD TALK ABOUT CHURCH BUSINESS,
18	DAY-TO-DAY HAPPENINGS, ET CETERA, WITH HIM.
19	Q WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF HAVING MR. MULL COME TO
20	CAMELOT?
21	A THE PURPOSE WAS TO HAVE AN IN-HOUSE DRAFTSMAN
22	OR ARCHITECT TO HELP WITH THE IMMEDIATE AND ONGOING NEEDS
23	FOR DESIGN AND THE PROJECTS THAT WERE IMMEDIATE AT HAND. IT
24	WAS ALSO TO ANTICIPATE IF IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO ACCOMPLISH
25	IN THE IMMEDIATE FUTURE ANY PORTION OF THE MASTER PLAN THAT
26	HAD BEEN CONCEIVED.
27	Q WERE YOU AWARE THAT MR. MULL WAS GOING TO PHASE
28	OUT HIS BUSINESS IN SAN FRANCISCO AND DEVOTE FULL TIME TO

1	CAMELOT?
2	A I UNDERSTOOD HE WAS GOING TO SAN FRANCISCO TO
3	CONTINUE TO OCCUPY HIMSELF IN HIS BUSINESS ON WEEKENDS,
4	WHICH I UNDERSTAND HE DID.
5	Q DID YOU ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT IT WAS HIS
6	INTENTION TO PHASE HIS BUSINESS OUT?
7	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, COULD WE HAVE A CLARIFICATION
8	AS TO WHAT TIME THAT QUESTION REFERS TO, THE TIME PERIOD?
9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
10	Q BY MR. LEVY: WE ARE TALKING ABOUT EARLY 1979
11	WHEN MR. MULL HAD FIRST COME TO CAMELOT.
12	WAS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING AT THAT TIME, OR ANY
13	TIME AFTER THAT, THAT MR. MULL'S INTENTION WAS TO PHASE OUT
14	HIS BUSINESS IN SAN FRANCISCO?
15	A I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING WHEN
16	HE FIRST ARRIVED.
17	Q DID YOU EVER DISCOVER WHETHER THAT WAS HIS
18	INTENTION AT ANY OTHER TIME?
19	A I THINK AS THE MONTHS PASSED, THAT IT BECAME
20	EVIDENT THAT THAT WAS HIS INTENT.
21	MR. LEVY: VOLUME TWO, PAGE 182, LINE 2 THROUGH LINE
22	
23	THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU PROPOSE TO READ?
24	MR. LEVY: VOLUME TWO, PAGE 182, LINES 2 THROUGH 18.
25	
26	
27	BUSINESS IN SAN FRANCISCO PART OF THE PRIOR
28	FOR HIM COMING TO CAMELOT?
•	

	1	TA THAT WAS MY
	2	UNDERSTANDING.
	3	"Q WOULD YOU TELL ME EXACTLY
	4	WHAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING WAS ABOUT THAT?
	5	MA MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT
	6	THE DETAILS OF ALL OF THESE ARRANGEMENTS
	7	WERE HANDLED BETWEEN THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
	8	AND MR. MULL, BUT THAT HE WENT TO SAN
	9	FRANCISCO ON A REGULAR BASIS TO CONDUCT
	10	BUSINESS.
	11	Q WAS THERE AN
	12	UNDERSTANDING WITH WHETHER OR NOT HE WAS
	13	GOING TO MAINTAIN HIS SAN FRANCISCO BUSINESS
· •••	14	OR WHETHER OR NOT HE WAS GOING TO CLOSE OUT
Ž	15	HIS SAN FRANCISCO BUSINESS?
	16	"A   BELIEVE HE HAD CLIENTS
	17	HE MAINTAINED IN SAN FRANCISCO AND/OR
	18	ELSEWHERE, BUT THAT HIS INTENTION WAS TO
•	19	PHASE IT OUT AND ENTER INTO OTHER BUSINESS
l l	20	CONTACTS IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA WHILE HE
ŀ	21	VOLUNTEERED HIS SERVICES WITH US.
	22	Q NOW, HAVING READ THAT TO YOU, DOES THAT REFRESH
	23	YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS YOUR
	24	UNDERSTANDING THAT MR. MULL, AFTER HE CAME TO CAMELOT,
	25	INTENDED TO PHASE OUT HIS BUSINESS IN SAN FRANCISCO?
ı	26	A I BELIEVE I BECAME AWARE OF THAT IN THE MONTHS
	27	
	28	MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, IT BEING THE NOON HOUR, IT

APPEARS IT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE FOR A LUNCH BREAK. 1 THE COURT: SINCE THERE HAVE BEEN READINGS FROM 2 DEPOSITIONS, LET ME TELL YOU, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THAT A 3 DEPOSITION TAKES PLACE BEFORE THE TRIAL. AT A DEPOSITION, 4 THE PERSON IS ASKED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS QUESTIONS UNDER OATH.

YOU ARE TO CONSIDER THOSE ANSWERS WHEN THEY ARE READ TO YOU HERE, AS SOME HAVE BEEN, AS IF THOSE QUESTIONS HAD BEEN ASKED AND THE ANSWERS GIVEN BY THAT PERSON HERE IN COURT. AND THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ARE PRESERVED IN WRITING, AS YOU HAVE OBSERVED.

MR. LEVY: BEFORE WE BREAK, YOUR HONOR, MAY I ASK THAT THESE ITEMS BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE? THEY HAVE BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.

THE COURT: WHICH ITEM?

MR. LEVY: EXHIBIT NUMBER 21 AND NUMBER 5.

THE COURT: 21 IS RECEIVED.

(RECEIVED EVID: ^ EXHIBIT 21, LETTER

---- DATED 1-12-78)

MR. KLEIN: COULD YOU PLEASE GIVE ME THE DATES OF THE EXHIBITS.

MR. LEVY: NUMBER 21 IS --

MR. KLEIN: I AM SORRY, YOUR HONOR. COULD I PLEASE HAVE THE DATES OF WHAT COUNSEL IS REFERRING TO?

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MR. LEVY: EXHIBIT NUMBER 21 IS DATED JANUARY THE 12TH, 1978, AND EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 IS DATED JUNE 14TH, 1980.

8 9

5

б

7

12 13

10

11

14 15

16

17 31

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

MR. KLEIN: I WOULD OBJECT TO EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 BEING RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE AT THIS TIME. THE COURT: LET'S RECEIVE EXHIBIT 21 AT THIS TIME AND RESERVE RULING ON EXHIBIT 5. MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: YOU ARE WELCOME. WE WILL RECESS FOR LUNCH AT THIS TIME. WE WILL RESUME AT 1:30. REMEMBER THE COURT'S ADMONITIONS. (AT 12:02 P.M., A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.) 

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1986 \* 1 2 1:40 P.M. 3 DEPARTMENT 50 HON. ALFRED L. MARGOLIS, JUDGE (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) 4 5 ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET FRANCIS, + 6 THE WITNESS ON THE STAND AT THE TIME OF THE RECESS, RESUMES 7 THE STAND AND TESTIFIES FURTHER AS FOLLOWS: 8 THE CLERK: YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN SWORN AND ARE 9 STILL UNDER OATH. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR THE 10 11 RECORD. THE WITNESS: ELIZABETH CLARE PROPHET FRANCIS. 12 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 13 THE COURT: PLEASE PROCEED. 14 15 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION + (RESUMED) 17 18 BY MR. LEVY: 19 MISS FRANCIS, I THINK JUST BEFORE WE TOOK THE NOON BREAK, I INQUIRED AS TO YOUR PURPOSE IN HAVING MR. MULL 20 COME TO CAMELOT. 21 WOULD YOU PLEASE TELL US NOW WHY IT WAS THAT 22 MR. MULL WAS ASKED TO COME TO CAMELOT? 23 IN RESPONSE TO HIS MANY OFFERS TO SERVE THERE, 24 WE CALLED HIM -- MONROE CALLED HIM TO BE THE IN-HOUSE 25 DESIGNER, ARCHITECT, DRAFTSMAN ON REMODELING AND CURRENT 26 PROJECTS THAT WERE GOING ON AS WELL AS TO CONSIDER WHAT PART 27 28 HE MIGHT PLAY IF AND WHEN WE SHOULD TAKE UP ONE OF THE

1	BUILDINGS OF THE MASTER PLAN.
2	Q PRIOR TO MR. MULL COMING TO CAMELOT, DID YOU
3	EMPLOY ANOTHER ARCHITECTURAL FIRM?
4	A YES, WE DID.
5	Q AND WHO WAS THAT?
6	A THAT WAS SMITH AND WILLIAMS.
7	Q NOW, WHAT WAS THEIR FUNCTION FOR YOU AT
8	CAMELOT? WHAT DID THEY ACTUALLY DO FOR YOU?
9	A THEY COMPLETED THE MASTER PLAN.
10	Q IS THE MASTER PLAN THAT THEY COMPLETED THE
11	MASTER PLAN THAT IS OUTLINED IN THIS BOOKLET?
12	A YES.
13	
	Q HOW LONG WAS IT TO TAKE TO COMPLETE THAT MASTER
14	PLAN?
15	A YOU MEAN FOR THOSE ARCHITECTS TO DESIGN IT
16	TO
17	Q NO. I MEAN FOR THE CHURCH TO COMPLETE
18	A FOR THE CHURCH TO BUILD IT?
15	Q YES, MA'AM.
20	A I DON'T THINK WE HAD ANY IDEA HOW LONG IT WOULD
21	TAKE TO BUILD THIS COMPLEX.
22	Q WAS IT REFERRED TO SOMETIME AS YOUR TEN-YEAR
23	PLAN?
24	A IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN. I THINK THAT WOULD BE
25	TENTATIVE BECAUSE IT WOULD BE BASED ON OUR ABILITY TO FUND
26	RAISE TO ACCOMPLISH IT AND TO GET THE NECESSARY PERMITS.
27	Q DID THAT ARCHITECTURAL FIRM PROVIDE YOU WITH
28	ANY OTHER SERVICES THAN ASSISTING YOU IN THE PREPARATION OF
- 1	

- 1	
1	THAT BOOKLET?
2	A I DON'T KNOW WHAT DISCUSSIONS THEY HAD WITH OUR
3	COMMITTEE.
4	Q WAS THAT THE TOTALITY OF THE WORK PRODUCT OF
5	THAT ARCHITECTURAL FIRM?
6	A I AM NOT CERTAIN.
7	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT THAT FIRM CHARGED THE
8	CHURCH?
9	A   BELIEVE THEY CHARGED US \$50,000.
10	Q DID YOU HAVE DISCUSSIONS WITH THAT
11	ARCHITECTURAL FIRM ABOUT THEM ACTUALLY DOING BLUEPRINTS LIKE
12	THESE HERE THAT MR. MULL DID?
13	A THAT WAS OUR ORIGINAL INTENT. THAT BEYOND THE
14	FIRST STAGE OF THE MASTER PLAN, WE WOULD BE WE WOULD GO
15	ON WITH THE NEXT STAGES.
16	Q YES. AND DID YOU DISCUSS WITH THIS
17	ARCHITECTURAL FIRM HOW MUCH OF A DEPOSIT OR AN ADVANCE FEE
18	THEY WANTED BEFORE THEY WOULD DO THE ACTUAL ARCHITECTURAL
19	RENDERINGS SIMILAR TO THESE IN FRONT OF YOU?
20	A I DON'T RECALL IF I WAS PART OF ANY SUCH
21	DISCUSSIONS IF THEY TOOK PLACE.
22	Q WELL, EVEN IF YOU MAY NOT HAVE BEEN THERE
23	PERSONALLY, DID YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS DISCUSS WITH YOU THE
24	AMOUNT OF MONEY THEY WANTED TO CHARGE TO DO ARCHITECTURAL
25	RENDER ING S?
26	A I DON'T REMEMBER THESE DISCUSSIONS.
27	Q EVEN IF YOU DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT DETAILS OF

THE DISCUSSIONS, WOULD YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS NOT HAVE GOT

YOUR CONFIRMATION BEFORE ANY SUM WOULD HAVE BEEN EXPENDED 1 2 WITH THIS ARCHITECTURAL FIRM? THEY HAD A LATITUDE TO SPEND UP TO \$10,000 3 WITHOUT MY KNOWLEDGE. BUT I DON'T THINK WE WERE READY TO GO 4 AHEAD WITH THE NEXT PHASE OF EXPENDITURES ONCE THIS WAS 5 6 COMPLETED. DIDN'T THE ARCHITECTURAL FIRM TELL YOU THEY 7 WANTED BETWEEN 150 AND \$200,000 TO BEGIN PLANNING THE 8 DEVELOPMENT OF CAMELOT? 9 1 AM SORRY, MR. LEVY, I REALLY DO NOT RECALL. 10 HAD YOU EVER HAD ANY OTHER PROJECTS OF THIS 11 SIZE AND SCOPE WITHIN THE STRUCTURE OF YOUR CHURCH? 12 13 NO. THIS WAS THE ONLY ONE? 14 Q THAT'S RIGHT. 15 AND AT THIS POINT, YOU HAVE NO RECOLLECTION OF 16 17 THE DETAILS OF THAT PROJECT? I DON'T REMEMBER THE SUBJECT THAT YOU ARE 31 ASKING ME ABOUT. I AM SORRY. 19 DID THE BOARD DECIDE TO ASK GREGORY MULL TO 20 COME TO CAMELOT SO HE COULD DO THE WORK THAT THEY WOULD HAVE 21 22 DONE AND THEREBY SAVE MONEY FOR THE CHURCH? 23 NO, THAT WAS NOT IN QUESTION WHEN HE WAS CALLED. WE WERE NOT READY TO PROCEED WITH THIS EXTENSIVE 24 25 MASTER PLAN WHEN HE CAME. 26 WERE YOU READY TO PROCEED WITH ANY KIND OF PLAN Q AT ALL? 27

WE WERE READY TO MAKE THE FACILITIES THAT WE

i	
1	HAD WORKABLE AND TO COMPLETE THE REMODELING THAT WAS
2	NECESSARY.
3	Q AND TO DO THAT, YOU NEEDED AN ARCHITECT OR
4	BUILDING DESIGNER?
5	A I THINK WE FELT WE COULD USE SOMEONE WITH HIS
6	EXPERTISE AND KNOWLEDGE.
7	Q DID YOU HAVE ANYONE ELSE THAT COULD DRAW THE
3	PLANS AND HAVE THE KNOWLEDGE TO GET THE PLANS APPROVED BY
9	THE BUILDING AND SAFETY DEPARTMENT?
10	A WELL, WE HAD AN ARCHITECT ON OUR STAFF AT THE
11	TIME, BUT HE WASN'T ASSIGNED TO THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT.
12	Q WHAT PROJECT WAS HE ASSIGNED TO?
13	A HE WAS WORKING IN GRAPHIC ARTS AT THE TIME.
14	Q AND YOU FELT IT WAS NECESSARY TO HAVE ANOTHER
15	ARCHITECT ON THE PREMISES?
16	A WELL, HE WASN'T WORKING IN ARCHITECTURE, BUT HE
17	WAS AN ARCHITECT.
18	Q DID THERE EVER COME A TIME WHEN YOU RECEIVED
19	INFORMATION THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WAS INTERESTED IN
20	BUYING CAMELOT?
21	A YES. WE WE HEARD THAT THEY WERE INTERESTED
22	IN CONDEMNING IT.
23	Q DID YOU ALSO HEAR THAT THE CHURCH WAS NOT GOING
24	TO BE ALLOWED TO GO AHEAD WITH ITS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS ON
25	THE PREMISES OF CAMELOT?
26	A WE UNDERSTOOD THAT THE - WHETHER THE COASTAL
27	COMMISSION OR THE AUTHORITIES INVOLVED IN GIVING PERMITS
28	WOULD NOT BE INCLINED TO GIVE SUCH PERMITS UNDER THE

	PREVAILING AWARENESS THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WANTED TO
1	ACQUIRE THE PROPERTY.
2	Q WHEN DID YOU DISCOVER THAT?
4	A I AM NOT CERTAIN OF THAT TIME PERIOD.
5	Q BEFORE MR. MULL CAME TO CAMELOT?
6	A I DON'T KNOW.
7	Q AFTER HE CAME TO CAMELOT?
8	A I AM SORRY, I DON'T PLACE IT IN TIME IN
9	RELATIONSHIP TO HIS COMING.
10	Q HOW MANY ACRES IS CAMELOT?
11	A THE ORIGINAL PARCEL IS 218 AND WE ADDED ANOTHER
12	40 ACRES.
13	Q SO THAT IS 258 ACRES?
14	A TWO HUNDRED FIFTY
15	Q EIGHT?
16	A ABOUT THAT. ABOUT THAT, YES.
17	Q WHAT WAS THE COST OF THE DEVELOPMENT GOING TO
18	BE THAT THE FIRST ARCHITECTURAL FIRM HAD LAID OUT THE MASTER
19	
20	A TO DEVELOP EVERYTHING IN THIS MASTER PLAN?
21	Q YES, MA AM.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
2	Q DID THEY TELL YOU IT WAS GOING TO BE
2	\$33,900,000?

1	A I DON'T KNOW.
2	Q AT THIS POINT YOU HAVE NO RECOLLECTION OF WHEN
3	YOU BECAME AWARE THAT YOU WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO DEVELOP THE
4	PREMISES?
5	MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED.
6	THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.
7	YOU CAN ANSWER.
8	THE WITNESS: EXCUSE ME. I WAS THINKING AND I DON'T
9	HAVE AN ANSWER. I DON'T REMEMBER.
10	MR. LEVY: OKAY.
11	THE COURT: CAN YOU APPROXIMATE THE TIME?
12	THE WITNESS: IT WOULD DEFINITELY BE A GUESS. I MEAN
13	I JUST DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS '78, '79. I MEAN OVER A
14	TWO-YEAR PERIOD SOMETIME IN THERE WE BECAME AWARE OF THIS.
15	Q BY MR. LEVY: DID YOU TELL MR. MULL HE WASN'T
16	GOING TO BE ABLE TO DESIGN THE CATHEDRAL AND THE MONTESSORI
17	SCHOOLS AND THE REST OF THE BUILDINGS FOR YOU AT THAT TIME?
18	A I DIDN T TELL HIM WHETHER HE WAS GOING TO
19	DESIGN THEM OR NOT DESIGN THEM. HE WAS WORKING UNDER TWO
20	BOARD MEMBERS, MONROE SHEARER AND EDWARD FRANCIS. AND I HAD
21	VERY LITTLE INVOLVEMENT WITH HIM AS HE WORKED IN THE
22	ARCHITECTURE DEPARTMENT.
23	Q WHEN YOU SAY EDWARD FRANCIS, YOU ARE TALKING
24	ABOUT YOUR HUSBAND HERE?
25	A YES, I AM.
26	Q DID YOU EVER TALK WITH YOUR HUSBAND ABOUT WHAT
27	WAS GOING ON IN THE CHURCH?

WELL, I WAS MARRIED TO RANDALL KING AT THE

1	TIME. ARE YOU SPEAKING ABOUT ME SPEAKING TO HIM?
2	Q DID YOU EVER TALK TO RANDALL KING ABOUT WHAT
3	WAS GOING ON IN THE CHURCH?
4	A I AM SURE WE TALKED ABOUT THE DAY-TO-DAY
5	WORKINGS OF THE CHURCH AND WHAT HE WAS DOING IN HIS
6	DEPARTMENT.
7	Q DID YOU EVER TALK ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO
8	DEVELOP CAMELOT?
9	A WELL, FROM THE AWARENESS OF WHAT THE FEDERAL
10	GOVERNMENT WAS DOING TO THE AWARENESS THAT WE WERE GOING TO
11	SUFFER AN INVERSE CONDEMNATION WHEREBY WE WOULD NOT BE
12	PERMITTED TO BUILD, THERE WAS A PERIOD OF TIME. I DO NOT
13	KNOW WHEN I FULLY REALIZED THAT WE WERE UP AGAINST A STONE
14	WALL AND THAT WE WERE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO GET BUILDING
15	PERMITS.
16	Q BUT YOU DID ACQUIRE THAT KNOWLEDGE AT SOME
17	TIME?
18	A YES. SOMETIME I FOUND OUT ABOUT IT. THAT IS
19	HOW I KNOW IT NOW, BUT I AM SORRY I CAN'T TELL YOU WHEN.
20	Q DID YOUR HUSBAND THEN, RANDALL KING, BY YOUR
21	DIRECTION OR MR. FRANCIS BY YOUR DIRECTION OR MR. SHEARER BY
22	YOUR DIRECTION TELL MR. MULL HIS SERVICES WERE NOT GOING TO
23	BE NEEDED?
24	A HIS SERVICES WERE NEEDED FOR THE ONGOING
25	REMODELING AND DRAFTING WORK THAT HE WAS ENGAGED IN THE FULL
26	TIME HE WORKED FOR US. THERE WAS NO DEARTH OF WORK APART
27	FROM THIS PLASTER PLAN.
28	Q ALL OF THESE PLANS THAT WE'VE SEEN OUT HERE,

DID YOU HAVE MR. MULL DISPLAY THOSE PLANS AT A CONFERENCE? 1 I THINK POSSIBLY HE MAY HAVE DISPLAYED THEM AT 2 3 A CONFERENCE. WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF DISPLAYING THEM AT THE Q 5 CONFERENCE? PROBABLY TO ACQUAINT OUR MEMBERS WITH THE 6 ONGOING WORK WE HAD ON WHATEVER PROJECT HE DISPLAYED. 7 WASN'T FOR FUND RAISING PURPOSES, WAS IT? 8 0 WELL, IF YOU DO WANT TO BUILD SOMETHING, IT IS 9 NECESSARY TO SHOW YOUR PARISHIONERS WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO 10 BUILD SO THAT THEY MIGHT DECIDE TO CONTRIBUTE TO IT. BUT I 11 DON'T KNOW IF THAT WAS THE IMMEDIATE GOAL. I DON'T BELIEVE 12 I WAS THE ONE WHO SHOWED THESE DRAWINGS AT A CONFERENCE. I 13 MEAN I DON'T REMEMBER IT. DID THE CHURCH RAISE MONEY USING THESE PLANS? 15 Q I DON'T KNOW. 16 DIDN'T THEY RAISE OVER TWO AND A HALF MILLION 17 DOLLARS BECAUSE THEY WERE DISPLAYED AT A CONFERENCE? 31 I THOUGHT THAT THIS MASTER PLAN WAS THE 19 FOUNDATION FOR LETTING OUR MEMBERS HAVE THE TOTAL PICTURE OF 20 WHAT WE WERE DOING AT CAMELOT. I DO NOT KNOW FOR A FACT 21 22 THAT IT WAS MR. MULL'S DRAWINGS THAT WE USED FOR FUND RAISING. THAT SOUNDS LIKE A LOT OF MONEY TO RAISE FOR A 23 PORTION OF A PROJECT. 24 25 DID THE CHURCH SEND MR. MONROE SHEARER TO FUND Q RAISING SCHOOL? 26 27 YES, IT DID. 28 DIDN'T MR. MONROE SHEARER TELL YOU THAT YOU

COULDN'T RAISE MONEY ON BARREN LAND, YOU HAD TO HAVE PLANS FOR BUILDINGS?

I AM NOT SURE WHETHER HE TOLD ME THAT OR NOT.

I KNOW THAT WE SECURED CAMELOT WITH THE FULL INTENT OF

BUILDING ON IT. AND BETWEEN THE PURCHASE OF CAMELOT AND

DESIRING TO GO FORWARD WITH OUR BUILDING PLANS, WE HAD AN

ONGOING FUND RAISING CAMPAIGN WHICH INCLUDED FOR THE PAYING

OF THE PROPERTY ITSELF.

Q WASN'T THE ONLY REASON THAT GREGORY MULL WAS ASKED TO COME TO CAMELOT SO THAT HE COULD DESIGN PLANS THAT YOU AND YOUR CHURCH COULD USE TO RAISE FUNDS WHEN YOU KNEW THAT YOU WERE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO GO AHEAD WITH YOUR BUILDING PLANS AT CAMELOT?

A NO, THAT IS NOT TRUE. I NEVER HEARD A
DISCUSSION OF GREGORY MULL COMING TO DRAW UP PLANS EVER
RAISED BY MY COMMITTEE TO ME.

Q DID ANYBODY IN THAT CHURCH TALK TO ANYBODY ELSE
IN THAT CHURCH ABOUT THE BUSINESS AFFAIRS OF THE CHURCH?

MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION. THAT IS ARGUMENTATIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q BY MR. LEVY: DID THE BOARD EVER MEET TO DISCUSS THE ONGOING BUSINESS AFFAIRS OF THE CHURCH?

A YES.

Q DID YOU EVER PARTICIPATE IN ANY OF THOSE DISCUSSIONS?

A YES.

Q DID YOU BECOME AWARE THAT THERE WAS SOME SORT
OF DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN MR. MULL AND YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

4 5

\_ .

OR EXECUTIVE BOARD WITH REGARD TO PAYMENT TO HIM?

THAT MR. MULL ANNOUNCED HE WAS NOT GOING TO PAY THE TWO
PROMISSORY NOTES THAT HE HAD SIGNED.

Q YOU MEAN FROM JANUARY, 1979, UNTIL JUNE OF

1980, YOU NEVER HEARD ANYTHING THAT WAS GOING ON BETWEEN

YOUR BOARD AND MR. MULL WITH REGARD TO SOME \$37,000 THAT WAS

IN QUESTION?

A I DIDN'T HEAR ABOUT A DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN THEM AND MR. MULL. I CERTAINLY HEARD ABOUT THE SITUATION OF MR. MULL NEEDING MONEY AND REQUESTING FUNDS AND RECEIVING THEM WITH THE PROMISE GIVEN TO MY COMMITTEE AS WELL AS MYSELF THAT HE WOULD PAY THEM WHEN HE SOLD HIS HOUSE. THAT WAS HIS ONGOING COMMUNICATION TO ME PERSONALLY AS WELL AS TO THEM.

THERE WAS NO DISAGREEMENT. WE AGREED, AND HE AGREED, AND THAT WAS HIS COMMITMENT AND WE COMPLIED WITH HIS REQUEST.

- Q IS IT MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING
  IS THAT THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT THAT THE CHURCH WOULD LOAN
  MONEY TO MR. MULL?
  - A THAT'S RIGHT.
  - Q THEY WERE NOT GOING TO PAY HIS EXPENSES?
- THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT THAT WE WOULD PAY FOR
  HIS ROOM AND BOARD AND FOR HIS TRAVEL EXPENSES TO AND FROM
  SAN FRANCISCO ON THE WEEKENDS. AND THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT
  THAT INASMUCH AS HE COMMITTED TO SELL HIS PROPERTY AND PAY
  US BACK WHAT HE WAS ASKING, FOR ADVANCES TO PAY FOR ALREADY
  INCURRED BILLS IN SAN FRANCISCO, THAT WE WOULD THEREFORE

1	ADVANCE HIM THAT MONEY.
2	Q DID THERE EVER COME A TIME WHEN YOU TOLD MR.
3	MULL THAT HE WAS RECEIVING MORE MONEY THAN THE ENTIRE BOARD
4	AT THE CHURCH?
5	A YES, I TOLD HIM THAT AT THE MEETING OF 6-6-80.
6	Q BY THE WAY, ARE THERE REGULAR CHURCH MEMBERS IN
7	YOUR CHURCH?
8	A THERE ARE MEMBERS OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND
9	TRIUMPHANT WHOM WE CALL COMMUNICANTS. THEY ARE REGULAR
10	MEMBERS.
11	Q THEY ARE JUST REGULAR OLD MEMBERS?
12	A THERE IS ONLY ONE CLASS OF MEMBERS OF CHURCH
13	UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT. THEY ARE CALLED COMMUNICANTS.
14	Q ARE THERE MEMBERS OF SOMETHING CALLED KEEPERS
15	OF THE FLAME?
1€	A YES. THERE ARE MEMBERS OF THE KEEPERS OF THE
17	FLAME FRATERNITY.
18	Q ARE THERE ANY OTHER KIND OF MEMBERSHIPS IN YOUR
19	CHURCH?
20	A THERE ARE MEMBERS OF THE ORDER OF THE GOOD
21	SAMARITAN WHO ARE DEDICATED TO HELPING PEOPLE WHO ARE IN
22	PHYSICAL DISTRESS.
23	Q IS THAT THREE SEPARATE CATEGORIES NOW OF
24	MEMBERSHIP?
25	A YES, THAT IS. AND THEN THERE ARE SUBSCRIBERS
26	TO THE PEARLS OF WISDOM WHOM WE DON'T CALL MEMBERS, BUT THEY
27	ARE AFFILIATES AFFILIATED WITH THE CHURCH THROUGH THE
28	WEEKLY RECEIVING OF OUR LITERATURE.

Q DO I UNDERSTAND YOU CORRECTLY THAT THERE IS NO
REGULAR CATEGORY AS JUST BEING A MEMBER OF YOUR CHURCH?
A PARDON ME?
Q THERE IS NO REGULAR CATEGORY AS JUST BEING A MEMBER OF YOUR CHURCH?
,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
TRIUMPHANT IS A REGULAR CATEGORY OF CHURCH MEMBERSHIP. BUT
MOST PEOPLE AFFILIATED WITH US ARE NOT MEMBERS OF THAT
ORGANIZATION.
MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I'D LIKE TO READ
FROM VOLUME ONE, PAGE 139, LINES 10 TO LINE 24.
THE COURT: PROCEED.
MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. (READING.)
TQ DO YOUR COMMUNICANTS
TITHE TO YOUR CHURCH?
"A COMMUNICANTS TITHE.
Q IS THERE ANY OTHER
CATEGORY OF MEMBERSHIP, OTHER THAN
COMMUNICANTS?
"A THERE ARE MEMBERS OF THE
KEEPERS OF THE FLAME FRATERNITY AND MEMBERS
OF THE ORDER OF THE GOOD SAMARITAN.
*Q ARE THEY ALL
COMMUNICANTS?
*A NO, NOT NECESSARILY.
THEY MAY BE.
"Q CAN YOU BE A MEMBER OF
,

AFFILIATIONS WITH THE CHURCH? 1 YES, YOU MAY BE A KEEPER \*A 2 OF THE FLAME WITHOUT ANY OTHER AFFILIATION. 3 THERE'S NO GENERAL **™** Q CATEGORY, JUST BEING A MEMBER OF YOUR 5 CHURCH? 6 THERE IS NO GENERAL 7 CHURCH MEMBERSHIP." 8 DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO 9 WHETHER OR NOT YOUR CHURCH HAS MEMBERS OR NOT? 10 WELL, IT IS A PROBLEM OF SEMANTICS, I FEEL, MR. 11 LEVY. I FEEL I AM SAYING THE SAME THING AND I AM TRYING TO 12 COOPERATE TO GIVE YOU THE INFORMATION. WHEN YOU SAY, "YOUR 13 CHURCH, TO ME THAT MEANS THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND 14 TRIUMPHANT. ITS GENERAL CATEGORY OF MEMBERSHIP IS 15 16 COMMUNICANTS. THE KEEPERS OF THE FLAME FRATERNITY IS OUTSIDE 17 OF THAT CHURCH. SO IT IS NOT PART OF THE GENERAL MEMBERSHIP 18 OF CHURCH UNIVERSAL AND TRIUMPHANT, BUT A KEEPER OF THE 19 FLAME MAY BE A COMMUNICANT. I AM JUST TRYING TO MAKE IT 20 PLAIN AND I FEEL I AM SAYING THE SAME THING BOTH TIMES. 21 WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE MAY BE KEEPERS OF 22 THE FLAME AND THEY ARE COMMUNICANTS. 23 MY QUESTION TO YOU IS IS THERE JUST A CATEGORY 24 OF PEOPLE AFFILIATED WITH YOUR CHURCH WHO ARE JUST MEMBERS, 25 NOT KEEPERS OF THE FLAME, NOT COMMUNICANTS, JUST MEMBERS OF 26 YOUR CHURCH? 27

NO.

DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU TOLD GREGORY 1 MULL THAT HE WOULD NOT MAKE HIS UNION WITH GOD UNLESS HE 2 BALANCED HIS KARMA? 3 WELL, BALANCING ONE'S KARMA IS A PREREQUISITE 4 TO UNION WITH GOD. 5 MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT AND -- IT б IS NONRESPONSIVE. 7 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED. THE ANSWER 8 OF THE WITNESS IS STRICKEN. THE JURY IS DIRECTED TO 9 DISREGARD IT. 10 BY MR. LEVY: LET ME REPEAT MY QUESTION TO YOU. Q 11 DID YOU TELL GREGORY MULL THAT HE WOULD NOT 12 MAKE HIS UNION WITH GOD UNLESS HE BALANCED HIS KARMA? 13 NO. I COULD NOT MAKE THAT JUDGMENT UPON HIS 14 SOUL. 15 MR. LEVY: YOUR HONOR, VOLUME TWO, PAGE 199, FROM 16 LINE 8, TO PAGE 200, LINE 22. STARTING AT LINE 8. 17 (READING.) 18 \*Q DID YOU DISCUSS GENERALLY 19 WITH MR. MULL THAT SUBJECT OF DOLLARS, 20 REQUIREMENTS FOR DOLLARS IN ORDER TO MAKE 21 HIS ASCENSION?" 22 THERE IS A COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. KLEIN AND 23 MYSELF AND WE MOVE OVER TO PAGE 200. 24 "THE WITNESS: THE TENOR OF MY 25 SPIRITUAL INSTRUCTION TO MR. MULL WAS 26 REGARDING MY RESPONSIBILITY AS A SHEPHERD TO 27 HIS SOUL. I WAS EXPLAINING TO HIM THAT HE

HAD MADE A LEGAL COMMITMENT TO PAY BACK
MONIES LOANED TO HIM ON THE SALE OF HIS
PROPERTY. I WAS EXPLAINING TO HIM THAT HE
MADE A VERBAL COMMITMENT TO ME, AS HEAD OF
THE CHURCH, THAT HE WOULD PAY THOSE MONIES.
I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT TO NOW REFUSE TO PAY
THOSE MONIES WAS A SPIRITUAL BURDEN TO HIS
SOUL, THAT IT WAS NOT A QUESTION OF THE
MONEY ITSELF, BUT IT WAS A QUESTION OF HIS
RENEGING ON A PROMISE OR, IN FACT, NEVER
HAVING INTENDED TO PAY THOSE IN THE FIRST
PLACE, AND THAT THIS WAS A COMPROMISE TO HIS
SOUL AND IT WAS, IN FACT, A MATTER OF HIS
MAKING PERSONAL KARMA, AND I WAS WARNING HIM
AGAINST THE CONSEQUENCES OF HIS DECISION.

THAT IF HE DIDN'T PAY THE MONEY BACK, HE WASN'T GOING TO MAKE HIS ASCENSION?

INSTRUCTION WOULD HAVE BEEN THAT THIS IS A
KARMA WHICH HE WOULD HAVE TO BALANCE BEFORE
HE WOULD ATTAIN THAT RESOLUTION WHICH WOULD
BE UNION OF GOD. NOW, I AM NOT -- I COULD
NOT JUDGE, I AM NOT THE JUDGE OF SOMEONE
MAKING OR NOT MAKING THEIR ASCENSION. IT'S
NOT WITHIN MY PROVISION."

Q DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO THAT CONVERSATION?

1	A YOU HAVE REFRESHED MY MEMORY TO THE DEPOSITION.
2	Q THE TENOR OF YOUR INSTRUCTION
3	A YES, THE TENOR OF MY INSTRUCTION WAS AS STATED
4	IN THIS DEPOSITION.
5	Q I HAVEN'T ASKED A QUESTION YET.
6	A I AM SORRY.
7	Q THE TENOR OF YOUR INSTRUCTION WAS THAT IF MR.
8	MULL DIDN'T BALANCE HIS KARMA, HE WASN'T GOING TO MAKE HIS
9	ASCENSION; IS THAT CORRECT?
10	A THAT IS NOT THE CONCLUSION OF THAT INSTRUCTION.
11	THE CONCLUSION OF THE INSTRUCTION IS TO NOT PAY ONE'S DEBTS
12	AND TO BREAK ONE'S WORD CREATES KARMA. KARMA IS A CAUSE OR
13	EFFECT SEQUENCE WE HAVE SET IN MOTION THAT IS OUT OF HARMONY
14	WITH THE LAWS OF GOD.
15	I CANNOT SAY THAT IF HE HAS KARMA, HE CANNOT
16	ATTAIN REUNION WITH GOD BECAUSE GOD MAKES HIS PERSONAL
17	DECISION ON EACH AND EVERY ONE OF US. AND WE ARE SAVED BY
18	GRACE THROUGH CHRIST. AND HIS GRACE IS SUFFICIENT TO ALSO
19	BALANCE THAT KARMA.
20	BUT MY INSTRUCTION TO MR. MULL IS THAT WHEN WE
21	MAKE KARMA, WE ALWAYS JEOPARDIZE THE GOAL OF THE ASCENSION
22	AND WE SHOULD BE VERY CAREFUL AND CONSIDER IN ALL OUR
23	ACTIONS THAT WE ATTEMPT NOT TO MAKE NEGATIVE KARMA IN THIS
24	MANNER.
25	Q DID YOU USE THE EXACT WORDS, "GREGORY, IF YOU
26	DON'T BALANCE YOUR KARMA, YOU ARE NOT GOING TO MAKE YOUR
27	ASCENSION"?

A NO, I DID NOT USE THOSE WORDS.

Q WAS IT YOUR INTENTION AT THE TIME THAT YOU GAVE HIM THAT SPIRITUAL MESSAGE THAT IF HE DIDN'T PAY UP, HE WOULDN'T GO TO HEAVEN?

A IT WAS NOT MY INTENTION AT ALL. I AM NOT THE ARBITER OF MEN'S SOULS OR WHO GOES TO HEAVEN OR WHO DOESN'T.

I AM HERE TO HELP THEM GET THERE, AND I FEEL THAT THE INSTRUCTION WAS VALUABLE AND IMPORTANT FOR HIM TO HAVE IN CONSIDERATION OF HIS TELLING ME HE WAS NOT GOING TO PAY BACK HIS DEBTS THAT HE PROMISED TO.

THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS WHAT DID YOU SAY TO HIM?

THE WITNESS: I GAVE HIM TEACHING ON THE SUBJECT AT

HAND WITHOUT DRAWING THE CONCLUSION OF WHAT WERE THE

ULTIMATE CONSEQUENCES OF HIS VIOLATING THE LAWS OF GOD.

Q BY MR. LEVY: AND YOU GOT THESE ANSWERS FROM
THE PEOPLE UP THERE WHO TALK TO YOU?

A I GOT THESE ANSWERS FROM SCRIPTURE. AND I

QUOTED SCRIPTURE TO HIM AND I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT IT IS

VERY CLEAR IN SCRIPTURE WHAT IS WRITTEN ON SUCH MATTERS AS

ARE IN DISCUSSION.

Q HAVE YOU EVER SEEN ANY CONFLICT IN SCRIPTURE BETWEEN MESSAGES AS TO WHAT MAY BE MEANT IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES?

A WHAT MESSAGES MAY BE MEANT IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES?

MR. KLEIN: OBJECT. THE QUESTION IS VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SHE CAN ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: ARE YOU ASKING ME IF DICTATIONS HAD

1	COME THROUGH ME MAY BE IN CONFLICT WITH SCRIPTURE?
2	Q BY MR. LEVY: NO, I AM NOT.
3	A I AM SORRY, I DON'T UNDERSTAND.
4	Q YOU SAY YOU QUOTED TO MR. MULL FROM THE
5	SCRIPTURE?
6	A UH-HUH.
7	Q I AM ASKING YOU IF THERE ARE NOT SOMETIMES
8	CONFLICTING SCRIPTURES THAT ONE CAN QUOTE FROM WITH REGARD
9	TO A PARTICULAR SUBJECT MATTER?
10	A THE BIBLE IS FULL OF CONTRADICTIONS, THAT IS
11	CORRECT.
12	Q AND IT WOULD HAVE BEEN YOUR OFFICE TO CHOOSE
13	WHICH OF THOSE SCRIPTURES WAS MOST APPLICABLE?
14	A I SELECTED ONE I THOUGHT ONE OR TWO OR THREE
15	I THOUGHT WERE APPLICABLE TO THE OCCASION.
16	Q DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN MR. MULL LEFT
17	CAMELOT?
18	A YES.
19	Q DO YOU RECALL WHEN THAT WAS?
20	A I WAS TOLD THAT IN MY ABSENCE, HE MOVED OFF OF
21	CAMELOT IN THE SPRING OF 1980.
22	Q HE JUST UP AND MOVED OFF?
23	A AS A RESULT OF THIS SITUATION THAT HAD ARISEN,
24	WHICH WAS NOW THE DISAGREEMENT WITH THE BOARD, THEY ASKED
25	HIM TO MOVE OUT, THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING.
26	Q CAN SOMEONE JUST MOVE IN WHEN THEY CHOOSE AND
27	MOVE OUT WHEN THEY CHOOSE?
28	A WELL, HE WAS ALREADY LIVING IN HIS CONDOMINIUM

AND --1 MR. LEVY: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. I MOVE TO STRIKE 2 THE ANSWER AS NONRESPONSIVE. 3 THE COURT: THE MOTION IS GRANTED. THE LAST ANSWER 4 OF THE WITNESS IS STRICKEN. THE JURY IS DIRECTED TO 5 DISREGARD IT. 6 BY MR. LEVY: LET ME REPEAT MY QUESTION. 7 Q CAN SOMEONE JUST MOVE ONTO CAMELOT WHEN THEY 8 CHOOSE AND MOVE OFF WHEN THEY CHOOSE? 9 THEY CAN MOVE OFF WHEN THEY CHOOSE. WHEN THEY 10 MOVE ON, WE RECEIVE THEM, GIVE THEM A ROOM ASSIGNMENT AND SO 11 FORTH. SO WE WOULD HAVE TO ACCEPT THEM TO LIVE THERE, BUT 12 THEY CAN LEAVE ANY TIME. 13 TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID THE CHURCH STOP TRANSFERRING FUNDS TO MR. MULL AT ANY TIME BETWEEN THE TIME 15 HE CAME TO CAMELOT AND THE TIME HE LEFT CAMELOT? 16 I BELIEVE THE LAST MONIES TRANSFERRED TO HIM 17 WERE IN THE FALL OF '79. 18 AROUND OCTOBER? Q 19 SOMEWHERE AROUND OCTOBER, NOVEMBER. 20 AND HE LEFT IN MAY OF 1980; IS THAT CORRECT? Q 21 YES. SOMEWHERE AROUND THEN. 22 DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT HE WAS DOING THERE 23 FOR THOSE MONTHS? 24 HE WAS WORKING ABOUT 20 HOURS A WEEK AND 25 OCCUPYING HIMSELF AT WORKING OUTSIDE TO SUPPORT HIMSELF. 26

WAS THE CHURCH PAYING HIS EXPENSES FOR THAT

Q

PERIOD OF TIME?

27

1	A NOT FOLLOWING OCTOBER OR NOVEMBER. I BELIEVE
2	WE WERE GIVING HIM ROOM AND BOARD STILL.
3	Q WAS HIS SUPERIORS STILL ASSIGNING HIM WORK
4	PROJECTS DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME?
5	A YES. THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN.
6	Q TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, WAS HE EVER PAID FOR THAT
7	WORK OTHER THAN HIS ROOM AND BOARD?
8	A I DON'T THINK HE WAS.
ė	Q AFTER MR. MULL LEFT THE PREMISES AT CAMELOT,
10	DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO MEET WITH HIM AGAIN?
11	A I MET WITH HIM ON JUNE 6TH OF 1980.
12	Q DID YOU SUMMON HIM TO A MEETING?
13	A I ASKED HIM TO COME TO A MEETING WITH ME AND
14	EDWARD AND MONROE.
15	Q HOW LONG AFTER HE WAS ASKED TO LEAVE THE
16	PREMISES WAS HE ASKED TO COME BACK?
17	A I ARRIVED HOME FROM AN OVERSEAS TRIP AND CALLED
18	HIM IMMEDIATELY BECAUSE I LEARNED OF THE PROBLEM AND TO HAVE
19	A MEETING WITH HIM.
20	Q YOU DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE PROBLEM
21	WHILE YOU WERE AWAY?
22	A YES, I WAS INFORMED OF IT.
23	Q DID YOU PARTICIPATE IN THE DISCUSSION THAT
24	ENDED UP WITH HIS REMOVAL FROM CAMELOT?
25	A I DON'T BELIEVE SO. I THINK I WAS INFORMED OF
26	IT.
27	Q AND YOU JUST LET THEM DO WHATEVER THEY WANTED
2ε	TO DO, IT WAS THEIR RESPONSIBILITY?

1	A THAT'S RIGHT.
2	Q DO YOU REMEMBER EXACTLY HOW MANY WEEKS OR
3	APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY WEEKS IT WAS AFTER HE WAS ASKED TO
4	LEAVE BEFORE HE WAS ASKED TO COME BACK?
5	A IT WAS BETWEEN MAY AND JUNE.
6	Q SEVERAL WEEKS?
7	A SINCE I DON'T KNOW THE DATE HE LEFT, I AM NOT
8	SURE.
9	Q DID HE ATTEND THAT MEETING?
10	A YES, HE DID.
11	Q WHY DID YOU ASK HIM TO COME BACK TO CAMELOT?
12	A I DIDN'T ASK HIM TO COME BACK TO CAMELOT. I
13	ASKED HIM TO COME TO A MEETING.
14	Q WHY DID YOU ASK HIM TO COME TO THE MEETING AT
15	CAMELOT?
16	A BECAUSE I HAD HEARD THAT HE HAD TOLD ERIC STEIN
17	THAT HE WAS GOING TO ASSEMBLE SIX YEARS OF ILLEGAL
18	ACTIVITIES THAT THE CHURCH HAD ENGAGED IN AND GO OUT AND
15	TELL PEOPLE WHAT A TERRIBLE ORGANIZATION WE WERE.
20	I WAS VERY SHOCKED TO HEAR HE WOULD HAVE SAID
21	THAT WITHOUT BRINGING TO MY ATTENTION HIS DISAPPROVAL OF MY
22	CHURCH FOR THE PAST SIX YEARS WHEN IN FACT HE HAD NOTHING
23	BUT PRAISE FOR THE CHURCH AND HIS SERVICE DURING THAT TIME.
24	THE SECOND REASON WAS I WANTED TO INQUIRE INTO
25	THE MATTER OF A REPORT I RECEIVED FROM A KEEPER OF THE FLAME
26	THAT LIVED IN HIS BUILDING THAT HE WAS HEARD TO BE GIVING
27	INTENSE DECREES AGAINST ME PERSONALLY, AND THAT HE WAS SEEN
28	OR HEARD TO HAVE MADE A TAPE RECORDER OF HIMSELF SAYING

NEGATIVE ENERGY BACK TO ME IN A DISCORDANT MANNER. HE

HIMSELF WAS DISCORDANT IN THE GIVING OF THE DECREE. 1 2 WERE YOU SENDING ANY NEGATIVE ENERGY TO HIM? I HAD NO REASON WHATSOEVER TO BE SENDING HIM 3 4 NEGATIVE ENERGY. 5 SO IF HE WAS JUST PRAYING AWAY THAT IT GO BACK TO YOU, NOTHING WOULD HAVE COME BACK TO YOU, WOULD IT? 6 7 IT WAS THE PRINCIPLE THAT HE WAS PRAYING AGAINST HIS MINISTER WITHOUT EVEN HAVING HAD A CONVERSATION 8 WITH ME AS TO ASCERTAIN IF I WAS IN ANY KIND OF A NEGATIVE 9 ATTITUDE TOWARD HIM, WHICH I THOUGHT WAS NOT THE CHRISTIAN 10 WAY OF DEALING WITH A DISPUTE OR A DISAGREEMENT. 11 AND YOU FELT THE PROPER WAY TO DO IT WAS TO DO 12 0 IT IN A CHRISTIAN WAY? 13 YES. I THOUGHT HE SHOULD COME AND TELL ME WHAT 14 HIS DISAGREEMENT WAS OR WHAT HE FELT THAT I WOULD BE 15 NEGATIVE ABOUT BEFORE HE SHOULD LAUNCH INTO MAKING DECREE 16 TAPES AGAINST ME. 17 HOW LONG WAS IT THAT YOU GOT BACK TO CAMELOT 18 19 AFTER YOUR TRIP ABOARD? MY RECOLLECTION WAS IT WAS WITHIN A COUPLE OF 20 DAYS WAS ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS I ATTENDED TO WHEN I 21 22 RETURNED. LET ME SEE IF I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY. YOU WERE 23 GOING TO COME BACK WITHIN A DAY OR TWO. 24 THERE WAS A POSSIBILITY THERE WAS A CONFLICT 25 BETWEEN YOU AND MR. MULL, BUT YET HE WAS ASKED TO LEAVE THE 26 CHURCH BEFORE YOU COULD COME BACK WITHIN A COUPLE OF DAYS TO 27

RESOLVE THE DISPUTE?

ł	
1	A MAY I
2	Q PLEASE DO.
3	A STATE WHAT I UNDERSTAND?
4	Q PLEASE DO.
5	A IT WAS WHILE I WAS GONE THAT HE ANNOUNCED TO
6	MONROE AND EDWARD AND JAMES THAT HE WAS NOT GOING TO PAY
7	BACK THE NOTES. I WAS AWAY. A NUMBER OF WEEKS AFTER THAT,
8	I RETURNED. WHEN I RETURNED, I ASKED FOR US TO MEET ON THE
9	SUBJECT.
10	Q I APPRECIATE ALL THAT, BUT IT HAS NOTHING TO DO
11	WITH MY QUESTION.
12	A WELL, I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION. I AM
13	SORRY.
14	Q LET ME MAKE IT SIMPLER.
15	MR. MULL WAS LIVING AT CAMELOT, WAS HE NOT,
16	WHILE YOU WERE AWAY ON YOUR TRIP?
17	A YES. AND WHILE I WAS AWAY, HE ALSO WAS ASKED
18	TO LEAVE.
19	Q AND FROM THE TIME YOU LEFT TO THE TIME YOU GOT
20	BACK WAS ONLY A COUPLE OF DAYS; IS THAT CORRECT?
21	A NO. I SAID IT WAS A COUPLE OF DAYS FROM THE
22	TIME I GOT BACK UNTIL I CALLED A MEETING. IT MAY HAVE BEEN
23	A COUPLE OF WEEKS THAT HE HAD BEEN ASKED TO LEAVE BEFORE I
24	GOT BACK TO CALL THIS MEETING.
25	Q I SEE. LET'S SEE.
26	HE WAS THERE FOR SOME 17 MONTHS, AND IT
27	COULDN'T WAIT ANOTHER MONTH TO GET RESOLVED, YOUR BOARD
28	ASKED HIM TO LEAVE BEFORE YOU GOT BACK: IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. THAT IS 1 2 ARGUMENTATIVE, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OVERRULED. 3 THE WITNESS: IT IS CORRECT MY BOARD ASKED HIM TO 4 LEAVE IN MY ABSENCE. 5 BY MR. LEVY: THERE WAS NO INSTRUCTION OR NO 6 AUTHORITY FOR THEM TO ALLOW HIM TO WAIT JUST ANOTHER MONTH 7 AFTER HE HAD BEEN THERE SOME 17 SO THAT THE MATTER COULD BE 8 ō RESOLVED? IT WAS THEIR DISCRETION AND THEY MADE THEIR 10 11 DECISION. DID THEY USUALLY HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO KICK 12 PEOPLE OUT WITHOUT YOUR SAY 50? 13 I DON'T BELIEVE HE WAS KICKED OUT. 14 WELL, LET ME USE YOUR TERMS, HE WAS ASKED TO 15 LEAVE. THAT IS MORE POLITE. 16 WHO WAS AT THE MEETING, THE MEETING YOU ARE 17 TALKING ABOUT IN JUNE? 18 MR. MULL, EDWARD FRANCIS, AND MONROE SHEARER 19 20 AND MYSELF. NOW, IF YOU LOOK TO YOUR RIGHT, YOU WILL SEE A 21 22 LITTLE BIT OF A DIAGRAM. I AM NOT AN ARCHITECT LIKE MR. MULL. IT SHOWS A DESK, THREE PEOPLE IN FRONT OF IT AND ONE 23 24 BEHIND IT. YES. 25 IS THAT APPROXIMATELY THE POSITION THAT THE 26 PEOPLE WHO PARTICIPATED IN THAT MEETING WERE SEATED IN? 27

THE THREE MEN WERE ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE

TABLE FROM ME AND I AM NOT SURE WHICH ONE OF THEM SAT ON 1 WHICH SIDE OF MR. MULL, BUT THAT IS THE APPROXIMATE SEATING 2 3 ARRANGEMENT. SO YOU WERE IN FRONT OF HIM, AND ONE WAS ON EACH SIDE OF HIM, AND IT COULD HAVE BEEN ONE ON ONE SIDE OR 5 6 ONE ON THE OTHER, BUT YOU ARE NOT SURE WHICH IS WHICH? THAT'S RIGHT. 7 HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THAT MEETING? WAS IT A 3 PLEASANT MEETING? WAS IT UNPLEASANT? WAS IT A FRIENDLY 9 10 MEETING? 11 I WAS VERY UPSET OVER FINDING THESE THREE 12 THINGS THAT I HAD ALREADY STATED. EXCUSE ME. THREE CHOICES: PLEASANT, 13 UNPLEASANT OR FRIENDLY. 14 I THINK IT HAD ALL ELEMENTS. IT WAS A LONG 15 16 MEETING. I THINK ALL OF THESE ELEMENTS WERE INVOLVED. EVEN THOUGH ALL OF THEM MAY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED, 17 IF YOU CAME AWAY WITH ONE OVERWHELMING IMPRESSION, WOULD YOU 18 19 HAVE DECIDED THAT THAT MEETING WAS PLEASANT, UNPLEASANT OR 20 FRIENDLY? MR. KLEIN: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. THAT ASSUMES A 21 22 FACT NOT IN EVIDENCE THAT SHE DID HAVE AN OPINION. 23 THE COURT: A WHAT? MR. KLEIN: ASSUMES A FACT NOT IN EVIDENCE THAT SHE 24 25 CAME AWAY WITH SOME OPINION ABOUT THAT MEETING. 26 THE COURT: THE QUESTION ASKED WHETHER SHE CAN DO IT. 27 AS YOU LOOK BACK ON IT NOW, WAS THERE A 2ε DESCRIPTIVE WORD THAT COMES TO MIND THAT IS LARGELY

DESCRIPTIVE OF THE MEETING, NOT A HUNDRED PERCENT OF IT, NOT 1 EVERY MINUTE, BUT THAT GENERALLY DESCRIBES IT? THE WORDS 2 MR. LEVY MENTIONED, MAYBE SOME OTHER WORD? RELAXED, TENSE, 3 ANTAGONISTIC, HARMONIOUS? WHATEVER WORDS? DOES ANYTHING 4 COME TO MIND? 5 THE WITNESS: WELL, I THINK IT WAS A DIFFICULT 6 MEETING FOR FRIENDS WHO WERE TRYING TO RESOLVE WHAT SEEMED TO BE IRRESOLVABLE DIFFERENCES. 8 BY MR. LEVY: THAT IS A NICE GENERAL STATEMENT, 9 BUT IT REALLY DOESN'T ANSWER MY QUESTION. I CAN APPRECIATE 10 IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN DIFFICULT. 11 HAVE YOU BEEN TO MEETINGS THAT HAVE BEEN 12 FRIENDLY? 13 14 Α YES. HAVE YOU BEEN TO MEETINGS THAT WERE UNFRIENDLY? 15 Q 16 I'D HAVE TO THINK ABOUT IT. I SUPPOSE I HAVE 17 IN MY LIFE. WELL, WHEN YOU AND MR. KING GOT DIVORCED, WAS 18 Q YOUR LAST MEETING FRIENDLY OR UNFRIENDLY? 19 I THOUGHT IT WAS VERY FRIENDLY. 20 21 SO BEARING THAT IN MIND, HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THIS MEETING WITH MR. MULL? FRIENDLY OR UNFRIENDLY? 22 23 I THINK IT WAS A FRIENDLY MEETING. 24 OKAY. Q 25 CAN YOU TELL ME APPROXIMATELY WHAT HAPPENED DURING THE MEETING? 26 I BROUGHT UP THE THREE SUBJECTS WHICH I HAD 27

MENTIONED TO YOU ALREADY IN MY TESTIMONY, AND CHALLENGED HIM

ON ALL THREE OF THEM, AND ASKED HIM TO GIVE ME AN 1 UNDERSTANDING AND SOME ANSWERS ON HIS POSTURE IN THESE THREE 2 3 SITUATIONS. DURING THAT MEETING, DID YOU TELL MR. MULL THAT 4 Q YOU WERE NOT GOING TO SUE HIM? 5 YES, I SAID THAT. 6 DID YOU SAY IT MORE THAN ONCE? 7 Q YES, I DID. 8 DID YOU SAY IT MORE THAN TWICE? 9 Q I BELIEVE SO, YES. Α 10 DID YOU SAY IT MORE THAN THREE TIMES? Q 11 I DON'T KNOW. 12 HOW ABOUT SIX OR SEVEN OR EIGHT? DID YOU SAY 0 13 IT THAT MANY TIMES? 14 I DIDN'T COUNT. 15 DID HE APPEAR TO UNDERSTAND THAT IT WAS NOT 16 YOUR INTENTION TO SUE HIM AFTER THAT MEETING? 17 HE UNDERSTOOD IN THE CONTEXT OF THE MEETING 18 THAT I WAS MAKING THAT STATEMENT BECAUSE HE HAD MADE AN 19 OFFER PRIOR TO THAT MEETING OF PAYING US \$10,000 AS A FINAL 20 PAYMENT. 21 22 MR. LEVY: I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THIS, YOUR HONOR, AND ASK IT BE STRICKEN. IT IS NOT NONRESPONSIVE AND IT 23 SELF-SERVING. 24 THE COURT: MOTION IS GRANTED. THE LAST ANSWER OF 25 THE WITNESS IS STRICKEN. THE JURY IS DIRECTED TO DISREGARD 26 27 IT. Q BY MR. LEVY: DURING THE COURSE OF THAT 28

MEETING, DID YOU DISCUSS GREGORY MULL'S SOUL WITH HIM? 1 2 YES. MR. MULL SEND YOU A TWO-HOUR TAPE THAT WAS AN 3 OUTPOURING OF ALL OF HIS FEELINGS ABOUT HIS DEALINGS WITH 4 5 THE CHURCH? HE HAD SENT IT THE DAY BEFORE. I HADN'T 6 7 RECEIVED IT TO THAT MOMENT. DID YOU TELL HIM THAT YOU WOULD PROBABLY NOT 3 HAVE TIME TO HEAR IT, BUT THAT YOU WOULD ATTEMPT TO HEAR IT 9 IN MAYBE FIVE- OR TEN-MINUTE SEGMENTS WHENEVER YOU COULD 10 OVER THE NEXT YEAR OR SO? 11 I ASKED HIM REPEATEDLY TO GIVE ME THE CONTENT 12 OF THAT TYPE AT THAT MEETING SO I COULD HEAR IT. HE REFUSED 13 TO DO IT AND SAID I HAD TO LISTEN TO THE TAPES. I HAD JUST 14 GOTTEN HOME FROM TWO DIFFERENT TIMES AND DID NOT THINK IT 15 WAS POSSIBLE FOR ME TO TAKE ANOTHER TWO HOURS AFTER THIS 16 LONG MEETING AND LISTEN TO THE TAPE THAT HE HAD ASKED ME TO 17 LISTEN TO THAT HE GAVE THE DAY BEFORE. 18 A MAN HAD BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH YOU FOR OVER SIX 19 YEARS. HE HAD WORKED FOR YOU, HAD GIVEN YOU PLANS, GONE 20 SHOPPING WITH YOU. BY YOUR OWN TESTIMONY, HE WAS YOUR 21 22 FRIEND. 23 WAS HIS SOUL NOT WORTH TWO MORE HOURS? IT WAS CERTAINLY WORTH 2 MORE HOURS, 2 MORE 24 MILES OR 200 HOURS. I ASKED HIM IN THE MEETING REPEATEDLY 25 IF HE HAD ANYTHING MORE TO SAY. HE SAID, "I HAVE NOTHING 26 MORE TO SAY." 27 28 MR. LEVY: I THINK, YOUR HONOR, IT WOULD BE A PROPER

TIME TO LISTEN TO THE TAPES OF THAT MEETING. 1 2 THE COURT: LET'S TAKE A SHORT RECESS FIRST AND THEN WE WILL PROCEED. LET'S RESUME AT 20 MINUTES BEFORE 3:00. 3 REMEMBER THE COURT'S ADMONITIONS. 4 (RECESS.) 5 THE COURT: OKAY. PLEASE PROCEED. 6 7 MR. LEVY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. AT THIS TIME MR. KLEIN AND MYSELF WOULD LIKE TO ENTER INTO A STIPULATION THAT 8 ò THE COURT REPORTER BE RELIEVED OF TRANSCRIBING THE AUDIO CASSETTES. WE WILL FURNISH HER WITH A COPY, PRINTED COPY OF 10 11 THE CONTENT OF THE TAPES. 12 THE COURT: WHICH CAN BE INSERTED AT THIS PLACE IN 13 THE TRANSCRIPT. MR. LEVY: YES, YOUR HONOR. 14 MR. KLEIN: SO STIPULATED, YOUR HONOR. 15 16 THE COURT: OKAY. THE TAPE YOU ARE GOING TO PLAY, SO 17 THE RECORD IS CLEAR, IS EXHIBIT --18 MR. LEVY: IS EXHIBIT 8-A AND EXHIBIT 8-B. IT IS A TRANSCRIPT OF THE TWO AND A HALF HOUR APPROXIMATE MEETING 19 20 THAT WAS HELD BETWEEN THE PARTIES THAT MISS FRANCIS 21 MENTIONED. 22 THE COURT: OKAY. LET'S START THEN WITH 8-A. 23 I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN ADJUST THAT. 24 MR. LEVY: MR. MIDDLETON TRIPPED OVER YOUR SPEAKER 25 DURING THE NOON BREAK. I WILL ATTEMPT TO ADJUST IT. I DON'T KNOW IF I CAN OR NOT. 26 27 (THE AUDIO CASSETTE, EXHIBIT 8-A, WAS

PLAYED.)

(THE TRANSCRIPT OF EXHIBIT 8-A 15 COPIED INTO THE RECORD AS FOLLOWS:)

MOTHER: IN THE NAME OF ALMIGHTY GOD,
IN THE NAME OF THE CHRIST, I INVOKE THE
SPIRIT OF BELOVED EL MORYA. BELOVED EL
MORYA, ENTER THIS ROOM — BELOVED LANELLO,
BELOVED SAINT GERMAIN, THE MIGHTY I AM
PRESENCE OF EACH OF US. WE ALL FOR THE
CIRCLE OF LIGHT FROM THE HEART OF THE GREAT
WHITE BROTHERHOOD FOR THE SETTLEMENT OF ALL
THAT EXISTS BETWEEN GREGORY MULL AND ME AND
THIS BOARD AND THIS ACTIVITY IN THE LIGHT OF
GOD—HARMONY AND IN THE FLAME OF THE ARC OF
THE COVENANT. WE SUBMIT THIS ENTIRE MATTER
TO THE DARJEELING COUNCIL. IN THE NAME OF
THE FATHER, THE SON, THE HOLY SPIRIT, AND

FIRST OF ALL, GREGORY, 1 WANT
TO TELL YOU THAT I'M GREATLY CHAGRINED TO

LEARN FROM ERIK STEIN THAT YOU HAVE TOLD HIM

THAT IF I OR THE CHURCH WAS GOING TO SUE

YOU, YOU WOULD GATHER EVIDENCE FROM SIX

YEARS OF ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES OF THIS BOARD

AND YOU WOULD TELL EVERYONE YOU KNEW ABOUT

WHAT A TERRIBLE ORGANIZATION WE ARE. I

THINK THAT'S A VERY DESTRUCTIVE ATTITUDE.

IT'S NOT THE ATTITUDE OF A CHELA, AND I'D

LIKE TO KNOW WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY FOR

YOURSELF ABOUT SUCH STATEMENTS.

GREGORY: OKAY. DID YOU RECEIVE THE

TAPES THAT WERE DELIVERED THIS MORNING BY -
MOTHER: I AM ASKING YOU THE QUESTION

AND I'D LIKE YOU TO RESPOND TO --

GREGORY: EVERYTHING IS IN THE TAPES.

MOTHER: I AM NOT GOING TO HAVE AN ANSWER LIKE THAT. I MAY NEVER LISTEN TO THOSE TAPES. YOU'RE MEETING HERE ON MY TERMS, NOT ON YOUR TERMS. NOW, I'M ASKING YOU A QUESTION AND I WANT AN ANSWER TO IT. WHY DID YOU SAY THAT TO ERIK STEIN? I AM NOT GOING TO GO FISHING THROUGH TWO HOURS OF TAPES TO FIND YOUR RESPONSE.

GREGORY: MY, MY REASON FOR

EXPRESSING MYSELF TO HIM WAS CAUSE HE

EXPRESSED HIMSELF TO ME. AND MY MOTIVE --

MOTHER: HE'S A CHILD AND YOU ARE AN ADULT. NOW, DO YOU REACT TO A CHILD AND COME BACK AND SAY SUCH BLASPHEMOUS STATEMENTS ABOUT YOUR GURU? OUT OF ALL THE LOVE WE HAVE SHARED FOR SIX YEARS, ALL I'VE BEEN THROUGH WITH YOU AND YOUR TANTRUMS AND YOUR HATRED AND YOUR PROBLEMS, YOU'RE GOING TO COME BACK WITHOUT EVEN EVER SEEING ME. YOU HAVE NO IDEA WHAT I'M GOING TO TELL YOU, AND ALREADY YOU'RE ANTICIPATING THIS AND DECIDING THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BETRAY ME TO

THE CORE. AND YOU HAVE TOLD THIS TO ERIK STEIN. NOW, I WANT TO KNOW WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY FOR IT BESIDES SAYING THAT YOU REACTED TO HIM.

GREGORY: WHAT I HAVE TO SAY WAS TO IMPROVE THE CONDITIONS WITHIN THE ORGANIZATION.

MOTHER: THAT IS PROBABLY A LIE. YOU HAVE NOT COME CONSTRUCTIVELY. YOU'VE COME OUT OF BLACKMAIL AND CONDEMNATION BEHIND MY BACK. AND HOW CAN YOU IMPROVE CONDITIONS BY GOING AND FINDING CHELAS AND TELLING YOUR STATEMENTS? WHY DON'T YOU COME TO ME AND IMPROVE CONDITIONS IF YOU KNOW ALL KINDS OF ILLEGAL THINGS I'VE DONE FOR SIX YEARS? WHY HAVE YOU STAYED HERE? WHY, IT'S AN INDICTMENT OF YOUR OWN PERSON TO REMAIN WHEN YOU KNOW SUCH TERRIBLE THINGS.

GREGORY: I DON'T KNOW THAT I SAID
THAT THERE WERE ILLEGAL THINGS GOING ON. I
SAID THAT I DID NOT LIKE SOME OF THE THINGS
THAT WERE GOING ON, AND AS OF LATE.

MOTHER: THEN WHY HAVEN'T YOU COME TO ME?

GREGORY: IT ISN'T LIKE, IT ISN'T LIKE FROM THE BEGINNING.

MOTHER: WELL, IT'S FOR SIX YEARS OF EVIDENCE YOU'VE GATHERED. THOSE WERE HIS STATEMENTS.

GREGORY: WELL, THAT IS --

MOTHER: AND IF HE WERE IN TOWN --

GREGORY: WELL, THAT IS NOT -

MOTHER: IF HE WERE IN TOWN, HE WOULD BE HAPPY TO SAY EXACTLY WHAT YOU SAID AND YOU COULD, YOU COULD DISPUTE IT WITH HIM IN, IN, IN HIS PRESENCE. WHATEVER YOU SAID, THE FACT THAT HE COULD REPORT TO ME THAT YOU SAID THIS IS JUST SHOCKING. IT SHOWS THE SHALLOW LEVEL OF YOUR OWN CONSCIOUSNESS, AND I AM, I AM SHOCKED. I SEE NO BASIS FOR A RELATIONSHIP, IF THIS WHOLE MATTER NEVER EXISTED, THAT THE MINUTE YOU FEEL THREATENED (AND YOU ARE A THREAT TO YOURSELF AND NO ONE HERE IS THREATENING YOU), THE MINUTE YOU FEEL THREATENED —— BING! NO LOVE, NO LOYALTY, NO LIGHT. YOU'RE GOING TO GO OUT AND BEHAVE JUST LIKE KATHLEEN.

NOW, I WANT TO SAY THAT FOR THE RECORD. I THINK IT'S ABOMINABLE. AND I HAVE NO RESPECT FOR THAT STATE OF CONSCIOUSNESS. AND IF THAT'S WHAT YOU CHOOSE TO MANIFEST, SO YOU WILL BE JUDGED.

THE SECOND THING I WANT TO CALL

TO YOUR ATTENTION, WHICH I FIND SHOCKING, IS

THAT YOU HAVE BEEN OVERHEARD IN YOUR OWN

APARTMENT GIVING DECREES FOR THE REVERSING

OF THE TIDE OF ALL ENERGY COMING TO YOU THROUGH GURU MA. YOU ARE REVERSING THE TIDE UPON ME, BY WITNESS OF PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN YOUR OWN HOUSE WHO HAVE HEARD YOU MAKING SUCH INVOCATIONS. HAVE YOU DONE IT OR HAVE YOU NOT DONE IT?

GREGORY: ANYTHING THAT IS NOT
[INAUDIBLE] THAN THE CHRIST, BUT LESS THAN
THE CHRIST FROM YOU OR FROM MONROE OR
EDWARD —

MOTHER: YOU CONSIDER THAT I HAVE
THINGS LESS THAN THE CHRIST IN MY WORLD.
YOU ARE THE JUDGE OF ME. YOU'VE DECIDED
THAT COMING TOWARD YOU ARE THINGS LESS THAN
THE CHRIST. AND BEFORE EVEN CONFRONTING ME
AS THE CHRISTIAN CODE IN THE BIBLE STATES —
IF YOU HAVE A BROTHER, A PROBLEM WITH YOUR
BROTHER, YOU GO AND SEE HIM ABOUT IT — YOU
ARE IN YOUR HOUSE, MISUSING THE SCIENCE OF
THE SPOKEN WORD AGAINST THE VERY ONE THROUGH
WHOM ALL OF THE TEACHING HAS COME. AND YOU
CAN ACTUALLY MISTRUST ME AND BELIEVE THAT I

GREGORY: I MISTRUST THE MEMBERS OF YOUR BOARD, AND I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH DIRECTION YOU HAVE RECEIVED FROM THEM OR WHETHER THEY ACT ON THEIR OWN.

MOTHER: DON'T GIVE ME THOSE

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

MAMBY-PAMBY EXCUSES, THOSE MEALYMOUTH EXCUSES. THAT'S AN ABSOLUTE ABOMINATION, AN ABSOLUTE ABOMINATION THAT YOU WOULD STAND IN YOUR OWN HOUSE BEFORE ALMIGHTY GOD AND REVERSE THE TIDE UPON ME. IT'S A DISGRACE AND I CHARGE YOU BEFORE ALMIGHTY GOD. IT IS A DISGRACEFUL STATE OF CONSCIOUSNESS AND IT IS SICK. AND IT REVEALS IN YOU PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEMS THAT HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH ME OR WITH THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION.

AND I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT I AM NOT ON TRIAL. THIS BOARD IS NOT ON TRIAL. AND I HAVE NO INTENTION OF ACCEPTING YOUR ACCUSATIONS OF MY BOARD AND TELLING ME THAT YOU MISTRUST THEM. EL MORYA HAS APPOINTED THESE BOARD MEMBERS. MARK HAS APPOINTED THEM WHILE HE WAS IN EMBODIMENT AND I HAVE APPOINTED THEM.

YOUR MISTRUST OF MY BOARD IS YOUR MISTRUST OF ME. YOU HAVE COME TO THE POINT IN YOUR LIFE OF THE DISTRUSTING OF THE GURU, AND ON THAT YOU WILL HAVE TO MAKE YOUR WAY [INAUDIBLE]. IT IS NO CONCERN OF MINE. YOUR DOUBT AND FEAR ARE IN YOUR OWN WORLD. I HAVE NOTHING TO SAY FOR ANYTHING THAT I HAVE DONE. I HAVE DONE NOTHING TO BETRAY YOU. NOT ONE THING. AND IT'S, IT'S

1 |

ABSOLUTELY OUTRAGEOUS.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

THIS IS A DOCUMENT THAT I HAVE PREPARED, GOING THROUGH EVERYTHING THAT HAS PASSED BETWEEN US SINCE YOUR PHONE CALL WITH MONROE ABOUT COMING HERE. YOUR LETTERS, YOUR QUOTES, YOUR ASKING FOR MONEY, STATING THAT WHEN YOU SOLD YOUR HOUSE YOU WOULD PAY BACK THIS MONEY. OVER AND OVER AGAIN, THESE STATEMENTS MADE -- "GIVE ME SO MUCH MONEY. I WILL PAY IT BACK WHEN I SELL MY HOUSE." ON AND ON AND ON IT GOES TO THE TUNE OF \$37,000 PLUS YOUR ROOM AND BOARD. AND YOU KNEW WHEN YOU CAME HERE THAT EVERYONE HERE WORKS FOR ROOM AND BOARD AND ANY MINIMUM OVERAGE. YOU HAD NO REASON TO BELIEVE THAT IN, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR CHELASHIP YOU SHOULD EXPECT PROFESSIONAL-LEVEL RATES FOR YOUR SERVICE.

GREGORY: WHAT WAS THE LAST STATEMENT YOU JUST MADE?

MOTHER: YOU HAVE NO REASON TO

EXPECT, BECAUSE NO ONE EVER PROMISED TO

YOU — YOU HAVE NO REASON TO EXPECT, GIVEN

THE TENOR AND WAVELENGTH OF THIS ENTIRE

COMMUNITY, THAT YOU WOULD BE PAID IN KIND AT

THE LEVEL OF PROFESSIONAL RATES WHICH YOU

NOW CLAIM YOU SHOULD BE PAID IN RETROSPECT

FOR ALL THE WORK YOU'VE DONE. HERE ARE ALL

THE QUOTES. THE WORDS HAVE COME OUT OF YOUR MOUTH. THERE ARE NO QUOTES FROM ME BECAUSE I HAVE NEVER BEEN INVOLVED. YOU HAVE GONE BEFORE THIS BOARD, ASKED FOR MONEY FOR A PERIOD OF ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF. EACH TIME YOU HAVE ASKED FOR IT, YOU HAVE SAID — GREGORY: TEN MONTHS.

MOTHER: IT STARTED IN '79. WHEN DID IT START? FEBRUARY 1979.

EDWARD: HE'S ABOUT RIGHT. THE LAST PAYMENT WAS IN OCTOBER, I THINK. JANUARY TO OCTOBER.

MOTHER: WELL, FEBRUARY 7, 1979. YOU BEGAN WORKING HERE JANUARY 11, 1979; IT'S NOW INTO JUNE. SO YOUR ASSOCIATION WITH US HAS GONE ON FOR THAT LENGTH OF TIME. WITHIN THAT FRAMEWORK OF TIME, THIS HAS GONE ON. I COULD READ THIS WHOLE THING TO YOU. I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A COPY OF THIS BECAUSE I FEEL THAT, THAT THIS IS A MOST TREACHEROUS MANIPULATION OF EVERYBODY'S MIND. BUT THE WORST ONE WHO IS DECEIVED IS YOURSELF. YOU HAVE NOW DECIDED THAT YOU OWE US NOTHING. YOU HAVE WITHDRAWN YOUR WORD FROM THE GREAT WHITE BROTHERHOOD.

GREGORY: ALSO, THE GREAT WHITE BROTHERHOOD GAVE ME THEIR WORD THROUGH MONROE SHEARER THAT MY EXPENSES WOULD BE

PAID IF I CAME DOWN.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

MOTHER: DO YOU WANT TO READ YOUR STATEMENT ON THAT? THESE ARE NOT EXPENSES, THESE ARE BILLS.

MONROE: WHEN I CALLED GREGORY MULL IN SAN FRANCISCO AND ASKED HIM TO COME DOWN AND JOIN THE STAFF, IT WAS ON THE BASIS OF HIM BECOMING A STAFF MEMBER AND WORKING, AS WE ALL DO, AS VOLUNTEERS, WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WOULD PAY FOR HIS TRAVEL EXPENSES BACK AND FORTH AND PROVIDE HIM WITH FREE ROOM AND BOARD. GREGORY AND I KNEW THAT HE WOULD NEED TO TRAVEL BECAUSE HE WAS GOING TO CONTINUE HIS BUSINESS IN, IN THE SAN FRANCISCO AREA TO A CERTAIN EXTENT, EARN SOME INCOME, AND FULFILL THE COMMITMENTS THAT HE HAD UP THERE. WE DID NOT HAVE ANY DISCUSSION OVER THE PHONE IN THAT FIRST CONVERSATION THAT HE WOULD DROP EVERYTHING HE WAS DOING IN SAN FRANCISCO TO WORK FOR US 100 PER CENT OF THE TIME AND THUS BE DEPENDENT UPON US FOR 100 PER CENT OF HIS FINANCIAL COMMITMENTS.

GREGORY DID NOT KNOW HOW MUCH
HIS MONTHLY EXPENSES WERE. I MADE NO
OPEN-ENDED COMMITMENT TO HIM TO PAY WHATEVER
THESE EXPENSES MIGHT HAPPEN TO BE OR TO
ALLOW HIM TO JOIN THE STAFF AS AN ARCHITECT

3 4

5

6 7 8

11 12 13

10

15 16

14

17

19

20

21

23

24

26

27

28

ON A BLANKET STATEMENT OF "HIS TERMS." I
DID NOT HAVE ANY UNILATERAL AUTHORITY ON THE
PART OF THE BOARD TO MAKE SUCH A DECISION.

CERTAINLY NO FIGURE SUCH AS

\$4,000 OR EVEN \$2,000 PER MONTH WAS SPECIFICALLY DISCUSSED OR AGREED TO. IN OUR CONVERSATION WE DID AGREE THAT WE WOULD TALK FURTHER AND MAKE FINAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE HANDLING OF THESE EXPENSES AFTER HE HAD GOTTEN HERE AND FIGURED OUT HOW MUCH HE FELT HE WOULD NEED PER MONTH. IT WAS EITHER IN THIS INITIAL CONVERSATION, OR ONE VERY SOON THEREAFTER, THAT HE INTRODUCED THE POINT TO ME THAT HE WAS GOING TO MAKE THE CONTRIBUTION TO THE CHURCH WHICH WOULD MORE THAN COMPENSATE FOR WHATEVER AMOUNT OF MONEY WE GAVE HIM SO THAT IT WOULD NOT BE COSTING THE CHURCH ANYTHING FOR HIS SERVICES. THIS VERBAL COMMITMENT OCCURRED WELL BEFORE THE WRITTEN LETTERS.

I HAVE ANOTHER STATEMENT ON THE QUESTION, THE, THE TERM "MY TERMS."

REGARDING GREGORY'S FIXATION ON THE TERMS,
ON THE TERM "MY TERMS," I DON'T REMEMBER

THOSE WORDS BEING USED IN ANY TELEPHONE

CONVERSATION. REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THEY

WERE USED OR NOT, HIS TERMS WERE NEVER

STIPULATED BECAUSE HE WAS NOT HIMSELF FULLY

AWARE OF HOW MUCH IT WOULD COST HIM TO JOIN THE STAFF AND TO PHASE QUT HIS OWN BUSINESS. HE DID NOT KNOW HOW MUCH WORK HE WOULD KEEP GOING IN SAN FRANCISCO OR WHAT HIS OTHER EXPENSES WERE GOING TO BE.

GREGORY: MONROE, MAY I INTERRUPT
YOU?

MOTHER: WELL, HE'S FINISHED. YOU CAN SPEAK.

GREGORY: OKAY. WHEN I CAME DOWN, I
TOLD YOU I WOULD GIVE YOU MY FULL TIME AND
THAT, THAT'S THE ONLY WAY YOU COULD GET
ANYTHING DONE WAS IF I GAVE YOU MY FULL
TIME; THAT I WOULD NOT MAKE ANY MONEY IN SAN
FRANCISCO OTHER THAN I WOULD CLOSE DOWN MY,
MY JOBS IN SAN FRANCISCO — AND THAT I HAD
AN OBLIGATION TO DO. [INAUDIBLE] I HAD TO
GO BACK AND DO DIFFERENT THINGS WITH THEM.
AND —

MONROE: AND YOU HAD BETWEEN \$10,000 AND \$20,000 THAT PEOPLE OWED YOU FOR JOBS.

GREGORY: AND THEY NEVER PAID ME

MONROE: BUT THE POINT IS YOU DIDN'T KNOW HOW MUCH OF THAT MONEY YOU WOULD GET IN AND HOW MUCH THAT WOULD BE ABLE TO FEND FOR THE COST OF YOUR EXPENSES.

GREGORY: ANY MONEY THAT I HAD, I PUT

 ON MY BILLS. AND I ONLY ASKED YOU FOR MONEY
THAT I NEEDED TO MEET MY NEEDED BILLS AND
NOT FOR PROFIT. THERE ARE SOME THINGS IN
THERE THAT DEVIATE FROM WHAT OUR AGREEMENT
IS; AND IF YOU WANT TO GO INTO IT, WE CAN.
BUT I DON'T THINK IT'S IMPORTANT — UNLESS
YOU DO, MOTHER.

MOTHER: I'M NOT SURE WHAT YOU JUST SAID. SOME THINGS IN WHERE THAT DEVIATE?

GREGORY: WELL, SOME THINGS THAT HE

JUST SAID ARE NOT, ARE NOT THE WAY -- I WAS

NEVER TOLD THAT WHEN I CAME DOWN HERE THAT I

WOULD HAVE TO SUPPORT MYSELF OR THAT I WOULD

LOSE MY PROPERTY, THAT I WOULD HAVE TO GIVE

ALL MY PROPERTY TO THE CHURCH.

MOTHER: YOU DIDN'T SUPPORT YOURSELF.

WE GAVE YOU ROOM AND BOARD. A LOT OF STAFF

MEMBERS DON'T EVEN GET ROOM AND BOARD. IF

YOU SAY YOU GOT MONEY OVER TEN MONTHS AND IT

WAS \$37,000, THAT COMES OUT TO \$3,700 A

MONTH. THAT IS MORE THAN THE ENTIRE BOARD'S

SALARY. AND WE GAVE THIS TO YOU AS A LOAN

BECAUSE EACH TIME YOU ASKED FOR IT, YOU

SAID, "THE MINUTE I SELL MY HOUSE, I WILL

PAY IT BACK." AND THIS IS IN YOUR OWN

WRITING, EXCERPTED FROM LETTERS IN WHICH YOU

ASKED FOR THE FUNDS, STATING WHY YOU NEEDED

THEM.

ε

2ε

WHY DID YOU SAY YOU WOULD PAY
THEM BACK IF YOU THOUGHT THOSE MONIES WERE
DUE YOU FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES? WHY
DIDN'T YOU SAY, "THIS IS NOT A LOAN. THIS
IS WHAT I REQUIRE FOR SERVICES RENDERED. I
THINK I'M WORTH THIS. I THINK THIS IS WHAT
MY SALARY SHOULD BE." AND WE COULD HAVE
THEN SAID TO YOU, "WELL, GREGORY, YOU KNOW
WE CANNOT HIRE YOU ON THIS BASIS. WE CANNOT
AFFORD THAT. AND THEREFORE, YOU SHOULD GO
BACK TO WORK IN SAN FRANCISCO." IT WOULD
HAVE BEEN VERY SIMPLE.

YOU LED US ON, GREGORY. AND
THIS IS THE FAULT OF THE THING. AND THIS
DOCUMENT QUOTES YOUR VERY OWN LETTERS. WHY
DID YOU SIGN A PROMISSORY NOTE FOR THESE
FUNDS IF YOU THOUGHT YOU WERE DUE THIS
PAYMENT?

GREGORY: BECAUSE I THOUGHT MY HOME
WOULD SELL QUICKER THAN IT DID, AND I DIDN'T
MIND BEING OUT LIKE \$20,000. BUT I SHOULD
HAVE SPOKE UP AT THE POINT WHEN IT WAS
GETTING OVER THAT AMOUNT, BUT I THOUGHT IT
WAS OBEDIENCE TO REMAIN SILENT AND JUST LET
THE MASTERS WORK IT OUT.

MOTHER: YOU'RE NOT USED TO REMAINING SILENT. I HAVE REAMS OF LETTERS FROM YOU.

YOU WRITE SO MANY LETTERS, I CAN'T EVEN READ

THEM ALL.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

31

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

EDWARD: NOT ONLY THAT, BUT THE AMOUNT WAS ALREADY \$28,000 AT THE TIME YOU SIGNED THE NOTES. WE UPPED IT TO \$32,000 WITH THE FIRST NOTE, AND THEN THE SECOND NOTE UP TO \$37,000.

MOTHER: YOU SEE, WHAT YOU'RE DOING IS YOU'RE JUST JUMPING HORSES. YOU'RE DECIDING TO TAKE UP A NEW TACK NOW. A NEW LINE OF REASONING COMES IN. YOU WOULD NOT DO THIS IN THE WORLD. IT'S OBVIOUS THAT IT IS DECEITFUL, MANIPULATIVE. AND THERE IS NO WAY IN THE EYES OF ALMIGHTY GOD OR IN THE EYES OF THE COURTS OF THE WORLD THAT YOU CAN SAY YOU HAVE, HAVE DEALT HONESTLY WITH THIS CHURCH.

AND IN THE MEANTIME, YOU TURN THE WHOLE THING BACK IN A TRUE PSYCHOLOGICAL FASHION. YOU DECIDE WE ARE THE DISHONEST ONES AND YOU ARE BELIEVING KATHLEEN, WHO HAS TOLD YOU THAT IN THE END WE WOULD BE AFTER YOUR MONEY. NO ONE IS AFTER YOUR MONEY. WHO HAS ASKED YOU FOR ANY MONEY? WE HAVE NOT ASKED YOU FOR ANY CONTRIBUTIONS. WE'VE NOT ASKED YOU TO, TO GIVE UP 100 PER CENT OF YOUR EARNINGS TO THE MUCH. THAT'S ONLY COMES UP IN PERMANENT STAFF.

YOU MADE IT QUITE CLEAR YOU

DON'T WANT TO BE ON PERMANENT STAFF. THAT'S FINE. I APPRECIATE YOUR DESIRE TO BE INDEPENDENT, PUT YOUR CHILD THROUGH SCHOOL, TO LIVE HAPPILY EVER AFTER IN YOUR OWN LIFE. I THINK THAT'S THE COURSE THAT YOU SHOULD SET FOR CHELASHIP. BUT NOT LYING TO ME, NOT LEADING ME ON LIKE THIS. AND BECAUSE YOUR HOUSE —

GREGORY: I NEVER LIED TO YOU.

MOTHER: IT BECOMES A LIE TODAY,

GREGORY. THE WHOLE THING BECOMES A LIE.

GREGORY: I NEVER LIED TO YOU. I
NEVER DECEIVED YOU AND I NEVER DID IT
INTENTIONALLY, NOR WAS IT A PLOT.

MOTHER: WELL, SO WHAT?

GREGORY: IT'S JUST WHAT HAPPENED AT THE VERY END.

MOTHER: SO IT'S NOT PREMEDITATED.

SO NOW YOU SOLD YOUR HOUSE FOR LESS. AND

I'M SUPPOSED TO BEAR THE BURDEN OF THAT?

WHAT FOLLY.

GREGORY: WELL, IF I --

MOTHER: YOU ARE YOU READY TO THROW
YOUR WHOLE LIFE AND YOUR WHOLE CHELASHIP
DOWN THE TUBES BY TREATING THE GREAT WHITE
BROTHERHOOD THIS WAY? LISTEN TO THIS. YOU
CAME TO ME, HOW MANY YEARS AGO, AND ASKED ME
THE QUESTION THAT THIS MAN ASKED JESUS.

3 |

7 8

"ONE CAME AND SAID UNTO HIM, GOOD MASTER,
WHAT GOOD THING SHALL I DO, THAT I MAY HAVE
ETERNAL LIFE?" YOU CAME TO SANTA BARBARA,
SEVEN, EIGHT-WHAT IS IT, NINE YEARS AGO?
GREGORY: SIX YEARS AGO.

MOTHER: AND YOU ASKED THAT VERY
QUESTION. "I'M HERE TO LEARN THE PATH AND I
REALIZE YOU HAVE THE TEACHING." YOU CAME TO
ME EXACTLY. "HE SAID UNTO HIM, WHY CALLEST
THOU ME GOOD? THERE IS NONE GOOD BUT ONE."
YOU HAVE BEEN ONE OF THESE VERY PEOPLE WHO
WOULD HAVE GONE INTO A PERSONALITY
CONSCIOUSNESS IF I HAD ALLOWED YOU TO. I
HAVE DELIBERATELY KEPT A DISTANCE BECAUSE I
HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT TRAIT IN YOUR MIND,
THAT PERSONALITY CONSCIOUSNESS.

"THERE IS NONE GOOD BUT ONE,
THAT IS, GOD." I HAVE NEVER ELEVATED MYSELF
BEFORE YOU OR ANY OTHER STUDENT. "BUT IF
THOU WILL ENTER INTO LIFE, KEEP THE
COMMANDMENTS. HE SAID UNTO HIM, WHICH?"

JESUS SAID: THOU SHALT DO NO MURDER, COMMIT
ADULTERY, NOT STEAL, NOT BEAR FALSE WITNESS.
HONOR THY FATHER AND MOTHER. THOU SHALT
LOVE THY NEIGHBOR AS THYSELF.

HE SAYS, THE YOUNG, THE YOUNG
MAN SAYS, "ALL THESE THINGS HAVE I KEPT FROM
MY YOUTH UP: WHAT LACK I YET?" HE STILL

WASN'T GETTING INTO THE KINGDOM. AND A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT FOLLOW THE COMMANDMENTS TODAY AREN'T. THAT'S WHY THE BROTHERHOOD COMES.

SO JESUS SAYS: WELL, IF YOU REALLY WANT TO KNOW, IF YOU REALLY WANT TO BE PERFECT, GO AND SELL WHAT THOU HAST, GIVE TO THE POOR, AND THOU SHALT HAVE TREASURE IN HEAVEN.

COME AND FOLLOW ME. [MATTHEW 19:16-21]

I HAVE SAID THAT TO YOU ALSO.

YOU HAVE PREFERRED NOT TO DO THAT. I HAVE

NOT JUDGED YOU. I HAVE PUT OUT NO SENSE OF

POSSESSIVENESS ON ANYONE IN THIS UNIVERSE,

AS GOD IS MY WITNESS. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT I

HAVE DESIRED YOUR MONEY AT ANY TIME? DO YOU

REALLY BELIEVE THAT?

GREGORY: YES.

MOTHER: THAT'S AN ABSOLUTE LIE. I
HAVE NO DESIRE FOR ANYONE'S MONEY. YOU LOOK
AT THE SUIT OF CLOTHES HE'S WEARING. HE
WORE IT FIVE YEARS AGO. THERE IS NO ONE
EXTRAVAGANT IN THIS ACTIVITY. NO ONE IS
AFTER YOUR MONEY. IT'S AN ILLUSION OF YOUR
OWN MIND.

GREGORY: WHEN YOU SAID TO ME IN THE SACRISTY THAT YOU FELT KATHLEEN WOULD TAKE ME FOR ALL MY MONEY AND THAT I SHOULD SIGN IT OVER TO YOU, WHAT WERE YOUR MOTIVES?

MOTHER: I BELIEVED THAT YOU HAD THE

THAT THAT WAS A TEST FOR YOU. I HAD NO IDEA
THAT YOU YOURSELF WERE POSSESSIVE OF YOUR
OWN MONEY. I AM SUCH IN THE FLAME OF THIS
COMMUNITY THAT IT NEVER EVEN OCCURRED TO ME
WHERE YOU HAD PLACED YOURSELF ON THE RUNG.
I THOUGHT YOU WERE A DEVOTEE, PREPARED TO GO
ALL THE WAY AND MAKE YOUR ASCENSION. AND IT
HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH ME PERSONALLY, IT WAS
THE CHURCH. AND YOU KNOW WHAT I DO WITH THE
MONEY OF THIS CHURCH. I AM NOT EMBARRASSED
IN ANY WAY TO HAVE MADE THAT STATEMENT,
BECAUSE I KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THE SINISTER

GREGORY: YOU ALSO CALLED ME IN MY

OFFICE AND ASKED ME TO NOT, I BELIEVE YOU

ASKED ME NOT TO SIGN THE PROPERTY OVER TO MY

DAUGHTER, THAT I SHOULD KEEP IT IN TRUST FOR

THE CHURCH.

MOTHER: IT'S EXACTLY THIS TEACHING.

IT'S EXACTLY THE TEACHING OF JESUS CHRIST.

I'M NOT HERE TO ENFORCE IT.

GREGORY: IS IT, IS IT WRONG FOR ME
TO SPONSOR MY DAUGHTER TO GO THROUGH SCHOOL?

MOTHER: IT DEPENDS AT WHAT LEVEL.

IT DEPENDS AT WHAT LEVEL YOU'RE SPONSORING

HER. IT'S NOT WRONG TO SPONSOR HER, BUT

IT'S WRONG TO GIVE YOUR WORD TO THE CHURCH

AND THEN WITHDRAW IT.

GREGORY: THERE ARE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR WITHDRAWING IT. THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE THAT MY HOME WAS SOLD FOR MUCH LESS.

MOTHER: THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH

GREGORY: I DID NOT RECEIVE MONEY. I
HAVE OTHER EXPENSES THAN THIS ORGANIZATION
THAT WANT THE MONEY IMMEDIATELY -- AN
EX-WIFE FOR \$26,500, GOVERNMENT FOR \$15,000.

MOTHER: IN OTHER WORDS, ALL OF THESE ARE PRIORITY AND GOD COMES LAST.

GREGORY: I HAVE PUT \$10,000 THAT I
WILL TO GO OUT AND EARN AS, AS AN OFFER TO
THIS CHURCH FOR SETTLEMENT BECAUSE YOU MADE
A COMMITMENT TO ME WHEN I CAME THAT YOU
WOULD PAY MY BILLS. IT WAS AS CLEAR IN MY
MIND AS ANYTHING. THERE IS NO DECEPTION ON
MY PART TO YOU. THERE NEVER HAS BEEN
DECEPTION. NEVER.

MOTHER: YOU ARE TOTALLY DECEIVED.

GREGORY: WELL, FROM WHERE YOU SEE

IT, PERHAPS, BECAUSE YOU ARE MORE ADVANCED

THAN I. BUT FROM WHERE I AM, I DO NOT SEE

IT.

MOTHER: GREGORY, IF I GO AND SIGN A NOTE TO SOMEBODY, ANYBODY IN THE WORLD, I CAN LATER TELL THEM, "THIS NOTE IS NULL AND

3 4

VOID BECAUSE I CAN'T AFFORD TO PAY IT NOW."
HE'S OUT THE MONEY. WHAT KIND OF NONSENSE
IS THAT?

GREGORY: WHEN MONROE CALLED ME OUT

OF CHURCH, TOOK ME TO YOUR DINING ROOM, I, I

WAS SO AFRAID, I WAS SO APPRECIATIVE, AND I

SO DIDN'T WANT TO BE REMOVED FROM THE

CHURCH. AND I SO LOVED THE MASTERS AND SO

LOVED YOU THAT I MADE THE COMMITMENT, AND I

MADE IT IN ALL SINCERITY AND ALL HONESTY.

MOTHER: WHEN WAS THAT?

GREGORY: BUT I NEVER — THAT WAS

ABOUT, OH, MAYBE IN THE SECOND PAYMENT. IT

WAS ABOUT THE SECOND PAYMENT, MAYBE SIX

WEEKS I'D BEEN HERE, OR A MONTH. IT'S HARD

TO SAY JUST HOW LONG. AND AT THAT TIME I

THOUGHT IT WOULD BE SOLD, AND IN MY OWN MIND

AND IN MY OWN HEART I SAID, \$20,000 I CAN

DO. MY MONEY IS — I DON'T, I DIDN'T MAKE

PROFIT. I DIDN'T MAKE ANY PROFIT. IT'S

JUST AN EXCHANGE OF BILLS.

MOTHER: WHO'S TALKING ABOUT YOU

MAKING ANY PROFIT? I AM TELLING YOU THAT

NEVER IN THE HISTORY OF THIS ORGANIZATION

HAS ANYONE COME INTO THIS, THIS HEART CENTER

AND THIS STAFF AND WEASELED OUT OF THE

CHURCH FUNDS \$37,000. NO ONE HAS EVER DONE

SUCH A THING.

27

28

GREGORY: I DON'T CONSIDER IT WEASELING OUT.

MOTHER: WELL, THAT'S WHAT IT AMOUNTS

GREGORY: IT DOESN'T IN MY MIND. I CANNOT ACCEPT THAT.

MOTHER: I FAIL TO SEE HOW YOU IN YOUR OWN MIND CAN SO BEHAVE. IT WAS DONE IN THE EARLY CHURCH. IT'S ALSO THE TEACHING OF JESUS CHRIST AND HIS APOSTLES. THEY ALL CAME TOGETHER. THEY ALL CAME INTO THE COMMUNITY OF THE HOLY SPIRIT, AND NEITHER WAS THERE ANY AMONG THEM THAT LACKED; FOR AS MANY AS WERE POSSESSORS OF LANDS OR HOUSES AND SOLD THEM, BROUGHT THE PRICES OF THE THINGS THAT WERE SOLD, LAID THEM DOWN AT THE FEET OF THE APOSTLES. THEY ALL CAME TOGETHER. DISTRIBUTION WAS MADE UNTO EVERY MAN ACCORDING AS HE HAD NEED. AND JOSES, WHO BY THE APOSTLES WAS SURNAMED BARNABAS, A LEVITE (WHICH IS, BEING INTERPRETED, THE SON OF CONSOLATION), HAVING LAND, SOLD IT AND BROUGHT THE MONEY AND LAID IT AT THE APOSTLES' FEET.

BUT A CERTAIN MAN NAMED

ANANIAS, AND SAPPHIRA HIS WIFE, SOLD A

POSSESSION AND KEPT BACK PART OF THE PRICE,

HIS WIFE ALSO BEING PRIVY TO IT, AND BROUGHT

FEET. BUT PETER SAID, "AND ANANIAS, WHY
HATH SATAN FILLED THINE HEART TO LIE TO THE
HOLY GHOST, AND TO KEEP BACK PART OF THE
PRICE OF THE LAND? WHILES IT REMAINED, WAS
IT NOT THINE OWN? AND AFTER IT WAS SOLD,
WAS IT NOT THY OWN POWER? WHY HAST THOU
CONCEIVED THIS THING IN THY HEART? THOU
HAST NOT LIED UNTO MEN, BUT UNTO GOD." AND
ANANIAS HEARING THESE WORDS FELL DOWN, AND
GAVE UP THE GHOST: AND GREAT FEAR CAME ON
ALL OF THEM THAT HEARD THESE THINGS. AND
THE YOUNG MEN AROSE, WOUND HIM UP, AND
CARRIED HIM OUT, AND BURIED HIM.

AND IT WAS ABOUT THE SPACE OF
THREE HOURS AFTER, WHEN HIS WIFE, NOT
KNOWING WHAT WAS DONE, CAME IN. PETER
ANSWERED UNTO HER, TELL ME WHETHER YE SOLD
THE LAND FOR SO MUCH? AND SHE SAID YEA, FOR
SO MUCH. PETER SAID UNTO HER, "HOW IS IT
THAT YE HAVE AGREED TOGETHER TO TEMPT THE
SPIRIT OF THE LORD? BEHOLD, THE FEET OF
THEM WHICH HAVE BURIED THY HUSBAND ARE AT
THE DOOR, AND SHALL CARRY THEE OUT." THEN
FELL SHE DOWN STRAIGHTWAY AT HIS FEET AND
YIELDED UP THE GHOST. THE YOUNG MEN CAME IN
AND FOUND HER DEAD, CARRIED HER FORTH,
BURIED HER. GREAT FEAR CAME UPON ALL THE

CHURCH AND UPON AS MANY AS HEARD THESE 1 THINGS. [ACTS 4:34-5:11] 2 GREGORY: ARE YOU SAYING THAT I WILL 3 DIE IF I DO NOT FULFILL YOUR COMMITMENT? 4 MOTHER: ABSOLUTELY NOT. 5 GREGORY: THEN WHY ARE YOU READING IT 6 TO ME? 7 MOTHER: BECAUSE IT'S THE LAW, 8 BECAUSE IT'S SACRED SCRIPTURE, AND BECAUSE 9 IT HAPPENED. 10 GREGORY: YOU KNOW, MOTHER --11 MOTHER: AND YOU SHOULD HAVE THE FEAR 12 OF THE LORD IN YOUR HEART FOR DOING WHAT YOU 13 ARE DOING. I AM NOT YOUR JUDGE AND I REFUSE 14 TO JUDGE YOU. 15 GREGORY: I DON'T HAVE THAT MUCH FEAR 16 EXCEPT I WANT TO MAKE MY ASCENSION. 17 MOTHER: FEAR IS AWE. 18 GREGORY: I DON'T FEEL --19 MOTHER: YOU WANT IT ON YOUR TERMS, 20 GREGORY. YOU'RE NOT, YOU'RE NOT WILLING TO 21 PASS THIS INITIATION. YOU HAVE REACHED YOUR 22 NEMESIS ON THE 10/4 AXIS AND YOU'VE DONE IT 23 IN THE MIDST OF PALLAS ATHENA'S QUARTER. I 24 READ A PEARL FROM HER YESTERDAY THAT TALKS 25 EXACTLY ABOUT THIS VERY THING. ANANIAS AND 26 SAPPHIRA AND THE GIVING UNTO THE, UNTO THE 27

LORD. AND YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND THAT IT IS

NOT WE WHO BENEFIT FROM THE GIFT, IT IS YOU. AND YOU ARE WITHHOLDING A PART OF THE PRICE. YOU ARE HOLDING BACK A PART OF THE PRICE.

NOW, I WANT TO TELL YOU SOMETHING. YOUR TAKING EXCEPTION TO WHAT I'M SAYING EFFECTIVELY CONCLUDES OUR RELATIONSHIP, BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY YOU KNOW MORE THAN I DO ABOUT GOD, ABOUT HIS LAW, ABOUT BEING A GURU. YOU HAVE BECOME A LAW UNTO YOURSELF AND YOUR OWN GURU, AND YOU ARE NO LONGER HUMBLE BEFORE WHAT I HAVE TO TELL YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER TO TEACH YOU, BECAUSE YOU'RE, YOU HAVE SUCH A MOTE IN YOUR EYE OF 10 O'CLOCK SUBSTANCE OF HOW YOU HAVE MISUSED THE SACRED FIRE ON THAT SCORPIO LINE THAT YOU CAN NO LONGER UNDERSTAND THIS LAW OF THE SOLAR PLEXUS AND OF DESIRE.

AND YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND THAT WHEN I TOLD YOU THIS ABOUT YOUR DAUGHTER, THAT EVERYONE WHO IS ON THIS STAFF WHO HAS MADE THAT 100 PER CENT COMMITMENT KNOWS THAT ONCE OUR CHILDREN ARE 18, WE ARE NO LONGER RESPONSIBLE FOR THEM. I HAVE FOUR CHILDREN. I HAVE NO COLLEGE FUNDS FOR THEM. THEY WILL MAKE IT THROUGH LIFE ON THEIR OWN. THEY WILL BE STRONG. THEY WILL BE HEALTHY AND THEY WILL FORGE OUT AS I FORGED OUT WHEN I WAS 18 AND RECEIVED NO BACKING FROM MY

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

PARENTS.

I THINK IT'S WONDERFUL WHEN PARENTS HAVE THE MONEY. BY PAYING THE MONEY BACK TO US ON A DEFERRED BASIS, YOU WOULD STILL HAVE MONEY TO PUT YOUR CHILD THROUGH COLLEGE. BY BEING OBEDIENT TO THE LAW, GOD WILL MULTIPLY YOUR JOB OPPORTUNITIES. AND BY ALSO BEING OBEDIENT, YOUR OWN DAUGHTER WILL COME OUT OF THIS VOLLEYING BACK AND FORTH BETWEEN YOU AND YOUR WIFE AS TO WHO CAN GIVE HER THE MOST STUFF, AND SHE'LL FINALLY BECOME AN INDIVIDUAL AND DO SOMETHING ON HER OWN. SHE DOESN'T NEED HER COLLEGE PAID 100 PER CENT. SHE'S NO DUMMY. DOESN'T SHE HAVE ANY BRAINS OF HER OWN? 1 MEAN, YOU'RE VIOLATING THE WHOLE MONTESSORI PRINCIPLE OF ALLOWING A CHILD TO ASSERT ECONOMIC INDEPENDENCE FROM SEVENTH GRADE ON. PAY TWO-THIRDS OF HER COLLEGE. PAY THREE-QUARTERS OF IT. BUT YOUR CHILD IS HAVING AN EXAMPLE OF YOUR LIFE --

GREGORY: SHE INTENDS TO WORK IN THE SUMMERS. SHE'S GOING TO CONTRIBUTE. SHE'S ALREADY WORKED.

MOTHER: YOU ARE SAYING TO YOUR

CHILD, "THE CHURCH COMES LAST. YOU COME

FIRST." YOU MAKE HER THE ONE THAT IS

IMPORTANT. WHEN YOU'RE ASKING WHY I SAID TO

1 2

4

3

5

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

YOU THAT THAT MONEY SHOULD BE TURNED OVER TO THE CHURCH AND NOT OVER TO YOUR DAUGHTER, I SAID IT BECAUSE EVERYONE WHO IS IN THIS COMMUNITY HAS DONE THAT. AND THAT IS HOW WE SEE IT AND THAT IS HOW WE UNDERSTAND IT.

GREGORY: I DON'T AGREE WITH IT.

MOTHER: I UNDERSTAND. AND YOU HAVE
A RIGHT NOT TO AGREE WITH IT. YOU HAVE A
RIGHT TO BE WHERE YOU POSITION YOURSELF IN
CHELASHIP. BUT BY COMING INTO THIS
COMMUNITY AND SERVING HERE AND WRITING IN
THESE LETTERS THAT ARE QUOTED HERE HOW YOU
LOVE THE MASTERS, YOU WANT TO SERVE THE
MASTERS, YOU WANT TO GIVE YOUR LIFE TO THE
MASTERS WHILE YOU'RE HERE, GAVE ME THE
IMPRESSION THAT YOU WANTED TO COME UNDER
THAT HIGHER DISCIPLINE WHERE JESUS SAYS,
"OKAY, IF YOU WANT TO BE PERFECT, GO AND
SELL ALL YOU HAVE AND GIVE IT TO THE POOR
AND FOLLOW ME."

THAT WAS ONE LEVEL OF CHELASHIP. BUT HE
SAID, "YOU KNOW, I'VE DONE ALL THIS. I KNOW
IT'S NOT ENOUGH. WHAT ELSE CAN I DO?" AND
IT'S WHEN YOU COME TO THE PART "WHAT ELSE
CAN I DO?" — WHICH THE PEOPLE WHO
ASSOCIATE WITH ME DO. I JUST WANT YOU TO
UNDERSTAND WHY I SAID THAT SO THAT YOU WILL

. .

NOT THINK FOREVERMORE THAT I WAS POSSESSIVE 1 OF YOUR MONEY. IT HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH 2 THAT. 3 GREGORY: I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT THE ONLY DISAGREEMENT WE HAVE IS CONCERNING YOUR 5 ATTITUDE TOWARD MONEY. THAT IS THE ONLY 6 DISAGREEMENT. 7 MOTHER: WHAT DO YOU THINK MY 8 ATTITUDE TOWARD MONEY 15? 9 GREGORY: I DO NOT QUESTION YOUR 10 SPIRITUALNESS, YOUR MESSENGERSHIP, THE GREAT 11 WHITE BROTHERHOOD. 12 MOTHER: I COULDN'T BE A MESSENGER 13 AND HAVE A BAD ATTITUDE TOWARD MONEY. I 14 WOULD HAVE LONG AGO BEEN PUT OUT OF THIS 15 OFFICE. AND I WANT YOU TO TELL ME WHAT YOU 16 THINK MY ATTITUDE TOWARD MONEY IS. 17 GREGORY: WELL, WHEN YOU HAVE TWO 18 BOARD MEMBERS THAT RAILROAD, PRESSURE, YOU 19 KNOW. THEY'RE POWERFUL BEINGS. 20 MOTHER: WHEN DID THEY RAILROAD AND 21 PRESSURE YOU? 22 GREGORY: RECENTLY, THREE MEETINGS. 23 AND THEN THREE NIGHTS AFTER I ARRIVED --24 MOTHER: THAT'S AFTER THE FACT. 25 THAT'S AFTER THE FACT WHEN ALL OF A SUDDEN 26 YOU UP AND SAY, "I'M NOT GOING TO PAY WHAT I 27 OWE YOU. I'M HOLDING BACK A PART OF THE 28

PRICE.

GREGORY: I ASKED FOR UNDERSTANDING.

IT WAS NEVER TOUCHED ON. THE LAST LETTER

WHICH EXPLAINED MY REASON FOR DOING WHAT I

WAS DOING AND I ASKED FOR UNDERSTANDING, IT

WAS NEVER TOUCHED ON. IT WAS NEVER TOUCHED

ON WHEN THEY CALLED MY DAUGHTER INTO A

MEETING.

MOTHER: YOU THINK WE DON'T UNDERSTAND THE EXCUSE YOU'VE GIVEN? -- THAT BECAUSE YOU GOT LESS FOR YOUR HOUSE, YOU NEED THE MONEY FOR YOUR DAUGHTER AND THAT SHOULD JUST MAKE EVERYTHING OKAY? YOU KNOW, LITTLE CHELAS ALL OVER THIS COUNTRY HAVE TO PAY THAT \$37,000 NOW, OR THE \$27,000 IF YOU'RE GOING TO GIVE TEN. YOU THINK THAT'S FAIR. CHELAS IN THIS ACTIVITY, WITHOUT KNOWING THAT THEY WERE COMMITTING TO IT, NOW HAVE TO PAY FOR YOUR DAUGHTER'S EDUCATION BECAUSE YOU'VE UP AND DECIDED YOU DIDN'T GET ENOUGH FOR YOUR HOUSE. THE REAL-ESTATE MARKET GOES UP AND DOWN. NOBODY KNOWS WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO GET FOR THEIR PROPERTY. I MEAN, WHAT KIND OF A BUSINESSMAN ARE YOU?

THE TEACHING OF PALLAS ATHENA, WHICH IS CLEAR, SAYS THAT YOU COME TO A CERTAIN PLACE ON THE PATH AND MONEY BECOMES THE INITIATION. IF YOU CAN'T -- IF YOU ARE

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

ATTACHED TO MATERIAL POSSESSIONS, YOU CAN GO NO FURTHER IN CHELASHIP. THAT IS THE TEACHING. I HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH IT. I DIDN'T MAKE THE LAW. I COULD CARE LESS WHETHER YOU PAY THIS DEBT OR NOT FROM MY STANDPOINT, BECAUSE GOD DOES NOT LET ME DOWN. BUT YOU HAVE LET GOD DOWN AND YOU WILL SUFFER. YOU WILL SUFFER GREATLY FOR

THERE IS NOTHING ELSE THAT I CAN TEACH YOU, GREGORY. YOU ARE IMPUGNING MY MOTIVES AND THEREFORE YOU ARE IMPUGNING EL MORYA, WHO HAS PUT ME IN THIS POSITION. . I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT I HAVE PASSED THE INITIATIONS ON THE FIFTH RAY OF SUPPLY AND I AM NONATTACHED TO THIS MONEY.

GREGORY: COULD I ASK YOU A PERSONAL

GREGORY: DO YOU HAVE MORE THAN \$9,000 IN THE BANK IN YOUR OWN PERSONAL

GREGORY: YOU DO NOT. DO YOU MAKE MORE THAN \$5,000 A YEAR?

MOTHER: I HAVE \$670 A MONTH. WHAT DOES THAT COME OUT TO? THAT'S MY SALARY. I RECEIVE GIFTS. I RECEIVE FOOD, ROOM, AND

BOARD. SO, YOU KNOW, IT'S WHAT -- WHAT I ENJOY IS MORE THAN \$5,000 A YEAR. BUT YOU DON'T KNOW, YOU DON'T KNOW HOW MANY OF THOSE GIFTS I PUT RIGHT BACK INTO THE COLLECTION PLATE.

GREGORY: IF YOU MAKE MORE THAN, IS IT... [END OF TAPE, SIDE ONE]

MOTHER: ...NORTHWESTERN.

GREGORY: THEN HE CANNOT LEGALLY GET THAT IF YOU MAKE OVER \$5,000 A YEAR.

MOTHER: WHAT DO YOU MEAN HE CAN'T LEGALLY GET IT? THEY PAY, THEY PAY BRIGHT STUDENTS TO GO TO THIS SCHOOL.

GREGORY: I KNOW.

MOTHER: NO MATTER WHAT'S GOING ON --GREGORY: I KNOW. AND THEY DON'T

QUESTION IT. BUT I'M JUST TELLING YOU THAT,
THAT IF YOU HAVE MONEY, THEN YOUR CHILDREN
CAN'T GO ON SCHOLARSHIPS.

MONROE: YOU MIGHT BE TALKING ABOUT A GOVERNMENT SCHOLARSHIP IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

EDWARD: THERE'S HUNDREDS OF DIFFERENT SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAMS.

MONROE: THERE ARE PRIVATE ENDOWMENTS

ALL OVER THE UNITED STATES THAT ARE NOT

GOVERNED BY --

MOTHER: MY SALARY DOES NOT EXCEED

\$5,000 A YEAR -- THE AMOUNT I RECEIVE. 1 EDWARD: BUT THAT ALSO IS FOR 2 SUPPORTING A FAMILY OF FIVE PEOPLE. 3 MONROE: THAT'S RIGHT, AND [INAUDIBLE] POINT THAT OUT. 5 EDWARD: THAT, THAT MAKES A 6 DIFFERENCE. IF IT WAS JUST ONE CHILD, AS 7 OPPOSED TO FOUR CHILDREN -- YOU KNOW, IT'S A 8 9 VAST DIFFERENCE. MOTHER: WHAT ARE YOU TRYING TO PROVE 10 BY ASKING ME THESE QUESTIONS? 11 GREGORY: I AM JUST WONDERING WHERE 12 YOU'RE AT CONCERNING MONEY. 13 MOTHER: WELL, IT'S AMAZING ---14 GREGORY: THE WHOLE ISSUE IS MONEY. 15 IT'S NOTHING OTHER THAN THAT. I FEEL THAT I 16 OWE YOU --17 MOTHER: THE WHOLE ISSUE IS NOT 18 MONEY. I WANT YOU TO --19 GREGORY: THE ISSUE IS MONEY. YOU'RE 20 ASKING ME TO LAY MY DAUGHTER AND MY MONEY ON 21 THE ALTER. 22 MOTHER: NO, I'M NOT. 23 GREGORY: AND I MAY ULTIMATELY HAVE 24 TO DID THIS, AND I AM WILLING TO DO IT. BUT 25 I'M NOT WILLING TO LAY IT ON THIS ALTER. WE 26 HAVE TWO BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE AS RUDE AND 27

CRUDE AND VIOLENT AS THEY HAVE BEEN TO ME.

MOTHER: I CANNOT ACCEPT THAT.

GREGORY: I HAVE HAD POWER PLAY. I

HAVE HAD RAILROADING. THERE ARE --

MOTHER: WOULD YOU LIKE THEM TO
LEAVE? WOULD YOU LIKE TO TALK TO ME ALONE
AT THIS POINT?

GREGORY: NO, IT'S IN THE TAPES SO IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE REPEATED. AND THE SHAM OF WHAT WAS DONE HERE WITH ARCHITECTURE.

IT'S JUST AN ABSOLUTE SHAM.

MOTHER: YOU ARE ACCUSATIVE BECAUSE
YOU ARE UNWILLING TO STAND ON YOUR OWN TWO
FEET AND FACE YOUR OWN KARMA. YOU HAVE NO
REASON TO ACCUSE THESE MEN. WHAT ARE YOU
TALKING ABOUT, A SHAM OF ARCHITECTURE?

GREGORY: MOTHER, LET'S JUST START
FROM YOUR TOWER. YOU HAVE A ROW HOUSE
WINDOW UP THERE. I DESIGNED SOMETHING TO
FIT THE OPENING WITH VENTS BELOW THAT WOULD
NOT DESECRATE THIS BUILDING. I DESIGNED A
TRIPTYCH, WINDOWS THAT FIT THE MANSION AND
INSTEAD YOU HAVE SOMETHING THAT'S A CHEAP
STORE FRONT.

I CALLED MONROE ONE DAY ABOUT
THE WALK, AS I TALKED TO RALPH YANEY. IT
SHOULD BE A SLIGHT CURVE. HE YELLS AT ME,
"DON'T BOTHER ME WITH THAT." I GO INTO YOUR
SACRISTY AND HAVE EVERYTHING ORGANIZED AND

MONROE SAYS, "WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO SAY TO MOTHER?" HE SAYS, "DON'T SHOW THIS. SAY THIS. DON'T SAY THAT." YOU CAME OUT AND YOU SAY, "YOU'RE NOT PREPARED." OF COURSE, I WASN'T PREPARED. BECAUSE I HAVE TO TAKE TIME TO BE PREPARED. THE PLANS WERE CHANGED REPEATEDLY ON THE MONTESSORI SCHOOL. I WAS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THOSE TREES BEING TAKEN DOWN. I DIDN'T MIND IF I WAS BLAMED.

MOTHER: WHAT ARE YOU TRYING TO SAY, GREGORY?

GREGORY: I'M TRYING TO SAY THAT YOU CAN'T BE CREATIVE, YOU CAN'T COMMUNICATE, AND YOU CAN'T GET YOUR JOB DONE PROPERLY HERE, HERE AS, YOU KNOW, AS, AS A PROFESSIONAL.

MOTHER: WELL, ARE YOU AN ARCHITECT

IN SERVICE OR ARE YOU A DICTATOR? YOU THINK

THAT EACH TIME A SUGGESTION IS NOT TAKEN

THAT IT'S A SHAM?

GREGORY: DO YOU LIKE THE WINDOWS IN YOUR TOWER?

MOTHER: I HAVE NOT SEEN WHAT YOU PROPOSED FOR THAT TOWER AND I HAVE NOT PUT MY ATTENTION ON IT.

GREGORY: FIXED GLASS AND THERE'S VENTS AT THE BOTTOM AND SECURITY. NOBODY COULD CRAWL IN.

MOTHER: I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, VENTS AT THE BOTTOM.

GREGORY: OKAY, YOUR OPENINGS ABOVE GO -- BATTERED WALLS, THEY'RE SLOPED WALLS ON THE SIDE. AND THEN THERE, AND THEN I WANTED TO PUT JUST FIXED GLASS AND JUST VENTS AT THE BOTTOM THAT NOBODY COULD CRAWL THROUGH, AND THEN IT WOULD TIE IN WITH THIS, THIS --

MOTHER: DO YOU HAVE A DRAWING OF THIS?

GREGORY: I HAVE A DRAWING OF IT. IT WAS NEVER, TRACINGS WERE NEVER RETURNED. ALSO, OUT AT THE POOL AREA, GLASS HAS TO BE -- WE HAVE SOLID GLASS -- THERE HAS TO BE A BREAK AT 2'6" UP, ABOUT THIS HIGH. AND YOU DON'T HAVE IT; IT'S ABOUT A FOOT OFF THE FLOOR. AND IF SOMEBODY, WITH THOSE BIG PANES OF GLASS -- IF SOMEBODY, ONE OF THOSE BREAKS AND SOMEBODY GETS KILLED AND IT'S NOT TEMPERED GLASS, YOUR INSURANCE WON'T EVEN COVER YOU. THESE ARE THE THINGS THAT I'M BURDENED WITH.

MOTHER: GREGORY, YOU ARE AS ATTACHED TO YOUR IDEAS AND YOURSELF AS YOU ARE TO YOUR MONEY. WHAT HAS THIS PARTICULAR SUBJECT GOT TO DO WITH YOUR CHELASHIP? GREGORY: IT'S BEING PROFESSIONAL AND

28

1

2

3

5

6

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

YOU WANTED PEOPLE TO BE PROFESSIONAL HERE.

MOTHER: I AM TEACHABLE. THESE
PEOPLE ARE TEACHABLE. THERE IS NO REASON
FOR YOU TO COME IN HERE THIS MORNING AND
ACCUSE ME.

GREGORY: I WAS NOT CONSULTED ABOUT MAKING CHANGES ON THE TOWER OR ON THE OTHER.

AND YOU HAD ASKED THAT I BE CONSULTED ON ALL THESE THINGS. I WAS NOT CONSULTED.

MOTHER: WELL THEN, WHAT DO YOU WANT?
WHAT AMENDS WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO MAKE FOR
THIS? ARE YOU JUST GOING TO LEVEL
CONDEMNATION ON US FOREVER?

GREGORY: NO, NO. FOR FUTURE ARCHITECTS, LET THEM BE CREATIVE.

MOTHER: I DON'T THINK THAT'S AT

ISSUE HERE, AND I WANT TO TELL YOU THAT THE

MONEY IS NOT AT ISSUE HERE. IT'S YOUR WORD,

WHICH YOU HAVE GIVEN AND WITHDRAWN. IT IS

NOT THE MONEY.

GREGORY: AND YOU HAVE GIVEN A WORD

THROUGH MONROE THAT I, "GREGORY, WE WANT YOU

TO COME AND DO ARCHITECTURE AT CAMELOT AND

ON YOUR TERMS."

MOTHER: WHAT DO YOU MEAN? I NEVER SAID ON YOUR TERMS.

GREGORY: AND I SAID MY TERMS ARE

THAT YOU PAID, PAID MY BILLS. HE IS YOUR REPRESENTATIVE. AND THEN NOW IN WRITING HE SAYS, "WELL, HE COULD NOT MAKE A COMMITMENT." HE'S YOUR REPRESENTATIVE, EL MORYA OR [INAUDIBLE].

MOTHER: DID YOU EVER, DID YOU EVER

STATE YOUR TERMS? IF YOU HAD HAD -
GREGORY: MY TERMS ARE PAYING MY

BILLS.

MOTHER: IF YOU HAD THAT AGREEMENT
THEN, PRIOR TO ALL THE LETTERS YOU WROTE,
"PLEASE GIVE ME SUCH AND SUCH MONEY. I'LL
PAY YOU BACK WHEN I SELL MY HOUSE" -- WHY
DID YOU SAY THAT THEN, IF YOUR TERMS WERE
THAT THAT MONEY WAS OWING YOU AND YOU SHOULD
HAVE HAD IT ANYWAY?

GREGORY: BECAUSE I WANTED TO SELL MY
HOME FOR \$240,000, AND I WOULD HAVE GLADLY
GIVEN YOU THE FULL AMOUNT. BUT THINGS WERE
IN SUCH A BIND, WITH INTEREST RATES THAT
WERE UP TO LIKE 18-19 PER CENT, THAT I JUST
HAD TO LET IT GO.

MOTHER: GREGORY, THAT'S NOT THE
POINT. IF YOU ARE ABSOLUTELY CERTAIN THAT
THE DAY YOU WALKED IN HERE, I AND THIS BOARD
AGREED TO PAY YOUR BILLS --

GREGORY: ABSOLUTELY, TOTALLY CERTAIN.

MOTHER: THEN THERE WAS NO REASON TO ASK FOR A LOAN AND PROMISE TO PAY IT BACK.

YOU WEREN'T COMING HERE ON TERMS OF LOAN.

YOU SHOULD HAVE SAID, "PAY MY BILLS.

THEY'RE \$2,000. PAY ME. I NEED, I NEED A

CHECK."

GREGORY: I APPRECIATED BEING HERE.

THAT WAS THE ONLY REASON THAT I MADE THAT

COMMITMENT WAS BECAUSE I APPRECIATED BEING

HERE.

MOTHER: WELL, BY THE VERY —

GREGORY: AND I WAS ALSO AFRAID THAT

I WOULD BE REMOVED, AND I WAS SO AFRAID —

MOTHER: WHY, WHY DO YOU HAVE THIS

FEAR?

GREGORY: I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T HAVE
IT NOW. BECAUSE FOR THE FIRST TIME WHEN I
MET WITH THIS BOARD, I JUST TOOK A LOOK AT
THE ENTIRE SITUATION, TOOK A LOOK AT THEM,
AND THIS IS NOT THE ALTAR THAT I WANT TO LAY
MY MONEY OR MY DAUGHTER ON.

MONROE: IT'S NOT YOUR MONEY; IT'S

THE CHURCH'S MONEY. THE CHURCH GAVE YOU

\$37,000. IT'S NOT YOUR MONEY. YOU'RE

REFUSING TO GIVE THE CHURCH BACK ITS MONEY.

MOTHER: AND IT'S NOT THE CHURCH'S

MONEY; IT'S THE PEOPLE'S MONEY. AND THAT'S

THE EXAMPLE YOU'RE GIVING YOUR DAUGHTER.

THAT'S THE EXAMPLE YOU'RE GIVING HER. AND YOU HAVE REPEATED THIS FROM ACTIVITY TO ACTIVITY BECAUSE YOU WILL NEVER COME BEFORE YOUR OWN KARMA AND YOUR OWN MIGHTY I AM PRESENCE. YOU HAVE TO CONSTANTLY ACCUSE AND POINT THE FINGER LEST YOU MUST COME UNDER AN AUTHORITY THAT WILL FINALLY CHALLENGE YOUR HUMAN CREATION.

AND YOU KNOW SOMETHING? I'M

NOT INTERESTED IN DOING THAT. I AM LAYING

BEFORE YOU THE LAW. I'M TELLING YOU YOU ARE

WRONG BECAUSE IT IS MY RESPONSIBILITY TO DO

SO. I HAVE NO VINDICTIVENESS. I HAVE NO

DESIRE FOR REVENGE. I AM VERY CONCERNED

ABOUT YOUR PATH. I AM NOT CONCERNED ABOUT

THE MONEY.

GREGORY: THEN SHOW ME BY JUST

FORGIVING THE ENTIRE AMOUNT -- OTHER THAN

THE AMOUNT THAT I OWE FOR LOUISE -
MOTHER: GOD SHOULD SHOW YOU BY

FORGIVING THE AMOUNT.

GREGORY: -- FOR HELEN.

MOTHER: GOD SHOULD SHOW YOU THAT
THEY'RE OKAY. I'LL TELL YOU SOMETHING,
GREGORY. I DO NOT LAWFULLY HAVE THE RIGHT
AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DARJEELING
COUNCIL, AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF YOUR SOUL,
TO FORGIVE THAT DEBT. BUT I WILL TELL YOU

.. .....

THAT I'M NOT GOING TO SUE YOU FOR IT, AND I
WILL PUT IT IN WRITING THAT I'M NOT GOING TO
SUE YOU FOR IT. BUT THE DEBT IS NOT
FORGIVEN. YOU OWE IT. YOU GAVE YOUR WORD.
YOU OWE IT TO ALMIGHTY GOD AND YOU OWE IT TO
THIS PARTICULAR GROUP OF PEOPLE.

AND YOU WILL NOT ABSOLVE THAT

DEBT BY GOING DOWN THE STREET AND FINDING

ANOTHER ORGANIZATION AND THEN GIVING THAT

MONEY. YOU MADE YOUR COMMITMENT HERE. YOU

SIGNED YOUR PROMISSORY NOTES HERE. I AM NOT

AFTER YOUR MONEY. I AM VERY CONCERNED THAT

YOU DO NOT KNOW BY THE BLINDNESS OF YOUR OWN

EYES AND YOUR ACCUSATIONS OF ME, WHO HAS

NEVER DONE A THING TO YOU, AS GOD IS MY

GREGORY: YOU HAVE NEVER DONE

ANYTHING WRONG TO ME. I ACCUSE YOU OF

NOTHING, EXCEPT THIS MONEY ISSUE. THERE IS

SOMETHING WRONG WITH YOUR BOARD.

MOTHER: FORGET THE BOARD. YOU ARE DEALING WITH ME.

GREGORY: AND THERE IS SOMETHING WRONG BECAUSE YOU ARE NOT LOOKING AT THE ENTIRE SITUATION.

MOTHER: FORGET THE BOARD. YOU ARE DEALING WITH ME.

GREGORY: YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE

BOARD -- TOTALLY RESPONSIBLE. IS THAT WHAT 1 YOU'RE SAYING? 2 MOTHER: GREGORY, I AM DEALING WITH 3 YOU NOW. WHATEVER THE BOARD HAS SAID BEFORE 4 5 THIS, YOU DON'T HAVE TO CONCERN YOURSELF WITH BECAUSE I AM SAYING IT. I AM SAYING TO б YOU AND, BELIEVE IT OR NOT, EL MORYA IS 7 SAYING IT THROUGH ME. 8 GREGORY: DID YOU READ THE LETTER, 9 THE LAST LETTER THAT I WROTE? DID YOU READ 10 11 IT IN FULL? MOTHER: NO, I DID NOT READ IT IN 12 FULL. 13 GREGORY: I THOUGHT YOU TOLD ME THAT 14 SHE READ IT IN FULL. 15 MOTHER: HE PROBABLY THOUGHT I READ 16 IT. I TOOK IT AND I, I SPEED READ IT. 17 GREGORY: DIDN'T YOU TELL ME THAT 1ε SHE'D READ IT? 19 EDWARD: I TOLD YOU THAT SHE'D READ 20 IT. GREGORY. I DON'T FOLLOW HER EYES. I 21 DON'T LOOK THROUGH HER EYES. I DON'T KNOW 22 HOW MUCH THAT SHE READ. 23 MOTHER: I HAVE EXAMINED YOUR LETTER. 24 I HAVE EXAMINED ALL OF YOUR LETTERS. AND I 25 HAVE EXAMINED THIS LETTER AND POINTS ---26 GREGORY: THERE HASN'T BEEN ANY 27 UNDERSTANDING. IT'S JUST THE FACT THAT I 28

MADE A COMMITMENT. I MADE TWO PROMISSORY
NOTES TO YOU. IF I FEEL THAT I CAN TRUST
THIS ORGANIZATION CONCERNING ITS, ITS
ATTITUDE TOWARD MONEY, IF I CAN RESOLVE IT I
WILL PAY IT BACK. BUT I PUT, I PUT THIS
BOARD TO THE TEST BY QUESTIONING AND I TELL
YOU, IT'S A VIOLENT, VIOLENT TWO MEN ON
EITHER SIDE OF ME.

MOTHER: I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT YOUR ARE PLACING YOURSELF IN THE POSITION OF OMNIPOTENCE IN JUDGING ME AND THIS BOARD, AND IT'S A VERY DANGEROUS SITUATION TO BE IN. YOU HAVE DECIDED WE ARE ON TRIAL. IT IS YOU WHO IS ON TRIAL, RIGHT NOW TODAY. YOU HAVE PUT YOURSELF ON TRIAL BY DOING THIS AND YOU BETTER BELIEVE IT. AS I SENT THE MESSAGE TO YOU TO BE AT PEACE, IF YOU CANNOT TRUST ME, WHO CAN YOU TRUST? YOU DO NOT TRUST ME.

GREGORY: I DO NOT TRUST YOU CONCERNING MONEY.

MOTHER: YOU DO NOT TRUST ME AT ALL.

GREGORY: OH, THAT IS NOT TRUE. THAT IS NOT TRUE.

MOTHER: IF THERE IS NO TRUST, THERE IS NO TRUST.

GREGORY: I DO NOT HAVE TO GO ALL THE WAY. I HAVE FREE WILL. IF I DON'T SEE

SOMETHING, I DON'T SEE IT. AND I DON'T
THINK YOU'VE GIVEN FULL CONSIDERATION OR
UNDERSTANDING CONCERNING THE ISSUE OF MONEY.
THERE IS NO OTHER ISSUE.

MOTHER: IT IS NOT --

GREGORY: I APPRECIATE EVERYTHING YOU'VE EVER DONE FOR ME.

MOTHER: IT'S NOT TRUE. IT IS NOT TRUE. YOU ARE NOT DEMONSTRATING YOUR APPRECIATION. YOU ARE SHOWING THAT THE TEACHING AND THE PATH AND YOUR ASCENSION IS NOT WORTH GOING, SELLING ALL THAT YOU HAVE, AND BUYING THE ONE PEARL OF GREAT PRICE.

YOU ARE SHOWING ME AND THE BROTHERHOOD —

GREGORY: YOU MEAN I WILL NOT MAKE MY ASCENSION IF I DO NOT PAY IT?

MOTHER: I AM NOT MAKING ANY THREATS,
GREGORY. I AM NOT THREATENING YOU. I AM
NOT JUDGING YOU. I AM GIVING YOU A
TEACHING. I AM GIVING YOU A TEACHING ONLY.
I AM NOT STANDING HERE AS YOUR JUDGE. I
WILL NOT BE YOUR JUDGE, THOUGH YOU HAVE
DECIDED TO BE MY JUDGE, AND THAT IS YOUR
PROBLEM.

GREGORY: I AM NOT YOUR JUDGE. I ONLY STATE AS 1 SEE IT.

MOTHER: YOU HAVE JUDGED ME
IRRESPONSIBLE IN MATTERS OF MONEY, AND YOU

ARE SO HANGING WITH YOUR OWN SUBSTANCE OF CENTURIES ON THIS 10 O'CLOCK LINE THAT YOU CAN NOT EVEN SEE THE PURITY OF MY SOUL STANDING BEFORE YOU AS GOD IS MY WITNESS.

AND WHEN YOU --

GREGORY: I DO NOT QUESTION YOUR SOUL, THE PURITY OF YOUR SOUL.

MATTERS OF SUPPLY AND THE ABUNDANT LIFE IN
THE WHOLE THING. YOU THINK THAT I AM
GRASPING AND GREEDY FOR MONEY AND THAT IS
UTTERLY RIDICULOUS, UTTERLY RIDICULOUS. AND
IT IS NOT TRUE. AND THE WHOLE POINT OF
HAVING A GURU IS THAT YOU TRUST THAT GURU
BECAUSE YOU RECOGNIZE THAT THERE ARE CERTAIN
PLACES ON YOUR OWN LINE, ON YOUR OWN
EVOLUTION, THAT YOU YOURSELF CANNOT SEE.
THEREFORE, YOU HAVE TO TRUST THE GURU TO
SEE.

GREGORY: I DO NOT TRUST YOU BECAUSE

I DO NOT TRUST TWO OF YOUR BOARD MEMBERS.

MOTHER: THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH

IT. IT'S JUST A BUNCH OF EXCUSES. YOU'RE

IMMATURE PSYCHOLOGICALLY AND YOU'RE NOT

WILLING TO FACE THE FACTS. SO IT'S VERY

EASY TO SAY, "THIS IS NOT THE ORGANIZATION

WHERE I WANT TO PUT MY, MYSELF, MY LIFE, MY

MONEY, OR MY DAUGHTER ON THE ALTAR." AND

OFF YOU GO, SCOT-FREE. YOU HAVE COME TO THE CONFRONTATION AND YOU ARE NOT WILLING TO MAKE IT, AND THAT IS WHAT IS SO TERRIBLE.

AND IT'S NOT A QUESTION OF MONEY, IT'S A QUESTION OF YOUR WORD. YOU'VE GIVEN YOUR WORD AND YOU'VE WITHDRAWN IT.

GREGORY: AND YOU GAVE ME YOUR WORD THROUGH MONROE SHEARER.

MOTHER: PROVE IT.

GREGORY: PROVE IT? ALL RIGHT.

MONROE SHEARER, WHEN YOU CALLED ME ON THE

PHONE -- YOU'RE ON TRIAL NOW -- WHEN YOU

CALLED ME ON THE PHONE IN SAN FRANCISCO --

MONROE: I'LL BE VERY HAPPY TO ANSWER
YOUR QUESTION, GREGORY, BUT I'M NOT ON
TRIAL. SO GO AHEAD AND ASK YOUR QUESTION.

GREGORY: DID YOU NOT SAY TO ME,
"GREGORY, I WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO COME TO
CAMELOT AND DO ARCHITECTURE AND ON YOUR
TERMS"? DID YOU SAY THOSE WORDS?

MONROE: I PROBABLY ASKED YOU WHAT YOUR TERMS WERE, YES.

GREGORY: DID, DID YOU SAY THOSE WORDS?

MONROE: NO, NO, I WOULDN'T HAVE HAD,
I WOULDN'T HAVE SAID "ON YOUR TERMS." I
WOULDN'T HAVE SAID, I WOULDN'T HAVE GIVEN A
BLANKET AUTHORITY. I DON'T HAVE ANY

AUTHORITY TO MAKE THAT, MAKE THAT STATEMENT.

1, I WANTED TO FIND OUT WHAT YOU --

GREGORY: BUT YOU GAVE ME THE
IMPRESSION THAT YOU DID HAVE THAT AUTHORITY.
YOU NEVER AT ANY POINT SAID YOU DID NOT HAVE
THE AUTHORITY.

MONROE: THAT'S NOT TRUE.

GREGORY: AND AT ONE TIME, WHEN THE FIRST PAYMENT WAS MADE TO ME, YOU CALLED ME ON THE PHONE --

MONROE: I ASKED YOU HOW MUCH IT WAS

GREGORY: YOU CALLED ME ON THE PHONE
THE FIRST TIME A PAYMENT WAS MADE AND SAID,
"GREGORY, WHAT IS THIS? IS THIS A LOAN OR
WHAT DO YOU CALL THIS?" I SAID, "YOU CAN
CALL IT ANYTHING YOU WANT TO." REMEMBER?
YOU SAID YOU'D PAY MY BILLS IF I CAME DOWN.
AND YOUR WORDS WERE, "YES, THAT WAS RIGHT."

MONROE: NO. THE POINT IS, GREGORY,
AS I STATED IN THIS STATEMENT, THE AMOUNTS
OF MONEY WERE NOT KNOWN BY YOU AND THERE IS
NO POSSIBLE WAY — I NEVER WOULD HAVE, NOR
DID I BIND THE ORGANIZATION TO A TOTALLY
NEBULOUS, OPEN—ENDED AGREEMENT WITH YOU TO,
TO GIVE WHATEVER AMOUNTS OF MONEY WERE
OWNING TO YOU.

GREGORY: THEN WHY DID YOU SAY THIS

TO ME?

3.

ç

MONROE: GREGORY, YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT AT THE TIME. WHEN YOU MET WITH EDWARD AND I WHEN WE BROUGHT UP THE IDEA OF THESE NOTES, NONE OF THIS WAS AN ISSUE TO YOU.

GREGORY: WHAT ABOUT THE LAST THREE,
THE LAST THREE --

MONROE: I'M TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE MET TO SIGN THE NOTES, YOU WEREN'T ARGUING THAT THIS WAS MONEY THAT WAS DUE YOU. AT THAT TIME YOU WERE TOTALLY ACCEPTING BECAUSE YOU, YOU KNEW FROM THE VERY BEGINNING THAT IT WAS AN AGREEMENT THAT YOU HAD HAD.

GREGORY: AND I WOULD NOT GET ANY
MORE MONEY AND I NEEDED MONEY IMMEDIATELY.
MY CREDIT HAS ALREADY BEEN RUINED --

MONROE: THE THINGS THAT YOU'RE
SAYING, THE THINGS THAT YOU'RE SAYING TODAY
ARE NOT THINGS THAT YOU SAID EVER DURING ALL
OF THIS INTERVENING TIME BECAUSE IT WAS NOT
TRUE IN THE ORIGINAL AGREEMENT. IT WAS —
THERE WAS NO ORIGINAL AGREEMENT. IT WAS
TOTALLY OPEN—ENDED AND YOU WERE COMING DOWN
HERE AND WE WERE, WE WERE PLANNING TO
DISCUSS IT WHEN THE FIGURES WERE KNOWN. AND
THAT'S, THAT'S TOTALLY THE WAY THAT IT WAS
LEFT.

AND YOU HAVE SENT US

- -

UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS AS TO HOW YOU THOUGHT
IT SHOULD BE IN KEEPING WITH THAT INFORMAL
AGREEMENT THAT WE HAD ON THE PHONE —— THAT
YOU WOULD COME DOWN AND THAT WE WOULD
FINALIZE THE, THE DETAILS WHEN YOU GOT HERE,
AND THE FACTS WERE KNOWN. I DID NOT SIT
DOWN WITH YOU AND PROPOSE THAT YOU WRITE THE
LETTERS THAT YOU WROTE VOLUNTEERING THIS
AGREEMENT. THAT WAS DONE BY YOU BECAUSE YOU
UNDERSTOOD FROM THE BEGINNING THAT THAT IS
WHAT SHOULD BE REQUIRED IN ORDER FOR US TO
NEGOTIATE A FINAL AGREEMENT.

GREGORY: AT ANY TIME I THOUGHT I HAD LAID MYSELF BARE BEFORE THIS ORGANIZATION, AND THEREFORE I COULD PUT ANYTHING IN WRITING. AND I DID IT IN TWO TAPES, WHICH YOU SHOULD RECEIVE THIS MORNING.

MOTHER: LISTEN TO YOUR WORDS. \*I
WILL GIVE YOU 10 PER CENT OF ALL PROFIT I
MAKE FROM THE SALE OF MY PROPERTY, BUT WILL
ALSO PAY OVER AND ABOVE THAT SUM IF
NECESSARY TO SEE YOU, TO SEE YOU ARE PAID
BACK IN FULL FOR ALL MONIES PAID TO ME TO
DATE OF RECEIVING SALE MONEY UNLESS THE HOME
COULD NOT BE SOLD FOR SOME REASON. FOR
EXAMPLE — ARE YOU LISTENING? — \*IF I
CLEAR \$100,000, I WILL GIVE YOU \$10,000
AUTOMATICALLY. IF YOU HAVE PAID ME \$20,000

TO THAT DATE, I WILL PUT AN ADDITIONAL 1 \$10,000 WITH IT SO YOU BREAK EVEN TO THAT 2 3 POINT, EXCEPT YOU WILL HAVE PROVIDED MY ROOM AND BOARD TO THAT DATE AT YOUR EXPENSE. IN 4 5 OTHER WORDS, UPON THE SALE OF MY HOME, YOU 6 WILL BE REIMBURSED FOR ALL MONIES PAID ME TO 7 THAT DATE OR YOU WILL RECEIVE 10 PER CENT OF ALL PROFIT FROM THE SALE OF MY PROPERTY, 8 9 WHICHEVER IS GREATER." WHAT'S THE 10 PER CENT OF THE 10 SALE OF YOUR PROPERTY? HOW MUCH DID YOUR 11 PROPERTY SELL FOR? 12 13 GREGORY: \$199,500. 14 MOTHER: SO YOU OUGHT TO BE GIVING US 15 \$19,000 ACCORDING TO THIS. GREGORY: THERE WASN'T ANY PROFIT. 16 17 MAY I READ SOMETHING IN THE LETTER THAT I 18 WANTED YOU TO READ, ON APRIL 20 -- THE LAST 19 LETTER TO YOU? 20 EDWARD: CAN I ASK YOU A QUESTION? 21 GREGORY: THE THING THAT I'M ASKING --22 23 EDWARD: CAN I ASK A QUESTION JUST ON 24 THIS POINT? WHAT DID YOU BUY YOUR HOME FOR? 25 GREGORY: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THIS 26 LINE OF QUESTIONING. 27 EDWARD: WELL, YOU SAID THERE WAS NO 28 PROFIT. I THINK THAT'S AN ISSUE HERE, IF

YOU'RE GOING TO MAINTAIN THAT --

\_

MOTHER: WELL, A PROFIT IS --

3

GREGORY: I'VE ASKED YOU MANY

4

QUESTIONS, AND YOU'VE REFUSED TO ANSWER

5

THEM. AT THIS POINT, IT'S NOT IMPORTANT.

6

EDWARD: YOU'VE JUST SAID THAT THERE

7

WAS NO PROFIT. I PERSONALLY THINK YOU

8

BOUGHT IT FOR A LOT LESS THAN THAT.

9

MOTHER: IT IS IMPORTANT. NOW, DON'T

10

TELL ME THERE'S NO PROFIT ON THE SALE OF

11

YOUR HOME. YOU'RE JUST, YOU'RE JUST

12

SCAMPERING RIGHT ALL OVER THE UNIVERSE.

13

GREGORY: I HAVE ONLY BILLS. ALL THE

14

MONEY GOES ON BILLS.

15

MOTHER: THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH

16

IT, IT -- THAT YOU HAVE BILLS. YOU BOUGHT

17

YOUR HOME FOR SOMETHING, YOU SOLD IT FOR

18

ANOTHER THING. WHAT'S IN THE MIDDLE IS

19

PROFIT. AND WHETHER YOU PAY BILLS OUT OF

20

THAT MONEY HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE FACT

21

THAT ACCORDING TO THE DEFINITION OF PROFIT,

2223

IF YOU BUY SOMETHING FOR ONE THING AND SELL

24

IT FOR MORE, THE MIDDLE AMOUNT OF MONEY IS

PROFIT. AND YOU'RE SAYING THERE'S NO

25

PROFIT. I MEAN, YOU'RE JUST, YOU'RE JUST

26

USING WORDS TO SAY ANYTHING YOU FEEL LIKE.

27

YOU OPERATE FROM A DIFFERENT SET OF RULES

2ε

THAN THE CHELAS OF THE BROTHERHOOD OPERATE

FROM, GREGORY, AND THAT'S WHAT YOU HAVE TO FACE. YOU'VE GIVEN YOUR WORD AND YOU'VE WITHDRAWN IT.

GREGORY: MAY I READ SOMETHING, PLEASE?

MOTHER: LOOK, GREGORY. LET'S SAY, LET'S SAY THERE WAS SUCH AN EXISTING AGREEMENT. BY THIS VERY STATEMENT, YOU HAVE ENTERED INTO A NEW AGREEMENT AND ANY COURT WOULD, WOULD STATE THAT. YOU HAVE WRITTEN SUBSEQUENT LETTERS TO THAT DATE STATING, "I DON'T WANT THE CHURCH TO BE RESPONSIBLE. I WANT THEM TO GET BACK EVERYTHING THEY 'VE PAID ME. I WILL PAY IT BACK. AND YOU PUT IT IN WRITING. THAT BECOMES A SUCCEEDING CONTRACT. IS THIS NOT CONTRACT IN LAW?

MOTHER: YOU ENTERED INTO SUBSEQUENT CONTRACTS RIGHT HERE. BEFORE ANY COURT IN THIS WORLD, I WANT YOU TO KNOW, I WOULD BE AWARDED THAT MONEY. AND I'M NOT GOING TO GO TO COURT WITH YOU -- BECAUSE MONEY IS NOT THE ISSUE. YOUR SOUL, YOUR SALVATION, AND YOUR CHELASHIP IS THE ISSUE. I WILL NOT TIE MYSELF TO YOU OR ANYONE IN A LAWSUIT. YOU HAVE DONE THIS, NOT I.

EDWARD: YES.

MONROE: FURTHERMORE, IN ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTIONS, I WITNESS THAT BOTH OF THESE

17 18

19

16

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

5

7

8 9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

2526

27

28

STATEMENTS ON THE TWENTY-SECOND OF FEBRUARY AND THE OTHER WRITTEN STATEMENT ON THE ELEVENTH OF FEBRUARY ARE ENTIRELY CONSISTENT WITH THE CONVERSATIONS WHICH WE HAD. AND THAT IS WHY THEY WERE WRITTEN IS BECAUSE THEY WERE CONSISTENT WITH OUR INFORMAL VERBAL AGREEMENT.

MOTHER: BUT LET'S, LET'S STRETCH IT. YOU KNOW, LET'S STRETCH IT TO SAY HE HAD OR HE THOUGHT HE HAD THAT AGREEMENT. NEVERTHELESS, LEGALLY SPEAKING, YOU STATED THIS AGREEMENT AND YOU SIGNED PROMISSORY NOTES SHOWING US AND ALL THE WORLD THAT THAT IS THE WAY YOU WANTED IT. BECAUSE IN NOT KNOWING WHAT YOUR EXPENSES WOULD BE, BOTH YOU AND US THOUGHT THAT THE INCREASING AMOUNTS THAT YOU WERE REQUESTING - FOR INSTANCE, AT ONE POINT YOU ASKED FOR \$4,293. IT'S A LOT OF MONEY. AND THEREFORE, IT WAS MUTUALLY UNDERSTOOD THAT THIS WAS REALLY MORE THAN ANY OF US WOULD HAVE BARGAINED FOR IN SUCH AN UNDERSTANDING. I MEAN, HOW DID WE KNOW WHAT YOUR EXPENSES ARE? I STILL DON'T KNOW WHAT THOSE MONIES WENT FOR. NOBODY EVEN ASKED YOU FOR AN ACCOUNTING. YOU SAID YOU NEEDED SUCH AND SUCH MONEY.

GREGORY: 1 HAVE LISTS OF SUCH MONEY.

MOTHER: BUT THE POINT IS, WE TRUSTED

YOU -- TRUST YOU TOTALLY. WE GAVE YOU OUR TRUST. WE GAVE YOU MORE MONEY -- ANY MONEY THAT YOU WANTED -- BASED ON YOUR WORD. AND YOU HAVE BETRAYED THAT TRUST AND YOU DON'T EVEN KNOW IT, AND THAT IS WHY YOU ARE IN JEOPARDY. AND I CHALLENGE YOU TO GO AND FIND A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE GREAT WHITE BROTHERHOOD THAT IS GOING TO DEAL WITH YOU AT THIS LEVEL FOR THE ULTIMATE OUTCOME OF YOUR LIFE AND YOUR PATH.

GO AHEAD AND LEAVE, GREGORY.

ABANDON THE ALTER. MAKE BELIEVE THAT WE'RE

ALL WRONG AND YOU'RE ALL RIGHT. GO DOWN THE

ROAD WITH YOUR 10 O'CLOCK ILLUSIONS. FIND

SOMEBODY WHO'S GOING TO GIVE YOU PIERCING

REALITY OR FIND SOMEONE WHO'S GOING TO TELL

YOU, "YOU'RE WONDERFUL, WONDERFUL,

WONDERFUL. EVERYTHING YOU DO IS GREAT,

GREAT, GREAT. YOU CAN DO NO WRONG. AND, OF

COURSE, GREGORY, YOU CAN JUST GIVE YOUR WORD

TO THE BROTHERHOOD AND WITHDRAW IT ANY DAY

OF THE WEEK."

GREGORY: ARE YOU SAYING THAT I SHOULD NO LONGER DECREE?

MOTHER: I DON T CARE WHETHER YOU DECREE OR NOT. BUT I WANT TO TELL YOU ONE THING.

GREGORY: DO YOU THINK IT WOULD BE

## EFFECTIVE?

MOTHER: WHO AM I TO SAY THAT - THE SCIENCE OF THE SPOKEN WORD WORKS. BUT I WANT TO TELL YOU SOMETHING ABOUT YOUR DECREE MOMENTUM. BEFORE THIS MATTER EVER CAME UP, BEFORE WE EVER GOT INTO THIS SITUATION OR I EVEN KNEW YOU WERE WITHDRAWING YOUR WORD, I LOOKED AT YOUR AURA AND I WAS VERY CONCERNED ABOUT IT. AND I WANTED TO SIT DOWN AND HAVE A TALK WITH YOU AND TELL YOU THAT WHEN YOU ARE MAKING CALLS ON KATHLEEN MUELLER THAT YOU ARE NOT WHOLLY IN YOUR OWN CHRIST SELF OR YOUR CHRIST MIND. AND YOU ARE CHARGING THOSE REVERSE THE TIDES AND JUDGMENT CALLS WITH HUMAN CREATION, AND YOU'RE GOING DOWN BECAUSE OF IT. AND YOUR EYES AND YOUR AURA HAVE NOT LOOKED GOOD FOR SOME TIME.

I'VE SEEN IT IN THE CHAPEL.

I'VE SEEN IT WHEN I WAS HALFWAY AROUND THE WORLD. I'VE BEEN VERY CONCERNED, BECAUSE YOU ARE NOT FREE FROM IRRITATION, ANNOYANCE, AND AT SUBCONSCIOUS LEVEL YOU EVEN HAVE ANGER AND HATRED INVOLVED IN THAT THING.

AND WHEN YOU STAND UP AND GIVE YOUR REVERSE THE TIDES ON, ON HER SITUATION, YOU ARE NOT DOING IT FROM THE LEVEL OF THE CHRIST. AND I WANT TO CAUTION YOU BECAUSE YOU ARE IN A KARMA-MAKING SITUATION.

GREGORY: I APPRECIATE THAT. I

MOTHER: AND IT'S TRUE. AND YOU DO HAVE A MOMENTUM --

GREGORY: I WAS DOING IT FROM THE STANDPOINT OF PROTECTION.

MOTHER: YEAH, BUT YOU SEE, YOU
HAVEN'T ARRIVED AT THE, AT THE POINT OF
PERFECT LOVE --- PERFECT LOVE IN YOUR OWN,
FOR YOUR OWN SOUL, PERFECT LOVE FOR ME,
PERFECT LOVE FOR GOD, PERFECT LOVE FOR HER.
AND THERE IS, THERE IS A REAL PROBLEM HERE.
THERE'S A REAL PROBLEM IN YOUR HANDLING OF
IT.

GREGORY: I APPRECIATE YOUR POINTING
IT OUT.

MOTHER: AND I WANT TO TELL YOU-THAT,
BEING MINDFUL OF THAT AND BEING AWARE OF
YOUR TURMOIL IN THE PAST WEEK — BECAUSE YOU
ACTUALLY THOUGHT I WAS GOING TO SUE YOU OR
DO SOMETHING, SOME TERRIBLE THING TO YOU,
YOU, YOU KNOW. AND THEN I HEAR REPORTED TO
ME YOU'RE REVERSING THE TIDE ON ALL
[INAUDIBLE].

GREGORY: WHO TOLD YOU THIS?

MOTHER: IT DOESN'T MATTER WHO TOLD

ME THAT.

GREGORY: WELL, IT'D EITHER HAVE TO

7 8

2€

BE ERIK STEIN OR PATRICIA MEEHAN. BUT PATRICIA MEEHAN I DON'T TALK TO.

MOTHER: WELL, GREGORY, I'M NOT

RESPONSIBLE TO TELL YOU WHERE I HEARD IT. I

ASKED YOU IF IT'S TRUE, YOU DIDN'T DENY IT.

THE POINT I WANT TO MAKE ON IT IS THAT WHEN

YOU'RE DECREEING ON ME, YOU'RE ALSO NOT

DECREEING FROM THE PURE LOVE OF THE HEART

BUT YOU'RE DECREEING —

GREGORY: [INAUDIBLE]

MOTHER: LISTEN, GREGORY, YOU ARE
DECREEING OUT OF FEAR AND ANXIETY THAT I AM
SOMEHOW GOING TO PUT ONE OVER ON YOU. YOU
ARE SO CONCERNED THAT YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE
ONE PUT OVER ON YOU BY ME, AND YOU'VE
BELIEVED WHAT KATHLEEN MULL TOLD YOU —— YOU
KNOW, WHEN SHE WAS KATHLEEN MULL —— THAT I
WOULD TAKE YOU FOR YOUR MONEY. I HAVE TAKEN
NOT ONE CENT OF YOUR MONEY. I HAVE GIVEN
YOU \$37,000.

AND IN, IN THIS STRANGE, WARPED PERSPECTIVE, YOU HAVE THE WHOLE THING TURNED AROUND. WHO'S THE LOSER? THE CHURCH IS THE LOSER, NOT YOU. WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO USE THESE PLANS, A GOOD PORTION OF THEM. WE MAY NEVER USE THEM. THE HOUSE YOU DESIGNED FOR ME IS SO FAR OUT OF REALITY, SO ENORMOUS. YOU KNGW, IT'S NOT EVEN REALISTIC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

GREGORY: WELL, THEY WERE JUST A STUDY.

MOTHER: WELL, YOU LISTED IT AS SOMETHING YOU SHOULD BE PROFESSIONALLY PAID FOR. YOU KNOW, I CAN'T EVEN DEAL WITH YOU AS A FRIEND. ALL OF THE SUDDEN NOW, EVERY, ANY TIME I SPEND FIVE MINUTES WITH YOU I'M CHALKING UP A PROFESSIONAL BILL. THAT'S NOT A GURU/CHELA RELATIONSHIP. I'VE GIVEN YOU MY LIFE FREELY. YOU'VE PAID MINIMUM EXPENSES OF ROOM, BOARD, AND TUITION WHEN YOU'VE COME HERE. AND I HAVE STOOD BEFORE YOU, BEFORE THE KARMIC BOARD, AND GIVEN YOU THE FULL MOMENTUM OF MY OFFICE ANY TIME YOU WANTED IT -- FREE. I'VE NEVER SAID TO YOU, "I SHOULD HAVE PROFESSIONAL FEES, BECAUSE I'M A PROFESSIONAL AND THERE'S NO ONE ON THE PLANET WHO CAN GIVE YOU WHAT I CAN GIVE YOU." BUT YOU'RE VERY ANXIOUS TO TELL ME WHAT A GREAT ARCHITECT YOU ARE AND HOW, HOW YOU SHOULD BE PAID THE ULTIMATE. I'M NOT LOOKING FOR ANY PAY.

GREGORY: I'M NOT ASKING FOR THE
ULTIMATE. I ONLY ASK FOR MY BILLS. COULD I
READ SOMETHING, BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW THAT
YOU'VE READ IT.

MOTHER: YES, YOU CAN READ IT.

GREGORY: I'VE ASKED FOR

232425

27

26

28

UNDERSTANDING, AND THIS IS MY LAST LETTER

MOTHER: YOU'RE CONVINCED I DON'T

UNDERSTAND YOU, AREN'T YOU? BUT I HAVE A

PROFOUND UNDERSTANDING OF YOUR SOUL. I SEE

MORE OF YOUR SOUL THAN YOU'LL EVER SEE IN

THIS EMBODIMENT. I SEE MANY THINGS,

GREGORY. I UNDERSTAND WHY YOU'RE COMING TO

ME. I UNDERSTAND YOUR DEVOTION TO YOUR

DAUGHTER. I UNDERSTAND YOU DID NOT SELL

YOUR HOUSE FOR THE AMOUNT THAT YOU EXPECTED

TO. I UNDERSTAND THE SHOE'S ON THE OTHER

FOOT NOW, AND I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU HAVE NO

SENSE OF RESPONSIBILITY.

WHETHER YOU ENTERED INTO THOSE
AGREEMENTS UNDER FEAR OR WHATEVER, YOU HAD A
RIGHT TO COME AND TELL ME, "I HAVE FEAR AND
THIS IS WHY I'M DOING THIS, AND THIS IS NOT
REALLY WHAT I WANT TO DO." BUT WHAT DO YOU
SAY? "I'M WRITING YOU TO LET YOU KNOW I
FEEL I'M IN MY RIGHT PLACE HERE, DOING THAT
WHICH I LOVE TO DO, WHICH IS DOING
ARCHITECTURE."

SO WHAT? YOU LOVE TO BE DOING HERE. YOU WANT TO SERVE THE BROTHERHOOD.

AND ALL OF THE SUDDEN, YOU KNOW, IT'S SOMETHING ENTIRELY DIFFERENT IN RETROSPECT.

YOU DID NOT TELL US WHEN YOU SOLD YOUR

HOUSE, YOU TOLD US LATER. YOU BOUGHT A \$7,000 CAR, PAID IN FULL FOR IT.

GREGORY: HOW WOULD I MAKE PAYMENTS
ON IT IF I DIDN'T --

MOTHER: YOU PAID -- I MEAN, YOUR CONCERN IS TO PUT THIS CHURCH LAST ON THE LIST INSTEAD OF FIRST.

GREGORY: THAT IS NOT TRUE, MOTHER.

THAT IS NOT TRUE. I M PUTTING YOU BEFORE

THE GOVERNMENT, MONEY THAT IS DUE, AND

BEFORE MY EX-WIFE.

MOTHER: WELL, I SHOULD HOPE SO. GREGORY: RIGHT.

MOTHER: I SHOULD HOPE SO. IN ANY CASE, I WILL LISTEN TO WHAT YOU WISH TO READ ME.

GREGORY: THANK YOU. THIS IS IN MY LAST LETTER THAT I WROTE. IT WAS NEVER TOUCHED ON.

ENCLOSED IS A FLIER ON MY HOME,
LISTED AS DOCUMENT A. IN IT YOU WILL SEE MY
HOME WAS FIRST LISTED FOR \$260,000, THEN
REDUCED TO \$240,000, THEN TO \$199,500. I
FELT I WOULD GET \$240,000 FOR IT, AND IT WAS
ON THIS BASIS THAT I FELT I COULD PAY YOU
BACK ALL THE MONEY YOU GAVE ME TO MEET MY
FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS. I ALSO APPRECIATED
THE FACT THAT YOU HAD ASKED ME TO DO

ARCHITECTURE WITH YOU AND THE ASCENDED MASTERS. IN THE LAST 4-1/2 MONTHS, WITH INCOME FROM ARCHITECTURE ONLY, I COULD NOT PROVIDE FOR MY BILLS, SO I HAD TO BORROW \$9,600 FROM DR. ELIZABETH MOYER AT 10 PER CENT INTEREST. THIS PAID FOR 2 MONTHS OF BILLS AND I HAVE MADE ENOUGH TO SUPPORT 2-1/2 MONTHS OF BILLS.

I NOW HAVE THE FOLLOWING [INAUDIBLE] THESE BILLS: \$2,900 CURRENT BILLS -- NOW DUE [INAUDIBLE] THE MONEY IS SPENT. MY EX-WIFE -- \$26,500. \$15,000 CAPITAL GAINS DUE NEXT APRIL 15. \$9,960 WITH INTEREST TO DR. MOYER. THAT'S \$80,416. THERE'S ONLY ONE MORE PARAGRAPH.

I WILL STILL HAVE MY WESTLAKE CONDOMINIUM MORTGAGE OF \$51,735 WITH A \$448 MONTHLY PAYMENT. I WILL HAVE TO PAY THE \$15,000 CAPITAL GAINS, I WILL NOT HAVE TO PAY THE \$15,000 CAPITAL GAINS UNTIL NEXT APRIL. I WILL ALSO NOT PAY MY EX-WIFE THE \$26,500 AT THIS TIME. SO THIS WOULD GIVE ME \$10,000, WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE TO YOU NOW AS FULL SETTLEMENT OF ALL COMMITMENTS WE HAVE MADE TO EACH OTHER TO DATE.

I CANNOT AFFORD TO GIVE YOU THE \$37,431 WHICH I WOULD HAVE DONE IF THE PROPERTY HAD SOLD FOR \$240,000. BUT AS IT

19

24 25

26

27

28

IS, I WOULD LOSE ALL MY PROPERTIES IF I KEPT
THIS COMMITMENT. AND I WOULD HAVE HAD TO
HAVE THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN DEBT. AND THEN
MY DAUGHTER NEEDS TO BE EDUCATED, AND I
CANNOT GO ON A SCHOLARSHIP WHEN I OWN
PROPERTY. MAYBE I'D BE BETTER OFF IF I
DIDN'T OWN PROPERTY.

MOTHER: WELL, WHAT ABOUT THE
PROPOSAL EDWARD MADE TO YOU ABOUT THE
DEFERRED PAYING BACK OF THIS DEBT OVER 10 OR
20 YEARS?

GREGORY: THAT WAS NOT SAID TO ME.

MOTHER: WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM?

EDWARD: WHAT GREGORY DOES NOT

MENTION RIGHT HERE IS THE FACT THAT FROM THE

SALE OF HIS HOUSE, HE HAS A \$65,000 NOTE OF

MONEY THAT'S DUE TO HIM. WE SUGGESTED

THAT —

MOTHER: WHEN IS IT DUE?

GREGORY: TWO YEARS.

EDWARD: IT'S DUE TWO YEARS, I

BELIEVE. HE, HE -- WE SUGGESTED THAT HE

COULD PAY US FROM THE PROCEEDS OF WHEN HE

GETS HIS \$65,000. AND HE STATED TO US THAT

HE COULDN'T DO THAT, AND WE ASKED HIM WHAT

HE WAS GOING TO USE THE MONEY FOR INSTEAD.

HE SAID HE WAS GOING TO PAY THE MORTGAGE OFF

IN FULL ON HIS CONDOMINIUM, BECAUSE HE'D

WANTED TO HAVE THE PROPERTY FREE AND CLEAR.

GREGORY: AND PAY THE EX-WIFE OFF AND BE IN A BETTER POSITION TO SERVE YOU HERE AT CAMELOT, BECAUSE I WOULD HAVE A HOME FOR MY DAUGHTER AND, AND I WOULD HAVE -- I WOULD BE IN A POSITION TO BETTER SERVE AT CAMELOT.

MOTHER: HOW CAN YOU BETTER SERVE
WHEN YOU CAN'T BE TRUSTED TO KEEP YOUR WORD?
YOU DILUTE THE WHOLE STREAM OF YOUR
CONSCIOUSNESS. DON'T YOU SEE THAT?
GREGORY, I WANT YOU TO KNOW, AS GOD IS MY
WITNESS, THAT I HAVE GONE TO ALMIGHTY GOD TO
PRAY FOR YOUR SOUL AND YOUR CHELASHIP AND
YOUR SALVATION. I AM NOT CONCERNED ABOUT
THE MONEY. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT YOUR
IRRESPONSIBILITY TOWARD YOUR OWN KARMA.

YOU SET THIS CAUSE IN MOTION,
YOU DON'T LIKE WHAT YOU DID, AND YOU WANT TO
THROW IT OUT THE WINDOW. BUT YOU DID IT.
THESE DOCUMENTS DO NOT LIE. YOU DO NOT HAVE
TO PAY OFF THAT CONDOMINIUM. YOU COULD MAKE
MINIMAL PAYMENTS TO US AT A VERY MINIMAL
INTEREST. WHEN IT BECOMES CONVENIENT, YOU
COULD SET YOURSELF UP IN BUSINESS. YOU
COULD HELP US PART TIME. YOU COULD MAKE
THIS COMMITMENT COME TRUE IF YOU WANTED TO.

GREGORY: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE INTEREST. THAT I NEVER UNDERSTOOD.

MOTHER: WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT 1 SAYS ON THIS. I DON'T WANT, I'M NOT LOOKING 2 FOR INTEREST, BUT [INAUDIBLE]. 3 GREGORY: YOU'RE CHARGING ME 7 PER CENT INTEREST. 5 MOTHER: THE PROMISSORY NOTE? 6 GREGORY: I JUST DON'T UNDERSTAND 7 THAT. 8 MOTHER: I WOULD BE GLAD TO DROP THE 9 INTEREST. YOU SHOULD UNDERSTAND IT. WE'RE 10 LIVING IN TIMES OF INFLATION. IF YOU TAKE 11 MANY YEARS TO PAY SOMEBODY BACK A DEBT, WHEN 12 YOU SAID YOU WERE GOING TO PAY IT IN ONE 13 LUMP SUM, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO? WE DON'T 14 HAVE OUR CAPITAL TO USE TO GROW. 15 GREGORY: YOU SEE, THE 16 MISUNDERSTANDING IS, 15 THAT --17 MOTHER: EVERYBODY CHARGES INTEREST. 18 GREGORY: -- I, I WAS, I WAS UNDER 19 THE COMPLETE BELIEF AND UNDERSTANDING, 20 21 UNDERSTANDING -MOTHER: IT SAYS IT RIGHT HERE AND 22 YOU SIGNED IT -- 7 PER CENT INTEREST. 23 GREGORY: I KNOW, BUT THAT WAS FORCED 24 ON ME AND I OBJECTED TO IT. BUT I DIDN'T 25 HAVE A CHOICE. 26 MOTHER: WHAT DO YOU MEAN YOU DIDN'T 27 HAVE A CHOICE? THAT S NONSENSE. 28

EDWARD: THE REASON HE DIDN'T HAVE A CHOICE WAS BECAUSE HE WANTED ANOTHER \$4,500 AND WE TOLD HIM WE COULD NOT KEEP GIVING HIM MONEY UNLESS HE CONFIRMED TO US IN WRITING EXACTLY WHAT OUR AGREEMENT WAS. THAT'S WHAT THE NOTE REPRESENTED AND HE, WITH HIS FREE WILL, DECIDED TO SIGN IT BASED UPON THE FACT THAT HE WANTED ANOTHER \$4,500.

MONROE: AND WE EXPLAINED AT THE TIME THAT THE REASON THAT THE NOTE WAS NECESSARY, AS WELL AS THE INTEREST, WAS THE FACT THAT HE KNEW AND WE KNEW THAT THIS WAS NOT SALARY AND THAT IT WAS NOT PROPER FOR THE CHURCH TO BE GIVING FUNDS AS, AS NONDOCUMENTED MONIES AND NONSECURED LOANS OR LOANS OF ANY KIND. THE CHURCH IS NOT ALLOWED TO JUST HAND OUT MONEY TO PEOPLE.

EDWARD: PARTICULARLY WITHOUT

INTEREST. THE REASON FOR THE INTEREST WAS

THAT 7 PER CENT IS, AT THAT TIME WAS THE

STANDARD LEGAL RATE.

GREGORY: THE INTEREST -

EDWARD: MINIMUM INTEREST...[END OF TAPE, SIDE TWO]

THE COURT: IN VIEW OF THE HOUR, I THINK WE ARE GOING TO STOP FOR NOW. WE WILL RESUME TOMORROW MORNING.

MR. LEVY: THIS IS A SANSUI. I HAVE TO APOLOGIZE FOR SANSUI. IT PLAYED EXCELLENTLY WHEN I PLAYED IT IN THE

OFFICE. I WILL PURCHASE ANOTHER ONE IN THE COURSE OF THE EVENING AND HOPE THAT THE SECOND TAPE DOES BETTER.

THE COURT: WE WILL RESUME TOMORROW MORNING AT 9:15.

EVERYBODY HAVE A PLEASANT EVENING. REMEMBER THE COURT'S ADMONITIONS. WE WILL RESUME AT 9:15 TOMORROW MORNING.

(AT 3:50 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN

UNTIL WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 1986, AT

9:15 A.M.)